

# Effects of Agro-Ecological Practices on the Productivity of Orange-Fleshed Sweet Potato (*Ipomoea batatas* (L.) Lam) and Soil Fertility in the Sudano-Sahelian Zone of Burkina Faso

# Koulibi Fidèle Zongo<sup>1\*</sup>, Aboubacar Coulibaly<sup>2</sup>, Daouda Guebre<sup>3</sup>, Aïssatou Naba<sup>1</sup>, Hervé Nandkangre<sup>1</sup>, Abdramane Sanon<sup>4</sup>, Edmond Hien<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Centre Universitaire de Tenkodogo, Université Thomas Sankara, Ouagadougou, Burkina Faso

<sup>2</sup>Ecole Normale Supérieure, Ouagadougou, Burkina Faso

<sup>3</sup>Centre Universitaire de Ziniaré, Université Joseph Ki-Zerbo, Ouagadougou, Burkina Faso

<sup>4</sup>Laboratoire d'Étude et de Recherche sur la Fertilité des Sols, Institut de Développement Rural, Université Nazi Boni, Bobo-Dioulasso, Burkina Faso

<sup>5</sup>Unité de Formation et de Recherche en Sciences de la Vie et de la Terre, Université Joseph Ki-Zerbo, Ouagadougou, Burkina Faso

Email: \*fidelezongo62@gmail.com

How to cite this paper: Zongo, K.F., Coulibaly, A., Guebre, D., Naba, A., Nandkangre, H., Sanon, A. and Hien, E. (2023) Effects of Agro-Ecological Practices on the Productivity of Orange-Fleshed Sweet Potato (*Ipomoea batatas* (L.) Lam) and Soil Fertility in the Sudano-Sahelian Zone of Burkina Faso. *Agricultural Sciences*, **14**, 1624-1642. https://doi.org/10.4236/as.2023.1412105

Received: October 26, 2023 Accepted: December 8, 2023 Published: December 11, 2023

Copyright © 2023 by author(s) and Scientific Research Publishing Inc. This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution International License (CC BY 4.0). http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

C O Open Access

## Abstract

This study consisted to evaluate the effects of ecological practices on the yield performance of the JEWEL of orange-fleshed sweet potato (Ipomoea batatas (L.) Lam). The study was conducted in a Sudano-Sahelian cropping system (Lantargou, eastern region of Burkina Faso). Agro-ecological practices consisted of the inputs of 3.20 t/ha of compost + 2.45 t/ha of wood ash (CO + WA); 4.90 t/ha of wood ash (WA); 6.40 t/ha of compost (CO) were compared to control with no inputs  $(T_0)$ . Each treatment was repeated four times. The crop management consisted of plowing, harrowing, raising of ridges with 40 cm height, burying of treatments, transplanting of cuttings, two weeding's, and using of biopesticide called PIOL for crop protection. Composite soil samples per treatment were also collected at tuber harvest and analyzed to determine the effects of treatments on residual soil fertility. Results showed that the plant heights and diameters under the CO were significantly (P < 0.001) improved by 16% and 12% compared to  $T_0$ . The WA treatment significantly increased the number of large tubers by 43% ( $P \le 0.01$ ) compared to T<sub>0</sub>. Total tuber numbers, large tuber numbers and tuber yields of sweet potato under CO + WA were significantly (P < 0.001) and respectively improved by 27%, 50% and 31% compared to  $T_0$ . All treatments increased soil organic matter, N, P and K contents, and reduced soil acidity compared with those

obtained under  $T_0$ . Soil K content was improved by 39% under CO + WA, and soil N content by 34% under WA compared to  $T_0$ . Soil C/N ratio under CO + WA was reduced by 20% compared others treatments. But, the CO + WA treatment outperformed by improving residual soil N content by 38%, and the WA treatment by increasing soil K content by 50% compared to  $T_0$ . In addition, soil pHH<sub>2</sub>O increased by 1.2 units under WA treatment compared to  $T_0$ . As conclusion, the application of 6.4 t/ha of compost performed well to improve the vegetative growth of orange-fleshed sweet potato while the inputs of 3.2 t/ha of compost + 2.45 t/ha of wood ash were efficacy to significantly increase the tuber yields and improve the residual fertility of soil.

## **Keywords**

Orange-Fleshed Sweet Potato, Compost, Wood Ash, Vegetative Growth, Yield, Soil Residual Fertility

## **1. Introduction**

Sweet potato is the seventh most important crop worldwide and the fifth most important in developing countries after rice, wheat, maize and cassava [1]. Global sweet potato production in 2017 stood at 112 million tons on a total cultivated area of 9.20 million ha [2]. In Africa, total production is 28 million tons, or 20% of global production [2]. Yields vary widely according to geographical area, ranging from over 21 t/ha in China to 5 t/ha in Africa [2]. It is a major source of income for women, who are heavily involved in post-harvest activities (transformation and marketing). Sweet potatoes are mainly used for human consumption, due to their high nutritional value. It contains 20% - 27% starch and 5% - 8% simple sugars, 0.3% - 8.1% protein, vitamin A, vitamin C, riboflavin, calcium, antioxidants and dietary fiber [3] [4] [5]. Tubers are also a good source of calories (105.3 kcal per 100 g), which the body needs and which give vitality and energy [6]. Varieties of sweet potato can help prevent vitamin A deficiency, particularly in children and pregnant women [7].

Agronomically, well-drained sandy-loam or sandy-loam soils are favorable for the cultivation of sweet potatoes. It grows best on aerated soils with pH values between 5 and 7.8 [8]. It is relatively resistant to environmental stress [1]. Sweet potato, like other root and tuber crops, responds to good soil fertility, particularly nitrogen and potassium nutrition [6] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]. On average, it requires 75 kg N/ha; 25 - 50 kg P/ha; and 75 - 100 kg K/ha [12]. For example, one ton of tuberous roots exports 4.70 Kg of nitrogen; 1.30 Kg of phosphorus; and 7.30 Kg of potash [6]. Sweet potatoes can also be grown on poor soils, but require deep, moist, humus-rich soil [14]. Climatically, temperatures of 15°C or less give little or no growth [8]. A photoperiod of less than 11 hours can stimulate flowering induction, but a photoperiod of more than 13 hours 30 minutes inhibits flowering [15].

Sweet potato ranks first in terms of production of the root and tuber crop group among vegetable crops in Burkina Faso [2]. However, yields remain low and stagnant at 11.57 t/ha for a potential of 25 t/ha despite sweet potato cultivation occupying a prominent place in Burkina Faso's market gardening system [16]. One of the causes of this low yield is poor soil organic matter and phosphorus, which are the main constraints to intensifying production [17]. In addition, the use of mineral fertilizer formulas not adapted to vegetable crops has led to unbalanced inputs and, in the long term, to the accumulation of certain heavy metals in the soil [18]. Even in the few farms where mineral fertilizers are used, low nutrient absorption efficiency, significant nutrient losses due to leaching, nutrient imbalances in the soil, and overall reduced soil health and crop yields have been reported [19] [20] [21] [22], mostly attributed to low soil organic matter levels, many micronutrient deficiencies and high acidity [23] [24]. Indeed, many studies have shown the negative long-term effects of mineral fertilizers on soil fertility, notably through their acidifying effect on the soil [21] [22] [25]. In addition, the widespread use of chemical products over the long term would lead to pathogen resistance to pesticides and deteriorate the quality of arable land. This damage is not confined to the environment (water, soil, biodiversity and climate), but also affects human health [26]. In response to this situation, vegetable production needs to evolve towards more productive and sustainable methods of cropping systems, and agro-ecological practices that promote the integrated soil fertility management approach offer a sustainable solution to declining soil fertility. Thus, alternative fertilization strategies, like organic fertilizers or other types of organic materials, represent environmentally friendly practices that can contribute to sustainable farming systems [27] [28] [29]. To achieve this, organic fertilizers subjected to processes like composting may be valid options for the sustainability of agriculture and the enhancement of the ecosystem services improvement of soil health, and increment of soil organic carbon stocks [30] [31]. However, the effectiveness of organic matter highly depends on the source, nutrient content, stage of mineralization [32]. The present research was conducted to assess the effects of compost combined or not with wood ash on orange-fleshed.

### 2. Material and Methods

#### 2.1. Experimental Site

The study was carried out in the village of Lantargou located in the rural commune of Diapangou in the eastern region of Burkina Faso, with geographical coordinates  $12^{\circ}06'57''N$  and  $0^{\circ}11'22''W$ . Climate is Sudano-Sahelian, characterized by two seasons: a dry season lasting six (06) months from November to April, and a rainy season generally from May to October. Over the last 10 years (2013 to 2022), rainfall in the Diapangou commune has varied from 693.2 in 2018 to 1017 in 2022, with an average of  $852.48 \pm 94.35$  mm (Figure 1(a)). The



**Figure 1.** Variation in annual rainfall and number of rainy days from 2013 to 2022 (a); Rainfall and number of rainy days in 2022 (b) of experimental site. Data source: data from the Eastern Region weather station.

number of rainy days was 62 in 2017 against 78 in 2020, with an average of 72  $\pm$  5 rainy days (**Figure 1(a)**). Rainfall in 2022 varied from 24.6 mm in April to 283.1 mm in August, with a monthly average of 145.29  $\pm$  113.55 mm from April to October (**Figure 1(b)**). In 2012, the number of rainy days was 4 in April and 19 in August, with an average of 11  $\pm$  6 rainy days (**Figure 1(b)**).

The vegetation cover is made up of shrub savannah-type formations. Parent materials of soils derived from to stable rock formation 3 billion years old composed of granite, schists and gneiss. The soil database highlights three (3) soil classes: sesquioxide soils, less evolved soils and crude mineral soils according to the French classification [33]. According to the World Reference Base for Soil Resources [34], the following soil groups are found: *Leptic* or *Lithic Leptosols, Epipetric Plinthosols, Endopetroplinthic Lixisols, Haplic* or *Vertic Cambisols, Fluvisols* and *Gleysols.* These soils are predominantly acidic and poor in the

mineral elements N, P, K Ca, with low water retention capacity.

#### 2.2. Test Crop, Fertilizers and Biopesticides

The plant material used was the JEWEL variety of orange-fleshed sweet potato. This variety is long and irregular in shape, with orange skin and pulp. It has a transplant-to-harvest cycle of 90 days and a potential yield of 15 to 25 t/ha. Compost enriched with powdered bone meal and wood ash from the burnt remains of firewood collected from households was used as organic fertilizers. The chemical characteristics of the fertilizers are shown in **Table 1**.

The biopesticide used was a macerated solution of neem seed powder, garlic, onion, pepper and soap. In fact, one kg of neem seed powder, one kg of pepper, onion and garlic were also ground using a mortar, followed by a ball of grated soap. All these ingredients were mixed in five (05) liters of water and left to macerate for 24 hours. After 24 hours, two (02) liters of water were added, the mixture was mixed again and filtered through a fine cloth. The PIOL biopesticide is now ready. This biopesticide can be preserved by adding a quarter of vegetable oil and transferring the whole into a non-transparent, hermetically sealed can.

#### 2.3. Experimental Design and Treatment

The experimental set-up used was completely randomized and comprised 4 treatments repeated 4 times. A total of 16 mounds were built on July 19, 2022. Each mound was 5 m long, 1 m wide and 40 cm high. The distance between mounds was 1 m. The total surface area of the experimental set-up was  $304 \text{ m}^2$ . The treatments applied were:

- CO + WA = 3.20 t/ha of compost + 2.45 t/ha of wood ash;
- CO = 6.40 t/ha of compost;
- WA = 4.90 t/ha of wood ash;
- $T_0$  = Control (no use of fertilizers).

### 2.4. Crop Management

Crop management consisted in delimiting and setting up the experimental set-up. Soil tillage was carried out by plowing, harrowing and raising of ridges with 40 cm height. Organic fertilizer and/or ash wood was then ploughed into

Fertilizers	Chemical properties (%)						
	ОМ	С	N	C/N	Р	K	
Compost	52.18	30.27	1.81	16.72	1.20	2.05	
Wood ash	29.17	19.92	1.36	12.44	1.11	14.17	

OM = Organic Matter; C = total Carbon; N = total Nitrogen; P = total Phosphorus; K= total Potassium.

the ridges receiving these treatments. Transplanting of sweet potato cuttings was carried out on July 20th, 2022, with 30 cm spacing on each ridge. There were 15 plants per line per ridge, *i.e.* a total of 241 plants for the entire system. Two (02) superficial weedings were carried out to reduce the rate of weed growth. PIOL (biopesticide) treatments were also carried out using a pulverizer with a dosage of 01 liter of biopesticide for 9 liters of water. Plants were sprayed every two (02) to three (03) weeks.

## 2.5. Crop Data Collection

Data collection consisted in evaluating growth and yield parameters at harvest of orange-fleshed sweet potato. Growth parameters include plant height (HP), diameter at the base of the main stem collar (DP) and number of branches per plant (NB). HP was measured in cm using a metric tape, from the base of the main stem to its terminal bud. DP was measured with a caliper at the base of the main stem collar. NB was measured by counting the number of branches from the main stem. These measurements concerned all plants on each hillock. Yield parameters were total number of total tubers (NTT), number of small tubers (NST), number of large tubers (NLT) and tubers yield (TY) per treatment. Tubers were then separated into 2 batches of large and small tubers and counted. A tuber was considered small if it measured less than 10 cm in length and weighed less than 200 g. Tuber yield was determined by weighing the tubers per treatment in kg/m<sup>2</sup> and extrapolating to t/ha.

#### 2.6. Soil Sampling and Analysis

Soil samples were taken at the sweet potato harvest. Five (05) elementary soil samples were performed in the depths of 0 - 40 cm from the rhizosphere of 5 plants according to each treatment or ridge. A composite sample was then formed for each treatment by collecting and mixing 100 g of soil from the five (05) elementary samples per treatment. A total of four (04) soil samples corresponding to the four (04) treatments were analyzed. These soil samples were air-dried and sieved with a two (02) mm mesh for analysis of the following chemical parameters in the < 2 mm fraction: total soil organic matter (OM) and carbon (C) in % by the dry combustion method [35]; total nitrogen (N) in % by the Kjeldahl method [36]; total phosphorus (P) in % by the Bray I method [37]; total potassium (K) in % by the flame photometry method [38]; pH (H<sub>2</sub>O 1:2.5 suspension (p/v)) and pH (KCl 1:2.5 suspension (p/v)) by the AFNOR method [39].

### 2.7. Statistical Analysis

EXCEL 2019 was used for data input. The Shapiro-Wilk test was used to test the normality of the data collected. For each of the orange-fleshed sweet potato parameters evaluated, a comparison of means as a function of treatments was carried out using analysis of variance (ANOVA) and a TukeyHSD test of separation

of means at the 5% threshold. Principal component analysis (PCA) was performed to show the relationship between growth parameters, sweet potato harvest yields and soil parameters, as well as their interactivity with treatments, using Pearson's correlation at the 5% threshold. XLSTAT 4.1, 2023 1.1 (1398) ADDINSOFT software was used for these analyses.

## 3. Results and Discussion

#### 3.1. Results

## 3.1.1. Effect of Treatments on Orange-Fleshed Sweet Potato Growth Parameters

**Table 2** shows the effect of treatments on average plant heights and diameters and the number of sweet potato plant branches as a function of treatment. Analysis of the table shows that mean values for plant heights (HP) ranged from  $48.64 \pm 2.02$  cm under WA to  $62.87 \pm 2.33$  cm under CO treatment; for diameters at the base of the plant collar (DP) from  $1.00 \pm 2.02$  cm under WA to  $1.13 \pm$ 0.02 cm under CO. Mean numbers of branches from the main stem (NB) ranged from  $4.92 \pm 0.04$  under TA to  $5.04 \pm 0.05$  cm under CO. ANOVA at the 5% threshold shows that heights and plants were significantly improved (P < 0.001) according to treatments. The TukeyHSD mean separation test at the 5% threshold shows that the CO treatment significantly increased plant height by 16% compared to the WA treatment, and plant diameter by 12% and 7% compared to the WA and T<sub>0</sub> treatments respectively. The CO treatment significantly increased average plant height by 10% compared to the WA treatment.

#### Table 2. Effect of treatments on plant growth parameters.

Treatments	HP (cm)	DP (cm)	NB	
CO + WA	$58.40\pm2.02^{\rm bc}$	$1.04 \pm 2.02^{a}$	$4.95 \pm 0.04^{a}$	
СО	$62.87 \pm 2.33^{\circ}$	$1.13 \pm 0.02^{\mathrm{b}}$	$5.04 \pm 0.05^{a}$	
WA	$48.64 \pm 2.02^{a}$	$1.00 \pm 2.02^{a}$	$4.97 \pm 0.04^{a}$	
$T_0$	$52.58\pm2.02^{ab}$	$1.05 \pm 2.02^{a}$	$4.92 \pm 0.04^{a}$	
Р	<0.0001	< 0.0001	0.574	
Significance	HS	HS	NS	

CO + WA = 3.20 t/ha of compost + 2.45 of t/ha wood ash; WA = 4.90 t/ha of wood ash; CO = 6.40 t/ha of compost;  $T_0$  = Control; HP = Plant heights; DP = Plant diameter from base of main stem per plant; NB = Number of branches per plant. P = Probability according to ANOVA at the 5% threshold. Means ± standard errors of the same column with the same letter do not differ significantly according to the TukeyHSD test at the 5% threshold. P ≤ 0.001 (HS) = highly significant; P ≥ 0.05 = not significant (NS).

#### 3.1.2. Effect of Treatments on Yield Parameters of Orange-Fleshed Sweet Potato at Harvest

The effect of treatments on mean values per hectare of orange-fleshed sweet po-

tato yield parameters is recorded in **Table 3**. Total number of tubers (NTT) ranged from 4132 ± 277 under the  $T_0$  treatment to 5625 ± 240 under the CO + WA treatment. Numbers of small tubers (NST) ranged from 2604 ± 160 under CO to 2240 ± 160 under WA. Numbers of large tubers (NLT) ranged from 1563 ± 286 under TA to 3151 ± 248 under CO + WA. Tuber yields (TY) ranged from 6.98 ± 0.70 under  $T_0$  to 10.16 ± 0.61 t/ha under CO + WA Treatments significantly and differently affected total and large tuber numbers and tuber yields (ANOVA, 5% threshold). The CO + WA treatment significantly improved total tuber numbers, large tuber numbers and tuber yields by 27 (P ≤ 0.01); 50 (P ≤ 0.01) and 31% (P < 0.05) respectively, compared with the control  $T_0$  (TukeyHSD, 5% threshold). Also, the WA treatment significantly favored the number of large tubers by 43%, compared with  $T_0$  (P ≤ 0.01).

Treatments	NTT/ha	NST/ha	NLT/ha	TY (t/ha)	
CO + WA	$5625 \pm 240^{b}$	$2474 \pm 160^{a}$	$3151 \pm 248^{b}$	$10.16 \pm 0.61^{b}$	
СО	$5104 \pm 240^{ab}$	$2604\pm160^{\rm a}$	$2500\pm248^{ab}$	$8.83\pm0.61^{ab}$	
WA	$4974\pm240^{ab}$	$2240\pm160^{\rm a}$	$2734\pm248^{\text{b}}$	$8.91\pm0.61^{ab}$	
$T_0$	$4132\pm277^{\rm a}$	$2569 \pm 185^{a}$	$1563\pm286^{\rm a}$	$6.98\pm0.70^{\rm a}$	
Р	0.014	0.42	0.011	0.039	
Significance	S	NS	S	S	

Table 3. Effects of treatments on sweet potato harvest yield parameters.

CO + WA = 3.20 t/ha of compost + 2.45 of t/ha wood ash; WA = 4.90 t/ha of wood ash; CO = 6.40 t/ha of compost;  $T_0$  = Control; NST = Number of small tubers; NLT = Number of large tubers; TY = tubers yield. Numbers are means ± standard errors of evaluated parameters. P = Probability according to ANOVA at the 5% threshold. Means ± standard errors of the same column with the same letter do not differ significantly according to the TukeyHSD test at the 5% threshold. P < 0.05 = significant (S); P ≥ 0.05 = not significant (NS).

## 3.1.3. Effect of Treatments on Residual Soil Fertility under Orange-Fleshed Sweet Potato Cropping

**Table 4** shows the effects of treatments on residual soil fertility. Residual soil parameter values varied: OM content varied from 1.29% under WA to 0.85% under  $T_0$ ; N content from 0.07% under CO + WA to 0.04% under  $T_0$ ; total P and K contents from 0.09% and 0.18% respectively under WA to 0.07% and 0.09% under  $T_0$ . The C/N ratio varied from 10 under CO + WA and 12 under CO + WA; CO; WA and  $T_0$ . pHH<sub>2</sub>O and KCl varied by 6.88 and 5.67 respectively under WA versus 5.68 and 4.96 under  $T_0$ . The CO + WA treatment increased MO, N, P, K, pH2O and pHKCl contents by 26%; 38%; 1%; 39%; 8%; and 3% respectively, compared with those recorded under  $T_0$ . The C/N ratio under CO + WA was reduced by 20% compared with the  $T_0$  treatment. MO, N, P, K, pH<sub>2</sub>O and pHKCl contents improved by 15%; 17%; 11%; 16%; 10%; 11% under CO and 34%; 34%; 16%; 50%; 17%; 13% under WA, respectively.

	Soils parameters							
Treatments	OM (%)	C (%)	N (%)	C/N	P (%)	K (%)	pHH₂O (1/2.5)	pHKCl (1/2.5)
CO + WA	1.16	0.67	0.07	10	0.09	0.15	6.15	5.10
СО	1.01	0.58	0.05	12	0.08	0.11	6.33	5.60
WA	1.29	0.75	0.06	12	0.09	0.18	6.88	5.67
T <sub>0</sub>	0.85	0.50	0.04	12	0.07	0.09	5.68	4.96

Table 4. Effect of treatments on soil residual mineral content.

CO + WA = 3.20 t/ha of compost + 2.45 of t/ha wood ash; WA = 4.90 t/ha of wood ash; CO = 6.40 t/ha of compost;  $T_0$  = Control; OM = Organic Matter; C = total Carbon; N = total Nitrogen; P = total Phosphorus; K= total Potassium.

#### 3.1.4. Principal Component Analysis Growth, Yield-Traits and Yield of Orange-Fleshed Potato and Soil Parameters

**Figure 2** shows the principal component analysis (PCA) of orange-fleshed sweet potato growth, yield and soil parameters. Treatments were projected as active observations. The analysis of variance shows that 82.85% of the variables contribute to the formation of the 2 axes. Variables such as number of total tubers (NTT), number of large tubers (NLT) and tuber yields (TY) contributed strongly



CO + WA = 3.20 t/ha of compost + 2.45 of t/ha wood ash; WA = 4.90 t/ha of wood ash; CO = 6.40 t/ha of compost;  $T_0$  = Control; HP = Plant heights; DP = Plant diameter from base of main stem per plant; NR = Number of branches per plant; NTT = number of total tubers; NST = Number of small tubers; NLT = Number of large tubers; TY = tubers yield; OM = total organic matter (%); C: total carbon; N = total nitrogen; P = total phosphorus; K = total potassium.

Figure 2. Principal component analysis of sweet potato growth and harvest yield parameters. to the formation of axis 1, with  $R^2$  values of 0.96; 0.99; 0.99 respectively. Soil content variables for OM, C, N, P, K and soil pH<sub>2</sub>0 are significantly correlated with this axis, with respective  $R^2$  values of 0.97; 0.97; 0.90; 0.95; 0.91; 0.71. Axis 2 is formed by the variables plant height (HP) and diameter (DP), number of branches (NB) and number of small tubers (NPT), with  $R^2$  values of 0.87; 0.97; 0.47 and 0.75 respectively. The CO + WA treatment is positively correlated with axis 1 ( $R^2 = 0.56$ ), *i.e.* with yield parameters (NTT, NLT and TY) and soil contents of OM, C, N, P, K and pH 20, and is opposed to the T<sub>0</sub> treatment ( $R^2 = -0.91$ ). On the other hand, the CO treatment was positively correlated with axis 2 ( $R^2 = 0.861$ ), *i.e.* with growth parameters (HP, DP and NB), and opposed to the WA treatment ( $R^2 = -0.65$ ). PCA shows that the 2 axes discriminate between growth parameters associated with the CO + WA treatment and yield and soil parameters associated with the CO + WA treatment.

## 3.2. Discussion

The aim of the present study was to evaluate the effects of agro-ecological practices on orange-fleshed sweet potato yield performance and residual soil fertility. Thus, the effects of application of 3.20 t/ha of compost + 2.45 t/ha of wood ash (CO + WA); 4.90 t/ha of wood ash (WA); 6.40 t/ha of compost (CO) and control with no inputs (T<sub>0</sub>) on growth, yields-trait and yields of orange-fleshed sweet potato and residual soil fertility were evaluated. Results showed that compost treatments significantly increased plant heights compared with wood ash and absolute control treatments; and plant diameters compared with compost + wood ash, wood ash and control treatments. These observations are similar of those of Akpaninyang et al. [40], who showed that the application of poultry manure alone led to an increase in the leaf area index and above-ground biomass production of sweet potatoes. Contrary the application 10 t/ha compost did not significantly improve the plant height of potato (Solanum tuberosum L.) compared to the control in Western Ethiopia [41]. The effects of the compost on the growth of orange-fleshed sweet potato would thus be linked to the additional inputs of 52.18% OM; 1.81% N; 1.2% P; and 2.05% K from the compost, but also to its indirect effect on the physical, chemical and biological properties of the soil. Indeed, the organic matter contained in compost increases the soil's ability to buffer pH changes, improves cation exchange capacity (CEC), reduces phosphate fixation and acts as a reservoir for secondary nutrients and micronutrients [42] [43]. The pH<sub>2</sub>O and pHKCl of soil under compost treatment was improved by 10% and 11%, respectively, compared to control. Thus, plant growth being strongly affected by pH changes in the soil, mainly due to changes in plant nutrient availability [44], could explain the growth of plants under compost application. Also, the micro-organisms and microbial processes involved in soil biological processes are strongly affected by soil pH [45]. In general, an increase in pH will stimulate plant growth in acid soils, as the optimum pH for most plants is around 6.5 - 7, because nutrients such as P and K in particular are more available at a neutral pH [46]. Microbial activity will facilitate the mineralization of nutrients (e.g. inorganic nitrogen) [47] [48]. By stimulating biological activities and increasing soil humus via the decomposition of compost, microorganisms improve soil structure and mineral fertility. This promoting the uptake of major nutrients such as N, P and K by sweet potato plants for vegetative growth evidenced by the high pH values following organic inputs.

At the same time, the application of compost + wood ash to the soil favored the growth of orange-fleshed sweet potato plants compared with the application of wood ash alone. The addition of ash to the compost would therefore have accelerated the mineralization of the compost to release nutrients, notably N, for the vegetative growth of orange-fleshed sweet potato plants. In fact, the application of wood ash also leads to increased microbial mineralization of soil organic nitrogen into plant-available nitrogen in the form of  $NH_4^+$  and  $NO_3^-$  [47] [48] [49]. Otherwise, the application of 4 t/ha of wood ash, 2 t/ha wood ash + 5 t/ha of compost did not significantly improve the plant height of the potato compared with the control in Westhern Ethiopia [41].

Total tuber, large tuber numbers and tuber yields were significantly affected under the compost + wood ash treatment compared with the control treatment. This would be due to the fact that the combined compost and wood ash inputs added a total of 81.35% OM; 50.19% C; 3.17% N; 2.31% P; 16.22% K to the initial soil contents of these elements, which improved residual soil contents by 26% MO; 38% N; 13% P; 39% K; 8% pH<sub>2</sub>O; and 3% pHKCl compared with the control. These inputs thus improved soil fertility to release the mineral elements needed to promote tuberization and sweet potato yields. The positive effects of wood ash application on tree production had also been demonstrated on sites that receive nitrogen deposition or are rich in organic matter, such as peat soils, whereas ash does not improve tree production on mineral soils where nitrogen is the limiting nutrient [50] [51]. In nitrogen-limited systems, the combined application of ash and nitrogen can be a solution to the imbalance in nutrient content of wood ash [52] [53]. Thus, organic fertilization combined with ash had the effect of improving the soil's mineral pool, making minerals available to plants and positively affecting crop yields. Contrary to this research result, the application of 2 t/ha of wood ash + 5 t/ha of compost did not significantly total number and yields of tubers of the potato compared with the control in Western Ethiopia [41]. However, application of 4 t/ha wood ash and 10 t/ha compost alone significantly increased the percentage of number of small tubers compared with the control and 2 t/ha of wood ash + 5 t/ha of compost. Furthermore, the wood ash treatment significantly promoted a 43% gain in the number of large tubers of orange-fleshed sweet potato compared with the absolute control. This means that the application of wood ash containing 29.17% MO; 1.36% N; 1.11% P; 14.17% K with residual soil contents of 1.29% MO; 0.06% N; 0.09% P; and 0.18% K favoured a gain in the number of large tubers to the detriment of vegetative plant growth and overall tuber yield. The application

of 4 t/ha of wood ash significantly increased the percentage of number of large tubers, in contrast to control in Ethiopia [41]. Also, previous results had shown that wood ash application does not impact total tuber number as a yield component [47], but impacts tuber dry matter content, which contributes to tuber yield [54]. Indeed, the application of ash activates a number of enzymes involved in photosynthesis, carbohydrate metabolism and aids in the translocation of carbohydrates from leaves to storage roots [55]. Potassium (K) in wood ash increases tuber size, not number [56]. In this sense, Trehan and Grewal [57] had previously observed that, in general, fast-growing potato varieties producing large tubers respond more to K than small tuber varieties, as the application of K is known to increase tuber size. K is also vital for the production and movement of carbohydrates to tubers, supports photosynthesis and protein synthesis, regulates stomatal opening, increases nitrogen utilization, promotes assimilate transport, improves plant stress resistance, water use efficiency and plant enzyme activation [58] [59]. Furthermore, the potassium contained in wood ash promotes tuberized root development, enhances resistance to disease and increases vitamin A production [60].

Compost + wood ash, wood ash and compost increased OM, N, P and K contents, and raised pHH<sub>2</sub>O and pHKCl compared with the control. These various increases are attributable to the amending power of compost and ash, reflecting their effectiveness in improving residual soil fertility. The compost + wood ash treatment performed better, improving residual soil N content by 38%, while the Wood ash treatment increased soil K content by 50% compared with the control. Soil K content under compost + wood ash improved by 39%, and soil N content by 34% under wood ash. The increase in N content under compost + wood ash is attributable to the availability of this element in both compost and ash (1.81% for compost and 1.36% for wood ash). In addition, wood ash would have a catalytic effect, accelerating the degradation of OM contained in these 2 substrates (52.18% for compost and 29.17% for wood ash), in order to release N into the soil. This is justified by the reduction of the 20% of C/N ratio under compost + wood ash compared with the other treatments. The increasing of 50% of residual K content of soil under wood ash treatment is not unexpected, since the ash used for K contains 14.17% K, compared with 2.05% for compost. In addition, the improvement of 1.2 unit of pHH<sub>2</sub>O of soil under wood ash treatment compared with the control indicates to the neutralization capacity induced by wood ash used as a soil amendment. In this sense, An and Park [61] had demonstrated the effects of wood ash improvement on soil pH, organic matter, available P, exchangeable bases (K<sup>+</sup>, Na<sup>+</sup>, Ca<sup>2+</sup> and Mg<sup>2+</sup>) and CEC of a saline landfill soil, an infertile forest soil and an acid forest soil, with a predominance of improvement and neutralization in the acid forest soil. Also, fly ash doses of 0, 50, 100 and 150 t/ha increased pH from 6.66 to 7.30; total P from 31.74 - 52.21 mg/100g; and total K from 16.19 - 25.75 mg/100g on ultisols in Indonesia under growing water spinach [62]. The improvement in soil parameters under compost-based treatment is in line with that obtained by Wilson *et al.* [63]. The latter had found that compost application increased soil pH and nutrient concentrations (K, Ca, Mg and S), reduced potato mound bulk density by 8%, increased soil organic carbon by 24% as well as soil respiration.

The compost + wood ash treatment improved yield trait and yields of orange-fleshed sweet potato and the residual soil fertility at harvest, while the compost treatment promoted the vegetative growth of sweet potato plants, according to the results of principal component analysis (PCA). Comparative research had shown that bulk density, porosity, water content and soil chemical properties (pH, C, N, P, K, Ca and Mg) significantly influenced sweet potato tuber yield in the Alfisols and therefore dictated their performance in southwestern Nigerian [64]. Indeed, Silva et al. [65] had found that the interaction between nitrogen and potassium, despite antagonistic effects on leaf P and starch accumulation, increased productivity and average commercial tuber mass and yam profitability. However, in fertilization management, the most common nitrogen-related interactions are with potassium, and these nutrients are absorbed in relatively high proportions and have non-competitive associations, where nitrogen favors support of vegetative growth and potassium favors tuber formation during the yam development cycle [66]. Furthermore, Asfaw [41] had shown that marketable and total potato tuber yield was positively and significantly correlated with growth components such as plant height, total fresh biomass and number of stems. According to this author, marketable tuber yield was positively and significantly correlated with yield components such as the total number of tubers per plant and the number of marketable potato tubers, but negatively and significantly correlated with the number of non-marketable tubers.

## 4. Conclusion

Evaluation of the effects of ecological practices on the yield performance of the JEWEL variety of orange-fleshed sweet potato showed that inputs of 6.40 t/ha of compost were effective in improving plant growth parameters, while combined inputs of compost and wood ash increased harvest yields. Remarkably, the compost treatment used alone, favored vegetative plant growth whereas the wood ash application increased the number of large tubers. However, compared to the absolute control, all treatments improved residual soil fertility. The combined of the compost and wood ash application improved residual N fertility as a result of the reduction in the soil's C/N ratio. On the other hand, the application of wood ash alone was more effective in increasing residual potassium content and neutralization soil pH. Compost + wood ash improves yields of the JEWEL variety of orange-fleshed sweet potato and residual soil fertility, unlike compost application, which promotes vegetative plant growth. In the interests of agronomic extension, further investigations are necessary to determine the treatment would promote good tuber preservation and storage and assess the technico-economic profitability of orange-fleshed sweet potato production.

## Acknowledgements

We would like to thank the Non-Governmental Organization Association pour la Recherche et la Formation en Agro-écologie (ARFA) and all ARFA staff for their material, moral and financial support in carrying out this research.

## **Conflicts of Interest**

The authors declare no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

## References

- Shimada, T. and Otani, M. (2007) Sweet Potato. In: Pua, E.C. and Davey, M.R., Eds., *Transgenic Crops IV*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Vol. 59, 337-353. <u>https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-3-540-36752-9\_18</u> <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-36752-9\_18</u>
- [2] FAO (2019) FAO Statistical Databases. https://www.fao.org/faostat/fr/#data/QC
- [3] Jaarsveld, P.J.V., Faber, M., Tanumihardjo, S.A., Nestel, P., Lombard, C.J. and Benadé, A.J.S. (2005) Carotene-Rich Orange-Fleshed Sweet Potato Improves the Vitamin A Status of Primary School Children Assessed with the Modified-Relative-Dose-Response Test. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 81, 1080-1087. https://doi.org/10.1093/ajcn/81.5.1080
- [4] Aina, A.J., Falade, K.O., Akingbala, J.O. and Titus, P. (2012) Physicochemical Properties of Caribbean Sweet Potato (*Ipomoea batatas* (L.) Lam) Starches. *Food and Bioprocess Technology*, 5, 576-583. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s11947-009-0316-6</u>
- [5] Yang, J.H., Park, H., Kim, Y., Choi, I.W., Kim, S.S. and Choi, H.D. (2012) Quality Characteristics of Vacuum Fried Snacks Prepared from Various Sweet Potato Cultivars. *Food Science and Biotechnology*, **21**, 525-530. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10068-012-0067-4
- [6] Tahinjanahary, F. (2014) Contribution à la valorisation de la patate douce pour la production d'alcool. Mémoire de fin d'études d'ingénieur Chimie Appliquée. Antananarivo. 108 p.
- [7] Mbela, D., Peter, N.L., Kariathi, V., Mtunguja, M., Mwana, E. and Wandella, M. (2021) Imparting Nutrition Knowledge to School Children and Communities: The Role of Orange Fleshed Sweet Potato in Nutrition. *European Journal of Applied Sciences*, 9, 413-428. <u>https://doi.org/10.14738/aivp.93.10320</u>
- [8] Chee, R.P., Schultheis, J.R. and Cantlife, D.J. (1992) Micropropagation of Sweet Potato (*Ipomoea batatas* Lam.). In: Bajaj, Y.P.S., Ed., *Biotechnology in Agriculture and Forestry*, Springer, Berlin, 107-117. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-07770-2\_7</u>
- [9] Marti, H.R. and Mills, H.A. (2002) Nitrogen and Potassium Nutrition Affect Yield, Dry Weight Partitioning, and Nutrient-Use Efficiency of Sweet Potato. *Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis*, **33**, 287-301. https://doi.org/10.1081/CSS-120002394
- [10] Boateng, S.A. and Boadi, S. (2010) Cassava Yield Response to Sources and Rates of Potassium in the Forest-Savanna Transition Zone of Ghana. *African Root and Tuber Crops*, 8, 1-5. <u>https://www.google.com/url?sa=t&rct=j&q=&esrc=s&source=web&cd=&cad=rja& uact=8&ved=2ahUKEwif6vTjg7eBAxUXSEEAHZzKDJQQFnoECBgQAQ&url=htt ps%3A%2F%2Fistrc-ab.org%2Fwp-content%2Fuploads%2F2013%2F09%2FAJRTC-</u>

vol-8-No1-Page-1-30.pdf&usg=AOvVaw0yAx1aAr82GRnpm7O0-W7W&opi=8997 8449

- [11] Okpara, D.A., Okon, O.E. and Ekelem, F. (2010) Optimizing Nitrogen Fertilization for Production of White and Orange Fleshed Sweet Potato in South Eastern Nigeria. *Plant Nutrition*, **32**, 878-891. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/01904160902790358</u>
- [12] Nedunchezhiyan, M., Byju, G. and Ray, R.C. (2012) Effect of Tillage, Irrigation, and Nutrient Levels on Growth and Yield of Sweet Potato in Rice Fallow. *ISRN Agronomy*, 2012, Article ID: 291285. <u>https://doi.org/10.5402/2012/291285</u>
- [13] Uwah, D.F., Undie, U.L., John, N.M. and Ukoha, G.O. (2013) Growth and Yield Response of Improved Sweet Potato (*Ipomoea batatas* (L.) Lam) Varieties to Different Rates of Potassium Fertilizer in Calabar, Nigeria. *Journal of Agricultural Science*, 5, 61-69. <u>https://doi.org/10.5539/jas.v5n7p61</u>
- [14] Cavalcante, A.J.M. (1996) L'embryogenèse somatique chez la patate douce (*Ipomea batatas* (L.) lam. Convolvulacées): Induction et maintien des structures embryogénèses, caractérisation de protéines associées. Thèse présentée pour obtenir le grade de docteur es-sciences de l'université Paris XI Orsay. 183 p. https://www.theses.fr/1996PA112208
- Sihachakr, D., Haicour, R., Cavalcante, A.J.M., Umboh, I., Nzoghe, D. and Servaes A. (1997) Plant Regeneration in Sweet Potato (*Ipomoea batatas* L., Convolvulaceae). *Euphytica*, **96**, 143-152. <u>https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1002997319342</u>
- [16] Djinet, A.T., Nana, R., Tamiri, Z. and Badoua, B. (2015) Etude comparée des paramètres agromorphologiques de dix (10) variétés de patate douce (*Ipomoea batatas* (L.) Lam cultivées au champ dans deux (2) conditions climatiques au Tchad et au Burkina Faso. *International Journal of Biological and Chemical Sciences*, 9, 1243-1251. https://doi.org/10.4314/ijbcs.v9i3.9
- [17] Lompo, F., Segda, Z., Gnankambary, Z. and Ouandaogo, N. (2009) Influence des phosphates naturels sur la qualité et la biodégradation d'un compost de pailles de maïs. *Tropicultura*, 27, 105-109.
  <u>https://www.researchgate.net/publication/45266591\_Influence\_des\_phosphates\_naturels\_sur\_la\_qualite\_et\_la\_biodegradation\_d%27un\_compost\_de\_pailles\_de\_mais</u>
- [18] Kiba, D.I. (2012) Diversité des modes de gestion de la fertilité des sols et leurs effets sur la qualité des sols et la production des cultures en zones urbaine, périurbaine et rurale au Burkina Faso. Thèse de doctorat IDR/Bobo, Burkina Faso, 120 p.
- [19] Kihara, J., Nziguheba, G., Zingore, S., Coulibaly, A., Esilaba, A., Kabambe, V., Njoroge, S., Palm, C. and Huisingh, J. (2016) Understanding Variability in Crop Response to Fertilizer and Amendments in Sub-Saharan Africa. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*, **229**, 1-12. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2016.05.012</u>
- [20] Liverpool-Tasie, L.S.O., Omonona, B.T., Sanou, A. and Ogunleye, W.O. (2017) Is Increasing Inorganic Fertilizer Use for Maize Production in SSA a Profitable Proposition? Evidence Nigeria. *Food Policy*, **67**, 41-51. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodpol.2016.09.011</u>
- [21] Pahalvi, H.N., Rafiya, L., Rashid, S., Nisar, B. and Kamili, A.N. (2021) Chemical Fertilizers and Their Impact on Soil Health. In: Dar, G.H., Bhat, R.A., Mehmood, M.A. and Hakeem, K.R., Eds., *Microbiota and Biofertilizers Ecofriendly Tools for Reclamation of Degraded Soil Environs*, Springer, Cham, 1-20. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-61010-4\_1</u>
- [22] Krasilnikov, P., Taboada, M.A. and Amanullah (2022) Fertilizer Use, Soil Health and Agricultural Sustainability. *Agriculture*, **12**, Article No. 462. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/agriculture12040462</u>

- [23] Vanlauwe, B., Descheemaeker, K., Giller, K.E., Huising, J., Merckx, R., Nziguheba, G., Wendt, J. and Zingore, S. (2015) Integrated Soil Fertility Management in Sub-Saharan Africa: Unravelling Local Adaptation. *Soil*, 1, 491-508. https://doi.org/10.5194/soil-1-491-2015
- [24] Musinguzi, P., Ebanyat, P., Tenywa, J.S., Basamba, T.A., Tenywa, M.M. and Mubiru D.N. (2016) Critical Soil Organic Carbon Range for Optimal Crop Response to Mineral Fertiliser Nitrogen on a Ferralsol. *Experimental Agriculture*, **52**, 635-653. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0014479715000307
- [25] Bonzi, M. (2002) Evaluation et déterminisme du bilan de l'azote en sols cultivés du centre Burkina Faso: Etude par traçage isotopique <sup>15</sup>N au cours d'essais en station et en milieu paysan. Thèse de doctorat, Institut National Polytechnique de Lorraine, Lorraine, 127 p. <u>http://agritrop.cirad.fr/510968/1/ID510968.pdf</u>
- [26] Meynard, J.M. and Girardin, P. (1991) Produire Autrement. *Courrier de la Cellule Environnement de l'INRA*, **15**, 1-19.
- [27] Patanita, M., Tomaz, A., Ramos, T., Oliveira, P., Boteta, L. and Dôres, J. (2019) Water Regime and Nitrogen Management to Cope with Wheat Yield Variability under the Mediterranean Conditions of Southern Portugal. *Plants*, 8, Article No. 429. https://doi.org/10.3390/plants8100429
- [28] Tomaz, A., Palma, J.F., Ramos, T., Costa, M.N., Rosa, E., Santos, M., Boteta, L., Dôres, J. and Patanita, M. (2021) Yield, Technological Quality and Water Footprints of Wheat under Mediterranean Climate Conditions: A Field Experiment to Evaluate the Effects of Irrigation and Nitrogen Fertilization Strategies. *Agricultural Water Management*, **258**, Article ID: 107214. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agwat.2021.107214
- [29] Allam, M., Radicetti, E., Quintarelli, V., Petroselli, V., Marinari, S. and Mancinelli, R. (2022) Influence of Organic and Mineral Fertilizers on Soil Organic Carbon and Crop Productivity under Different Tillage Systems: A Meta-Analysis. *Agriculture*, 12, Article No. 464. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/agriculture12040464</u>
- [30] Erich, M., Plante, A., Fernandez, J., Mallory, E. and Ohno, T. (2012) Effects of Profile Depth and Management on the Composition of Labile and Total Soil Organic Matter. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, **76**, 408-419. <u>https://doi.org/10.2136/sssaj2011.0273</u>
- [31] Adhikari, K. and Hartemink, A.E. (2016) Linking Soils to Ecosystem Services—A Global Review. *Geoderma*, 262, 101-111. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2015.08.009
- [32] Ndambi, O.A., Pelster, D.E., Owino, J.O., de Buisonjé, F. and Vellinga, T. (2019) Manure Management Practices and Policies in Sub-Saharan Africa: Implications on Manure Quality as a Fertilizer. *Frontiers in Sustainable Food Systems*, **3**, Article No. 29. https://doi.org/10.3389/fsufs.2019.00029
- [33] CPCS (Commission de Pédologie et de Cartographie des Sols) (1967) Commission de Pédologie et de Cartographie des Sols. <u>https://horizon.documentation.ird.fr/exl-doc/pleins\_textes/divers16-03/12186.pdf</u>
- [34] WRB (2015) World Reference Base for Soil Resources 2014, Update 2015. International Soil Classification System for Naming Soils and Creating Legends for Soil Maps IUSS Working Group WRB (2015). World Soil Resources Reports No. 106, FAO, Rome, 145 p. <u>https://www.fao.org/3/i3794en/I3794en.pdf</u>
- [35] Walkley, A. and Black, I.A. (1934) An Examination of the Degtjareff Method for Determining Soil Organic Matter, and a Proposed Modification of the Chromic Acid Titration Method. *Soil Science*, **37**, 29-38. https://doi.org/10.1097/00010694-193401000-00003

- [36] Kjeldahl, J. (1883) New Method for the Determination of Nitrogen. *Chemistry News*, 48, 101-102. https://doi.org/10.1038/scientificamerican10061883-6470bsupp
- Bray, R.H. and Kurtz, L.T. (1945) Determination of Total Organic, and Available Forms of Phosphorous in Soil. *Soil Science*, 59, 39-45. <u>https://doi.org/10.1097/00010694-194501000-00006</u>
- [38] Houba, V.J.G., Van Der Lee, J.J. and Novozansky, I. (1995) Soil Analysis Procedures, Other Procedures. (Soil and Plant Analysis, Part 5 B), Syllabus'1995.
- [39] AFNOR (Association Française de Normalisation) (1981) Détermination du pH, NF ISO 10390. AFNOR Qualité des sols, Paris, 339-348.
- [40] Akpaninyang, F.E., Okpara, D.A., Okon, J.E. and Udounang, P.I. (2022) Effect of Nutrient Management and Time of Vine Cutting on Orange and White-Fleshed Sweet Potato Varieties in Southern Nigeria. *International Journal of Agricultural Policy and Research*, **10**, 44-45. <u>https://doi.org/10.15739/IJAPR.22.007</u>
- [41] Asfaw, F. (2016) Effect of Integrated Soil Amendment Practices on Growth and Seed Tuber Yield of Potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) at Jimma Arjo, Western Ethiopia. *Journal of Natural Sciences Research*, 6, 38-63. https://iiste.org/Journals/index.php/JNSR/article/view/32223
- [42] Lehmann, J., Rillig, M.C., Thies, J., Masiello, C.A., Hockaday, W.C. and Crowley, D.
  (2011) Biochar Effects on Soil Biota—A Review. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 43, 1812-1836. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2011.04.022</u>
- [43] Singh, S., Swami, S., Gogoi, J., Dwivedi, D.K., Turkar, G.P., Tamang, B. and Borah, S.K. (2023) Effect of Biochar-Mediated Treatments on the Improvement of Soil Acidity, Crop Performance and Soil Properties. *Ama, Agricultural Mechanization in Asia, Africa & Latin America*, 54, 13575-13603.
- [44] Pärtel, M. (2002) Local Plant Diversity Patterns and Evolutionary History at the Regional Scale. *Ecology*, 83, 2361-2366. <u>https://doi.org/10.2307/3071796</u>
- [45] Rousk, J., Brookes, P.C. and Bååth, E. (2009) Contrasting Soil pH Effects on Fungal and Bacterial Growth Suggest Functional Redundancy in Carbon Mineralization. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, **75**, 1589-1596. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.02775-08
- [46] Raven, P.H., Evert, R.F. and Eichhorn, S.E. (2005) Biology of Plants. Macmillan Publishers Ltd., London, 637-707.
- [47] Vestergård, M., Bang-Andreasen, T., Buss, S.M., Cruz-Paredes, C., Bentzon-Tilia, S., Ekelund, F., Kjøller, R., Hindborg Mortensen, L. and Rønn, R. (2018) The Relative Importance of the Bacterial Pathway and Soil Inorganic Nitrogen Increase across an Extreme Wood-Ash Application Gradient. *GCB Bioenergy*, **10**, 320-334. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/gcbb.12494</u>
- [48] Mortensen, L.H., Cruz-Paredes, C., Qin, J., Rønn, R. and Vestergård, M. (2020) Effect of Ash Application on the Decomposer Food Web and N Mineralization in a Norway Spruce Plantation. *Science of the Total Environment*, **715**, Article ID: 136793. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.136793
- [49] Cruz-Paredes, C., Wallander, H., Kjøller, R. and Rousk, J. (2017) Using Community Trait-Distributions to Assign Microbial Responses to pH Changes and Cd in Forest Soils Treated with Wood Ash. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, **112**, 153-164. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2017.05.004</u>
- [50] Karltun, E., Saarsalmi, A., Ingerslev, M., Mandre, M., Andersson, S., Gaitnieks, T., Ozolinčius, R. and Varnagiryte-Kabasinskiene, I. (2008) Wood Ash Recycling— Possibilities and Risks. In: Röser, D., Asikainen, A., Raulund-Rasmussen, K. and

Stupak, I., Eds., *Sustainable Use of Forest Biomass for Energy: A Synthesis with Focus on the Baltic and Nordic Region*, Springer, Berlin, 79-108. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4020-5054-1\_4

- [51] Huotari, N., Tillman-Sutela, E., Moilanen, M. and Laiho, R. (2015) Recycling of Ash—For the Good of the Environment? *Forest Ecology and Management*, 348, 226-240. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2015.03.008</u>
- [52] Saarsalmi, A., Kukkola, M., Moilanen, M. and Arola, M. (2006) Long-Term Effects of Ash and N Fertilization on Stand Growth, Tree Nutrient Status and Soil Chemistry in a Scots Pine Stand. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 235, 116-128. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2006.08.004
- [53] Saarsalmi, A., Smolander, A., Kukkola, M., Moilanen, M. and Saramäki, J. (2012) 30-Year Effects of Wood Ash and Nitrogen Fertilization on Soil Chemical Properties, Soil Microbial Processes and Stand Growth in a Scots Pine Stand. *Forest Ecology and Management*, **278**, 63-70. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2012.05.006</u>
- [54] Mvumi, C., Mawoko, A., Muropa, A. and Tsindi, A. (2018) Effects of In-Now Spacing on Growth and Yield of Irish Patato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) Varieties in Eastern Highlands of Zimbabwe. *International Journal of Agronomy Agricultural Research*, 12, 19-26.
- [55] Bansal, S.K. and Trehan, S.P. (2011) Effect of Potassium on Yield and Processing Quality Attributes of Potato. *Karnataka Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, **24**, 48-54.
- [56] Trehan, S.P., Roy, S.K. and Sharma, R.C. (2001) Potato Variety Differences in Nutrient Deficiency Symptoms and Responses to NPK. Better Crops International. Potash and Phosphate Institute of Canada (PPIC), Singapore, 15, 18-21.
- [57] Trehan, S.P. and Grewal, J.S. (1990) Effect of Time and Level of Potassium Application on Tuber Yield and Potassium Composition of Plant Tissue and Tubers of Two Cultivars. In: *Potassium Nutrition of the Potato Crop—The Indian Scenario. e-ifc No.* 19—*Research Findings.* 9 p. <u>https://www.ipipotash.org/publications/eifc-11</u>
- [58] Byju, G. and Nedunchezhiyan, M. (2004) Potassium: A Key Nutrient for Higher Tropical Tuber Crops Production. *Fertility News*, **49**, 39-44.
- [59] Pettigrew, W.T. (2008) Potassium Influences on Yield and Quality Production for Maize, Wheat, Soybean and Cotton. *Physiologia Plantarum*, **133**, 670-681. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1399-3054.2008.01073.x</u>
- [60] Adabe, K.E., Maiga, A. and Diendere, J. (2019) Production et transformation de la patate douce. Pro-Agro. 47 p. <u>https://hdl.handle.net/10568/102332</u>
- [61] An, J.Y. and Park, B.B. (2021) Effects of Wood Ash and N Fertilization on Soil Chemical Properties and Growth of *Zelkova serrata* across Soil Types. *Scientific Reports*, 11, Article No. 14489. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-93805-5</u>
- [62] Priyadi, P., Taisa, R. and Kurniawati, N. (2023) The Effects of Fly Ash and Cow Manure on Water Spinach Grown on an Ultisol of Lampung, Indonesia. AGRIVITA Journal of Agricultural Science, 45, 209-219. https://doi.org/10.17503/agrivita.v45i2.3023
- [63] Wilson, C., Zebarth, B.J., Burton, D.L. and Goyer, C. (2018) Short-Term Effects of Diverse Compost Products on Soil Quality in Potato Production Soil & Water. *Management & Conservation*, 82, 889-900. https://doi.org/10.2136/sssaj2017.10.0345
- [64] Agbede, T.M. and Oyewumi, A. (2022) Benefits of Biochar, Poultry Manure and Biochar-Poultry Manure for Improvement of Soil Properties and Sweet Potato Productivity in Degraded Tropical Agricultural Soils. *Resources, Environment and*

Sustainability, 7, Article ID: 100051. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resenv.2022.100051

- [65] Silva, O.P.R., Oliveira, A.P., Cruz, J.M.F.L., Silva, L.D.R., Sousa, V.F.O., Farias, O.R., Nunes, J.C., Nascimento, I.R.S. and Martins, J.V.S. (2022) Nitrogen and Potassium Synergism Influences the Yield and Quality of *Dioscorea cayennensis. Brazilian Journal of Biology*, 82, e263916. <u>https://doi.org/10.1590/1519-6984.263916</u>
- [66] Oliveira, A.P., Silva, O.P.R., Leonel, M., Guimarães, L.M.P. and Andrade, G.P. (2015) Chapter 2. Inhame (Dioscorea spp). In: Leonel, M., Fernandes, A.M. and Franco, C.M.L., Eds., *Culturas Amilaceas: Batata-doce, inhame, mandioca e mandioquinha-salsa*, Cerat/Unesp, Botucatu, 121-170.