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#### Abstract

Previously, we presented several empirical equations using the cosmic microwave background (CMB) temperature. Next, we propose an empirical equation for the fine-structure constant. Considering the compatibility among these empirical equations, the CMB temperature ( $T_{c}$ ) and gravitational constant ( $G$ ) were calculated to be 2.726312 K and $6.673778 \times 10^{-11} \mathrm{~m}^{3} \cdot \mathrm{~kg}^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{~s}^{-2}$, respectively. Every equation could be explained in terms of the Compton length of an electron $\left(\lambda_{e}\right)$, the Compton length of a proton $\left(\lambda_{p}\right)$ and $\alpha$. Furthermore, every equation could also be explained in terms of Avogadro's number and the number of electrons in 1 C . However, the ratio of the gravitational force to the electric force cannot be uniquely determined when the unit of the Planck constant ( Js ) is changed. In this study, we showed that every equation can be described in terms of Planck constant. From the assumption of minimum mass, the ratio of gravitational force to electric force could be elucidated.
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## 1. Introduction

The symbol list is shown in Section 2. We described Equations 1, 2 and 3 in terms of the cosmic microwave background (CMB) temperature [1] [2] [3] [4] [5].

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{G m_{p}^{2}}{h c}=\frac{4.5}{2} \times \frac{k T_{c}}{1 \mathrm{~kg} \times c^{2}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{G m_{p}^{2}}{\left(\frac{e^{2}}{4 \pi \varepsilon_{0}}\right)}=\frac{4.5}{2 \pi} \times \frac{m_{e}}{e} \times h c  \tag{2}\\
& \frac{m_{e} c^{2}}{e} \times\left(\frac{e^{2}}{4 \pi \varepsilon_{0}}\right)=\pi \times k T_{c} \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

Next, we derived an empirical equation for the fine-structure constant [6].

$$
\begin{align*}
& 137.0359991=136.0113077+\frac{1}{3 \times 13.5}+1  \tag{4}\\
& 13.5 \times 136.0113077=1836.152654=\frac{m_{p}}{m_{e}} \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

Equations (4) and (5) are likely related to the transference number [7] [8]. Next, we proposed the following values as deviations of the values of $9 / 2$ and $\pi$ [8] [9].

$$
\begin{align*}
& 3.13201(\mathrm{~V} \cdot \mathrm{~m})=\frac{\left(\frac{m_{p}}{m_{e}}+\frac{4}{3}\right) m_{e} c^{2}}{e c}  \tag{6}\\
& 4.48852\left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{~A} \cdot \mathrm{~m}}\right)=\frac{q_{m} c}{\left(\frac{m_{p}}{m_{e}}+\frac{4}{3}\right) m_{p} c^{2}} \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

Then, $\left(\frac{m_{p}}{m_{e}}+\frac{4}{3}\right)$ has units of $\left(\frac{\mathrm{m}^{2}}{\mathrm{~s}}\right)$. Using the redefinition of Avogadro's number and the Faraday constant, these values can be adjusted back to $9 / 2$ and $\pi$ [9].

$$
\begin{gather*}
\pi(\mathrm{V} \cdot \mathrm{~m})=\frac{\left(\frac{m_{p}}{m_{e}}+\frac{4}{3}\right) m_{e_{-} \text {new }} c^{2}}{e_{\text {new }} c}  \tag{8}\\
4.5\left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{~A} \cdot \mathrm{~m}}\right)=\frac{q_{m_{\_} \text {new }} c}{\left(\frac{m_{p}}{m_{e}}+\frac{4}{3}\right) m_{p_{\_} \text {new }} c^{2}} \tag{9}
\end{gather*}
$$

Every equation can be explained in terms of the Compton length of an electron $\left(\lambda_{e}\right)$, the Compton length of a proton $\left(\lambda_{p}\right)$ and $\alpha[10]$. Furthermore, every equation can be explained in terms of Avogadro's number and the number of electrons in 1 C [11]. Using the correspondence principle with the thermodynamic principles in solid-state ionics [12] [13], we propose a canonical ensemble to explain these equations and the concept of the minimum mass. However, the ratio of gravitational force to electric force cannot be uniquely determined when the unit of Planck's constant (Js) is changed. In this study, for the assumption of minimum mass, we show that every equation can be described in terms of the Planck constant. Then, the ratio of the gravitational force to the electric force can be determined.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we present the list of symbols used in our derivations. In Section 3, we propose several equations in terms of the Planck constant. In Section 4, using these equations, we explain our main equations. In Section 5, our conclusions are described.

## 2. Symbol List

### 2.1. MKSA Units (These Values Were Obtained from Wikipedia)

G: gravitational constant: $6.6743 \times 10^{-11}\left(\mathrm{~m}^{3} \cdot \mathrm{~kg}^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{~s}^{-2}\right)$ (we used the compensated value $6.673778 \times 10^{-11}$ in this study)
$T_{c}$ CMB temperature: 2.72548 (K) (we used the compensated value 2.726312 K in this study)
$k$. Boltzmann constant: $1.380649 \times 10^{-23}\left(\mathrm{~J} \cdot \mathrm{~K}^{-1}\right)$
c. speed of light: $299792458(\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s})$
h: Planck constant: $6.62607015 \times 10^{-34}(\mathrm{~J} \cdot \mathrm{~s})$
$\varepsilon_{0}$ : Electric constant: $8.8541878128 \times 10^{-12}\left(\mathrm{~N} \cdot \mathrm{~m}^{2} \cdot \mathrm{C}^{-2}\right)$
$\mu_{0}$ : Magnetic constant: $1.25663706212 \times 10^{-6}\left(\mathrm{~N} \cdot \mathrm{~A}^{-2}\right)$
$e$. Electric charge of one electron: $-1.602176634 \times 10^{-19}(\mathrm{C})$
$q_{m}$ : Magnetic charge of one magnetic monopole: $4.13566770 \times 10^{-15}(\mathrm{~Wb})$ (this value is only a theoretical value, $q_{m}=h / e$ )
$m_{p}$ : Rest mass of a proton: $1.6726219059 \times 10^{-27}(\mathrm{~kg})$ (we used the compensated value of $1.672621923 \times 10^{-27} \mathrm{~kg}$ in this study)
$m_{e}$ : Rest mass of an electron: $9.1093837 \times 10^{-31}(\mathrm{~kg})$
$R k$ : Von Klitzing constant: $25812.80745(\Omega)$
$Z_{0}$ : Wave impedance in free space: 376.730313668 ( $\Omega$ )
$\alpha$ : Fine-structure constant: 1/137.035999081
$\lambda_{p}$ : Compton wavelength of a proton: $1.32141 \times 10^{-15}(\mathrm{~m})$
$\lambda_{e}$ : Compton wavelength of an electron: $2.4263102367 \times 10^{-12}(\mathrm{~m})$

### 2.2. Symbol List after Redefinition

$$
\begin{gather*}
e_{\text {new }}=e \times \frac{4.48852}{4.5}=1.59809 \mathrm{E}-19(\mathrm{C})  \tag{10}\\
q_{m_{\text {new }}}=q_{m} \times \frac{\pi}{3.13201}=4.14832 \mathrm{E}-15(\mathrm{~Wb})  \tag{11}\\
h_{\text {new }}=e_{\text {new }} \times q_{m_{-} \text {new }}=h \times \frac{4.48852}{4.5} \times \frac{\pi}{3.13201}=6.62938 \mathrm{E}-34(\mathrm{~J} \cdot \mathrm{~s})  \tag{12}\\
R k_{-n e w}=\frac{q_{m_{-} \text {new }}}{e_{- \text {new }}}=R k \times \frac{4.5}{4.48852} \times \frac{\pi}{3.13201}=25958.0(\Omega) \tag{13}
\end{gather*}
$$

Equation (13) can be rewritten as follows:

$$
\begin{gather*}
R k_{\text {new }}=4.5\left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{~A} \cdot \mathrm{~m}}\right) \times \pi(\mathrm{V} \cdot \mathrm{~m}) \times \frac{m_{p}}{m_{e}}=25957.9966027(\Omega)  \tag{14}\\
Z_{0_{\text {_new }}}=\alpha \times \frac{2 h_{\text {new }}}{e_{\text {new }}^{2}}=2 \alpha \times R k_{\text {new }}=Z_{0} \times \frac{4.5}{4.48852} \times \frac{\pi}{3.13201}=378.849(\Omega) \tag{15}
\end{gather*}
$$

Equation (15) can be rewritten as follows:

$$
\begin{gather*}
Z_{0_{-} \text {new }}=4.5\left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{~A} \cdot \mathrm{~m}}\right) \times \pi(\mathrm{V} \cdot \mathrm{~m}) \times 2 \alpha \times \frac{m_{p}}{m_{e}}=378.8493064(\Omega)  \tag{16}\\
\mu_{0_{-} \text {new }}=\frac{Z_{0_{\_} \text {new }}}{c}=\mu_{0} \times \frac{4.5}{4.48852} \times \frac{\pi}{3.13201}=1.26371 \mathrm{E}-06\left(\mathrm{~N} \cdot \mathrm{~A}^{-2}\right)  \tag{17}\\
\varepsilon_{0_{-} \text {new }}=\frac{1}{Z_{0_{-} \text {new }} \times c}=\varepsilon_{0} \times \frac{4.48852}{4.5} \times \frac{3.13201}{\pi}=8.80466 \mathrm{E}-12\left(\mathrm{~F} \cdot \mathrm{~m}^{-1}\right)  \tag{18}\\
C_{\text {nnew }}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_{0 \_ \text {new }} \mu_{0-\text { new }}}}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_{0} \mu_{0}}}=c=299792458\left(\mathrm{~m} \cdot \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right) \tag{19}
\end{gather*}
$$

The Compton wavelength $(\lambda)$ is as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda=\frac{h}{m c} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

This value ( $\lambda$ ) should be unchanged since the unit for 1 m is unchanged. However, in Equation (12), Planck's constant is changed. Therefore, the units for the masses of one electron and one proton need to be redefined.

$$
\begin{align*}
& m_{e_{-} \text {new }}=\frac{4.48852}{4.5} \times \frac{\pi}{3.13201} \times m_{e}=9.11394 \mathrm{E}-31(\mathrm{~kg})  \tag{21}\\
& m_{p_{\_} \text {new }}=\frac{4.48852}{4.5} \times \frac{\pi}{3.13201} \times m_{p}=1.67346 \mathrm{E}-27(\mathrm{~kg}) \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

From the dimensional analysis in a previous report [9], the following is obtained:

$$
\begin{equation*}
k T_{c_{-} \text {new }}=\frac{4.48852}{4.5} \times \frac{\pi}{3.13201} \times k T_{c}=3.7659625 \mathrm{E}-23(\mathrm{~J}) \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

To simplify the calculation, $G_{N}$ is defined as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{N}=G \times 1 \mathrm{~kg}\left(\mathrm{~m}^{3} \cdot \mathrm{~s}^{-2}\right)=6.673778 \mathrm{E}-11\left(\mathrm{~m}^{3} \cdot \mathrm{~s}^{-2}\right) \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, the value of $G_{N}$ value remains unchanged. However, $G_{N}$ should change [9] as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{N_{-} \text {new }}=G_{N} \times \frac{4.5}{4.48852}\left(\mathrm{~m}^{3} \cdot \mathrm{~s}^{-2}\right)=6.69084770 \mathrm{E}-11\left(\mathrm{~m}^{3} \cdot \mathrm{~s}^{-2}\right) \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 2.3. Symbol List in Terms of the Compton Length of an Electron ( $\lambda_{e}$ ), the Compton Length of a Proton ( $\lambda_{p}$ ) and $\alpha$

The following equations were proposed in a previous study [10]:

$$
\begin{align*}
& m_{e_{-} n e w} c^{2} \times\left(\frac{m_{p}}{m_{e}}+\frac{4}{3}\right)^{2}\left(\frac{\mathrm{~J} \cdot \mathrm{~m}^{4}}{\mathrm{~s}^{2}}\right)  \tag{26}\\
& =\frac{\pi}{4.5}\left(\mathrm{~V} \cdot \mathrm{~m} \cdot \mathrm{~A} \cdot \mathrm{~m}=\frac{\mathrm{J} \cdot \mathrm{~m}^{2}}{\mathrm{~s}}\right) \times \lambda_{p} c\left(\frac{\mathrm{~m}^{2}}{\mathrm{~s}}\right)=2.76564 \mathrm{E}-07\left(\frac{\mathrm{~J} \cdot \mathrm{~m}^{4}}{\mathrm{~s}^{2}}\right)=\text { constant }
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& e_{\text {new }} c \times\left(\frac{m_{p}}{m_{e}}+\frac{4}{3}\right)\left(\frac{\mathrm{A} \cdot \mathrm{~m}^{3}}{\mathrm{~s}}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{4.5}(\mathrm{~A} \cdot \mathrm{~m}) \times \lambda_{p} c\left(\frac{\mathrm{~m}^{2}}{\mathrm{~s}}\right)=8.80330 \mathrm{E}-08\left(\frac{\mathrm{~A} \cdot \mathrm{~m}^{3}}{\mathrm{~s}}\right)=\text { constant }  \tag{27}\\
& m_{p_{-} n e w} c^{2} \times\left(\frac{m_{p}}{m_{e}}+\frac{4}{3}\right)^{2}\left(\frac{\mathrm{~J} \cdot \mathrm{~m}^{4}}{\mathrm{~s}^{2}}\right) \\
& =\frac{\pi}{4.5}\left(\frac{\mathrm{~J} \cdot \mathrm{~m}^{2}}{\mathrm{~s}}\right) \times \lambda_{e} c\left(\frac{\mathrm{~m}^{2}}{\mathrm{~s}}\right)=5.07814 \mathrm{E}-04\left(\frac{\mathrm{~J} \cdot \mathrm{~m}^{4}}{\mathrm{~s}^{2}}\right)=\text { constant }  \tag{28}\\
& q_{m_{-} n e w} c \times\left(\frac{m_{p}}{m_{e}}+\frac{4}{3}\right)\left(\frac{\mathrm{V} \cdot \mathrm{~m}^{3}}{\mathrm{~s}}\right) \\
& =\pi(\mathrm{V} \cdot \mathrm{~m}) \times \lambda_{e} c\left(\frac{\mathrm{~m}^{2}}{\mathrm{~s}}\right)=2.28516 \mathrm{E}-03\left(\frac{\mathrm{~V} \cdot \mathrm{~m}^{3}}{\mathrm{~s}}\right)=\text { constant }  \tag{29}\\
& k T_{c_{-} n e w} \times \frac{2 \pi}{\alpha} \times\left(\frac{m_{p}}{m_{e}}+\frac{4}{3}\right)^{3}\left(\frac{\mathrm{~J} \cdot \mathrm{~m}^{6}}{\mathrm{~s}^{3}}\right)  \tag{30}\\
& =\frac{\pi}{4.5}\left(\frac{\mathrm{~J} \cdot \mathrm{~m}^{2}}{\mathrm{~s}}\right) \times \lambda_{p} c \times \lambda_{e} c=2.011697 \mathrm{E}-10\left(\frac{\mathrm{~J} \cdot \mathrm{~m}^{6}}{\mathrm{~s}^{3}}\right)=\text { constant } \\
& G_{N_{-} n e w}\left(\frac{m^{3}}{\mathrm{~s}^{2}}\right) \times\left(\frac{m_{p}}{m_{e}}+\frac{4}{3}\right)\left(\frac{\mathrm{m}^{2}}{\mathrm{~s}}\right) \\
& =\left(\lambda_{p} c\right)^{2}\left(\frac{\mathrm{~m}^{4}}{\mathrm{~s}^{2}}\right) \times c\left(\frac{\mathrm{~m}}{\mathrm{~s}}\right) \times \frac{9 \alpha}{8 \pi}=1.22943 \mathrm{E}-07\left(\frac{\mathrm{~m}^{5}}{\mathrm{~s}^{3}}\right)=\text { constant } \tag{31}
\end{align*}
$$

### 2.4. Symbol List in Terms of Avogadro's Number and the Number of Electrons in 1 C

Avogadro's number $\left(N_{A}\right)$ is $6.02214076 \times 10^{23}$. This value is related to the following value.

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{A}=\frac{1 g}{m_{p}}=5.978637 \mathrm{E}+23 \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the redefined values, the new definition of Avogadro's number ( $N_{A_{-} n e w}$ ) is as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{A_{-} \text {new }}=\frac{1 \mathrm{~kg}}{m_{p_{-} \text {new }}}=\frac{1 \mathrm{~kg}_{\text {new }}}{m_{p}}=5.975649 \mathrm{E}+26 \neq \frac{1 \mathrm{~kg}_{\text {new }}}{m_{p_{-} \text {new }}} \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

The number of electrons in $1 \mathrm{C}\left(N_{e}\right)$ is as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{e}=\frac{1 \mathrm{C}}{e}=6.241509 \mathrm{E}+18 \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the redefined values, the new definition of the number of electrons in 1 C $\left(N_{e_{-} n e w}\right)$ is as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{e_{-} \text {new }}=\frac{1 \mathrm{C}_{\text {new }}}{e}=\frac{1 \mathrm{C}}{e_{\text {new }}}=6.257473 \mathrm{E}+18 \neq \frac{1 \mathrm{C}_{\text {new }}}{e_{\text {new }}} \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following equations were proposed in a previous study [11]:

$$
\begin{align*}
& m_{p_{-} \text {new }}=\frac{1}{N_{A_{-} \text {new }}}  \tag{36}\\
& m_{e_{-} \text {new }}=\frac{m_{e} / m_{p}}{N_{A_{-} \text {new }}} \tag{37}
\end{align*}
$$

where $m_{p} / m_{e}(=1836.1526)$ is not changed after redefinition.

$$
\begin{gather*}
e_{\text {new }}=\frac{1}{N_{e_{-} \text {new }}}  \tag{38}\\
q_{m_{-} \text {new }}=\frac{4.5 \pi \times m_{p} / m_{e}}{N_{e_{-} \text {new }}}=4.148319 \mathrm{E}-15  \tag{39}\\
h_{\text {new }}=\frac{4.5 \pi \times m_{p} / m_{e}}{\left(N_{e_{-} \text {new }}\right)^{2}}=6.62938382 \mathrm{E}-34  \tag{40}\\
k T_{c_{-} \text {new }}=\frac{4.5 \times c^{3} \times \alpha}{2 \pi \times N_{e_{-} \text {new }} \times N_{A_{-} \text {new }}}=3.7659625 \mathrm{E}-23  \tag{41}\\
G_{N_{-} \text {new }}=\frac{4.5^{3} \times m_{p} / m_{e} \times N_{A_{-n e w}} \times c^{2} \times \alpha}{4 \times N_{e \_ \text {new }}^{3}}=6.6908477 \mathrm{E}-11 \tag{42}
\end{gather*}
$$

### 2.5. Symbol List for the Advanced Expressions for $\boldsymbol{k} \boldsymbol{T}_{c}$ and $\boldsymbol{G}_{N}$

Furthermore, we propose the following four Equations (11):

$$
\begin{align*}
& k T_{c_{-} \text {new }}(\mathrm{J})=\frac{\alpha}{2 \pi(1)} \times \frac{1}{\pi}\left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{~V} \cdot \mathrm{~m}}\right) \times q_{m_{-} \text {new }} c \times m_{e_{-} n e w} c^{2}=3.76596254 \mathrm{E}-23  \tag{43}\\
& k T_{c_{-} \text {new }}(\mathrm{J})=\frac{\alpha}{2 \pi(1)} \times 4.5\left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{~A} \cdot \mathrm{~m}}\right) \times e_{\text {new }} c \times m_{p_{-} \text {new }} c^{2}=3.76596254 \mathrm{E}-23 \tag{44}
\end{align*}
$$

In Equations (43) and (44), $2 \pi(1)$ is dimensionless. For $G$, there are two equations, as follows:

$$
\begin{gather*}
G_{N_{-} \text {new }}\left(\frac{\mathrm{m}^{3} \cdot \mathrm{~s}^{-2}}{\mathrm{~kg}} \times \frac{\mathrm{kg}}{\mathrm{~A} \cdot \mathrm{~m}}=\frac{\mathrm{m}^{2}}{\mathrm{C} \cdot \mathrm{~s}}\right)  \tag{45}\\
=\frac{\alpha c}{4 \pi(1)} \times\left(4.5 \times e_{\text {new }} c\right)^{2} \times \frac{q_{m_{-} \text {new }} c}{m_{p_{-} \text {new }} c^{2}}=6.69084770 \mathrm{E}-11 \\
G_{N_{-} \text {new }}\left(\frac{\mathrm{m}^{2}}{\mathrm{C} \cdot \mathrm{~s}}\right)=\frac{\alpha c}{4 \pi(1)} \times\left(4.5 \times e_{\text {new }} c\right)^{3} \times \frac{\pi(\mathrm{V} \cdot \mathrm{~m})}{m_{e_{-} \text {new }} c^{2}}=6.69084770 \mathrm{E}-11 \tag{46}
\end{gather*}
$$

In Equations (45) and (46), $4 \pi(1)$ is dimensionless. Importantly, the unit of $G_{N}$ is different from the usual definition of $G \times 1 \mathrm{~kg}$.

## 3. Methods

### 3.1. Problem in Equation (2)

There is a problem in Equation (2). For convenience, Equation (2) is rewritten as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{G m_{p}^{2}}{\left(\frac{e^{2}}{4 \pi \varepsilon_{0}}\right)}=\frac{4.5}{2 \pi} \times \frac{m_{e}}{e} \times h c \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to Equation (47), the ratio of the gravitational force to the electric force should change when the unit of Planck's constant (Js) changes. Therefore, we attempted to explain $e, q_{m}, m_{e} m_{p}$ and $c$ as a function of $h$.

### 3.2. Expressions for $e, q_{m}, m_{e}, m_{p}$ and $\boldsymbol{c}$ as a Function of $\boldsymbol{h}$

Equation (14) is rewritten as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
R k_{\text {new }}=4.5\left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{~A} \cdot \mathrm{~m}}\right) \times \pi(\mathrm{V} \cdot \mathrm{~m}) \times \frac{m_{p}}{m_{e}}=25957.9966027(\Omega) \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m_{p} / m_{e}(=1836.1526)$ is not changed after redefinition. From Equation 48 , the following can be obtained:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{h_{\text {new }}}{e_{\text {new }}^{2}}=4.5 \pi \times \frac{m_{p}}{m_{e}}=25957.9966027(\Omega) \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, $e_{\text {new }}$ and $q_{m_{-} n e w}$ can be written as follows:

$$
\begin{gather*}
e_{\text {new }}=\sqrt{\frac{h_{\text {new }}}{4.5 \pi \times m_{p} / m_{e}}}  \tag{50}\\
q_{m_{-} \text {new }}=R k_{\text {new }} \times e_{\text {new }}=\sqrt{h_{\text {new }} \times 4.5 \pi \times m_{p} / m_{e}} \tag{51}
\end{gather*}
$$

Consequently, $e_{\text {new }}$ and $q_{m_{-n} \text { new }}$ can be defined by $h_{\text {new }}$. Next, Equations 8 and 9 are rewritten as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& m_{e_{-} \text {new }} c=e_{\text {new }} \times \pi \times\left(\frac{m_{p}}{m_{e}}+\frac{4}{3}\right)^{-1}  \tag{52}\\
& m_{p_{-} \text {new }} c=\frac{q_{m_{-} \text {new }}}{4.5} \times\left(\frac{m_{p}}{m_{e}}+\frac{4}{3}\right)^{-1} \tag{53}
\end{align*}
$$

Equations (50) and (52) can be rewritten as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{e_{-} \text {new }} c=\sqrt{\frac{h_{\text {new }} \times \pi \times m_{e} / m_{p}}{4.5}} \times\left(\frac{m_{p}}{m_{e}}+\frac{4}{3}\right)^{-1} \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Equations (51) and (53),

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{p_{-} \text {new }} c=\sqrt{\frac{h_{\text {new }} \times \pi \times m_{p} / m_{e}}{4.5}} \times\left(\frac{m_{p}}{m_{e}}+\frac{4}{3}\right)^{-1} \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 3.3. Values That Should Not Be Changed

Then, we proposed the minimum mass $\left(M_{\min }\right)$, which is from the canonical ensemble, using the correspondence principle with the thermodynamic principles in solid-state ionics [11], as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
M_{\text {min }} & =\frac{k T_{c_{- \text {new }}}}{\alpha c^{2}}(\mathrm{~kg})=\frac{h_{\text {new }}}{2 \pi(1)} \times \frac{m_{e_{e-\text { new }} c}}{\pi e_{\text {new }}}(\mathrm{kg})=\frac{h_{\text {new }}}{2 \pi(1)} \times\left(\frac{m_{p}}{m_{e}}+\frac{4}{3}\right)^{-1}  \tag{56}\\
& =5.74208028 \mathrm{E}-38(\mathrm{~kg})
\end{align*}
$$

where $2 \pi(1)$ is dimensionless. The ratio between the mass of an electron and the minimum mass is defined as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{e} \times \frac{\alpha c^{2}}{k T_{c}}=2 \pi(1) \times \frac{\pi}{q_{m} c}=1.587219 \mathrm{E}+07 \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

The mass ratio of a proton to its minimum mass is defined as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{p} \times \frac{\alpha c^{2}}{k T_{c}}=2 \pi(1) \times \frac{1}{4.5} \times \frac{1}{e c}=2.914376 \mathrm{E}+10 \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

These values in Equations 57 and 58 should not change because the value of the mass ratio, such as $m_{p} / m_{e}(=1836.1526)$, should not change. However, $e$ and $q_{m}$ are functions of the number of electrons at 1C. Therefore, when we change the definition of 1 C , these values should change.

### 3.4. Solution for the Unchanged Values

When we defined Planck's constant as ( 1 Js ), the following equation can be used:

$$
\begin{align*}
c_{\text {genaral }}\left(\frac{\mathrm{m}_{\text {general }}}{\mathrm{s}}\right) & =c \times \sqrt{h_{\text {new }}(1)}=299792458 \times \sqrt{6.62938 \mathrm{E}-34}  \tag{59}\\
& =7.71893 \mathrm{E}-09\left(\frac{\mathrm{~m}_{\text {general }}}{\mathrm{s}}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where $h_{\text {new }}(1)(=6.629383 \mathrm{E}-34)$ is dimensionless. $c_{\text {general }}$ and $1 \mathrm{~m}_{\text {general }}$ are the values for $c$ and 1 m , respectively, after Planck's constant is changed. Thus, the unit of the meter should be changed. Importantly, Equation (59) does not indicate a change in the light speed. Then, we propose the following 7 equations:

$$
\begin{gather*}
c_{\text {general }}=c \times \sqrt{h_{\text {new }}(1)}=7.71893 \mathrm{E}-09\left(\frac{\mathrm{~m}_{\text {general }}}{\mathrm{s}}\right)  \tag{60}\\
e_{\text {general }}=\sqrt{\frac{1(\mathrm{~J} \cdot \mathrm{~s})}{4.5 \pi \times m_{p} / m_{e}}}=6.20675231 \mathrm{E}-03\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {general }}\right)  \tag{61}\\
q_{m_{-} \text {general }}=\sqrt{1(\mathrm{~J} \cdot \mathrm{~s}) \times 4.5 \pi \times m_{p} / m_{e}}=1.61114855 \mathrm{E}+02\left(\mathrm{~Wb}_{\text {general }}\right)  \tag{62}\\
m_{e_{-} \text {general }}=\sqrt{\frac{1(\mathrm{~J} \cdot \mathrm{~s}) \times \pi \times m_{e} / m_{p}}{c_{\text {general }}^{2} \times 4.5}} \times\left(\frac{m_{p}}{m_{e}}+\frac{4}{3}\right)^{-1}=1.37477924 \mathrm{E}+03\left(\mathrm{~kg}_{\text {general }}\right)  \tag{63}\\
m_{p_{-} \text {general }}=\sqrt{\frac{1(\mathrm{~J} \cdot \mathrm{~s}) \times \pi \times m_{p} / m_{e}}{c_{\text {general }}^{2} \times 4.5}} \times\left(\frac{m_{p}}{m_{e}}+\frac{4}{3}\right)^{-1}=2.52430455 \mathrm{E}+06\left(\mathrm{~kg}_{\text {general }}\right)  \tag{64}\\
\frac{k T_{c_{-} \text {general }}}{\alpha \times c_{\text {general }}^{2}}=\frac{1(\mathrm{~J} \cdot \mathrm{~s})}{2 \pi(1)} \times\left(\frac{m_{p}}{m_{e}}+\frac{4}{3}\right)^{-1}=8.66155955 \mathrm{E}-05\left(\mathrm{~kg}_{\text {general }}\right) \tag{65}
\end{gather*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
G_{N_{-} \text {general }} & =\frac{\alpha c_{\text {general }}^{3}}{m_{p} / m_{e}} \times \frac{4.5^{2}}{4 \pi^{2}} \times 1(\mathrm{~J} \cdot \mathrm{~s}) \times\left(\frac{m_{p}}{m_{e}}+\frac{4}{3}\right) \\
& =1.72273202 \mathrm{E}-27\left(\frac{\mathrm{~m}_{\text {general }}^{2}}{\mathrm{C}_{\text {general }} \cdot \mathrm{s}}\right) \tag{66}
\end{align*}
$$

where $1 \mathrm{C}_{\text {general }} 1 \mathrm{~Wb}_{\text {generala }} 1 \mathrm{~kg}_{\text {general }}$, $e_{\text {general, }}, q_{m_{-} \text {general, }} m_{e_{-} \text {general, }}, m_{p_{-} \text {general }}, T_{\mathcal{C}_{-} \text {general }}$ and $G_{N_{\_} \text {gerneral }}$ are the values for $1 \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~Wb}, 1 \mathrm{~kg}, e, q_{m}, m_{e}, m_{p}, T_{c}$ and $G_{N}$, respectively, when the Planck constant is changed to 1 Js . Importantly, the unit of $G_{N}$ is different from the usual definition of $G \times 1 \mathrm{~kg}$.

## 4. Results

In this section, using Equations (60)-(66), we establish values that should not be changed and our main three Equations 1, 2 and 3.

### 4.1. Mathematical Proof for Equations (57) and (58)

The right side of Equation 57 is as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
2 \pi(1) \times \frac{\pi}{q_{m} c} & =\frac{2 \pi(1) \times \pi}{q_{m_{-} \text {general }} c_{\text {general }}}=\frac{2 \pi(1) \times \pi}{\sqrt{1(\mathrm{~J} \cdot \mathrm{~s}) \times 4.5 \pi \times m_{p} / m_{e}} \times c \times \sqrt{h_{\text {new }}(1)}}  \tag{67}\\
& =1.58721906 \mathrm{E}+07
\end{align*}
$$

Consequently, the ratio between the mass of an electron and the minimum mass can be constant.

The right side of Equation (58) is as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \pi(1) \times \frac{1}{4.5} \times \frac{1}{e c}=\frac{2 \pi(1)}{4.5} \times \frac{1}{\sqrt{\frac{1(\mathrm{~J} \cdot \mathrm{~s})}{4.5 \pi \times m_{p} / m_{e}}} \times c \times \sqrt{h_{\text {new }}(1)}}=2.91437649 \mathrm{E}+10 \tag{68}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently, the ratio between the mass of a proton and the minimum mass can be constant.
4.2. Mathematical Proof for $\left(\frac{m_{p}}{m_{e}}+\frac{4}{3}\right)$ after the Definition of the Planck Constant Is Changed

We used the following equation after we ensured that the definition of the Planck constant changed:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{m_{p}}{m_{e}}+\frac{4}{3}\right)=\frac{q_{m} c}{4.5 m_{p} c^{2}}=\frac{\pi e c}{m_{e} c^{2}} \tag{69}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, the following equations can be applied:

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{q_{m_{-} \text {general }}}{4.5 m_{p_{-} \text {general }} \times c_{\text {general }}} & =\frac{1.611145 \mathrm{E}+02}{4.5 \times 2.52430 \mathrm{E}+06 \times 7.71893 \mathrm{E}-09}  \tag{70}\\
& =1837.4860=\frac{m_{p}}{m_{e}}+\frac{4}{3}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\pi e_{\text {genaral }}}{m_{e_{-} \text {general }} c_{\text {genaral }}}=\frac{\pi \times 6.20675 \mathrm{E}-03}{1.374779 \mathrm{E}+03 \times 7.71893 \mathrm{E}-09}=1837.4860=\frac{m_{p}}{m_{e}}+\frac{4}{3} \tag{71}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently, Equation (69) is correct after the definition of the Planck constant is changed.

### 4.3. Explanation of Our Main Three Equations

From this section onward, the values used are those obtained after the definition of the Planck constant is changed. Strictly speaking, $m_{e}$ should be written as $m_{e_{-} \text {general. }}$. However, we omit the subscript "general" to avoid unnecessarily notational complexity.

### 4.3.1. Explanation of Our First Equation

For convenience, Equation 1 is rewritten as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{G m_{p}^{2}}{h c}=\frac{4.5}{2} \times \frac{k T_{c}}{1 \mathrm{~kg} \times c^{2}} \tag{72}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, the following can be applied:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{G_{N} m_{p}^{2}}{h c}=\frac{4.5}{2} \times \frac{k T_{c}}{c^{2}} \tag{73}
\end{equation*}
$$

The left side of Equation (72) is rewritten as follows:
$\frac{G_{N} m_{p}^{2}}{h c}=\frac{\frac{\alpha c^{3}}{m_{p} / m_{e}} \times \frac{4.5^{2}}{4 \pi^{2}} \times 1(\mathrm{~J} \cdot \mathrm{~s}) \times\left(\frac{m_{p}}{m_{e}}+\frac{4}{3}\right) \times \frac{1(\mathrm{~J} \cdot \mathrm{~s}) \times \pi \times m_{p} / m_{e}}{c^{2} \times 4.5} \times\left(\frac{m_{p}}{m_{e}}+\frac{4}{3}\right)^{-2}}{c}$
Therefore, the following can be applied:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{G_{N} m_{p}^{2}}{h c}=\frac{4.5 \alpha}{4 \pi} \times\left(\frac{m_{p}}{m_{e}}+\frac{4}{3}\right)^{-1} \tag{75}
\end{equation*}
$$

The right side of Equation (73) is as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{4.5}{2} \times \frac{k T_{c}}{c^{2}}=\frac{4.5}{2} \times \alpha \times \frac{1(\mathrm{~J} \cdot \mathrm{~s})}{2 \pi(1)}=\frac{4.5 \alpha}{4 \pi} \times\left(\frac{m_{p}}{m_{e}}+\frac{4}{3}\right)^{-1} \tag{76}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, the following can be obtained:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{G m_{p}^{2}}{h c}=\frac{4.5}{2} \times \frac{k T_{c}}{1 \mathrm{~kg} \times c^{2}} \tag{77}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 4.3.2. Explanation of Our Second Equation

For convenience, Equation (2) is rewritten as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{G m_{p}^{2}}{\left(\frac{e^{2}}{4 \pi \varepsilon_{0}}\right)}=\frac{4.5}{2 \pi} \times \frac{m_{e}}{e} \times h c \tag{78}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, the following can be obtained:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{G_{N} m_{p}^{2}}{h c}=\frac{4.5}{2 \pi} \times \frac{m_{e}}{e} \times\left(\frac{e^{2}}{4 \pi \varepsilon_{0}}\right) \tag{79}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to Equation (75), the left side of Equation (79) is as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{G_{N} m_{p}^{2}}{h c}=\frac{4.5 \alpha}{4 \pi} \times\left(\frac{m_{p}}{m_{e}}+\frac{4}{3}\right)^{-1} \tag{80}
\end{equation*}
$$

The right side of Equation (79) can be written as follows:

$$
\frac{4.5}{2 \pi} \times \frac{m_{e}}{e} \times\left(\frac{e^{2}}{4 \pi \varepsilon_{0}}\right)=\frac{4.5}{2 \pi} \times m_{e} \times \frac{e c}{4 \pi \varepsilon_{0} c}=\frac{4.5}{2 \pi} \times m_{e} \times \frac{e c}{4 \pi} \times Z_{0}
$$

For convenience, Equation (16) is rewritten as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{0}=4.5 \times 2 \pi \times \alpha \times \frac{m_{p}}{m_{e}}=9 \pi \times \alpha \times \frac{m_{p}}{m_{e}} \tag{82}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, the following can be obtained:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{4.5}{2 \pi} \times \frac{m_{e}}{e} \times\left(\frac{e^{2}}{4 \pi \varepsilon_{0}}\right)=\frac{4.5}{2 \pi} \times m_{e} \times \frac{e c}{4 \pi} \times 9 \pi \times \alpha \times \frac{m_{p}}{m_{e}}=\frac{4.5^{2} \alpha}{4 \pi} \times m_{p} \times e c \times \alpha \tag{83}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, the following can be obtained:

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{4.5^{2} \alpha}{4 \pi} \times e c \times m_{p} & =\frac{4.5^{2} \alpha}{4 \pi} \times \sqrt{\frac{1(\mathrm{~J} \cdot \mathrm{~s})}{4.5 \pi \times m_{p} / m_{e}}} \sqrt{\frac{1(\mathrm{~J} \cdot \mathrm{~s}) \times \pi \times m_{p} / m_{e}}{c^{2} \times 4.5}} \times\left(\frac{m_{p}}{m_{e}}+\frac{4}{3}\right)^{-1}  \tag{84}\\
& =\frac{4.5 \alpha}{4 \pi} \times\left(\frac{m_{p}}{m_{e}}+\frac{4}{3}\right)^{-1}
\end{align*}
$$

From Equations (80) and (84), we obtain the following:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{G_{N} m_{p}^{2}}{h c}=\frac{4.5}{2 \pi} \times \frac{m_{e}}{e} \times\left(\frac{e^{2}}{4 \pi \varepsilon_{0}}\right) \tag{85}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, the following can be obtained:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{G m_{p}^{2}}{\left(\frac{e^{2}}{4 \pi \varepsilon_{0}}\right)}=\frac{4.5}{2 \pi} \times \frac{m_{e}}{e} \times h c \tag{86}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 4.3.3. Explanation of Our Third Equation

For convenience, Equation (3) is rewritten as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{m_{e} c^{2}}{e} \times\left(\frac{e^{2}}{4 \pi \varepsilon_{0}}\right)=\pi \times k T_{c} \tag{87}
\end{equation*}
$$

The left side of Equation (87) can be written as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{e} c^{2} \times \frac{e}{4 \pi \varepsilon_{0}}=m_{e} c^{2} \times \frac{e c}{4 \pi \varepsilon_{0} c}=m_{e} c^{2} \times \frac{e c}{4 \pi} \times Z_{0} \tag{88}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, using Equation (16), we obtain the following:

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{e} c^{2} \times \frac{e c}{4 \pi} \times Z_{0}=m_{e} c^{2} \times \frac{e c}{4 \pi} \times 9 \pi \times \alpha \times \frac{m_{p}}{m_{e}}=m_{p} c^{2} \times e c \times \frac{9}{4} \alpha \tag{89}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, the following can be obtained:

$$
m_{p} c^{2} \times e c \times \frac{9}{4} \alpha=\frac{9}{4} \alpha c^{3} \times \sqrt{\frac{1(\mathrm{~J} \cdot \mathrm{~s}) \times \pi \times m_{p} / m_{e}}{c^{2} \times 4.5}} \times\left(\frac{m_{p}}{m_{e}}+\frac{4}{3}\right)^{-1} \times \sqrt{\frac{1(\mathrm{~J} \cdot \mathrm{~s})}{4.5 \pi \times m_{p} / m_{e}}}(90)
$$

Thus, the following can be obtained:

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{p} c^{2} \times e c \times \frac{9}{4} \alpha=\frac{1}{2} \alpha c^{2} \times 1(\mathrm{~J} \cdot \mathrm{~s}) \times\left(\frac{m_{p}}{m_{e}}+\frac{4}{3}\right)^{-1} \tag{91}
\end{equation*}
$$

The right side of Equation (87) can be written as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi \times k T_{c}=\frac{k T_{c}}{\alpha \times c^{2}} \times \pi \alpha c^{2}=\frac{1(\mathrm{~J} \cdot \mathrm{~s})}{2 \pi(1)} \times\left(\frac{m_{p}}{m_{e}}+\frac{4}{3}\right)^{-1} \times \pi \alpha c^{2} \tag{92}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Equations (91) and (92), we obtain the following equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{e} c^{2} \times \frac{e}{4 \pi \varepsilon_{0}}=\pi \times k T_{c} \tag{93}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 4.4. Mathematical Proof for the Ratio of Gravitational Force to Electric Force

Equation (2) is rewritten as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{G m_{p}^{2}}{h c}=\frac{4.5}{2} \times \frac{k T_{c}}{1 \mathrm{~kg} \times c^{2}} \tag{94}
\end{equation*}
$$

The fine-structure constant is defined as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{e^{2}}{4 \pi \varepsilon_{0}}=\frac{\alpha h c}{2 \pi}=2.30823131 \mathrm{E}-28(\mathrm{~J} \cdot \mathrm{~m}) \tag{95}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, the following can be obtained:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{G m_{p}^{2}}{\left(\frac{e^{2}}{4 \pi \varepsilon_{0}}\right)}\left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{~A} \cdot \mathrm{~m}}\right)=\frac{4.5\left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{~A} \cdot \mathrm{~m}}\right) \times \pi(1) \times \frac{k T_{c_{- \text {general }}}}{\alpha \times c_{\text {general }}^{2}}\left(\mathrm{~kg}_{\text {general }}\right)}{1 \mathrm{~kg}} \tag{96}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, using Equation (65), we can obtain the following:

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{G m_{p}^{2}}{\left(\frac{e^{2}}{4 \pi \varepsilon_{0}}\right)}\left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{~A} \cdot \mathrm{~m}}\right) & =\frac{\frac{4.5}{2}\left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{~A} \cdot \mathrm{~m}}\right) \times \pi(1) \times\left(\frac{m_{p}}{m_{e}}+\frac{4}{3}\right)^{-1}\left(\mathrm{~kg}_{\text {general }}\right)}{1 \mathrm{~kg}}  \tag{97}\\
& =3.84688 \mathrm{E}-03\left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{~A} \cdot \mathrm{~m}} \times \frac{\mathrm{kg}_{\text {general }}}{1 \mathrm{~kg}}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Then, the following can be obtained:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{kg}_{\text {general }}}{1 \mathrm{~kg}}=\frac{m_{p_{-} \text {general }}}{m_{p_{\text {_new }}}}=\frac{2.52430455 \mathrm{E}+06}{1.6734583781 \mathrm{E}-27}=6.629384 \mathrm{E}-34=h(1) \tag{98}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h(1)$ is dimensionless. Thus, the following can be defined:

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 \mathrm{~kg}=\frac{\mathrm{kg}_{\text {general }}}{h(1)} \tag{99}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Equations (97) and (99), the following can be obtained:

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{G m_{p}^{2}}{\left(\frac{e^{2}}{4 \pi \varepsilon_{0}}\right.}\left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{~A} \cdot \mathrm{~m}}\right) & =3.84688 \mathrm{E}-03 \times 6.629384 \mathrm{E}-34\left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{Am}}\right)  \tag{100}\\
& =8.11767475 \mathrm{E}-37\left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{~A} \cdot \mathrm{~m}}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Consequently, the ratio of the gravitational force to the electric force can be explained.

### 4.5. Theoretical Meaning of Equation (3)

Equation (3) is written as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{m_{e} c^{2}}{e} \times\left(\frac{e^{2}}{4 \pi \varepsilon_{0}}\right)=\pi \times k T_{c} \tag{101}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (101) is equal to Equation (92). Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{\min }\left(\mathrm{kg}_{\text {general }}\right)=\frac{k T_{c}}{\alpha \times c^{2}}=\frac{1(\mathrm{~J} \cdot \mathrm{~s})}{2 \pi} \times\left(\frac{m_{p}}{m_{e}}+\frac{4}{3}\right)^{-1} \tag{102}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently, Equation 3 indicates the existence of a minimum mass.

### 4.6. Easiest Explanation for the Minimum Mass

The total energy (T.E.) is the sum of the potential energy (P.E.) and the kinetic energy (K.E.). Under the activation energy ( $E a$ ), these values should change. When $E a$ is $k T_{d} \alpha$,

$$
\begin{gather*}
T . E=K . E .+P . E .  \tag{103}\\
K . E . ~_{\text {particle }}=K . E_{\text {wave }}-\frac{k T_{c}}{\alpha}>0  \tag{104}\\
P . E ._{\text {particle }}=P . E ._{\text {wave }}+\frac{k T_{c}}{\alpha} \tag{105}
\end{gather*}
$$

where K.E.particle, K.E.wave $P . E_{\text {.paricle }}$ and K.E.wave are K.E. in the particle situation, K.E. in the wave situation, P.E. in the particle situation and K.E. in the wave situation, respectively. Strictly speaking, the energy in the particle situations should be defined by the Gibbs energy.

Regarding the correspondence principle with the thermodynamic principles in solid-state ionics [11], the wave situation corresponds to the ions in the vacancies. The particle situations correspond to the ions during hopping. The correct canonical ensemble for explaining these equations is shown in Figure 1. In a previous report [11], we considered the wave situation to correspond to the ions during hopping as "the moving situation", which should be corrected and is different in this report.


Figurer 1. Correct canonical ensemble from the correspondence principle with solid-state ionics. There should be unknown particles that are not related to the photon.

The minimum mass is not related to the photon. There should be unknown particles.

## 5. Conclusions

Using the correspondence principle with the thermodynamic principles in sol-id-state ionics, we propose a canonical ensemble to explain these equations and the concept of the minimum mass. From the assumption of minimum mass, we show that every equation can be explained in terms of Planck's constant. Then, the ratio of the gravitational force to the electric force can be explained.

When we define the Planck constant as ( 1 Js ), the following equations can be used:

$$
\begin{gather*}
c_{\text {general }}=c \times \sqrt{h_{\text {new }}(1)}=7.71893 \mathrm{E}-09\left(\frac{\mathrm{~m}_{\text {general }}}{\mathrm{s}}\right)  \tag{106}\\
e_{\text {general }}=\sqrt{\frac{1(\mathrm{~J} \cdot \mathrm{~s})}{4.5 \pi \times m_{p} / m_{e}}}=6.20675231 \mathrm{E}-03\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {general }}\right)  \tag{107}\\
q_{m_{-} \text {general }}=\sqrt{1(\mathrm{~J} \cdot \mathrm{~s}) \times 4.5 \pi \times m_{p} / m_{e}}=1.61114855 \mathrm{E}+02\left(\mathrm{~Wb}_{\text {general }}\right)  \tag{108}\\
m_{e_{-} \text {general }}=\sqrt{\frac{1(\mathrm{~J} \cdot \mathrm{~s}) \times \pi \times m_{e} / m_{p}}{c_{\text {general }}^{2} \times 4.5}} \times\left(\frac{m_{p}}{m_{e}}+\frac{4}{3}\right)^{-1}=1.37477924 \mathrm{E}+03\left(\mathrm{~kg}_{\text {general }}\right)  \tag{109}\\
m_{p_{-} \text {general }}=\sqrt{\frac{1(\mathrm{~J} \cdot \mathrm{~s}) \times \pi \times m_{p} / m_{e}}{c_{\text {general }}^{2} \times 4.5}} \times\left(\frac{m_{p}}{m_{e}}+\frac{4}{3}\right)^{-1}=2.52430455 \mathrm{E}+06\left(\mathrm{~kg}_{\text {general }}\right)  \tag{110}\\
\frac{k T_{c_{-} \text {general }}}{\alpha \times c_{\text {general }}^{2}}=\frac{1(\mathrm{~J} \cdot \mathrm{~s})}{2 \pi(1)} \times\left(\frac{m_{p}}{m_{e}}+\frac{4}{3}\right)^{-1}=8.66155955 \mathrm{E}-05\left(\mathrm{~kg}_{\text {general }}\right)  \tag{111}\\
G_{N_{-} \text {general }}
\end{gather*}=\frac{\alpha c_{\text {general }}^{3}}{m_{p} / m_{e}} \times \frac{4.5^{2}}{4 \pi^{2}} \times 1(\mathrm{~J} \cdot \mathrm{~s}) \times\left(\frac{m_{p}}{m_{e}}+\frac{4}{3}\right) .
$$

The minimum mass is as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{\text {min }}\left(k g_{\text {general }}\right)=\frac{k T_{c}}{\alpha \times c^{2}}=\frac{1(\mathrm{~J} \cdot \mathrm{~s})}{2 \pi} \times\left(\frac{m_{p}}{m_{e}}+\frac{4}{3}\right)^{-1} \tag{113}
\end{equation*}
$$

The ratio between the mass of an electron and the minimum mass is as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{e_{-} \text {general }} \times \frac{\alpha c_{\text {general }}^{2}}{k T_{c_{-} \text {general }}}=2 \pi(1) \times \frac{\pi}{q_{m_{\_} \text {general }} c_{\text {general }}}=1.587219 \mathrm{E}+07 \tag{114}
\end{equation*}
$$

The mass ratio of a proton to its minimum mass is as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{p_{-} \text {general }} \times \frac{\alpha c_{\text {general }}^{2}}{k T_{c_{-} \text {general }}}=2 \pi(1) \times \frac{1}{4.5} \times \frac{1}{e_{\text {general }} c_{\text {general }}}=2.914376 \mathrm{E}+10 \tag{115}
\end{equation*}
$$

The ratio of the gravitational force to the electric force is as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{G m_{p}^{2}}{\left(\frac{e^{2}}{4 \pi \varepsilon_{0}}\right)}\left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{~A} \cdot \mathrm{~m}}\right) & =\frac{\frac{4.5}{2}\left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{Am}}\right) \times \pi(1) \times\left(\frac{m_{p}}{m_{e}}+\frac{4}{3}\right)^{-1}\left(\mathrm{~kg}_{\text {general }}\right)}{1 \mathrm{~kg}}  \tag{116}\\
& =8.11767475 \mathrm{E}-37\left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{~A} \cdot \mathrm{~m}}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

We already have various lists for the three equations shown in Section 2. The compatibility among these lists will be explained in a future study.
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