

Effects of Small Permanent Charge on PNP Models

Guojian Lin

Department of Mathematics, Renmin University of China, Beijing, China

Email: gjlin@ruc.edu.cn

How to cite this paper: Lin, G.J. (2021) Effects of Small Permanent Charge on PNP Models. *Journal of Applied Mathematics and Physics*, 9, 2321-2333. <https://doi.org/10.4236/jamp.2021.99147>

Received: August 25, 2021

Accepted: September 23, 2021

Published: September 26, 2021

Copyright © 2021 by author(s) and Scientific Research Publishing Inc.

This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution International License (CC BY 4.0).

<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>



Open Access

Abstract

In this paper, a stationary one-dimensional Poisson-Nernst-Planck model with permanent charge is studied under the assumption that $n-1$ positively charged ion species have the same valence and the permanent charge is small. By expanding the singular solutions of Poisson-Nernst-Planck model with respect to small permanent charge, the explicit formulae for the zeroth order approximation and the first order approximation of individual flux can be obtained. Based on these explicit formulae, the effects of small permanent charges on individual flux are investigated.

Keywords

PNP Model, Permanent Charge, Ionic Flow

1. Introduction

The cell membrane is a biological membrane that separates the interior of all cells from the outside environment which protects the cell from its environment. Ion channels are large proteins embedded in cell membranes that have holes open to the inside and the outside of cells. Ion channel opening gives rise to a passageway through which charged ions can cross the cell membrane. It is now well-known that migration of charges for ionic flow through ion channels can be described mathematically by the Poisson-Nernst-Planck model [1] [2].

A stationary one-dimensional Poisson-Nernst-Planck model [3] [4] [5] is

$$\frac{1}{h(x)} \frac{d}{dx} \left(\varepsilon_r \varepsilon_0 h(x) \frac{d\Phi}{dx} \right) = -e \left(\sum_{j=1}^n z_j c_j(x) + Q(x) \right), \quad (1.1)$$
$$\frac{d\mathcal{J}_i}{dx} = 0, \quad -\mathcal{J}_i = \frac{1}{kT} D_i h(x) c_i(x) \frac{d\mu_i}{dx}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

where Φ is the electric potential, c_i is the concentration for the i th ion spe-

cies, z_i is the valence, $Q(x)$ is the permanent charge of the channel, $\mu_i(x)$ is the electrochemical potential, $h(x)$ is the area of the cross-section of the channel, \mathcal{J}_i is the flux density, D_i is the diffusion coefficient, ε_r is the relative dielectric coefficient, ε_0 is the vacuum permittivity, k is the Boltzmann constant, T is the absolute temperature, and e is the elementary charge.

The boundary conditions are, for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$,

$$\Phi(0) = V, \quad c_i(0) = L_i; \quad \Phi(1) = 0, \quad c_i(1) = R_i. \quad (1.2)$$

$\mu_i(x)$ in the classical Poisson-Nernst-Planck model takes the following form

$$\mu_i(x) = z_i e \phi(x) + kT \ln \frac{c_i(x)}{c_0} \quad (1.3)$$

with c_0 is a constant.

The Poisson-Nernst-Planck model (1.1) can be viewed as a simplified model which is derived from the Maxwell-Boltzmann equations [6] [7] and the Langevin-Poisson equations [8] [9]. More sophisticated Poisson-Nernst-Planck model has been also developed and analyzed [10] [11]. The dynamics of the classical model (1.1) has been analyzed [12] [13] [14] [15] to a great extent. Especially, the existence and uniqueness of solutions for the boundary value problems (1.1) and (1.2) has been obtained in [16] under the assumption that $Q(x) = 0$. In [17], under the assumption that $Q(x)$ is a piecewise constant function, the general dynamical system framework for studying the boundary value problems (1.1) and (1.2) has been developed by employing the geometric singular perturbation theory [18] [19] [20]. As we know, under the assumption that $Q(x)$ is a piecewise constant function, it is basically difficult to obtain the explicit formula for individual flux with respect to permanent charges, so it is also not easy to analyze the effects of permanent charges on individual flux. In this paper, the effects of permanent charges on ionic flows through ion channels are investigated under the following assumptions.

(A1) $z_1 = \dots = z_{n-1} = z > 0$ and $z_n < 0$.

(A2) $Q(x) = 0$ for $0 < x < a$, $Q(x) = Q$ for $a < x < b$ and $Q(x) = 0$ for $b < x < 1$, where Q is a constant and Q will be set to be small in the later analysis.

By re-scaling,

$$\phi = \frac{e}{kT} \Phi, \quad \bar{V} = \frac{e}{kT} V, \quad \varepsilon^2 = \frac{\varepsilon_r \varepsilon_0 kT}{e^2}, \quad J_i = \frac{\mathcal{J}_i}{D_i}.$$

The model (1.1) is reduced to a standard singularly perturbed system of the following

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon^2 \frac{d}{dx} \left(h(x) \frac{d\phi}{dx} \right) &= -[z c_1 + \dots + z c_{n-1} + z_n c_n + Q(x)], \\ h(x) \left(\frac{dc_1}{dx} + z c_1 \frac{d\phi}{dx} \right) &= -J_1, \\ &\vdots \\ h(x) \left(\frac{dc_{n-1}}{dx} + z c_{n-1} \frac{d\phi}{dx} \right) &= -J_{n-1}, \end{aligned}$$

$$h(x) \left(\frac{dc_n}{dx} + z_n c_n \frac{d\phi}{dx} \right) = -J_n, \quad (1.4)$$

$$\frac{dJ_1}{dx} = \dots = \frac{dJ_n}{dx} = 0,$$

with the boundary condition, for $j = 1, \dots, n$.

$$\phi(0) = \bar{V}, \quad c_j(0) = L_j, \quad \phi(1) = 0, \quad c_j(1) = R_j. \quad (1.5)$$

Under the assumptions (A1) and (A2), the existence of the solutions of (1.4) and (1.5) has been studied in [21]. In this paper, it is additionally assumed that the constant Q is small, then by expanding the solutions of (1.4) and (1.5) with respect to small Q , the explicit formulae for the zeroth order approximation and the first order approximation of individual flux can be obtained. Based on these explicit formulae, the effects of small permanent charges on individual flux are investigated. As $n = 2$ in (1.4) and (1.5), namely, only one positively charged ion and one negatively charged ion are involved in the Poisson-Nernst-Planck model, the effects of small permanent charges on individual flux has been analyzed in [22]. On the other hand, assuming that the constant Q is large, the effects of large permanent charges on individual flux have been also analyzed in [23].

2. Brief Reviews of Relevant Results in [21]

Let $u = \varepsilon \frac{d}{dx} \phi$, $\tau = x$. System (1.4) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon \dot{\phi} &= u, & \varepsilon \dot{u} &= -[zc_1 + \dots + zc_{n-1} + z_n c_n + Q(x)] - \varepsilon h^{-1}(\tau) h_\tau(\tau) u, \\ \varepsilon \dot{c}_1 &= -zc_1 u - \varepsilon h_\tau(\tau) J_1, \\ &\vdots \\ \varepsilon \dot{c}_{n-1} &= -zc_{n-1} u - \varepsilon h_\tau(\tau) J_{n-1}, \\ \varepsilon \dot{c}_n &= -z_n c_n u - \varepsilon h_\tau(\tau) J_n, \\ \dot{J}_1 &= 0, \dots, \dot{J}_n = 0, & \dot{\tau} &= 1. \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

By using the rescaling $x = \varepsilon \xi$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \phi' &= u, & u' &= -[zc_1 + \dots + zc_{n-1} + z_n c_n + Q(x)] - \varepsilon h^{-1}(\tau) h_\tau(\tau) u, \\ c_1' &= -zc_1 u - \varepsilon h_\tau(\tau) J_1, \\ &\vdots \\ c_{n-1}' &= -zc_{n-1} u - \varepsilon h_\tau(\tau) J_{n-1}, \\ c_n' &= -z_n c_n u - \varepsilon h_\tau(\tau) J_n, \\ J_1' &= 0, \dots, J_n' = 0, & \tau' &= \varepsilon. \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

Define

$$\begin{aligned} B_L &= \left\{ (\bar{V}, u, L_1, \dots, L_n, J_1, \dots, J_n, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n+3} : \text{arbitrary } u, J_1, \dots, J_n \right\}, \\ B_R &= \left\{ (0, u, R_1, \dots, R_n, J_1, \dots, J_n, 1) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n+3} : \text{arbitrary } u, J_1, \dots, J_n \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

Then a solution to Equations (1.4) and (1.5) is to finding an orbit of Equation

(2.6) or (2.7) from B_L to B_R .

Due to the fact that $Q(x)$ is a piecewise constant function, so we analyze the limiting fast and limiting slow orbits of Equations (2.6) and (2.7) on three intervals $[0, a]$, $[a, b]$ and $[b, 1]$ respectively.

Let $\phi(a) = \phi^a$, $c_1(a) = c_1^a$, \dots , $c_n(a) = c_n^a$, where ϕ^a , c_1^a , \dots , c_n^a are unknowns to be determined. Let

$$B_a = \left\{ (\phi^a, u, c_1^a, \dots, c_n^a, J_1, \dots, J_n, a) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n+3} : \text{arbitrary } u, J_1, \dots, J_n \right\}.$$

Let $\phi(b) = \phi^b$, $c_1(b) = c_1^b$, \dots , $c_n(b) = c_n^b$, where ϕ^b , c_1^b , \dots , c_n^b are unknowns to be determined. Let

$$B_b = \left\{ (\phi^b, u, c_1^b, \dots, c_n^b, J_1, \dots, J_n, b) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n+3} : \text{arbitrary } u, J_1, \dots, J_n \right\}.$$

Then an singular orbit of Equation (2.6) or (2.7) from B_L to B_R consists of three parts: that is, a singular orbit over the interval $[0, a]$ connecting orbit from B_L to B_a , a singular orbit over the interval $[a, b]$ connecting orbit from B_a to B_b , and a singular orbit over the interval $[b, 1]$ connecting orbit from B_b to B_R .

Based on [21], an singular orbit of Equation (2.6) or (2.7) from B_L to B_R is equivalent to solving the following algebraic equations:

$$\begin{aligned} & zc_1^a e^{z(\phi^a - \phi)} + \dots + zc_{n-1}^a e^{z(\phi^a - \phi)} + z_n c_n^a e^{z_n(\phi^a - \phi)} + Q = 0, \\ & zc_1^b e^{z(\phi^b - \phi)} + \dots + zc_{n-1}^b e^{z(\phi^b - \phi)} + z_n c_n^b e^{z_n(\phi^b - \phi)} + Q = 0, \\ & \operatorname{sgn}(\phi^a - \phi^{a,l}) \sqrt{2 \left[c_1^a + \dots + c_n^a - (c_1^{a,l} + \dots + c_n^{a,l}) \right]} \\ & = \operatorname{sgn}(\phi^{a,m} - \phi^a) \sqrt{2 \left[c_1^a + \dots + c_n^a - (c_1^{a,m} + \dots + c_n^{a,m}) - Q(\phi^a - \phi^{a,m}) \right]}, \\ & \operatorname{sgn}(\phi^b - \phi^{b,m}) \sqrt{2 \left[c_1^b + \dots + c_n^b - (c_1^{b,m} + \dots + c_n^{b,m}) - Q(\phi^b - \phi^{b,m}) \right]} \\ & = \operatorname{sgn}(\phi^{b,r} - \phi^b) \sqrt{2 \left[c_1^b + \dots + c_n^b - (c_1^{b,r} + \dots + c_n^{b,r}) \right]}, \\ & J_1 + \dots + J_{n-1} \\ & = \frac{c_1^L + \dots + c_{n-1}^L - (c_1^{a,l} + \dots + c_{n-1}^{a,l})}{H(a)} \left[1 - \frac{z(\phi^L - \phi^{a,l})}{\ln \frac{c_1^{a,l} + \dots + c_{n-1}^{a,l}}{c_1^L + \dots + c_{n-1}^L}} \right] \tag{2.9} \\ & = \frac{c_1^{b,r} + \dots + c_{n-1}^{b,r} - (c_1^R + \dots + c_{n-1}^R)}{H(1) - H(b)} \left[1 - \frac{z(\phi^{b,r} - \phi^R)}{\ln \frac{c_1^R + \dots + c_{n-1}^R}{c_1^{b,r} + \dots + c_{n-1}^{b,r}}} \right], \\ & J_n = \frac{z \left[c_1^{a,l} + \dots + c_{n-1}^{a,l} - (c_1^L + \dots + c_{n-1}^L) \right]}{z_n H(a)} \left[1 - \frac{z_n (\phi^L - \phi^{a,l})}{\ln \frac{c_1^{a,l} + \dots + c_{n-1}^{a,l}}{c_1^L + \dots + c_{n-1}^L}} \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{z \left[c_1^R + \dots + c_{n-1}^R - (c_1^{b,r} + \dots + c_{n-1}^{b,r}) \right]}{z_n (H(1) - H(b))} \left[1 - \frac{z_n (\phi^{b,r} - \phi^R)}{\ln \frac{c_1^R + \dots + c_{n-1}^R}{c_1^{b,r} + \dots + c_{n-1}^{b,r}}} \right], \\
\phi^{b,m} &= \phi^{a,m} - \frac{z(J_1 + \dots + J_{n-1}) + z_n J_n}{z z_n (J_1 + \dots + J_n)} \\
&\quad \times \ln \frac{z(J_1 + \dots + J_n)(c_1^{b,m} + \dots + c_{n-1}^{b,m}) + Q(J_1 + \dots + J_{n-1})}{z(J_1 + \dots + J_n)(c_1^{a,m} + \dots + c_{n-1}^{a,m}) + Q(J_1 + \dots + J_{n-1})}, \\
J_1 + \dots + J_n &= \frac{(z - z_n) \left[c_1^{a,m} + \dots + c_{n-1}^{a,m} - (c_1^{b,m} + \dots + c_{n-1}^{b,m}) \right]}{-z_n (H(b) - H(a))} - \frac{Q(\phi^{a,m} - \phi^{b,m})}{H(b) - H(a)},
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{J_i}{J_1 + \dots + J_{n-1}} &= \frac{c_i^{a,l} - c_i^L e^{z(\phi^L - \phi^{a,l})}}{c_1^{a,l} + \dots + c_{n-1}^{a,l} - (c_1^L + \dots + c_{n-1}^L) e^{z(\phi^L - \phi^{a,l})}}, \\
&= \frac{c_i^{b,m} - c_i^{a,m} e^{z(\phi^{a,m} - \phi^{b,m})}}{c_1^{b,m} + \dots + c_{n-1}^{b,m} - (c_1^{a,m} + \dots + c_{n-1}^{a,m}) e^{z(\phi^{a,m} - \phi^{b,m})}} \quad (2.10) \\
&= \frac{c_i^R - c_i^{b,r} e^{z(\phi^{b,r} - \phi^R)}}{c_1^R + \dots + c_{n-1}^R - (c_1^{b,r} + \dots + c_{n-1}^{b,r}) e^{z(\phi^{b,r} - \phi^R)}}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n-1,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
c_i^L &= L_i \left[\frac{-z_n L_n}{z(L_1 + \dots + L_{n-1})} \right]^{\frac{z}{z-z_n}}, \quad c_n^L = L_n \left[\frac{-z_n L_n}{z(L_1 + \dots + L_{n-1})} \right]^{\frac{z}{z-z_n}}, \\
\phi^L &= \bar{V} - \frac{1}{z - z_n} \ln \frac{-z_n L_n}{z(L_1 + \dots + L_{n-1})}, \\
c_i^{a,l} &= c_i^a \left[\frac{-z_n c_n^a}{z(c_1^a + \dots + c_{n-1}^a)} \right]^{\frac{z}{z-z_n}}, \quad c_n^{a,l} = c_n^a \left[\frac{-z_n c_n^a}{z(c_1^a + \dots + c_{n-1}^a)} \right]^{\frac{z}{z-z_n}}, \\
\phi^{a,l} &= \phi^a - \frac{1}{z - z_n} \ln \frac{-z_n c_n^a}{z(c_1^a + \dots + c_{n-1}^a)}, \\
c_i^{a,m} &= c_i^a e^{z(\phi^a - \phi^{a,m})}, \quad c_n^{a,m} = c_n^a e^{z_n(\phi^a - \phi^{a,m})}, \\
c_i^{b,m} &= c_i^b e^{z(\phi^b - \phi^{b,m})}, \quad c_n^{b,m} = c_n^b e^{z_n(\phi^b - \phi^{b,m})}, \quad (2.11) \\
c_i^{b,r} &= c_i^b \left[\frac{-z_n c_n^b}{z(c_1^b + \dots + c_{n-1}^b)} \right]^{\frac{z}{z-z_n}}, \quad c_n^{b,r} = c_n^b \left[\frac{-z_n c_n^b}{z(c_1^b + \dots + c_{n-1}^b)} \right]^{\frac{z}{z-z_n}}, \\
\phi^{b,r} &= \phi^b - \frac{1}{z - z_n} \ln \frac{-z_n c_n^b}{z(c_1^b + \dots + c_{n-1}^b)},
\end{aligned}$$

$$c_i^R = R_i \left[\frac{-z_n R_n}{z(R_1 + \dots + R_{n-1})} \right]^{\frac{z}{z-z_n}}, c_n^R = R_n \left[\frac{-z_n R_n}{z(R_1 + \dots + R_{n-1})} \right]^{\frac{z_n}{z-z_n}},$$

$$\phi^R = -\frac{1}{z-z_n} \ln \frac{-z_n R_n}{z(R_1 + \dots + R_{n-1})}, i = 1, \dots, n-1,$$

$$H(x) = \int_0^x h^{-1}(s) ds.$$

3. Taylor Expansions of (2.9)-(2.11) with Respect to Small $|Q|$

In this section, it is assumed that $|Q|$ is small. All unknown quantities in (2.9)-(2.11) are expanded in Q as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \phi^a &= \phi_0^a + \phi_1^a Q + \phi_2^a Q^2 + o(Q^2), \phi^b = \phi_0^b + \phi_1^b Q + \phi_2^b Q^2 + o(Q^2), \\ c_i^a &= c_{i0}^a + c_{i1}^a Q + c_{i2}^a Q^2 + o(Q^2), c_i^b = c_{i0}^b + c_{i1}^b Q + c_{i2}^b Q^2 + o(Q^2), \\ J_i &= J_{i0} + J_{i1} Q + J_{i2} Q^2 + o(Q^2), i = 1, 2, \dots, n. \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

Let

$$\alpha = \frac{H(a)}{H(1)}, \beta = \frac{H(b)}{H(1)}. \tag{3.13}$$

Inserting the formulae (3.12) into (2.9)-(2.11) and expanding the algebraic equations (2.9)-(2.11) in Q , then by comparing the terms of like-powers in Q one has

Proposition 3.1. *Zeroth order solution in Q of (2.9)-(2.11) is given by*

$$\begin{aligned} c_{10}^{a,l} + \dots + c_{n-1,0}^{a,l} &= c_{10}^{a,m} + \dots + c_{n-1,0}^{a,m} = c_{10}^a + \dots + c_{n-1,0}^a \\ &= c_1^L + \dots + c_{n-1}^L + \alpha \left[c_1^R + \dots + c_{n-1}^R - (c_1^L + \dots + c_{n-1}^L) \right], \\ z \left(c_{10}^a + \dots + c_{n-1,0}^a \right) &= -z_n c_{n0}^a, \\ c_{10}^{b,r} + \dots + c_{n-1,0}^{b,r} &= c_{10}^{b,m} + \dots + c_{n-1,0}^{b,m} = c_{10}^b + \dots + c_{n-1,0}^b \\ &= c_1^L + \dots + c_{n-1}^L + \beta \left[c_1^R + \dots + c_{n-1}^R - (c_1^L + \dots + c_{n-1}^L) \right], \\ z \left(c_{10}^b + \dots + c_{n-1,0}^b \right) &= -z_n c_{n0}^b, \\ \phi_0^{a,l} = \phi_0^{a,m} = \phi_0^a &= \frac{\ln(c_1^R + \dots + c_{n-1}^R) - \ln(c_{10}^a + \dots + c_{n-1,0}^a)}{\ln(c_1^R + \dots + c_{n-1}^R) - \ln(c_1^L + \dots + c_{n-1}^L)} \phi^L \\ &\quad + \frac{\ln(c_{10}^a + \dots + c_{n-1,0}^a) - \ln(c_1^L + \dots + c_{n-1}^L)}{\ln(c_1^R + \dots + c_{n-1}^R) - \ln(c_1^L + \dots + c_{n-1}^L)} \phi^R, \\ \phi_0^{b,r} = \phi_0^{b,m} = \phi_0^b &= \frac{\ln(c_1^R + \dots + c_{n-1}^R) - \ln(c_{10}^b + \dots + c_{n-1,0}^b)}{\ln(c_1^R + \dots + c_{n-1}^R) - \ln(c_1^L + \dots + c_{n-1}^L)} \phi^L \\ &\quad + \frac{\ln(c_{10}^b + \dots + c_{n-1,0}^b) - \ln(c_1^L + \dots + c_{n-1}^L)}{\ln(c_1^R + \dots + c_{n-1}^R) - \ln(c_1^L + \dots + c_{n-1}^L)} \phi^R, \end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
J_{10} + \cdots + J_{n-1,0} &= \frac{c_1^L + \cdots + c_{n-1}^L - (c_1^R + \cdots + c_{n-1}^R)}{H(1) \left[\ln(c_1^L + \cdots + c_{n-1}^L) - \ln(c_1^R + \cdots + c_{n-1}^R) \right]} \\
&\quad \times \left[z\bar{V} + \ln(L_1 + \cdots + L_{n-1}) - \ln(R_1 + \cdots + R_{n-1}) \right], \\
J_{n0} &= \frac{c_n^L - c_n^R}{H(1)(\ln c_n^L - \ln c_n^R)} (z_n \bar{V} + \ln L_n - \ln R_n), \\
J_{i0} &= \frac{c_i^R - c_i^L e^{z(\phi^L - \phi^R)}}{c_1^R + \cdots + c_{n-1}^R - (c_1^L + \cdots + c_{n-1}^L) e^{z(\phi^L - \phi^R)}} (J_{10} + \cdots + J_{n-1,0}), \\
c_{i0}^a &= e^{z(\bar{V} - \phi_0^a)} \frac{L_i (R_1 + \cdots + R_{n-1}) - R_i (L_1 + \cdots + L_{n-1})}{R_1 + \cdots + R_{n-1} - (L_1 + \cdots + L_{n-1}) e^{z\bar{V}}} \\
&\quad + \frac{(R_i - L_i e^{z\bar{V}})(c_{10}^a + \cdots + c_{n-1,0}^a)}{R_1 + \cdots + R_{n-1} - (L_1 + \cdots + L_{n-1}) e^{z\bar{V}}}, \\
c_{i0}^b &= e^{z(\bar{V} - \phi_0^b)} \frac{L_i (R_1 + \cdots + R_{n-1}) - R_i (L_1 + \cdots + L_{n-1})}{R_1 + \cdots + R_{n-1} - (L_1 + \cdots + L_{n-1}) e^{z\bar{V}}} \\
&\quad + \frac{(R_i - L_i e^{z\bar{V}})(c_{10}^b + \cdots + c_{n-1,0}^b)}{R_1 + \cdots + R_{n-1} - (L_1 + \cdots + L_{n-1}) e^{z\bar{V}}}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1.
\end{aligned}$$

Corollary 3.2. *Under electroneutrality boundary conditions*

$z(L_1 + L_2 + \cdots + L_{n-1}) = -z_n L_n = L$ and $z(R_1 + R_2 + \cdots + R_{n-1}) = -z_n R_n = R$, one has $c_1^L = L_1, \dots, c_n^L = L_n$, $c_1^R = R_1, \dots, c_n^R = R_n$, $\phi^L = \bar{V}$, $\phi^R = 0$, and

$$\begin{aligned}
z(c_{10}^{a,l} + \cdots + c_{n-1,0}^{a,l}) &= z(c_{10}^{a,m} + \cdots + c_{n-1,0}^{a,m}) = z(c_{10}^a + \cdots + c_{n-1,0}^a) \\
&= (1 - \alpha)L + \alpha R,
\end{aligned}$$

$$z(c_{10}^a + \cdots + c_{n-1,0}^a) = -z_n c_{n0}^a,$$

$$\begin{aligned}
z(c_{10}^{b,r} + \cdots + c_{n-1,0}^{b,r}) &= z(c_{10}^{b,m} + \cdots + c_{n-1,0}^{b,m}) = z(c_{10}^b + \cdots + c_{n-1,0}^b) \\
&= (1 - \beta)L + \beta R,
\end{aligned}$$

$$z(c_{10}^b + \cdots + c_{n-1,0}^b) = -z_n c_{n0}^b,$$

$$\phi_0^{a,l} = \phi_0^{a,m} = \phi_0^a = \frac{\ln[(1 - \alpha)L + \alpha R] - \ln R}{\ln L - \ln R} \bar{V}$$

$$\phi_0^{b,r} = \phi_0^{b,m} = \phi_0^b = \frac{\ln[(1 - \beta)L + \beta R] - \ln R}{\ln L - \ln R} \bar{V} \quad (3.15)$$

$$J_{10} + \cdots + J_{n-1,0} = \frac{L - R}{zH(1)(\ln L - \ln R)} (z\bar{V} + \ln L - \ln R),$$

$$J_{n0} = \frac{L - R}{-z_n H(1)(\ln L - \ln R)} (z_n \bar{V} + \ln L - \ln R),$$

$$J_{i0} = \frac{z(R_i - L_i e^{z\bar{V}})}{R - L e^{z\bar{V}}} (J_{10} + \cdots + J_{n-1,0}),$$

$$c_{i0}^a = e^{z(\bar{\nu}-\phi_0^a)} \frac{L_i R - R_i L}{R - L e^{z\bar{\nu}}} + \frac{z(R_i - L_i e^{z\bar{\nu}})[(1-\alpha)L + \alpha R]}{R - L e^{z\bar{\nu}}},$$

$$c_{i0}^b = e^{z(\bar{\nu}-\phi_0^b)} \frac{L_i R - R_i L}{R - L e^{z\bar{\nu}}} + \frac{z(R_i - L_i e^{z\bar{\nu}})[(1-\beta)L + \beta R]}{R - L e^{z\bar{\nu}}}, i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1.$$

Proposition 3.3. *First order terms of the solution in Q of (2.9)-(2.11) are given by*

$$c_{11}^a + \dots + c_{n-1,1}^a = \frac{z_n \alpha}{z_n - z} (\phi_0^a - \phi_0^b) + \frac{1}{2(z_n - z)},$$

$$c_{11}^b + \dots + c_{n-1,1}^b = -\frac{z_n(1-\beta)}{z_n - z} (\phi_0^a - \phi_0^b) + \frac{1}{2(z_n - z)},$$

$$c_{n1}^a = -\frac{z\alpha}{z_n - z} (\phi_0^a - \phi_0^b) - \frac{1}{2(z_n - z)},$$

$$c_{n1}^b = \frac{z(1-\beta)}{z_n - z} (\phi_0^a - \phi_0^b) - \frac{1}{2(z_n - z)},$$

$$\phi_1^a = \frac{(1+z\lambda)(1+z_n\lambda)[c_{10}^a + \dots + c_{n-1,0}^a - (c_{10}^b + \dots + c_{n-1,0}^b)]}{z(z-z_n)(c_{10}^a + \dots + c_{n-1,0}^a)(c_{10}^b + \dots + c_{n-1,0}^b)}$$

$$\times \frac{\ln(c_1^L + \dots + c_{n-1}^L) - \ln(c_{10}^a + \dots + c_{n-1,0}^a)}{\ln(c_1^L + \dots + c_{n-1}^L) - \ln(c_1^R + \dots + c_{n-1}^R)} \tag{3.16}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2z(z-z_n)(c_{10}^a + \dots + c_{n-1,0}^a)} + \frac{z_n \alpha (\phi_0^b - \phi_0^a) \lambda}{(z-z_n)(c_{10}^a + \dots + c_{n-1,0}^a)},$$

$$\phi_1^b = \frac{(1+z\lambda)(1+z_n\lambda)[c_{10}^a + \dots + c_{n-1,0}^a - (c_{10}^b + \dots + c_{n-1,0}^b)]}{z(z-z_n)(c_{10}^a + \dots + c_{n-1,0}^a)(c_{10}^b + \dots + c_{n-1,0}^b)}$$

$$\times \frac{\ln(c_1^R + \dots + c_{n-1}^R) - (\ln c_{10}^b + \dots + \ln c_{n-1,0}^b)}{\ln(c_1^L + \dots + c_{n-1}^L) - \ln(c_1^R + \dots + c_{n-1}^R)}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2z(z-z_n)(c_{10}^b + \dots + c_{n-1,0}^b)} + \frac{z_n(1-\beta)(\phi_0^a - \phi_0^b)\lambda}{(z-z_n)(c_{10}^b + \dots + c_{n-1,0}^b)},$$

$$c_{i1}^a = -z\phi_1^a e^{z(\bar{\nu}-\phi_0^a)} \frac{L_i(R_1 + \dots + R_{n-1}) - R_i(L_1 + \dots + L_{n-1})}{R_1 + \dots + R_{n-1} - (L_1 + \dots + L_{n-1})e^{z\bar{\nu}}}$$

$$+ \frac{(R_i - L_i e^{z\bar{\nu}})(c_{11}^a + \dots + c_{n-1,1}^a)}{R_1 + \dots + R_{n-1} - (L_1 + \dots + L_{n-1})e^{z\bar{\nu}}},$$

$$c_{i1}^b = -z\phi_1^b e^{z(\bar{\nu}-\phi_0^b)} \frac{L_i(R_1 + \dots + R_{n-1}) - R_i(L_1 + \dots + L_{n-1})}{R_1 + \dots + R_{n-1} - (L_1 + \dots + L_{n-1})e^{z\bar{\nu}}}$$

$$+ \frac{(R_i - L_i e^{z\bar{\nu}})(c_{11}^b + \dots + c_{n-1,1}^b)}{R_1 + \dots + R_{n-1} - (L_1 + \dots + L_{n-1})e^{z\bar{\nu}}}, i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
J_{11} + \cdots + J_{n-1,1} &= \frac{A[1+(1-B)z_n\lambda](1+z\lambda)}{(z-z_n)H(1)}, \\
J_{n1} &= \frac{A[1+(1-B)z\lambda](1+z_n\lambda)}{(z_n-z)H(1)}, \\
J_{i1} &= \frac{\left[c_i^R - c_i^L e^{z(\phi^L - \phi^R)} \right] (J_{11} + \cdots + J_{n-1,1})}{c_1^R + \cdots + c_{n-1}^R - (c_1^L + \cdots + c_{n-1}^L) e^{z(\phi^L - \phi^R)}}, i=1, 2, \dots, n-1,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda &= \frac{\phi^L - \phi^R}{\ln(c_1^L + \cdots + c_{n-1}^L) - \ln(c_1^R + \cdots + c_{n-1}^R)}, \\
A &= \frac{c_{10}^b + \cdots + c_{n-1,0}^b - (c_{10}^a + \cdots + c_{n-1,0}^a)}{(c_{10}^a + \cdots + c_{n-1,0}^a)(c_{10}^b + \cdots + c_{n-1,0}^b)} \\
&\quad \times \frac{c_1^L + \cdots + c_{n-1}^L - (c_1^R + \cdots + c_{n-1}^R)}{\ln(c_1^L + \cdots + c_{n-1}^L) - \ln(c_1^R + \cdots + c_{n-1}^R)}, \\
B &= \frac{\ln(c_{10}^b + \cdots + c_{n-1,0}^b) - \ln(c_{10}^a + \cdots + c_{n-1,0}^a)}{A}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

4. Effects of Small Permanent Charge

In this section, the effects of small permanent charges on individual fluxes are analyzed under electroneutrality conditions $z(L_1 + L_2 + \cdots + L_{n-1}) = -z_n L_n = L$ and $z(R_1 + R_2 + \cdots + R_{n-1}) = -z_n R_n = R$.

For $|Q|$ small, the individual flux \mathcal{J}_i of the i th ion species are

$$\mathcal{J}_i = D_i J_{i0} + D_i J_{i1} Q + O(Q^2), i=1, 2, \dots, n.$$

From Proposition 3.3, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
J_{11} + \cdots + J_{n-1,1} &= (z\bar{V} + \ln L - \ln R) \left(\frac{A[(1-B)z_n\bar{V} + \ln L - \ln R]}{(z-z_n)H(1)(\ln L - \ln R)^2} \right), \\
J_{n1} &= (z_n\bar{V} + \ln L - \ln R) \left(\frac{A[(1-B)z\bar{V} + \ln L - \ln R]}{(z_n-z)H(1)(\ln L - \ln R)^2} \right), \\
J_{i1} &= \frac{z(R_i - L_i e^{z\bar{V}})}{R - L e^{z\bar{V}}} (J_{11} + \cdots + J_{n-1,1}), i=1, 2, \dots, n-1.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

where, in terms of α, β defined in (3.13), A and B defined in (3.18) become

$$\begin{aligned}
A(L, R) &= -\frac{(\beta - \alpha)(L - R)^2}{[(1 - \alpha)L + \alpha R][(1 - \beta)L + \beta R](\ln L - \ln R)}, \\
B(L, R) &= \frac{\ln[(1 - \beta)L + \beta R] - \ln[(1 - \alpha)L + \alpha R]}{A}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

Remark 4.1. Note that $\lim_{\bar{V} \rightarrow \frac{1}{z} \ln \frac{R}{L}} \frac{z\bar{V} + \ln L - \ln R}{R - L e^{z\bar{V}}} = -\frac{1}{R} \neq 0$, it means that

$\bar{V} = \frac{1}{z} \ln \frac{R}{L}$ is not a zero point of J_{i1} , also, it can be easily seen that there are only two values $\bar{V}_1 = \frac{1}{z} \ln \frac{R_i}{L_i}$ and $\bar{V}_2 = \ln \frac{\ln R - \ln L}{z_n(1-B)}$ such that $J_{i1} = 0, i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$.

Remark 4.2. Note that $\lim_{\bar{V} \rightarrow \pm\infty} \frac{J_{i1}}{\bar{V}^2} = \frac{z^2 z_n L_i A(1-B)}{(z-z_n)LH(1)(\ln L - \ln R)^2}$,

$i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$, where the sign of $A(1-B)$ has been analyzed by in [22].

Remark 4.3. J_{n1} in (3.3) is exactly similar to J_{21} in [22], whose properties have been analyzed in [22].

Let $\max\{\bar{V}_1, \bar{V}_2\}$ denote the larger value between \bar{V}_1 and \bar{V}_2 , $\min\{\bar{V}_1, \bar{V}_2\}$ denote the smaller value between \bar{V}_1 and \bar{V}_2 .

Theorem 4.4. (i) If $A(1-B) < 0$, for $\bar{V} > \max\{\bar{V}_1, \bar{V}_2\}$ or $\bar{V} < \min\{\bar{V}_1, \bar{V}_2\}$, then $J_{i1} > 0$; for $\min\{\bar{V}_1, \bar{V}_2\} < \bar{V} < \max\{\bar{V}_1, \bar{V}_2\}$, then $J_{i1} < 0, i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$.

(ii) If $A(1-B) > 0$, for $\bar{V} > \max\{\bar{V}_1, \bar{V}_2\}$ or $\bar{V} < \min\{\bar{V}_1, \bar{V}_2\}$, then $J_{i1} < 0$; for $\min\{\bar{V}_1, \bar{V}_2\} < \bar{V} < \max\{\bar{V}_1, \bar{V}_2\}$, then $J_{i1} > 0, i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$.

Proof. If $A(1-B) < 0$, based on Remark 4.2, then it follows that

$$\lim_{\bar{V} \rightarrow \pm\infty} \frac{J_{i1}}{\bar{V}^2} = \frac{z^2 z_n L_i A(1-B)}{(z-z_n)LH(1)(\ln L - \ln R)^2} > 0, i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1.$$

By Remark 4.1, there are only two values \bar{V}_1 and \bar{V}_2 such that

$$J_{i1}(\bar{V}_1) = J_{i1}(\bar{V}_2) = 0, i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1,$$

therefore the statement (i) can be obtained. Similarly, the statement (ii) can be also proved.

Theorem 4.5. If $A(1-B) < 0$, for $\bar{V} > \bar{V}_2$, then $J_{i0}J_{i1} > 0$; for $\bar{V} < \bar{V}_2$, then $J_{i0}J_{i1} < 0, i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$.

If $A(1-B) > 0$, for $\bar{V} > \bar{V}_2$, then $J_{i0}J_{i1} < 0$; for $\bar{V} < \bar{V}_2$, then $J_{i0}J_{i1} > 0, i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$.

Equivalently, for $A(1-B) < 0$ and $\bar{V} > \bar{V}_2$, small positive Q strengthens the individual flux $|\mathcal{J}_i|$; for $A(1-B) < 0$ and $\bar{V} < \bar{V}_2$, small positive Q reduces the individual flux $|\mathcal{J}_i|, i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$.

For $A(1-B) > 0$ and $\bar{V} > \bar{V}_2$, small positive Q reduces the individual flux $|\mathcal{J}_i|$; for $A(1-B) > 0$ and $\bar{V} < \bar{V}_2$, small positive Q strengthens the individual flux $|\mathcal{J}_i|, i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$.

Proof. Based on Corollary 3.2 and Equation (4.1), one has

$$\begin{aligned} J_{i0} &= \frac{z(R_i - L_i e^{z\bar{V}})}{R - L e^{z\bar{V}}} \frac{L - R}{zH(1)(\ln L - \ln R)} (z\bar{V} + \ln L - \ln R), \\ J_{i1} &= \frac{z(R_i - L_i e^{z\bar{V}})}{R - L e^{z\bar{V}}} (z\bar{V} + \ln L - \ln R) \left(\frac{A[(1-B)z_n\bar{V} + \ln L - \ln R]}{(z-z_n)H(1)(\ln L - \ln R)^2} \right). \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

From (4.3), the statement can be obtained. □

5. Conclusion

In this paper, a stationary one-dimensional Poisson-Nernst-Planck model with permanent charge is studied under the assumption that $n-1$ positively charged ion species have the same valence and the permanent charge is small. By expanding an singular orbit of Poisson-Nernst-Planck model (1.1) in small $|Q|$, the explicit formulae for J_{i0} and J_{i1} are obtained. The signs of J_{i1} are discussed in Theorem 4.4, which indicates that as $|\bar{V}|$ is sufficiently large, fixing the other parameters, J_{i1} behaves like \bar{V}^2 . The effects of small permanent charges on individual flux are investigated in Theorem 4.5, which means that small Q can strengthen or reduce the individual flux under suitable conditions. However, for $|Q|$ that is not small, the regular perturbation analysis does not work, so it seems not easy to analyze the effects of permanent charges on individual flux by directly using (2.9)-(2.11).

Acknowledgements

The author was supported by the NNSFC 11971477.

Conflicts of Interest

The author declares no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

References

- [1] Gillespie, D. and Eisenberg, R.S. (2001) Modified Donnan Potentials for Ion Transport through Biological Ion Channels. *Physical Review E*, **63**, Article ID: 061902. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.63.061902>
- [2] Horng, T.L., Lin, T.C., Liu, C. and Eisenberg, B. (2012) PNP Equations with Steric Effects: A Model of Ion Flow through Channels. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry B*, **116**, 11422-11441. <https://doi.org/10.1021/jp305273n>
- [3] Gillespie, D., Nonner, W. and Eisenberg, R.S. (2003) Density Functional Theory of Charged, Hard-Sphere Fluids. *Physical Review E*, **68**, Article ID: 031503. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.68.031503>
- [4] Liu, W. and Wang, B. (2010) Poisson-Nernst-Planck Systems for Narrow Tubular-Like Membrane Channels. *Journal of Dynamics and Differential Equations*, **22**, 413-437. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10884-010-9186-x>
- [5] Nonner, W. and Eisenberg, R.S. (1998) Ion Permeation and Glutamate Residues Linked by Poisson-Nernst-Planck Theory in L-Type Calcium Channels. *Biophysical Journal*, **75**, 1287-1305. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0006-3495\(98\)74048-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0006-3495(98)74048-2)
- [6] Barcion, V. (1992) Ion Flow through Narrow Membrane Channels: Part I. *SIAM Journal on Applied Mathematics*, **52**, 1391-1404. <https://doi.org/10.1137/0152080>
- [7] Im, W., Beglov, D. and Roux, B. (1998) Continuum Solvation Model: Electrostatic Forces from Numerical Solutions to the Poisson-Boltzmann Equation. *Computer Physics Communications*, **111**, 59-75. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0010-4655\(98\)00016-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0010-4655(98)00016-2)
- [8] Aboud, S., Marreiro, D., Saraniti, M. and Eisenberg, R.S. (2004) A Poisson P3M Force Field Scheme for Particle-Based Simulations of Ionic Liquids. *Journal of*

- Computational Electronics*, **3**, 117-133. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10825-004-0316-8>
- [9] Chung, S. and Kuyucak, S. (2001) Predicting Channel Function from Channel Structure Using Brownian Dynamics Simulations. *Clinical and Experimental Pharmacology and Physiology*, **28**, 89-94. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1440-1681.2001.03408.x>
- [10] Gwecho, A.M., Wang, S. and Mboya, O.T. (2020) Existence of Approximate Solutions for Modified Poisson Nernst-Planck Describing Ion Flow in Cell Membranes. *American Journal of Computational Mathematics*, **10**, 473-484. <https://doi.org/10.4236/ajcm.2020.103027>
- [11] Chen, B., Wu, J. and Chen, H. (2012) Numerical Investigation of Traveling Wave Electroosmotic Flows in a Microchannel. *Journal of Biomaterials and Nanobiotechnology*, **3**, 280-285. <https://doi.org/10.4236/jbnb.2012.322034>
- [12] Barcion, V., Chen, D.-P., Eisenberg, R.S. and Jerome, J.W. (1997) Qualitative Properties of Steady-State Poisson-Nernst-Planck Systems: Perturbation and Simulation Study. *SIAM Journal on Applied Mathematics*, **57**, 631-648. <https://doi.org/10.1137/S0036139995312149>
- [13] Bates, P., Jia, Y., Lin, G., Lu, H. and Zhang, M. (2017) Individual Flux Study via Steady-State Poisson-Nernst-Planck Systems: Effects from Boundary Conditions. *SIAM Journal on Applied Dynamical Systems*, **16**, 410-430. <https://doi.org/10.1137/16M1071523>
- [14] Ji, S. and Liu, W. (2012) Poisson-Nernst-Planck Systems for Ion Flow with Density Functional Theory for Hard-Sphere Potential: I-V Relations and Critical Potentials. Part I: Analysis. *Journal of Dynamics and Differential Equations*, **24**, 955-983. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10884-012-9277-y>
- [15] Lin, G., Liu, W., Yi, Y. and Zhang, M. (2013) Poisson-Nernst-Planck Systems for Ion Flow with Density Functional Theory for Local Hard-Sphere Potential. *SIAM Journal on Applied Dynamical Systems*, **12**, 1613-1648. <https://doi.org/10.1137/120904056>
- [16] Liu, W. and Xu, H. (2015) A Complete Analysis of a Classical Poisson-Nernst-Planck Model for Ionic Flow. *Journal of Differential Equations*, **258**, 1192-1228. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jde.2014.10.015>
- [17] Liu, W. (2009) One-Dimensional Steady-State Poisson-Nernst-Planck Systems for Ion Channels with Multiple Ion Species. *Journal of Differential Equations*, **246**, 428-451. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jde.2008.09.010>
- [18] Jones, C. (1995) Geometric Singular Perturbation Theory, Dynamical Systems (Montecatini Terme, 1994). Lecture Notes in Mathematics Vol. 1609, Springer, Berlin, 44-118. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BFb0095239>
- [19] Jones, C. and Kopell, N. (1994) Tracking Invariant Manifolds with Differential Forms in Singularly Perturbed Systems. *Journal of Differential Equations*, **108**, 64-88. <https://doi.org/10.1006/jdeq.1994.1025>
- [20] Kuehn, C. (2015) Multiple Time Scale Dynamics, Volume 191. Springer, Berlin. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-12316-5>
- [21] Lin, G. (2020) PNP Models with the Same Positively Charged Valence. *Journal of Applied Mathematics and Physics*, **8**, 1930-1948. <https://doi.org/10.4236/jamp.2020.89145>
- [22] Ji, S., Liu, W. and Zhang, M. (2015) Effects of (Small) Permanent Charge and Channel Geometry on Ionic Flows via Classical Poisson-Nernst-Planck Models. *SIAM Journal on Applied Mathematics*, **75**, 114-135.

<https://doi.org/10.1137/140992527>

- [23] Zhang, L. and Liu, W. (2020) Effects of Large Permanent Charges on Ionic Flows via Poisson-Nernst-Planck Models. *SIAM Journal on Applied Dynamical Systems*, **19**, 1993-2029. <https://doi.org/10.1137/19M1289443>