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#### Abstract

European compound option pricing model is established by using the mixed bifractional Brownian motion. Firstly, using the principle of risk-neutral pricing, the European option pricing formulas and the parity formulas are obtained. Secondly, with the Delta hedging strategy, the corresponding compound option pricing formulas and the parity formulas are got. Finally, using the daily closing price data of "Lingang B shares" and "Yitai B shares" respectively, the results show that the mixed model is closer to the true value than the previous model.
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## 1. Introduction

Options have become one of the most dynamic financial derivatives, and have been rapidly developed and widely used. Especially in 1973, literature [1] proposed the classic Black-Scholes (B-S) pricing model, which had an important impact on the history of financial mathematics. With continuous in-depth research on the classic Brownian motion model, it is found that some of the original assumptions are not in line with financial reality. The assumption of geometric Brownian motion cannot describe the self-similarity and long-term correlation of financial markets, so some scholars tried to use fractional Brownian motion to describe financial market prices [2] [3] [4] [5]. Option pricing driven by fractional Brownian motion had also once become one of the hot spots in financial mathematics research.

Although the fractional Brownian motion model can greatly describe the process of asset price changes in financial markets, it allows the existence of arbitrage
opportunities [6] [7]. In order to solve the arbitrage problem in the financial market, a large number of scholars have proposed modified fractional Brownian motion models to describe the price changes in the financial market [8] [9] [10]. Xu studied bifractional Black-Scholes model for pricing European options and compound options [11]. But the latest research shows that there is still arbitrage [12]. Therefore, based on self-similarity, avoiding arbitrage, long-term correlation and other characteristics of financial markets, this paper constructs a Gaussian mixture process to characterize the price of financial assets.

The writing arrangement is as follows. The first part is preliminary knowledge, the second part is the main conclusion of establishing the European option and corresponding compound option pricing model under the mixed bifractional Brownian motion, the third part is numerical simulation, and the fourth part is the conclusion.

## 2. Pre-Knowledge

Mainly introduce the new mixed Brownian motion model and its related definitions.

Definition2.1 [13] Let $(\Omega, F, P)$ is a complete probability space, the linear combination of Brownian motion, sub-fractional Brownian motion and bifractional Brownian motion, then the mixed bifractional Brownian motion model is

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathrm{d} S_{t}=\mu S_{t} \mathrm{~d} t+\sigma S_{t} \mathrm{~d}\left(\beta_{t}+\beta_{t}^{H}+\beta_{t}^{H, S}\right)  \tag{2.1}\\
\mathrm{d} Z_{t}=r Z_{t} \mathrm{~d} t, \quad M_{t}^{H, S, a, b, c}=a \beta_{t}+b \beta_{t}^{H}+c \beta_{t}^{H, S}, \forall t \geq 0 \tag{2.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

where
$\beta_{t}^{H}$ is subfractional Brownian motion, $\beta_{t}$ is Brownian motion, and $\beta_{t}^{H, S}$ is bifractional Brownian motion. $\beta_{t}, \beta_{t}^{H}$ and $\beta_{t}^{H, S}$ are independent of each other. $H$ is the Hurst index. $a, b$ and $c$ are constants. $S$ is the parameter. $Z_{t}$ is risk-free asset bond. $S_{t}$ is risky asset stock.

## 3. Main Results

The mixed bifractional Brownian motion is used to replace the random part of the model, and the European option and corresponding compound option pricing formula under the mixed bifractional Brownian motion is obtained.

The assumptions for the financial market are as follows,

1) There are two assets in the financial market, such as risky asset stocks $S_{t}$, which satisfy Equation (2.1). The risk-free asset bonds $Z_{t}$, which satisfy Equation (2.2);
2) The risk-free interest rate $r$ is a constant, the expected rate of return $\mu$ is a constant, the market is complete and the underlying asset volatility $\sigma$ is a constant;
3) The option can only be exercised on the expiry date;
4) In market transactions, there are no transaction fees;
5) The transaction is infinitely divisible.

Under the assumptions 1)-5), through the risk-neutral pricing principle, the European option and corresponding compound option pricing formula can be obtained.

Theorem 3.1 Assuming that the underlying asset price $S_{t}$ satisfies the formula (1.1), $t \in[0, T]$, then at time $T$, the European call option price $G$ driven by the mixed bifractional Brownian motion model satisfies the following equation

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{2} \sigma^{2} S_{t}^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} G}{\partial S_{t}^{2}}+H \sigma^{2}\left(2-2^{2 H-1}\right) t^{2 H-1} S_{t}^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} G}{\partial S_{t}^{2}}+\frac{\partial G}{\partial t}+r S_{t} \frac{\partial G}{\partial S_{t}} \\
& +H S \sigma^{2} t^{2 H S-1} S_{t}^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} G}{\partial S_{t}^{2}}=r G \tag{3.1}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. There is a portfolio $\Pi_{t}$, then $\Pi_{t}$ satisfies following equation

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{d} \Pi_{t}= & \mathrm{d} G-\Delta \mathrm{d} S_{t} \\
= & \left(\frac{1}{2} \sigma^{2} S_{t}^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} G}{\partial S_{t}^{2}}+H \sigma^{2}\left(2-2^{2 H-1}\right) t^{2 H-1} S_{t}^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} G}{\partial S_{t}^{2}}+\frac{\partial G}{\partial t}+\mu S_{t} \frac{\partial G}{\partial S_{t}}\right. \\
& \left.+H S \sigma^{2} t^{2 H S-1} S_{t}^{2} \frac{\partial G^{2}}{\partial S_{t}^{2}}\right) \mathrm{d} t+\sigma S_{t} \frac{\partial G}{\partial S_{t}}\left(\mathrm{~d} \beta_{t}+\mathrm{d} \beta_{t}^{H}+\mathrm{d} \beta_{t}^{H, S}\right) \\
& -\Delta S_{t} \mu \mathrm{~d} t-\Delta S_{t} \sigma\left(\mathrm{~d} \beta_{t}+\mathrm{d} \beta_{t}^{H}+\mathrm{d} \beta_{t}^{H, S}\right) \\
= & \left(\frac{\partial G}{\partial t}+\mu S_{t}\left(\frac{\partial G}{\partial S_{t}}-\Delta\right)+H S \sigma^{2} t^{2 H S-1} S_{t}^{2} \frac{\partial G^{2}}{\partial S_{t}^{2}}\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{2} \sigma^{2} S_{t}^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} G}{\partial S_{t}^{2}}+H \sigma^{2}\left(2-2^{2 H-1}\right) t^{2 H-1} S_{t}^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} G}{\partial S_{t}^{2}}\right) \mathrm{d} t  \tag{3.2}\\
& +\left(\frac{\partial G}{\partial S_{t}}-\Delta\right) S_{t} \sigma\left(\mathrm{~d} \beta_{t}+\mathrm{d} \beta_{t}^{H}+\mathrm{d} \beta_{t}^{H, S}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

$=\left(\frac{1}{2} \sigma^{2} S_{t}^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} G}{\partial S_{t}^{2}}+H \sigma^{2}\left(2-2^{2 H-1}\right) t^{2 H-1} S_{t}^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} G}{\partial S_{t}^{2}}+\frac{\partial G}{\partial t}+H S \sigma^{2} t^{2 H S-1} S_{t}^{2} \frac{\partial G^{2}}{\partial S_{t}^{2}}\right) \mathrm{d} t$.
The proof is completed.
Theorem 3.2 Assuming that the underlying asset price $S_{t}$ satisfies the formula (2.1), $t \in[0, T]$, and the strike price is $K$. Then at time $T$, the European call option price $G$ driven by the mixed bifractional Brownian motion model is

$$
\begin{equation*}
G=S_{t} N\left(d_{1}\right)-\mathrm{e}^{-r(T-t)} K N\left(d_{2}\right) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
d_{1}=\frac{\ln \frac{S_{t}}{K}+\frac{1}{2} \sigma^{2}\left(\left(2-2^{2 H-1}\right)\left(T^{2 H}-t^{2 H}\right)+(T-t)\right)+r(T-t)+\frac{1}{2} \sigma^{2}\left(T^{2 H S}-t^{2 H S}\right)}{\sigma \sqrt{\left(T^{2 H S}-t^{2 H S}\right)+\left(2-2^{2 H-1}\right)\left(T^{2 H}-t^{2 H}\right)+(T-t)}}, \\
d_{2}=d_{1}-\sigma \sqrt{\left(T^{2 H S}-t^{2 H S}\right)+\left(2-2^{2 H-1}\right)\left(T^{2 H}-t^{2 H}\right)+(T-t)}
\end{gathered}
$$

Proof. Let $S_{t}=\mathrm{e}^{x}, G=V(x, t)$, then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln S_{t}=x, \frac{\partial G}{\partial t}=\frac{\partial V}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial G}{\partial S_{t}}=\frac{1}{S_{t}} \frac{\partial V}{\partial x}, \text { and } \frac{\partial^{2} G}{\partial S_{t}^{2}}=\frac{1}{S_{t}^{2}}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} V}{\partial x^{2}}-\frac{\partial V}{\partial x}\right) \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (3.5) into (3.1), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial V}{\partial t}+r \frac{\partial V}{\partial x}-\left(H S \sigma^{2} t^{2 H S-1}+\frac{1}{2} \sigma^{2}+H \sigma^{2}\left(2-2^{2 H-1}\right) t^{2 H-1}\right) \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} \\
& +\left(H S \sigma^{2} t^{2 H S-1}+\frac{1}{2} \sigma^{2}+H \sigma^{2}\left(2-2^{2 H-1}\right) t^{2 H-1}\right) \frac{\partial^{2} V}{\partial x^{2}}=r V \tag{3.6}
\end{align*}
$$

and we get $V(T, x)=\left(\mathrm{e}^{x}-K\right)^{+}$.
Let $u(f, z)=V(t, x) \mathrm{e}^{r(T-t)}$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
f=\frac{1}{2} \sigma^{2}\left(\left(T^{2 H S}-t^{2 H S}\right)+\left(2-2^{2 H-1}\right)\left(T^{2 H}-t^{2 H}\right)+(T-t)\right), \text { and } \\
z=x+r(T-t)-\frac{1}{2} \sigma^{2}\left(\left(T^{2 H S}-t^{2 H S}\right)+\left(2-2^{2 H-1}\right)\left(T^{2 H}-t^{2 H}\right)+(T-t)\right),
\end{gathered}
$$

then we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial V}{\partial t}= & r \mathrm{e}^{-r(T-t)} u-\mathrm{e}^{-r(T-t)}\left(H S \sigma^{2} t^{2 H S-1}+\frac{1}{2} \sigma^{2}+H \sigma^{2}\left(2-2^{2 H-1}\right) t^{2 H-1}\right) \frac{\partial u}{\partial f} \\
& +\mathrm{e}^{-r(T-t)}\left(-r+H S \sigma^{2} t^{2 H S-1}+\frac{1}{2} \sigma^{2}+H \sigma^{2}\left(2-2^{2 H-1}\right) t^{2 H-1}\right) \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} \\
& \frac{\partial V}{\partial x}=\mathrm{e}^{-r(T-t)} \frac{\partial u}{\partial z}, \text { and } \frac{\partial^{2} V}{\partial x^{2}}=\mathrm{e}^{-r(T-t)} \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial z^{2}} \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting (3.7) into (3.6), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial u}{\partial f}=\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial z^{2}} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the boundary value condition is

$$
u(0, z)=\left(\mathrm{e}^{z}-K\right)^{+}
$$

Then (3.8) has a unique strong solution, which is described by the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(f, z)=\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{\pi f}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\eta}-K\right)^{+} \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{(\eta-z)^{2}}{4 f}} \mathrm{~d} \eta \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

substituting the boundary value condition into (3.9), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(f, z)=\mathrm{e}^{f+z} N\left(\frac{z+2 f-\ln K}{\sqrt{2 f}}\right)-K N\left(\frac{z-\ln K}{\sqrt{2 f}}\right) \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the inverse transformation, we can get the European call option pricing formulas.

Similarly, the price $P$ of the European put option driven by the mixed bifractional Brownian motion model is

$$
\begin{equation*}
P=\mathrm{e}^{-r(T-t)} K N\left(-d_{2}\right)-S_{t} N\left(-d_{1}\right) \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the formula, $d_{1}, d_{2}$ and $N(\cdot)$ are the same as the above. Similarly, the
parity formula of the European call and put option driven by the mixed bifractional Brownian motion model is

$$
\begin{equation*}
P-G=\mathrm{e}^{-r(T-t)} K-S_{t} . \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The proof is completed.
For further promotion, we consider the compound option pricing formula driven by the mixed bifractional Brownian motion model.

Theorem 3.3 The price $G G$ of the compound option (a call on a call) driven by the mixed bifractional Brownian motion model is

$$
\begin{equation*}
G G=S_{t} N_{1}\left(d_{3}+m, d_{2}+n ; \rho\right)-K \mathrm{e}^{-r(T-t)} N_{1}\left(d_{3}, d_{2} ; \rho\right)-K_{1} \mathrm{e}^{-r\left(T_{*}-t\right)} N\left(d_{3}\right) \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
d_{3}=\frac{\ln \frac{S_{t}}{X}+r\left(T_{*}-t\right)-\frac{1}{2} \sigma^{2}\left(T_{*}^{2 H S}-t^{2 H S}\right)-\frac{1}{2} \sigma^{2}\left(\left(2-2^{2 H-1}\right)\left(T^{2 H}-t^{2 H}\right)+(T-t)\right)}{\sigma \sqrt{\left(T_{*}^{2 H S}-t^{2 H S}\right)+\left(2-2^{2 H-1}\right)\left(T^{2 H}-t^{2 H}\right)+(T-t)}}, \\
m=\sigma \sqrt{\left(T_{*}^{2 H S}-t^{2 H S}\right)+\left(2-2^{2 H-1}\right)\left(T^{2 H}-t^{2 H}\right)+(T-t)}, \\
n=\sigma \sqrt{\left(T^{2 H S}-t^{2 H S}\right)+\left(2-2^{2 H-1}\right)\left(T^{2 H}-t^{2 H}\right)+(T-t)} \\
\text { and } \rho=\frac{\sqrt{\left(T_{*}^{2 H S}-t^{2 H S}\right)+\left(2-2^{2 H-1}\right)\left(T^{2 H}-t^{2 H}\right)+(T-t)}}{\sqrt{\left(T^{2 H S}-t^{2 H S}\right)+\left(2-2^{2 H-1}\right)\left(T^{2 H}-t^{2 H}\right)+(T-t)}} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Proof. According to Theorem 3.2, we can get

$$
G=S_{T_{*}} N\left(y_{1}\right)+K \mathrm{e}^{-r(T-t)} N\left(y_{1}-\sigma \sqrt{\left(T^{2 H S}-T_{*}^{2 H S}\right)+\left(2-2^{2 H-1}\right)\left(T^{2 H}-T_{*}^{2 H}\right)+\left(T-T_{*}\right)}\right),
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{1}=\frac{\ln \frac{S_{T_{*}}}{K}+r\left(T-T_{*}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \sigma^{2}\left(T^{2 H S}-T_{*}^{2 H S}\right)-\frac{1}{2} \sigma^{2}\left(\left(2-2^{2 H-1}\right)\left(T^{2 H}-T_{*}^{2 H}\right)+\left(T-T_{*}\right)\right)}{\sigma \sqrt{\left(T^{2 H S}-T_{*}^{2 H S}\right)+\left(2-2^{2 H-1}\right)\left(T^{2 H}-T_{*}^{2 H}\right)+\left(T-T_{*}\right)}}, \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $G=K_{*}$, then $X$ satisfies the following equation

$$
X N\left(y_{1}^{*}\right)-K \mathrm{e}^{-r(T-t)} N\left(y_{1}^{*}-\sigma \sqrt{\left(T^{2 H S}-T_{*}^{2 H S}\right)+\left(2-2^{2 H-1}\right)\left(T^{2 H}-T_{*}^{2 H}\right)+\left(T-T_{*}\right)}\right)=K_{*},
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{1}^{*}=\frac{\ln \frac{X}{K}+r\left(T-T_{*}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \sigma^{2}\left(T^{2 H S}-T_{*}^{2 H S}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \sigma^{2}\left(\left(2-2^{2 H-1}\right)\left(T^{2 H}-T_{*}^{2 H}\right)+\left(T-T_{*}\right)\right)}{\sigma \sqrt{\left(T^{2 H S}-T_{*}^{2 H S}\right)+\left(2-2^{2 H-1}\right)\left(T^{2 H}-T_{*}^{2 H}\right)+\left(T-T_{*}\right)}} . \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to the above, we can get

$$
\begin{equation*}
G G=I_{1}-I_{2}, \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\quad I_{1}=\mathrm{e}^{-r\left(T_{*}-t\right)} \tilde{E}\left[G \mathbf{1}_{A}\right], \quad I_{2}=K_{*} \mathrm{e}^{-r\left(T_{*}-t\right)} \tilde{E}\left[\mathbf{1}_{A}\right], \quad A=\left\{S_{T_{*}} \mid S_{T_{*}}>X\right\}, \quad I_{1} \quad$ and $I_{2}$ denote the indicator function.

Noted that

$$
\begin{align*}
S_{T}= & S_{t} \exp \left\{r(T-t)-\frac{1}{2} \sigma^{2}\left(T^{2 H S}-t^{2 H S}\right)\right. \\
& -\frac{1}{2} \sigma^{2}\left(\left(2-2^{2 H-1}\right)\left(T^{2 H}-t^{2 H}\right)+(T-t)\right)  \tag{3.17}\\
& \left.+\sigma\left(\beta_{T}^{H, S}-\beta_{t}^{H, S}+\beta_{T}^{H}-\beta_{t}^{H}+\beta_{T}-\beta_{t}\right)\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
A= & \left\{s_{T_{*}} \mid s_{T_{*}}>X\right\} \\
= & \left\{-\frac{\beta_{T_{*}}^{H, S}-\beta_{t}^{H, S}+\beta_{T_{*}}^{H}-\beta_{t}^{H}+\beta_{T_{*}}-\beta_{t}}{\sqrt{\left(T_{*}^{2 H S}-t^{2 H S}\right)+\left(2-2^{2 H-1}\right)\left(T_{*}^{2 H}-t^{2 H}\right)+\left(T_{*}-t\right)}}\right. \\
& \left.\left\lvert\,-\frac{\beta_{T_{*}}^{H, S}-\beta_{t}^{H, S}+\beta_{T_{*}}^{H}-\beta_{t}^{H}+\beta_{T_{*}}-\beta_{t}}{\sqrt{\left(T_{*}^{2 H S}-t^{2 H S}\right)+\left(2-2^{2 H-1}\right)\left(T_{*}^{2 H}-t^{2 H}\right)+\left(T_{*}-t\right)}}<d_{3}\right.\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Due to

$$
\begin{equation*}
G=\mathrm{e}^{-r\left(T-T_{*}\right)} \tilde{E}_{T_{*}}\left[\left(S_{T}-K\right) \mathbf{1}_{\left\{S_{T}>K\right\}}\right], \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{T}= & S_{T_{*}} \exp \left\{r\left(T-T_{*}\right)-\frac{1}{2} \sigma^{2}\left(T^{2 H S}-T_{*}^{2 H S}\right)\right. \\
& -\frac{1}{2} \sigma^{2}\left(\left(2-2^{2 H-1}\right)\left(T^{2 H}-T_{*}^{2 H}\right)+\left(T-T_{*}\right)\right) \\
& \left.+\sigma\left(\beta_{T}^{H, S}-\beta_{T_{*}}^{H, S}+\beta_{T}^{H}-\beta_{T_{*}}^{H}+\beta_{T}-\beta_{T_{*}}\right)\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

so we can get

$$
\begin{equation*}
G=\mathrm{e}^{-r\left(T-T_{*}\right)} \tilde{E}_{T_{*}}\left[S_{T} \mathbf{1}_{B}\right]-K \mathrm{e}^{-r\left(T-T_{*}\right)} \tilde{E}_{T_{*}}\left[\mathbf{1}_{B}\right], \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
B= & \left\{\left(S_{T_{*}}, S_{T}\right) \mid S_{T_{*}}>X, S_{T}>K\right\} \\
= & \left\{-\frac{\beta_{T_{*}}^{H, S}-\beta_{t}^{H, S}+\beta_{T}^{H}-\beta_{t}^{H}+\beta_{T}-\beta_{t}}{\sqrt{\left(T_{*}^{2 H S}-t^{2 H S}\right)+\left(2-2^{2 H-1}\right)\left(T_{*}^{2 H}-t^{2 H}\right)+\left(T_{*}-t\right)}}<d_{3},\right. \\
& \left.-\frac{\beta_{T}^{H, S}-\beta_{t}^{H, S}+\beta_{T}^{H}-\beta_{t}^{H}+\beta_{T}-\beta_{t}}{\sqrt{\left(T^{2 H S}-t^{2 H S}\right)+\left(2-2^{2 H-1}\right)\left(T^{2 H}-t^{2 H}\right)+(T-t)}}<d_{2}\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

then

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{1}= & \tilde{E}\left[S_{T} \mathbf{1}_{B}\right]-K \mathrm{e}^{-r(T-t)} N_{1}\left(d_{3}, d_{2} ; \rho\right) \\
= & S_{t} N_{1}\left(d_{3}+m, d_{2}+\sigma \sqrt{\left(T^{2 H S}-t^{2 H S}\right)+\left(2-2^{2 H-1}\right)\left(T^{2 H}-t^{2 H}\right)+(T-t)} ; \rho\right)  \tag{3.20}\\
& -K \mathrm{e}^{-r(T-t)} N_{1}\left(d_{3}, d_{2} ; \rho\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly, the price PG of the compound option (a put on a call) driven by the
mixed bifractional Brownian motion model is
$\mathrm{PG}=-S_{t} N_{1}\left(d_{3}+m, d_{2}+n ; \rho\right)+K \mathrm{e}^{-r(T-t)} N_{1}\left(-d_{3}, d_{2} ; \rho\right)+K_{*} \mathrm{e}^{-r\left(T_{*}-t\right)} N\left(-d_{3}\right)$.
The price GP of the compound option (a call on a put) driven by the mixed bifractional Brownian motion model is
GP $=-S_{t} N_{1}\left(-d_{3}-m, d_{2}+n ;-\rho\right)-K \mathrm{e}^{-r(T-t)} N_{1}\left(d_{3}, d_{2} ; \rho\right)+K_{*} \mathrm{e}^{-r\left(T_{*}-t\right)} N\left(-d_{3}\right)$.
And the price PP of the compound option (a put on a put) driven by the mixed bifractional Brownian motion model is

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{PP}= & S_{t} N_{1}\left(d_{3}+\sigma \sqrt{\left(T_{*}^{2 H S}-t^{2 H S}\right)+\left(2-2^{2 H-1}\right)\left(T_{*}^{2 H}-t^{2 H}\right)+\left(T_{*}-t\right)},-d_{2}-n ;-\rho\right)  \tag{3.23}\\
& -K \mathrm{e}^{-r(T-t)} N_{1}\left(d_{3}, d_{2} ; \rho\right)+K_{*} \mathrm{e}^{-r\left(T_{*}-t\right)} N\left(d_{3}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

The proof is completed.

## 4. Numerical Simulation

The stocks of "Lingang B shares" from March 7, 2022, to March 18, 2022, and principles [14] [15]. Taking the "Lingang B shares" stock as the object, using the rescaled range (R/S) analysis method, the estimated value of the parameter $H$ is calculated to be 0.7251 .

Supposing the stock price is $S_{0}, S_{1}, \cdots, S_{n}$, and the rate of return is
$\frac{S_{1}-S_{0}}{S_{0}}, \frac{S_{2}-S_{1}}{S_{1}}, \cdots, \frac{S_{n}-S_{n-1}}{S_{n-1}}$. Calculating the variance of the logarithmic return, and the parameter sigma is 0.01114215 .

Calculating the average of the logarithmic return, and the parameter $\mu$ is 0.0007258801 .

Substituting the specific parameter values into equation (2.1), and assuming that $S=1$. Taking the closing price of " Lingang B shares" on March 7, 2022, at 1.068 as the initial price $S_{0}$, and getting $S_{0+d t}, S_{0+2 d t}, \cdots$, until $S_{0+n d t}=S_{T}$. The simulated value of the mixed bifractional Brownian model, the classic B-S model and the true value of the stock are shown in Figure 1. The comparison between the model simulation value and the true value in the next 4 days is shown in Table 1.

It can be obtained from Table 1 that the simulation effect of the mixed bifractional Brownian model is better in the next 4 days.

The stocks of "Lingang B shares" from April 29, 1994, to March 18, 2022, and principles [14] [15]. Taking the "Lingang B shares" stock as the object, using the rescaled range ( $\mathrm{R} / \mathrm{S}$ ) analysis method, the estimated value of the parameter $H$ is calculated to be 0.2332 .

Supposing the stock price is $S_{0}, S_{1}, \cdots, S_{n}$, and the rate of return is
$\frac{S_{1}-S_{0}}{S_{0}}, \frac{S_{2}-S_{1}}{S_{1}}, \cdots, \frac{S_{n}-S_{n-1}}{S_{n-1}}$. Calculating the variance of the logarithmic return, and the parameter sigma is 0.03012762 .

Calculating the average of the logarithmic return, and the parameter $\mu$ is 0.000228982 .


Figure 1. The comparison of mixed bifractional Brownian model, classic B-S model and true value.
Table 1. Comparison of model simulation value and true value in the next 4 days.

|  | stock price simulation of mixed <br> bifractional Brownian model | stock price simulation <br> of classic B-S model | Lingang <br> B shares |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| March 8, 2022 | 1.063271346 | 1.06002429068931 | 1.067 |
| March 9, 2022 | 1.064331773 | 1.06847507304147 | 1.064 |
| March 10, 2022 | 1.06449992 | 1.07448110768571 | 1.069 |
| March 15, 2022 | 1.050323999 | 1.05490899119777 | 1.042 |

Substituting the specific parameter values into Equation (2.1), and assuming that $S=1$. Taking the closing price of " Lingang B shares" on April 29, 1994, at 0.25 as the initial price $S_{0}$, and getting $S_{0+d t}, S_{0+2 d t}, \cdots$, until $S_{0+n d t}=S_{T}$. The simulated value of the mixed bifractional Brownian model, the classic B-S model and the true value of the stock are shown in Figure 2. The specific statistical analysis of the simulation results is shown in Table 2, and the comparison between the model simulation value and the true value in the next 12 days is
shown in Table 3.
It can be seen from Table 2 that the mixed bifractional Brownian model simulates 1 st qu., median, mean, 3rd qu., and variance of the stock price are closer to the real value of the stock than the classic B-S model. It can be obtained from Table 3 that the simulation effect of the mixed bifractional Brownian model is better in the next 12 days.


Figure 2. The comparison of mixed bifractional Brownian model, classic B-S model and true value.
Table 2. Statistics of simulation and true values.

|  | Mixed bifractional Brownian <br> model simulation value | Classic B-S model <br> simulation value | True value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 st qu. | 0.2150 | 0.2479 | 0.2100 |
| median | 0.3931 | 0.2594 | 0.5460 |
| mean | 0.3939 | 0.2589 | 0.6643 |
| 3rd qu. | 0.5228 | 0.2702 | 1.0420 |
| variance | 0.04721107 | 0.0002223041 | 0.2579141 |

Table 3. Comparison of model simulation value and true value in the next 12 days.

|  | stock price simulation of mixed <br> bifractional Brownian model | stock price simulation <br> of classic B-S model | Lingang <br> B shares |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| March 12, 2015 | 0.476745781464515 | 0.247037583207719 | 1 |
| March 13, 2015 | 0.470871594147794 | 0.24612100303794 | 1.01 |
| March 16, 2015 | 0.469303942071588 | 0.246641436102686 | 1.013 |
| March 17, 2015 | 0.467253422398352 | 0.247539276790604 | 1.01 |
| March 18, 2015 | 0.47762562124569 | 0.247658372094785 | 1.018 |
| March 19,2015 | 0.475649656001741 | 0.249230366298891 | 1.007 |
| March 20, 2015 | 0.467664339827485 | 0.249163120217517 | 0.993 |
| March 23, 2015 | 0.484552440867171 | 0.249511346624663 | 0.977 |
| March 24, 2015 | 0.491368306763706 | 0.248219479411458 | 0.986 |
| March 25, 2015 | 0.490997401314635 | 0.247618858202052 | 0.986 |
| March 26, 2015 | 0.489448509757798 | 0.24647079515958 | 0.97 |
| March 27, 2015 | 0.498026160967964 | 0.247123219657576 | 0.992 |

The stocks of "Yitai B shares" from August 8, 1997, to March 18, 2022, and principles [14] [15]. Taking the "Yitai B shares" stock as the object, using the rescaled range ( $\mathrm{R} / \mathrm{S}$ ) analysis method, the estimated value of the parameter $H$ is calculated to be 0.5019 .

Supposing the stock price is $S_{0}, S_{1}, \cdots, S_{n}$, and the rate of return is $\frac{S_{1}-S_{0}}{S_{0}}, \frac{S_{2}-S_{1}}{S_{1}}, \cdots, \frac{S_{n}-S_{n-1}}{S_{n-1}}$. Calculating the variance of the logarithmic return, and the parameter sigma is 0.03168232 .

Calculating the average of the logarithmic return, and the parameter $\mu$ is 0.0001202555 .

Substituting the specific parameter values into Equation (2.1), and assuming that $S=1$. Taking the closing price of "Yitai B shares" on August 8, 1997, at 0.47 as the initial price $S_{0}$, and getting $S_{0+d t}, S_{0+2 d t}, \cdots$, until $S_{0+n d t}=S_{T}$. The simulated value of the mixed bifractional Brownian model, the classic B-S model and the true value of the stock are shown in Figure 3. The specific statistical analysis of the simulation results is shown in Table 4, and the comparison between the model simulation value and the true value in the next 7 days is shown in Table 5.

It can be seen from Table 4 that the mixed bifractional Brownian model simulates 1 st qu., median, mean, 3 rd qu., and variance of the stock price are closer to the real value of the stock than the classic B-S model. It can be obtained from Table 5 that the simulation effect of the mixed bifractional Brownian model is better in the next 7 days.

The stocks of "Yitai B shares" from January 4, 2022, to March 18, 2022, and principles [14] [15]. Taking the "Yitai B shares" stock as the object, using the rescaled range ( $\mathrm{R} / \mathrm{S}$ ) analysis method, the estimated value of the parameter $H$ is calculated to be 0.9032 .

Supposing the stock price is $S_{0}, S_{1}, \cdots, S_{n}$, and the rate of return is $\frac{S_{1}-S_{0}}{S_{0}}, \frac{S_{2}-S_{1}}{S_{1}}, \cdots, \frac{S_{n}-S_{n-1}}{S_{n-1}}$. Calculating the variance of the logarithmic return, and the parameter sigma is 0.0205726 .

Calculating the average of the logarithmic return, and the parameter $\mu$ is 0.001535545 .


Figure 3. The comparison of mixed bifractional Brownian model, classic B-S model and true value.
Table 4. Statistics of simulation and true values.

|  | Mixed bifractional Brownian <br> model simulation value | Classic B-S model <br> simulation value | True value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1st qu. | 0.35044 | 0.1909 | 0.708 |
| median | 0.50542 | 0.2336 | 1.042 |
| mean | 1.16469 | 0.2620 | 2.204 |
| 3rd qu. | 1.80613 | 0.3131 | 2.540 |
| variance | 1.502611 | 0.01084814 | 6.210699 |

Table 5. Comparison of model simulation value and true value in the next 7 days.

|  | stock price simulation of mixed <br> bifractional Brownian model | stock price simulation <br> of classic B-S model | Yitai B <br> shares |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| January 18, 2018 | 0.368691059441825 | 0.187861193583035 | 1.495 |
| January 19, 2018 | 0.342805896793955 | 0.185059204986863 | 1.491 |
| January 22, 2018 | 0.348823653279815 | 0.195691060109479 | 1.512 |
| January 23, 2018 | 0.36820954133242 | 0.197193216245556 | 1.507 |
| January 24, 2018 | 0.369445692945008 | 0.202073187553101 | 1.515 |
| January 25, 2018 | 0.365511167771182 | 0.193943179312515 | 1.55 |
| January 26, 2018 | 0.372890699299323 | 0.194888822159231 | 1.552 |

Substituting the specific parameter values into Equation (1.1), and assuming that $S=1$. Taking the closing price of "Yitai B shares" on January 4, 2022, at 0.889 as the initial price $S_{0}$, and getting $S_{0+d t}, S_{0+2 d t}, \cdots$, until $S_{0+n d t}=S_{T}$. The simulated value of the mixed bifractional Brownian model, the classic B-S model and the true value of the stock are shown in Figure 4. The specific statistical analysis of the simulation results is shown in Table 6, and the comparison between the model simulation value and the true value in the next 5 days is shown in Table 7.

It can be seen from Table 6 that the mixed bifractional Brownian model simulates 1st qu., median, mean, and 3rd qu. of the stock price are closer to the real value of the stock than the classic B-S model. It can be obtained from Table 7 that the simulation effect of the mixed bifractional Brownian model is better in the next 5 days.

The stocks of "Lingang B shares" from March 30, 1999, to April 7, 1999, and principles [14] [15]. Taking the "Lingang B shares" stock as the object, using the rescaled range ( $\mathrm{R} / \mathrm{S}$ ) analysis method, the estimated value of the parameter $H$ is calculated to be 0.7754 .

Supposing the stock price is $S_{0}, S_{1}, \cdots, S_{n}$, and the rate of return is $\frac{S_{1}-S_{0}}{S_{0}}, \frac{S_{2}-S_{1}}{S_{1}}, \cdots, \frac{S_{n}-S_{n-1}}{S_{n-1}}$. Calculating the variance of the logarithmic return, and the parameter sigma is 0.02800305 .

Calculating the average of the logarithmic return, and the parameter $\mu$ is 0.007753336 .

Substituting the specific parameter values into Equation (2.1), and assuming that $S=1$. Taking the closing price of "Lingang B shares" on March 30, 1999, at 0.084 as the initial price $S_{0}$, and getting $S_{0+d t}, S_{0+2 d t}, \cdots$, until $S_{0+n d t}=S_{T}$. The simulated value of the mixed bifractional Brownian model, the classic B-S model and the true value of the stock are shown in Figure 5. The specific statistical analysis of the simulation results is shown in Table 8, and the comparison between the model simulation value and the true value in the next 3 days is shown in Table 9.


Figure 4. The comparison of mixed bifractional Brownian model, classic B-S model and true value.
Table 6. Statistics of simulation and real values.

|  | Mixed bifractional Brownian <br> model simulation value | Classic B-S model <br> simulation value | True value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1st qu. | 0.8764 | 0.9742 | 0.8920 |
| median | 0.8973 | 1.0005 | 0.9410 |
| mean | 0.9181 | 0.9836 | 0.9341 |
| 3rd qu. | 0.9523 | 1.0177 | 0.9620 |
| variance | 0.004227694 | 0.002446244 | 0.001605285 |

Table 7. Comparison of model simulation value and true value in the next 5 days.

|  | stock price simulation of mixed stock price simulation <br> bifractional Brownian model <br> of classic B-S model | Yitai B <br> shares |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| January 5, 2022 | 0.892334392848952 | 0.902934518732361 | 0.881 |
| January 6, 2022 | 0.887369902098056 | 0.889889236033983 | 0.877 |
| January 10, 2022 | 0.897293567111286 | 0.906221737423565 | 0.887 |
| January 11, 2022 | 0.870261172117313 | 0.905866480583088 | 0.886 |
| January 12, 2022 | 0.876528731385001 | 0.90681048346985 | 0.89 |



Figure 5. The comparison of mixed bifractional Brownian model, classic B-S model and true value.

Table 8. Statistics of simulation and true values.

|  | Mixed bifractional Brownian <br> model simulation value | Classic B-S model <br> simulation value | True value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1st qu. | 0.08379 | 0.08870 | 0.08500 |
| median | 0.08534 | 0.09086 | 0.08800 |
| mean | 0.08594 | 0.08985 | 0.08714 |
| 3rd qu. | 0.08681 | 0.09204 | 0.08900 |
| variance | 0.00001229301 | 0.000009739342 | 0.00000647619 |

Table 9. Comparison of model simulation value and true value in the next 3 days.

|  | stock price simulation of mixed <br> bifractional Brownian model | stock price simulation <br> of classic B-S model | Lingang B <br> shares |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| April 1, 1999 | 0.0822392263855708 | 0.0908593435257147 | 0.084 |
| April 2, 1999 | 0.0859432584986611 | 0.0916349232332731 | 0.088 |
| April 5, 1999 | 0.0876700893229475 | 0.0925926061191512 | 0.09 |

It can be seen from Table 8 that the mixed bifractional Brownian model simulates 1 st qu., median, mean, and 3 rd qu. of the stock price are closer to the real value of the stock than the classic B-S model. It can be obtained from Table 9 that the simulation effect of the mixed bifractional Brownian model is better in the next 3 days.

The stocks of "Yitai B shares" from October 26, 1999, to November 1, 1999, and principles [14] [15]. Taking the "Yitai B shares" stock as the object, using the rescaled range ( $\mathrm{R} / \mathrm{S}$ ) analysis method, the estimated value of the parameter $H$ is calculated to be 0.7195 .

Supposing the stock price is $S_{0}, S_{1}, \cdots, S_{n}$, and the rate of return is $\frac{S_{1}-S_{0}}{S_{0}}, \frac{S_{2}-S_{1}}{S_{1}}, \cdots, \frac{S_{n}-S_{n-1}}{S_{n-1}}$. Calculating the variance of the logarithmic return, and the parameter sigma is 0.0413144 .

Calculating the average of the logarithmic return, and the parameter $\mu$ is 0.01327746 .

Substituting the specific parameter values into Equation (2.1), and assuming that $S=1$. Taking the closing price of "Yitai B shares" on October 26, 1999, at 0.11 as the initial price $S_{0}$, and getting $S_{0+d t}, S_{0+2 d t}, \cdots$, until $S_{0+n d t}=S_{T}$. The simulated value of the mixed bifractional Brownian model, the classic B-S model and the true value of the stock are shown in Figure 6. The specific statistical analysis of the simulation results is shown in Table 10, and the comparison between the model simulation value and the true value in the next 3 days is shown in Table 11.

It can be seen from Table 10 that the mixed bifractional Brownian model simulates 1 st qu., median, mean, and 3 rd qu. of the stock price are closer to the true value of the stock than the classic B-S model. It can be obtained from Table 11 that the simulation effect of the mixed bifractional Brownian model is better in the next 3 days.

Table 10. Statistics of simulation and true values.

|  | Mixed bifractional Brownian <br> model simulation value | Classic B-S model <br> simulation value | True value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1st qu. | 0.1162 | 0.1100 | 0.1140 |
| median | 0.1171 | 0.1108 | 0.1160 |
| mean | 0.1167 | 0.1111 | 0.1148 |
| 3rd qu. | 0.1188 | 0.1109 | 0.1160 |
| variance | 0.00001763709 | 0.000004520498 | 0.0000092 |

Table 11. Comparison of model simulation value and true value in the next 3 days.

|  | stock price simulation of mixed stock price simulation <br> bifractional Brownian model <br> of classic B-S model |  | Yitai B <br> shares |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| October 27, 1999 | 0.117060782333182 | 0.110888726473413 | 0.118 |
| October 29, 1999 | 0.11615517085485 | 0.110828080867588 | 0.114 |
| November 1, 1999 | 0.121240963185306 | 0.108954831459311 | 0.116 |



Figure 6. The comparison of mixed bifractional Brownian model, classic B-S model and true value.

## 5. Conclusions

From Figures 1-6 and Tables 1-11, the results of using mixed bifractional Brownian motion to simulate stock prices are closer to the true price of stocks than the classic B-S model. Because stocks are an important component of options, so the more accurate the stock price simulation is, the more accurate the simulation value of the corresponding option value will be.

In summary, the mixed bifractional Brownian motion model can better simulate the trend of stock prices than the classic B-S model, so its corresponding option value will be more accurate.
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