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#### Abstract

We analyze the propagation of electromagnetic fronts in unbounded electric conductors. Our model is based on the Maxwell model of electromagnetism, which includes the displacement current and Ohm's law in its simplest forms. The shock-like electromagnetic front is a propagating surface, across which the electric and magnetic fields, as well as their higher temporal and spatial derivatives, experience finite jumps. The shock-like fronts are essentially different as compared with the weak fronts; in particular, the bulk Maxwell equations are essentially insufficient for the analysis of the shock-like fronts, and they should be amended we the physical jump conditions. We choose these additional conditions by using conditions similar to those suggested by Heaviside. We derive the basic shock intensity relationships implied by this model.
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## 1. Introduction

Establishing the self-consistent mathematical model of electromagnetic waves (and of light, in particular) is the culmination of the Maxwell model of electromagnetism [1]. Maxwell himself has not seen recognition of his model by the greatest electricians of his time, including Kelvin and Helmholtz. However, forthcoming developments in science eventually proved to be instrumental in thousands of applications.

In the absence of electric and magnetic polarization, the bulk system of Maxwell equations includes (in its contemporary form) 4 partial differential equa-
tions for the distributed electric current and the electric and magnetic fields. This system is basically linear (the only source of nonlinearity can appear in the generalized Ohms law for the high amplitude currents.) Many approaches to solving Maxwell equations and the explicit exact solutions can be found in thousands of textbooks and monographs.

The most close to our approach is the approaches of the monographs of Luneburg [2] and Born and Wolfe [3]. These two monographs contain the sections dealing with the electromagnetic wave-fronts treated as surfaces of discontinuities of electromagnetic fields. For other media, similar methods were used by Hadamard [4], Levi-Civita [5], Thomas [6], and Keller [7]. In their publications, the interested readers can find further references and historic discussions.

The key instrument in analysis of wavefronts is the so-called compatibility conditions. In 20th century, the biggest contributions in their usage belong to the outstanding geometers and mathematical physicists first usage of this approach in electromagnetism belongs to Luneburg [2], as well as Born and Wolf [3].

In the recent paper Grinfeld and Grinfeld [8], we explored an evolution of the so-call wek fronts in electric conductors. What still remains unexplored, is the dynamics of the shock-like wave fronts in dissipative electro-conducting media in the framework of the full Maxwell model. In this paper, we fill this gap of the classical analysis.

Compatibility conditions have been discussed in detail in the monographs of Michael Grinfeld [9], and Pavel Grinfeld [10]. From these monographs, we borrow required results and notation. We use standard tensorial notation and operations in the form, presented in the same monographs [9] [10]. The Latin (spatial) indices $i, j, k, \cdots$ run the values $1,2,3$ ); the Greek (surface) indices $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \cdots$ run the values 1,2 . The space is referred to the immobile coordinates $z^{i} ; \delta_{i}^{j}$ is the spatial Kronecker delta; $z_{i j}$ and $z^{i j}$ are the co- and contravariant components of the metrics, which is used for raising and lowering ("juggling") spatial indices, and for definition of covariant differentiation $\nabla_{i} ; z_{i j k}$ is the Levi-Civita skew-symmetric tensor.

## 2. The Bulk Master System of Equations

The bulk Maxwell equations read

$$
\begin{gather*}
\nabla_{i} E^{i}=4 \pi Q  \tag{1.1}\\
\nabla_{i} H^{i}=0  \tag{1.2}\\
\frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial H^{i}}{\partial t}=-z^{i j k} \nabla_{j} E_{k}  \tag{1.3}\\
\frac{\partial E^{i}}{\partial t}+4 \pi I^{i}=c z^{i j k} \nabla_{j} H_{k} \tag{1.4}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $c$ is a speed of light, $E^{i}$ is an electric field, $H^{i}$ is a magnetic field; $Q$ and $I^{i}$ are the bulk free charge and electric current.

If there were non-zero charges $Q$ at $t=0$, they charges will decay exponen-
tially at $t>0$ (Landau, Lifshits [11]) Therefore, we assume up-front that $Q(z, t)=0$.

We assume that the electric field $E^{i}$ and electric current $I^{i}$ are connected by the nonlinear anisotropic Ohm's law

$$
\begin{equation*}
I^{i}=\chi^{i}\left(E_{k}\right) \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sigma$ is the electroconducitity constant.
Eliminating electric current $I^{i}$ between Equations (1.4) and (1.5) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial E^{i}}{\partial t}+4 \pi \chi^{i}\left(E_{k}\right)=c z^{i j k} \nabla_{j} H_{k} \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3. The Heaviside Shock Conditions and Their Implications

The bulk system of Maxwell Equations (1.1) - (1.4) is sufficient when analyzing smooth solutions. However, when the electromagnetic field and its derivative experience discontinuities, Equations (1.1) - (1.4) become meaningless in some points of space-time and the description, based on these partial differential equations, appears to be essentially incomplete. This incompleteness should be compensated by some additional relationships.

The additional relationships are different for different types of singularities. For the so-called weak discontinuities, the fields $E^{i}$ and $H^{i}$ are continuous everywhere but not their first temporal and spatial derivatives. On the contrary, the first derivatives experience finite jumps on some moving singular surfaces, called wavefronts. No additional physical ideas should be used for analysis of weak discontinuities. The physical Equations (1.1) - (1.6), in principle, fully describe the weak discontinuities. But still some additional relationships should be used at the fronts. They are called the compatibility relationships. We explored this sort of weak fronts in our earlier publication [8].

When dealing with electromagnetic shock waves, not only first derivatives but also the fields themselves experience finite jumps. Therefore, geometric and geometric boundary conditions significantly change as compared with the weak fronts, as it follows from comparison of the compatibility relations of [8], and the relations below. Moreover, for shock fronts, it is not sufficient to use only the geometric and kinematic compatibility conditions. For this sort of singular surfaces, we have to amend the bulk Maxwell equations with their analogies at the front.

There are different approaches to establishing additional conditions across the shock waves. We will dwell on the additional conditions known to Heaviside (Born and Wolfe [3]). We will call them the Heaviside conditions; they read:

$$
\begin{align*}
& C\left[H^{i}\right]_{-}^{+}=c z^{i j k}\left[E_{k}\right]_{-}^{+} N_{j}  \tag{2.1}\\
& C\left[E^{i}\right]_{-}^{+}=-c z^{i j k}\left[H_{k}\right]_{-}^{+} N_{j} \tag{2.2}
\end{align*}
$$

If $C \neq 0$, the Heaviside conditions (2.1), (2.2) obviously imply the following relationships

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[H^{i}\right]_{-}^{+} N_{i}=0,}  \tag{2.3}\\
& {\left[E^{i}\right]_{-}^{+} N_{i}=0 .} \tag{2.4}
\end{align*}
$$

In order to prove Equations (2.3) and (2.4) we contract Equations (2.1) and (2.2) with $N_{i}$ and use the identity $z^{i j k} v_{i} v_{j} \equiv 0$ which is valid for arbitrary vector $v_{i}$.

In order to prove Equations (2.3, 2.4) we contract Equations (2.1, 2.2) with $N_{i}$ and use the identity $z^{i j k} v_{i} v_{j} \equiv 0$ which is valid for arbitrary vector $v_{i}$.

Contracting Equations (2.1), (2.2) with the vectors $\left[E_{i}\right]_{-}^{+}$and $\left[H_{i}\right]_{-}^{+}$, respectively, we get one and the same identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[E_{i}\right]_{-}^{+}\left[H^{i}\right]_{-}^{+}=0 \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

At last, contracting Equations (2.1), (2.2) with the vectors $\left[H_{i}\right]_{-}^{+}$and $\left[E_{i}\right]_{-}^{+}$, respectively, we get the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[E_{i}\right]_{-}^{+}\left[E^{i}\right]_{-}^{+}=\left[H_{i}\right]_{-}^{+}\left[H^{i}\right]_{-}^{+} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Equations (2.3) - (2.6) are very important for the future and self-explanatory.

## 4. The Compatibility Conditions for Shock Fronts

In addition to the physical shock-front conditions (2.1), (2.2), the following condition is implied by the geometry and kinematics only:

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\nabla_{j} E^{i}\right]_{-}^{+}=A^{i} N_{j}+z_{j . \alpha}^{\alpha} \nabla_{\alpha} a^{i}} \\
& {\left[\partial_{t} E^{i}\right]_{-}^{+}=-C A^{i}+\frac{\delta a^{i}}{\delta t}}  \tag{3.1}\\
& {\left[\nabla_{j} H^{i}\right]_{-}^{+}=B^{i} N_{j}+z_{j .}^{\alpha} \nabla_{\alpha} b^{i}} \\
& {\left[\partial_{t} H^{i}\right]_{-}^{+}=-C B^{i}+\frac{\delta b^{i}}{\delta t}}
\end{align*}
$$

where the zero and first order jump vectors are defined as

$$
\begin{align*}
& a^{i}(\xi, t) \equiv\left[E^{i}\right]_{-}^{+}, A^{i}(\xi, t) \equiv\left[\nabla_{m} E^{i}\right]_{-}^{+} N^{m},  \tag{3.2}\\
& b^{i}(\xi, t) \equiv\left[H^{i}\right]_{-}^{+}, B^{i}(\xi, t) \equiv\left[\nabla_{m} H^{i}\right]_{-}^{+} N^{m}
\end{align*}
$$

We notice that the jumps vectors are defined on the moving shock fronts only. Let us present the zero order jump vectors $a^{i}, b^{i}$ in the following form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
a^{i}(\xi, t)=\left[E^{i}\right]_{-}^{+} \equiv l_{E} \tau_{E}^{i}, b^{i}(\xi, t)=\left[H^{i}\right]_{-}^{+} \equiv l_{H} \tau_{H}^{i} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tau_{E i}, \tau_{H i}$ are the polarization unit vectors, and $l_{E}>0, l_{H}>0$ are the amplitudes of those vectors.

In view of the relationship (2.6), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|l_{E}\right|=\left|l_{H}\right|=l \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $C \neq 0$.
Combining Equations (3.3), (3.4), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
a^{i}(\xi, t)=l \tau_{E}^{i}, b^{i}(\xi, t)=l \tau_{H}^{i} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 5. Shock-Front Velocity and the Zeroth Order Jump Vector

Let us rewrite Equations (2.1), (2.2) as follows

$$
\begin{gather*}
C b^{i}=c z^{i j k} a_{k} N_{j}  \tag{4.1}\\
C a^{i}=-c z^{i j k} b_{k} N_{j}  \tag{4.2}\\
a^{i} N_{i}=b^{i} N_{i}=0 \tag{4.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

or

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cc}
c z^{i j k} N_{j} & -C z^{i k}  \tag{4.4}\\
C z^{i k} & c z^{i j k} N_{j}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
a_{k} \\
b_{k}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
0^{i} \\
0^{i}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Combining Equations (4.2), (4.3), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
C^{2}=c^{2} \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we change the normal orientation $N_{j}$ to the opposite, the front velocity will change to the opposite also. Let us choose the normal $N_{j}$ orientation in such a way that the velocity $C$ is positive:

$$
\begin{equation*}
C=c>0 \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Per Equations (4.1) - (4.3), all 3 vectors, $b_{n}=\left[H_{n}\right]_{-}^{+}, a_{n}=\left[E_{n}\right]_{-}^{+}, N_{j}$, are mutually orthogonal, and we get the relationships

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{k}=-z_{k . .}^{m n} b_{n} N_{m}, b_{k}=z_{k}^{m n} a_{n} N_{m} \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{E}^{i}=-z_{. . .}^{i m n} \tau_{H n} N_{m}, \tau_{H}^{i}=z_{.}^{i m n} \tau_{E n} N_{m} \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 6. Evolution of Shock-Wave Intensity along Rays

Calculating the jumps of the terms in the bulk master system (1.1) - (1.4) and using the compatibility conditions (3.1) - (3.3), we arrive at the following relationships:

$$
\begin{gather*}
A^{i} N_{i}+z_{i .}^{\alpha} \nabla_{\alpha} a^{i}=0  \tag{5.1}\\
B^{i} N_{i}+z_{i .}^{\alpha} \nabla_{\alpha} b^{i}=0  \tag{5.2}\\
\frac{1}{c}\left(-C B^{i}+\frac{\delta b^{i}}{\delta t}\right)=-z^{i j k}\left(A_{k} N_{j}+z_{j .}^{\cdot \alpha} \nabla_{\alpha} a_{k}\right)  \tag{5.3}\\
\frac{1}{c}\left(-C A^{i}+\frac{\delta a^{i}}{\delta t}\right)+\frac{4 \pi}{c}\left[\chi^{i}(E)\right]_{-}^{+}=z^{i j k}\left(B_{k} N_{j}+z_{j .}^{\alpha} \nabla_{\alpha} b_{k}\right) \tag{5.4}
\end{gather*}
$$

Using Equation (4.6), we can rewrite Equations (5.3), (5.4) as follows:

$$
\begin{gather*}
-B^{i}+\frac{1}{c} \frac{\delta b^{i}}{\delta t}=-z^{i j k}\left(A_{k} N_{j}+z_{j .}^{\alpha} \nabla_{\alpha} a_{k}\right)  \tag{5.5}\\
-A^{i}+\frac{1}{c} \frac{\delta a^{i}}{\delta t}+\frac{4 \pi}{c}\left[\chi^{i}(E)\right]_{-}^{+}=z^{i j k}\left(B_{k} N_{j}+z_{j . \alpha}^{\alpha} \nabla_{\alpha} b_{k}\right) \tag{5.6}
\end{gather*}
$$

and then as

$$
\begin{gather*}
B^{i}=z^{i j k} A_{k} N_{j}+\frac{1}{c} \frac{\delta b^{i}}{\delta t}+z^{i j k} z_{j .}^{\alpha} \nabla_{\alpha} a_{k}  \tag{5.7}\\
A^{i}=-z^{i j k} B_{k} N_{j}+\frac{1}{c} \frac{\delta a^{i}}{\delta t}+\frac{4 \pi}{c}\left[\chi^{i}(E)\right]_{-}^{+}-z^{i j k} z_{j .}^{\alpha} \nabla_{\alpha} b_{k} \tag{5.8}
\end{gather*}
$$

Equation (5.7) can be rewritten as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{k}=z_{k . .}^{. j m} A_{m} N_{j}+\frac{1}{c} \frac{\delta b_{k}}{\delta t}+z_{k . .}^{. j m} z_{j .}^{\alpha} \nabla_{\alpha} a_{m} \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Eliminating $B_{k}$ between Equations (5.8), (5.9), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& A^{i}+z^{i j k} z_{k . .}^{j m} A_{m} N_{j} \\
& =\frac{4 \pi}{c}\left[\chi^{i}(E)\right]_{-}^{+}+\frac{1}{c} \frac{\delta a^{i}}{\delta t}-z^{i j k} \frac{1}{c} \frac{\delta b_{k}}{\delta t} N_{j}-z^{i j k} z_{k . .}^{j m} z_{j .}^{\alpha} \nabla_{\alpha} a_{m} N_{j}-z^{i j k} z_{j . \alpha}^{\alpha} \nabla_{\alpha} b_{k} \tag{5.10}
\end{align*}
$$

as implied by the following chain:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A^{i}=-z^{i j k} B_{k} N_{j}+\frac{1}{c} \frac{\delta a^{i}}{\delta t}+\frac{4 \pi}{c}\left[\chi^{i}(E)\right]_{-}^{+}-z^{i j k} z_{j .}^{\alpha} \nabla_{\alpha} b_{k} \\
& \rightarrow A^{i}= \\
&-z^{i j k}\left(z_{k . .}^{. n m} A_{m} N_{n}+\frac{1}{c} \frac{\delta b_{k}}{\delta t}+z_{k . .}^{n m} z_{n .}^{\cdot \alpha} \nabla_{\alpha} a_{m}^{\cdot}\right) N_{j}+\frac{1}{c} \frac{\delta a^{i}}{\delta t} \\
&+\frac{4 \pi}{c}\left[\chi^{i}(E)\right]_{-}^{+}-z^{i j k} z_{j .}^{\alpha} \nabla_{\alpha} b_{k} \\
& \rightarrow A^{i}+z^{i j k} z_{k . .}^{n m} A_{m} N_{n} N_{j} \\
&= \frac{4 \pi}{c}\left[\chi^{i}(E)\right]_{-}^{+}+\frac{1}{c} \frac{\delta a^{i}}{\delta t}-z^{i j k} \frac{1}{c} \frac{\delta b_{k}}{\delta t} N_{j}-z^{i j k} z_{k . .}^{n m} z_{n .}^{\alpha} \nabla_{\alpha} a_{m} N_{j}-z^{i j k} z_{j .}^{\alpha} \nabla_{\alpha} b_{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

We, then, get, using Equations (4.7), (5.10),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{c} \frac{\delta a^{i}}{\delta t}-z^{i j k} \frac{1}{c} \frac{\delta b_{k}}{\delta t} N_{j}=\frac{2}{c} \frac{\delta a^{i}}{\delta t} \tag{5.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

as implied by the chain:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{c} \frac{\delta a^{i}}{\delta t}-z^{i j k} \frac{1}{c} \frac{\delta b_{k}}{\delta t} N_{j} \\
& =\frac{1}{c} \frac{\delta a^{i}}{\delta t}-z^{i j k} \frac{1}{c} \frac{\delta\left(z_{k}^{m n} a_{n} N_{m}\right)}{\delta t} N_{j} \\
& =\frac{1}{c} \frac{\delta a^{i}}{\delta t}-z^{i j k} z_{k}^{. m n} \frac{1}{c} \frac{\delta\left(a_{n} N_{m}\right)}{\delta t} N_{j} \\
& =\frac{1}{c} \frac{\delta a^{i}}{\delta t}-\left(z^{i m} z^{j n}-z^{i n} z^{j m}\right) \frac{1}{c} \frac{\delta\left(a_{n} N_{m}\right)}{\delta t} N_{j} \\
& =\frac{1}{c} \frac{\delta a^{i}}{\delta t}-\left(z^{i m} z^{j n}-z^{i n} z^{j m}\right) \frac{1}{c}\left(N_{m} \frac{\delta a_{n}}{\delta t}+a_{n} \frac{\delta N_{m}}{\delta t}\right) N_{j} \\
& =\frac{1}{c}\left\{\frac{\delta a^{i}}{\delta t}-\left(z^{i m} z^{j n}-z^{i n} z^{j m}\right) N_{m} N_{j} \frac{\delta a_{n}}{\delta t}-\left(z^{i m} z^{j n}-z^{i n} z^{j m}\right) N_{j} \frac{\delta N_{m}}{\delta t} a_{n}\right\} \\
& =\frac{1}{c}\left\{\frac{\delta a^{i}}{\delta t}-\left(N^{i} N^{n}-z^{i n}\right) \frac{\delta a_{n}}{\delta t}-N^{i} \frac{\delta N_{m}}{\delta t} a_{n}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{1}{c}\left\{2 \frac{\delta a^{i}}{\delta t}-N^{i} N^{n} \frac{\delta a_{n}}{\delta t}-N^{i} \frac{\delta N_{m}}{\delta t} a_{n}\right\} \\
& =\frac{1}{c}\left\{2 \frac{\delta a^{i}}{\delta t}+N^{i} \frac{\delta N^{n}}{\delta t} a_{n}-N^{i} \frac{\delta N_{m}}{\delta t} a_{n}\right\} \\
& =\frac{2}{c} \frac{\delta a^{i}}{\delta t}
\end{aligned}
$$

We, then, get the relationship

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{i}+z^{i j k} z_{k . .}^{n m} A_{m} N_{n} N_{j}=A_{m} N^{i} N^{m} \tag{5.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

as implied by the chain

$$
\begin{aligned}
A^{i}+z^{i j k} z_{k . .}^{n m} A_{m} N_{n} N_{j} & =A^{i}+\left(z^{i n} z^{j m}-z^{i m} z^{j n}\right) A_{m} N_{n} N_{j} \\
& =A^{i}+\left(N^{i} N^{m}-z^{i m}\right) A_{m} \\
& =A_{m} N^{i} N^{m}
\end{aligned}
$$

Also, we get the relationship

$$
\begin{equation*}
-z^{i j k} z_{k . .}^{. n m} z_{n . \alpha}^{\alpha} \nabla_{\alpha} a_{m} N_{j}-z^{i j k} z_{j . \alpha}^{\alpha} \nabla_{\alpha} b_{k}=-a^{i} b_{\alpha}^{\alpha}-z_{. .}^{n \alpha} \nabla_{\alpha} a_{n} N^{i} \tag{5.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

as implied by the chain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -z^{i j k} z_{k . .}^{n m} z_{n .}^{\alpha} \nabla_{\alpha} a_{m}^{\cdot} N_{j}-z^{i j k} z_{j .}^{\alpha} \nabla_{\alpha} b_{k} \\
& =-\left(z^{i n} z^{j m}-z^{i m} z^{j n}\right) z_{n .}^{\alpha} \nabla_{\alpha} a_{m} N_{j}-z^{i j k} z_{j .}^{\alpha} \nabla_{\alpha} b_{k} \\
& =-\left(z^{i n} z^{j m} z_{n .}^{\alpha} N_{j}-z^{i m} z^{j n} z_{n .}^{\alpha} N_{j}\right) \nabla_{\alpha} a_{m}-z^{i j k} z_{j .}^{\alpha} \nabla_{\alpha} b_{k} \\
& =-z^{i n} z_{n .}^{\alpha} N^{m} \nabla_{\alpha} a_{m}-z^{i j k} z_{j .}^{\alpha} \nabla_{\alpha} b_{k} \\
& =-z^{i n} z_{n .}^{\alpha} N^{m} \nabla_{\alpha} a_{m}-z^{i j k} z_{j .}^{\alpha} \nabla_{\alpha}\left(z_{k}^{m n} a_{n} N_{m}\right) \\
& =-z^{i n} z_{n .}^{\alpha} N^{m} \nabla_{\alpha} a_{m}^{\cdot}-z^{i j k} z_{k}^{m n} z_{j .}^{\alpha} \nabla_{\alpha}\left(a_{n} N_{m}\right) \\
& =-z^{i n} z_{n .}^{\alpha} N^{m} \nabla_{\alpha} a_{m}-z^{i j k} z_{k}^{m n} z_{j .}^{\alpha}\left(\nabla_{\alpha} a_{n} N_{m}+a_{n} \nabla_{\alpha} N_{m}\right) \\
& =-z^{i n} z_{n .}^{\alpha} N^{m} \nabla_{\alpha} a_{m}-\left(z^{i m} z^{j n}-z^{i n} z^{j m}\right) z_{j .}^{\alpha}\left(\nabla_{\alpha} a_{n} N_{m}-a_{n} b_{\alpha \beta} z_{m .}^{\beta}\right) \\
& =-z^{i n} z_{n .}^{\alpha} N^{m} \nabla_{\alpha} a_{m}^{\cdot}-\left(z^{i m} z^{j n}-z^{i n} z^{j m}\right) z_{j .}^{\alpha} \nabla_{\alpha} a_{n} N_{m}+\left(z^{i m} z^{j n}-z^{i n} z^{j m}\right) z_{j .}^{\alpha} a_{n} b_{\alpha \beta} z_{m .}^{\beta} \\
& =-a^{i} b_{\alpha}^{\alpha}-z_{.}^{n \alpha} \nabla_{\alpha} a_{n} N^{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining Equations (5.11) - (5.13), we can transform Equation (5.10) as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{m} N^{i} N^{m}=\frac{4 \pi}{c}\left[\chi^{i}(E)\right]_{-}^{+}+\frac{2}{c} \frac{\delta a^{i}}{\delta t}-a^{i} b_{\alpha}^{\alpha}-z_{. .}^{n \alpha} \nabla_{\alpha} a_{n} N^{i} \tag{5.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Contracting Equation (5.14) with $a_{i}$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\delta\left(a^{i} a_{i}\right)}{\delta t}-a_{i} a^{i} c b_{\alpha}^{\alpha}+4 \pi a_{i}\left[\chi^{i}(E)\right]_{-}^{+}=0 \tag{5.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Contracting Equation (5.14) with $b_{i}$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{4 \pi}{c}\left[\chi^{i}(E)\right]_{-}^{+} b_{i}+\frac{2}{c} \frac{\delta a^{i}}{\delta t} b_{i}=0 \tag{5.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

In linear case, we can rewrite Equations (5.15), (5.16)

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\delta\left(a^{i} a_{i}\right)}{\delta t}-a_{i} a^{i} c b_{\alpha}^{\alpha}+4 \pi \chi^{i j} a_{i} a_{j}=0  \tag{5.17}\\
\frac{\delta a^{i}}{\delta t} b_{i}+2 \pi \chi^{i j} a_{j} b_{i}=0 \tag{5.18}
\end{gather*}
$$

In the case of isotropic linear conductor we get, by definition, $\chi^{i}(E)=\chi E^{i}$; we, then, get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\delta}{\delta t} \ln \frac{|a|^{2}}{J}=-4 \pi \chi \tag{5.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

as implied by the chain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\delta\left(a^{i} a_{i}\right)}{\delta t}-a_{i} a^{i} c b_{\alpha}^{\alpha}+4 \pi a_{i}\left[\chi^{i}(E)\right]_{-}^{+}=0 \\
& \frac{\delta}{\delta t} \ln \left(a^{i} a_{i}\right)-\frac{\delta}{\delta t} \ln J=-4 \pi \chi \\
& \frac{\delta}{\delta t} \ln \frac{|a|^{2}}{J}=-4 \pi \chi
\end{aligned}
$$

In Equation (5.19), the quantity $J$ is the ray-divergence (see [2] [3] [5] [7] [8] [9] [10]).

Also, in the case $\chi^{i}(E)=\chi E^{i}$, Equation (5.18) reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{4 \pi}{c} \chi a^{i} b_{i}+\frac{2}{c} \frac{\delta a^{i}}{\delta t} b_{i}=0 \tag{5.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

and in view of Equation (4.7) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\delta a^{i}}{\delta t} b_{i}=0 \tag{5.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\delta a^{i}}{\delta t} & =\frac{1}{2}\left(c b_{\alpha}^{\alpha}-4 \pi \chi\right) a^{i}  \tag{5.22}\\
\frac{\delta b^{i}}{\delta t} & =\frac{1}{2}\left(c b_{\alpha}^{\alpha}-4 \pi \chi\right) b^{i} \tag{5.23}
\end{align*}
$$

as implied by the analysis below.
Let us look for the derivative $\delta a^{i} / \delta t$ in the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\delta a^{i}}{\delta t}=B_{1} a^{i}+B_{2} b^{i}+B_{3} N^{i} \tag{5.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B_{1}, B_{2}, B_{3}$ are certain coefficients.
Let us use the relationships

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\delta a^{i}}{\delta t} a_{i}=B_{1}|a|^{2}, \frac{\delta a^{i}}{\delta t} b_{i}=B_{2}|b|^{2}, \frac{\delta a^{i}}{\delta t} N_{i}=B_{3} \tag{5.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

We, then, get

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{1}=\frac{1}{2}\left(c b_{\alpha}^{\alpha}-4 \pi \chi\right), B_{2}=0, B_{3}=0 \tag{5.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using Equations (5.26), we arrive at the relationship (5.22).
Now, using the chain

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\delta b^{i}}{\delta t} & =\frac{\delta}{\delta t}\left(z_{\ldots n}^{i m n} a_{n} N_{m}\right)=z_{\ldots}^{i m n} N_{m} \frac{\delta a_{n}}{\delta t}  \tag{5.27}\\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left(c b_{\alpha}^{\alpha}-4 \pi \chi\right) z_{\ldots}^{i m n} N_{m} a_{n}=\frac{1}{2}\left(c b_{\alpha}^{\alpha}-4 \pi \chi\right) b^{i}
\end{align*}
$$

we arrive at the relationship (5.23).

## 7. Anisotropic Conductivity

Consider the case $\chi^{i}(E)=\chi^{i j} E_{j}$; we then get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\delta\left(a^{i} a_{i}\right)}{\delta t}-a_{i} a^{i} c b_{\alpha}^{\alpha}+4 \pi \chi^{i j} a_{i} a_{j}=0 \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and then

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{i} \frac{\delta a^{i}}{\delta t}=\frac{1}{2} c b_{\alpha}^{\alpha} a_{i} a^{i}-2 \pi \chi^{i j} a_{i} a_{j} \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, instead of Equations (5.22), (5.23) we arrive at the relationships

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\delta a^{i}}{\delta t}=\left(\frac{1}{2} c b_{\alpha}^{\alpha}-2 \pi \chi^{k m} \frac{a_{k} a_{m}}{|a|^{2}}\right) a^{i} \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\delta b^{i}}{\delta t}=\left(\frac{1}{2} c b_{\alpha}^{\alpha}-2 \pi \chi^{k m} \frac{a_{k} a_{m}}{|a|^{2}}\right) b^{i} \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We proceed as

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\delta b^{i}}{\delta t} & =\frac{\delta}{\delta t}\left(z_{\ldots}^{i m n} a_{n} N_{m}\right)=z_{\ldots}^{i m n} N_{m} \frac{\delta a_{n}}{\delta t} \\
& =z_{\ldots}^{i p q} N_{p}\left(c b_{\alpha}^{\alpha} / 2-2 \pi \chi^{k m} \frac{a_{k} a_{m}}{|a|^{2}}\right) a_{q}  \tag{6.5}\\
& =\left(c b_{\alpha}^{\alpha} / 2-2 \pi \chi^{k m} \frac{a_{k} a_{m}}{|a|^{2}}\right) b^{i}
\end{align*}
$$

Using Equation (3.5), we can rewrite Equations (6.3), (6.4) as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
l \frac{\delta \tau_{E}^{i}}{\delta t}+\frac{\delta l}{\delta t} \tau_{E}^{i}=\left(c b_{\alpha}^{\alpha} / 2-2 \pi \chi^{k m} \tau_{E k} \tau_{E m}\right) l \tau_{E}^{i} \tag{6.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
l \frac{\delta \tau_{H}^{i}}{\delta t}+\frac{\delta l}{\delta t} \tau_{H}^{i}=\left(c b_{\alpha}^{\alpha} / 2-2 \pi \chi^{k m} \tau_{E k} \tau_{E m}\right) l \tau_{H}^{i} \tag{6.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\delta \tau_{E}^{i}}{\delta t}+\frac{\delta \ln l}{\delta t} \tau_{E}^{i}=\left(c b_{\alpha}^{\alpha} / 2-2 \pi \chi^{k m} \tau_{E k} \tau_{E m}\right) \tau_{E}^{i} \tag{6.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\delta \tau_{H}^{i}}{\delta t}+\frac{\delta \ln l}{\delta t} \tau_{H}^{i}=\left(c b_{\alpha}^{\alpha} / 2-2 \pi \chi^{k m} \tau_{E k} \tau_{E m}\right) \tau_{H}^{i} \tag{6.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (6.8) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\delta \ln l}{\delta t}=\frac{1}{2} c b_{\alpha}^{\alpha}-2 \pi \chi^{k m} \tau_{E k} \tau_{E m} \tag{6.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\delta \tau_{E}^{i}}{\delta t}=0 \tag{6.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\delta \tau_{H}^{i}}{\delta t}=0 \tag{6.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, the directors $\tau_{E}^{i}$ and $\tau_{H}^{i}$ of jumps of the electric and magnetic fields remain unchanged along each of the rays.

## 8. Conclusion

We investigated electromagnetic shock-fronts, propagating within non-polarizable and non-magnetizable conductors. Our analysis relies on the Heaviside jump condition and on the so-called geometric and kinematic compatibility conditions. The Ohm's resistance does not influence the speed of the shock-frontsthey still propagate with the speed of light in vacuum. Evolution of the jump vectors of electric and magnetic fields is described in terms of the rays; the rays are the two-parameter sets of lines, which are orthogonal to the consecutive positions of the shock-fronts. It was demonstrated that the unit directors $\tau_{E}^{i}$ and $\tau_{H}^{i}$ of the jumps of the electric and magnetic fields remain unchanged along each of the rays. At the same time, the amplitudes of the jumps of the electric and magnetic fields change due to two reasons: the rays divergence and Ohmic dissipation.
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