Journal of High Energy Physics, Gravitation and Cosmology, 2023, 9, 1-6
https://www.scirp.org/journal/jhepgc
ISSN Online: 2380-4335
ISSN Print: 2380-4327

How 5 Dimensions May Fix a Deterministic Background Spatially as to Be Inserted for HUP in 3 + 1 Dimensions, and Its Relevance to the Early Universe

Andrew Walcott Beckwith

Department of Physics, College of Physics, Chongqing University Huxi Campus, Chongqing, China
Email: Rwill9955b@gmail.com, abeckwith@uh.edu

How to cite this paper: Beckwith, A.W. (2023) How 5 Dimensions May Fix a Deterministic Background Spatially as to Be Inserted for HUP in 3 + 1 Dimensions, and Its Relevance to the Early Universe. *Journal of High Energy Physics, Gravitation and Cosmology*, **9**, 1-6.
https://doi.org/10.4236/jhepgc.2023.91001

Received: October 1, 2022
Accepted: November 7, 2022
Published: November 10, 2022

Abstract

We will first of all reference a value of momentum, in the early universe. This is for 3 + 1 dimensions and is important since Wesson has an integration of this momentum with regards to a 5 dimensional parameter included in an integration of momentum over space which equals a ration of L divided by small l (length) and all these times a constant. The ratio of L over small l is a way of making deterministic inputs from 5 dimensions into the 3 + 1 dimensional HUP. In doing so, we come up with a very small radial component for reasons which due to an argument from Wesson is a way to deterministically fix one of the variables placed into the 3 + 1 HUP. This is a deterministic input into a derivation which is then First of all, we restate a proof of a highly localized special case of a metric tensor uncertainty principle first written up by Unruh. Unruh did not use the Roberson-Walker geometry which we do, and it so happens that the dominant metric tensor we will be examining, is variation in δg_{tt}. The metric tensor variations are given by δg_{rr}, $\delta g_{\theta\theta}$ and $\delta g_{\phi\phi}$ are negligible, as compared to the variation δg_{tt}. From there the expression for the HUP and its applications into certain cases in the early universe are strictly affected after we take into consideration a vanishingly small r spatial value in how we define δg_{tt}.

Keywords

Massive Gravitons, Heisenberg Uncertainty Principle (HUP), Riemannian-Penrose Inequality

1. Introduction: Why We Analyse Our HUP with Very Small Radial r Value. Here It Comes Directly from the 5th Dimension

Wesson in [1], page 105 has the following result of how the momentum is affected by a 5 dimensional input (from the fifth dimension). In other words we have the following expression, namely

$$\int p_{\alpha} \mathrm{d}x^{\alpha} = \pm \frac{h}{c} \cdot \frac{L}{\ell} \tag{1}$$

We will be defining what the momentum p_{α} is in our treatment of the early universe whereas the first five dimensional input value L here comes from the inverse of the square root of the cosmological constant

$$L \equiv \sqrt{\frac{3}{\Lambda}} \tag{2}$$

Whereas the term ℓ is equal to the Compton wavelength of a "particle" m for which

$$\ell \equiv \frac{h}{m \cdot c} \tag{3}$$

So if we use the reasoning done in [2] in the early universe, namely [2] we have that due to that documents page 2, formula (9)

$$\langle p \rangle = -\frac{\tilde{\beta}}{2m_P} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{\nu}{\pi G}} \cdot \frac{\ln t}{\varpi c} \tag{4}$$

And keeping in mind the Wesson 5 dimensional line element [1] given by

$$\mathrm{d}S^2_{5\text{-dim}} = \frac{L^2}{\ell^2} \cdot \mathrm{d}s^2_{4\text{-dim}} - \frac{L^4}{\ell^4} \cdot \mathrm{d}\ell^2 \tag{5}$$

We get an infinitesimal r value due to from the 5th dimension fixing the value of to be very small in a deterministic fashion

$$\int p_{\alpha} \mathrm{d}x^{\alpha} = \pm \frac{h}{c} \cdot \frac{L}{\ell} = \pm \frac{h}{c} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{3}{\Lambda}} \frac{m_{\text{particle}}}{h} = \frac{r}{m_{pl}} \cdot \left(1 - \log\left[\frac{r}{\varpi \cdot c}\right]\right) \tag{6}$$
$$\Leftrightarrow r \approx \varepsilon^{+}$$

This is in tandem with the value of z, as to red shift showing up in [3] and it shows how to obtain a very small radial value in a different manner, namely in a tiny scale factor due to an enormous z red shift as given in [3].

Quote

Note this comes from a scale factor, if $z \sim 10^{55} \Leftrightarrow a_{\text{scale factor}} \sim 10^{-55}$, *i.e.* 55 orders of magnitude smaller than what would normally consider, but here note that the scale factor is not zero, so we do not have a space-time singularity.

End of quote

However that scale factor being very small, with enormous red shift is in tandem with Equation (6). So go to the HUP.

2. Recalling the Argument from [3] as to the Form of the Early Universe HUP

Note this comes from a scale factor, if $z \sim 10^{55} \Leftrightarrow a_{\text{scale factor}} \sim 10^{-55}$, *i.e.* 55 orders of magnitude smaller than what would normally consider [3],

$$\left\langle \left(\delta g_{uv}\right)^2 \left(\hat{T}_{uv}\right)^2 \right\rangle \geq \frac{\hbar^2}{V_{\text{Volume}}^2} \xrightarrow{uv \to tt} \left\langle \left(\delta g_{tt}\right)^2 \left(\hat{T}_{tt}\right)^2 \right\rangle \geq \frac{\hbar^2}{V_{\text{Volume}}^2}$$
$$\& \quad \delta g_{rr} \sim \delta g_{\theta\theta} \sim \delta g_{\phi\phi} \sim 0^{+} \tag{7}$$

$$\delta t \Delta E \geq \frac{\hbar}{\delta g_{tt}} \neq \frac{\hbar}{2}$$
$$\text{Unless} \quad \delta g_{tt} \sim O(1) \tag{8}$$

$$\delta g_{tt} \sim a^2(t) \cdot \phi \ll 1 \tag{9}$$

Then, there is no way that Equation (9) is going to come close to $\delta t \Delta E \geq \frac{\hbar}{2}$.

3. How We Can Justifying Writing Very Small $\delta g_{rr} \sim \delta g_{\theta\theta} \sim \delta g_{\phi\phi} \sim 0^{+}$ Values

To begin this process, we will break it down into the following co ordinates [3].

In the *rr*, $\theta\theta$ and $\phi\phi$ coordinates, we will use the Fluid approximation, $T_{ii} = diag(\rho, -p, -p, -p)$ [3] with

$$\delta g_{rr} T_{rr} \geq -\left| \frac{\hbar \cdot a^2(t) \cdot r^2}{V^{(4)}} \right| \xrightarrow[a \to 0]{} 0$$
$$\delta g_{\theta\theta} T_{\theta\theta} \geq -\left| \frac{\hbar \cdot a^2(t)}{V^{(4)}\left(1 - k \cdot r^2\right)} \right| \xrightarrow[a \to 0]{} 0 \tag{10}$$
$$\delta g_{\phi\phi} T_{\phi\phi} \geq -\left| \frac{\hbar \cdot a^2(t) \cdot \sin^2\theta \cdot d\phi^2}{V^{(4)}} \right| \xrightarrow[a \to 0]{} 0$$

4. After Doing This, How Can We Obtain Values of δg_{tt}

We win put in different values of the scalar potential and make comments as to what this pertains to in terms of early universe physics.

The first one will be using a scalar field from inflaton physics, as presented by Padmanabhan [4]. For the record, Dr. Tony Scott has communicated his disapproval of involving the Padmanabhan potential to the author in communications, but this will be presented as one of the possible choices.

First we have from [2]

$$V(\phi) = V_0 \exp\left(-\frac{\lambda\phi}{m_P}\right) \leftrightarrow V_0 \exp\left(-\sqrt{\frac{16\pi G}{\nu}} \cdot \phi\right) \tag{11}$$

And also [2] [5]

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a(t) = a_{\text{initial}} t^{\nu} \\
& \Rightarrow \phi = \ln\left(\sqrt{\frac{8\pi G V_0}{\nu \cdot (3\nu - 1)}} \cdot t\right)^{\sqrt{\frac{\nu}{16\pi G}}} \\
& \Rightarrow \dot{\phi} = \sqrt{\frac{\nu}{4\pi G}} \cdot t^{-1} \\
& \Rightarrow \frac{H^2}{\dot{\phi}} \approx \sqrt{\frac{4\pi G}{\nu}} \cdot t \cdot T^4 \cdot \frac{1.66^2 \cdot g_*}{m_P^2} \approx 10^{-5}
\end{aligned}
\tag{12}
$$

Where we can put in the values of Equation (12) into Equation (9). We can write an expression for V_0 from [6], page 153 taking the form of if the denominator is the e fold value of inflation,

$$
V_0^{1/4} = \frac{0.022 m_P}{\sqrt{q N_{\text{e-folds}}}} \tag{13}
$$

And using the Starobinsky model, plus [2] [7] [8] to get a value of *L*.

And so we obtain if we have a scale factor behaving as in (12)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Lambda & \approx \frac{-\left[\frac{V_0}{3\gamma - 1} + 2N + \frac{\gamma \cdot (3\gamma - 1)}{8\pi G \cdot \tilde{t}^2}\right]}{\frac{1}{\kappa}\int \sqrt{-g} \cdot \mathrm{d}^3 x} + \left(6 \cdot \left(\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} + \left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\right)^2\right)\right)\Bigg|_{t=\tilde{t}} \\
& \approx \frac{-\left[\frac{V_0}{3\gamma - 1} + 2V_0 \cdot \left(1 - \exp\left[-q \cdot \phi / m_P\right]\right)^2 + \frac{\gamma \cdot (3\gamma - 1)}{8\pi G \cdot \tilde{t}^2}\right]}{\frac{1}{\kappa}\int \sqrt{-g} \cdot \mathrm{d}^3 x} \\
& \quad + 6 \cdot \frac{-t \cdot \gamma \cdot (3\gamma - 1)}{m_P G} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{1}{8\pi} + \frac{48\pi G}{3} \cdot \left[V_0 \cdot \left(1 - \exp\left[-q \cdot \phi / m_P\right]\right)^2\right]}
\end{aligned}
\tag{14}
$$

This can be put into the value of Equation (9), If we presume Planck time, then if the value of Equation (9) is very small which is frequently a result, we will have a very large value for change in Energy, which would in its own way confirm the enormous value of *M* initially confirmed as forming which is in [8] via the relationship of change in energy *E* will be proportional to the very large value of *M* so initially formed.

5. Conclusions

Comparing with the other assumed early uncertainty principles what is in Equation (9) plus the inputs into Equation (14) put into Equation (9) which influences Equation (8) should be compared with following Uncertainty principle [9] [10] [6] [11]

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta t \geq \frac{\hbar}{\Delta E} + \gamma t_P^2 \frac{\Delta E}{\hbar} \Rightarrow (\Delta E)^2 - \frac{\hbar \Delta t}{\gamma t_P^2} (\Delta E)^1 + \frac{\hbar^2}{\gamma t_P^2} = 0 \\
& \Rightarrow \Delta E = \frac{\hbar \Delta t}{2\gamma t_P^2} \cdot \left(1 + \sqrt{1 - \frac{4\hbar^2}{\gamma t_P^2 \cdot \left(\frac{\hbar \Delta t}{2\gamma t_P^2}\right)^2}}\right) = \frac{\hbar \Delta t}{2\gamma t_P^2} \cdot \left(1 \pm \sqrt{1 - \frac{16\hbar^2 \gamma t_P^2}{(\hbar \Delta t)^2}}\right)
\end{aligned}
\tag{15}
$$

$$\Delta E \approx \frac{\hbar \Delta t}{2\gamma t_P^2} \cdot \left(1 \pm \left(1 - \frac{8\hbar^2 \gamma t_P^2}{(\hbar \Delta t)^2}\right)\right)$$
$$\Rightarrow \Delta E \approx \text{either } \frac{\hbar \Delta t}{2\gamma t_P^2} \cdot \frac{8\hbar^2 \gamma t_P^2}{(\hbar \Delta t)^2}, \text{ or } \frac{\hbar \Delta t}{2\gamma t_P^2} \cdot \left(2 - \frac{8\hbar^2 \gamma t_P^2}{(\hbar \Delta t)^2}\right) \quad (16)$$

A point by point comparison of these values should be the next objective of a research project. Furthermore the items brought up in references [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] will be able to be vetted provided that we make the comparison between Equation (8) and Equation (9) with Equation (15) and Equation (16) in a rigorous manner.

In particular, I would look forward to eventual experimental verification, if the early universe HUP were really understood of investigating the great ideas brought up by Corda in [12].

Determination of that would be exciting experimental gravitational physics.

Acknowledgements

This work is supported in part by National Nature Science Foundation of China grant No. 11375279.

Conflicts of Interest

The author declares no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

References

[1] Wesson, P. (2006) Five Dimensional Physics, Classical and Quantum Consequences of Kaluza-Klein Cosmology. World Scientific Publishing Co., Hackensack. https://doi.org/10.1142/6029

[2] Beckwith, A. (2022) Examination of a Simpler Version of a Fifth Force Using Variant of Dilaton Model and Padmanabhan Inflaton Scalar Field in Early Universe Conditions. https://vixra.org/abs/2209.0155

[3] Beckwith, A. (2018) Refining the Unruh Metric Tensor Uncertainty Principle for a Lower Bound to Graviton Mass as a Compliment to the NLED Modification of GR Giving an Upper Bound to a Graviton Mass. https://vixra.org/pdf/1509.0243v1.pdf

[4] Sarkar, U. (2008) Particle and Astroparticle Physics. Taylor and Francis, New York. https://doi.org/10.1201/9781584889328

[5] Padmanabhan, T. (2006) An Invitation to Astrophysics. World Scientific Series in Astronomy and Astrophysics: Volume 8, World Press Scientific, Singapore. https://doi.org/10.1142/6010

[6] Kieffer, C. (2000) Quantum Cosmology. In: Kowalski-Glikman, J., Ed., *Toward Quantum Cosmology*, Lecture Notes in Physics, Springer Verlag, New York, 158-187.

[7] Dimopoulos, K. (2021) Introduction to Cosmic Inflation and Dark Energy. CRC Press, Boca Raton. https://doi.org/10.1201/9781351174862

[8] Beckwith, A. (2022) How Initial Degrees of Freedom May Contribute to Initial Effective Mass. https://vixra.org/abs/2209.0144

[9] Haranas, I. and Gkigkitzis, I. (2014) The Mass of Graviton and Its Relation to the Number of Information According to the Holographic Principle. *International Scholarly Research Notices*, **2014**, Article ID: 718251. http://www.hindawi.com/journals/isrn/2014/718251 https://doi.org/10.1155/2014/718251

[10] Shalyt-Margolin, A.E. (2006) Deformed Density Matrix and 21 Quantum Entropy of the Black Hole. *Entropy*, **8**, 31-43. https://doi.org/10.3390/e8010031

[11] Shalyt-Margolin, A.E. (2005) Chapter 2. The Density Matrix Deformation in Physics of the Early Universe and Some of Its Implications. In: *Horizons in World Physics*: *Quantum Cosmology Research Trends*, Vol. 246, Nova Science Publishers, Inc., Hauppauge, 49-91.

[12] Corda, C. (2012) Primordial Gravity's Breath. *Electronic Journal of Theoretical Physics*, **9**, 1-10. http://arxiv.org/abs/1110.1772

[13] Gilen, S. and Oriti, D. (2010) Discrete and Continuum Third Quantization of Gravity. In: Finster, F., Muller, O., Nardmann, M., Tolksdorf, J. and Zeidler, E., Eds., *Quantum Field Theory and Gravity*, *Conceptual and Mathematical Advances in the Search for a Unified Framework*, Springer-Verlag, London, 41-64. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-0348-0043-3_4

[14] Birrell, N.D. and Davies, P.C.W. (1982) Quantum Fields in Curved Space. Cambridge Monographs on Mathematical Physics, Cambridge University Press, London.

[15] Jack Ng, Y. and Jack, Y. (2007) Holographic Foam, Dark Energy and Infinite Statistics. *Physics Letters B*, **657**, 10-14. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2007.09.052

[16] Jack Ng, Y. (2008) Spacetime Foam: From Entropy and Holography to Infinite Statistics and Nonlocality. *Entropy*, **10**, 441-461. https://doi.org/10.3390/e10040441