

Boundedness Types of Perturbations on the Growth of Semigroups

Zuheir Khidir Ahmed Abdelgader¹, Nariman Khider Ahmed Abdalgadir², Entisar Bakhit Bashir Elshikh¹, Abualez Alamin Ahmed Ali²

¹Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Education, Gezira University, Wad Madani, Sudan ²Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Education, Holy Quran University, Wad Madani, Sudan Email: noonzuheir1976@gmail.com, narimankhider22@gmail.com, entisarbakhit@gmail.com, mr.ezo877@gmail.com

How to cite this paper: Abdelgader, Z.K.A., Abdalgadir, N.K.A., Elshikh, E.B.B. and Ali, A.A.A. (2023) Boundedness Types of Perturbations on the Growth of Semigroups. *Advances in Pure Mathematics*, **13**, 1-10.

https://doi.org/10.4236/apm.2023.131001

Received: December 4, 2022 Accepted: January 16, 2023 Published: January 19, 2023

Copyright © 2023 by author(s) and Scientific Research Publishing Inc. This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution International License (CC BY 4.0).

http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

O Open Access

Abstract

We study types of boundedness of a semigroup on a Banach space in terms of the Cesáro-average and the behavior of the resolvent at the origin and also exhibit a characterization of type Hille-Yosida for the generators of φ^j -bounded strongly continuous semigroups. Furthermore, these results are used to investigate the effect of the Perturbation on the type of the growth of sequences.

Keywords

Cesáro Average, C_0 -Semigroups, Boundedness, Perturbation Stability, Hille-Yosida, Growth of Sequences

1. Introduction

Let $(A_j, D(A_j))$ be the sequence of generators of a C_0 -semigroup $T^j \coloneqq T^j (1+\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon \ge -1}$ on a Banach space X. Let $\varphi^j : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$ be a given function. That will say that the semigroup T^j is φ^j -bounded, if

$$\left\|\sum_{j}T^{j}(1+\varepsilon)\right\| \leq M\sum_{j}\varphi^{j}(1+\varepsilon)$$

holds for some M > 0 and each $\varepsilon \ge -1$. If $\varphi^j (1+\varepsilon) = M (1+(1+\varepsilon)^d)$ for some $M, d \ge 0$, then the semigroup is polynomially bounded and is bounded when d = 0. It is known that there exist $\omega^j \in \mathbb{R}$ and $M \ge 0$ such that $\left\|\sum_j T^j (1+\varepsilon)\right\| \le M \sum_j e^{\omega^j (1+\varepsilon)}$ for all $\varepsilon \ge -1$. When the growth bounds of the semigroup $\omega_0^j := \inf \left\{ \omega^j \in \mathbb{R}, \exists M \ge 1, \forall \varepsilon \ge -1, \left\|\sum_j T^j (1+\varepsilon)\right\| \le M \sum_j e^{\omega^j (1+\varepsilon)} \right\}$,

are positive, the semigroup is exponentially bounded. We are concerned with the types of boundedness of T^{j} and its perturbation when φ^{j} is exponentially bounded, *i.e.*, for all $\delta > 0$, $\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\delta(1+\varepsilon)} \sum_{j} \varphi^{j} (1+\varepsilon) d(1+\varepsilon) < \infty$.

Many results are shown in this article. The first one states that if the Cesáro average of T^{j} and that of its adjoint are φ^{j} -bounded, then so does the semigroup.

Next, give a Hille-Yosida type characterization of generators of φ^{j} -bounded C_{0} -semigroups. Furthermore, Theorem 3.2 gives a sufficient condition that improves the results of (Shiand Feng [1]) and (Eisner [2]). Notice that a closer result including integrability conditions of each powers of the resolvent was given in (Batty *et al.* [3], Theorem 6.6) under more assumptions on φ^{j} .

Finally, we consider a sequence perturbation $(B_j, D(B_j))$ and establish the previous results for the perturbed semigroup $S(1+\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon \ge -1}$ generated by $A_i + B_j$.

Throughout this article A_j stands for a closed densely defined linear sequence operators on X with domain $D(A_j)$ and spectre $\sigma(A_j)$. The pseudo-spectral bounded of sequence A_j is defined by

 $s_{0}(A_{j}) \coloneqq \inf \left\{ \omega^{j} > s(A_{j}) \colon \exists C_{\omega^{j}} \text{ such that } \left\| \sum_{j} R(\lambda_{j} + A_{j}) \right\| \leq \sum_{j} C_{\omega^{j}} \text{ whenever} \\ \operatorname{Re}(\lambda_{j}) > \omega^{j} \right\}, \text{ where } s(A_{j}) \text{ denotes the spectral bounded of sequence } A_{j} \\ \text{given by } s(A_{j}) \coloneqq \sup \left\{ \operatorname{Re}(\lambda_{j}) \colon \lambda_{j} \in \sigma(A_{j}) \right\}, \text{ with the convention } s(A_{j}) = -\infty \\ \text{ if } \sigma(A_{j}) = \phi^{j}.$

2. Boundedness Types of a Semigroup Interms of Cesáro-Boundedness of the Semigroup and Its Adjoint

It is shown in (Zwart [4]) that if T^{j} is a C_{0} -semigroup on a Banach space X and $(T^{j})'$ is its adjoint, then for each $\varepsilon > -1$, $x^{j} \in X$, $(x^{j})' \in X'$ and, $\varepsilon \ge 0$,

$$\left|\sum_{j} \left\langle T^{j}\left(1+\varepsilon\right) x^{j};\left(x^{j}\right)^{\prime}\right\rangle\right|$$

$$\leq \left(\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon} \int_{0}^{1+\varepsilon} \sum_{j} \left\|T^{j}\left(s\right) x^{j}\right\|^{(1+\varepsilon)} \mathrm{d}s\right)^{\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon}} \left(\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon} \int_{0}^{1+\varepsilon} \sum_{j} \left\|\left(T^{j}\right)^{\prime}\left(s\right)\left(x^{j}\right)^{\prime}\right\|^{\frac{1+\varepsilon}{\varepsilon}} \mathrm{d}s\right)^{\frac{\varepsilon}{1+\varepsilon}} (1)$$

The following theorem is a consequence of this inequality and recovers (Zwart [4], Theorem 2.1, 2.2), (Van Casteren and Jan [5], Theorem 3.1, (iii \Leftrightarrow iv)), (Casteren and Jan [6], Proposition 3.1) and (Guo and Zwart [7], Theorem 8.2).

Theorem 2.1. Let T^{j} be a C_{0} -semigroup on a Banach space X_{j} ,

$$1+\varepsilon, \frac{1+\varepsilon}{\varepsilon} > 1$$
 with $\frac{\varepsilon}{1+\varepsilon} + \frac{1}{1+\varepsilon} = 1$. Let φ^j and ϕ^j be measurable positive

functions. If for each $x^{j} \in X$, and $(x^{j})' \in X'$

$$\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon} \int_{0}^{1+\varepsilon} \sum_{j} \left\| T^{j}(s) x^{j} \right\|^{(1+\varepsilon)} \mathrm{d}s \leq \sum_{j} \varphi^{j}(1+\varepsilon) \left\| x^{j} \right\|^{(1+\varepsilon)} \quad \forall \varepsilon > -1$$
(2)

and

$$\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon} \int_{0}^{1+\varepsilon} \sum_{j} \left\| \left(T^{j}\right)'(s) \left(x^{j}\right)' \right\|^{\frac{1+\varepsilon}{\varepsilon}} \mathrm{d}s \leq \sum_{j} \phi^{j} \left(1+\varepsilon\right) \left\| \left(x^{j}\right)' \right\|^{\frac{1+\varepsilon}{\varepsilon}} \quad \forall \varepsilon > -1 \quad (3)$$

DOI: 10.4236/apm.2023.131001

hold, then

$$\left\|\sum_{j} T^{j}\left(1+\varepsilon\right)\right\| \leq \sum_{j} \left(\varphi^{j}\left(1+\varepsilon\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon}} \left(\phi^{j}\left(1+\varepsilon\right)\right)^{\frac{\varepsilon}{1+\varepsilon}} \quad \forall \varepsilon > -1$$
(4)

We indicate that one cannot omit the Condition (3). (Van Casteren and Jan [5], Example (2)), gives a polynomially bounded group, $T^{j}(s)_{s\in\mathbb{R}}$ of linear sequence operators acting on $X = L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$, while its Cesáro average is bounded.

3. Boundedness Types of a Semigroup in Terms of the Resolvent

In order to deal with the converse when T^j is φ^j -bounded, consider the set Λ of all continuous functions $\varphi^j : [0, \infty) \to [1, \infty)$ such that

(there exist a constant $M \ge 1$ such that,

For each
$$\varepsilon > -\beta$$
 $\sup_{\varepsilon \ge -1} \sum_{j} \varphi^{j} (1+\varepsilon) e^{-(1+\varepsilon)(\varepsilon+\beta)} \le M \sum_{j} \varphi^{j} \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon+\beta}\right)$ (5)

Note that if the functions $\varphi^{j}, \psi^{j} \in \Lambda$, and $\varepsilon \ge 0$, then $(\varphi^{j} + (1 + \varepsilon)\psi^{j}) \in \Lambda$ see (Boukdir [8]).

Many classical functions are contained in Λ : The bounded functions φ^{j} for which there exists $M \ge 1$ such that $1 \le \varphi^{j} (1 + \varepsilon) \le M$, the polynomial functions, $\varphi^{j} (1 + \varepsilon) = (1 + (1 + \varepsilon)^{d})$ for $d \ge 0$. Indeed,

$$\varphi^{j}(1+\varepsilon)e^{-(\varepsilon+\beta)(1+\varepsilon)} = \left(1+(1+\varepsilon)^{d}\right)e^{-(\varepsilon+\beta)(1+\varepsilon)} \le 1+(1+\varepsilon)^{d}e^{-(\varepsilon+\beta)(1+\varepsilon)}$$
$$\le 1+\frac{d^{d}e^{-d}}{(\varepsilon+\beta)^{d}} \le M\left(1+\frac{1}{(\varepsilon+\beta)^{d}}\right) = M\varphi^{j}\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon+\beta}\right)$$

for each $\varepsilon \ge -1, \varepsilon_1 > -\beta$ and $M = \max\{1, d^d e^{-d}\}$. Also, the function $\varphi^j(1+\varepsilon) = e^{d(1+\varepsilon)\overline{d}}$ with d > 1. In certainty, since

$$d(1+\varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{d}} - (1+\varepsilon)^{2} \le (d-1)(1+\varepsilon)^{\frac{-1}{d-1}} \le (d-1)(1+\varepsilon)^{\frac{-1}{d}} \text{ for each } \varepsilon > -1 \text{ then}$$
$$\varphi^{j}(1+\varepsilon)e^{-(1+\varepsilon)(\varepsilon+\beta)} = e^{d(1+\varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{d}} - (\varepsilon+\beta)(1+\varepsilon)} \le e^{d(\varepsilon+\beta)^{\frac{-1}{d}}} = \varphi^{j}\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon+\beta}\right).$$

Theorem 3.1. Let $(A_j, D(A_j))$ be the sequence of operator on a Banach space X. Let $\varphi^j : [0, \infty) \to [1, \infty)$ be a continuous function with $\varphi^j(0) \ge 1$. Suppose the following assertions.

i) $(A_j, D(A_j))$ is closed, densely defined, and for every $\lambda_j > 0$ one has $\lambda_j \in \varrho(A_j)$ and

$$\sup_{\lambda_{j} > (\varepsilon + \beta)} \left\| \sum_{j} \left(\lambda_{j} - (\varepsilon + \beta) \right)^{n} R \left(\lambda_{j}, A_{j} \right)^{n} \right\| \le M \sum_{j} \varphi^{j} \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon + \beta} \right)$$
(6)

for each $\varepsilon > -\beta$, $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ and some constant $M \ge 0$.

ii) $(A_j, D(A_j))$ generates a φ^j -bounded C_0 -semigroup T^j .

Then, (i) \Rightarrow (ii). Conversely, if in addition φ^{j} satisfies (5), then (ii) \Rightarrow (i). **Proof.** (i) \Rightarrow (ii). Is deduced from the Hille-Yosida Theorem and the exponential formula, $T^{j}(1+\varepsilon)x^{j} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \left(\frac{n}{1+\varepsilon}\right)^{n} R\left(\frac{n}{1+\varepsilon}, A_{j}\right)^{n} x^{j}$ for each $x^{j} \in X$, with $\lambda_j = \frac{n}{1+\varepsilon}$ and $(\varepsilon + \beta) = \frac{1}{1+\varepsilon}$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i). Since A_j be the sequence of generates a C_0 -semigroup, then it is closed and densely defined. The φ^j -boundedness of T^j and (5) imply that there exist $M_0, M_1 \ge 0$ such that

$$\left\|\sum_{j} T^{j} (1+\varepsilon)\right\| \leq M_{0} \sum_{j} \varphi^{j} (1+\varepsilon)$$

$$\leq M_{1} \sum_{j} \varphi^{j} \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon+\beta}\right) e^{(\varepsilon+\beta)(1+\varepsilon)} \quad \forall \varepsilon \geq -1, \forall \varepsilon > -\beta.$$
(7)

Consequently, $\omega_0^j (T^j) \leq 0$ and hence $\lambda_j \in \varrho(A_j)$ for all $\lambda_j > 0$. Let $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ and $0 < \varepsilon + \beta < \lambda_j$. Then

$$\begin{split} & \left\|\sum_{j} (-1)^{n} \left(\lambda_{j} - (\varepsilon + \beta)\right)^{n+1} R^{n+1} \left(\lambda_{j}, A_{j}\right)\right\| \\ &= \left\|\sum_{j} \left(\lambda_{j} - (\varepsilon + \beta)\right)^{n+1} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda_{j} (1+\varepsilon) \frac{(1+\varepsilon)^{n}}{n!}} T^{j} (1+\varepsilon) d(1+\varepsilon)\right\| \\ &\leq M_{0} \sum_{j} \left(\lambda_{j} - (\varepsilon + \beta)\right)^{n+1} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-(\lambda_{j} - (\varepsilon + \beta))(1+\varepsilon)} \frac{(1+\varepsilon)^{n}}{n!} \left(e^{-(\varepsilon + \beta)(1+\varepsilon)} \varphi^{j} (1+\varepsilon)\right) d(1+\varepsilon) \\ &\leq M_{1} \sum_{j} \varphi^{j} \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon + \beta}\right). \end{split}$$

Theorem 3.2. Suppose A_j be a closed and densely defined a sequence of operators in a Banach space X with $s(A_j) \leq 0$. For a continuous function $\varphi^j : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ with $\varphi^j(0) \geq 1$, we study the following assertions. a) For all $x^j \in X, y^j \in X'$, and $\varepsilon > -\beta$

$$\sup_{\beta<1} (1-\beta) \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \sum_{j} \left\| R\left((1+\varepsilon) + is, A_{j} \right) x^{j} \right\|^{2} ds \leq \sum_{j} \varphi^{j} \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon+\beta} \right) \left\| x^{j} \right\|^{2},$$

And

$$\sup_{\beta < 1} (1 - \beta) \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \sum_{j} \left\| R\left((1 + \varepsilon) + is, A_{j}' \right) y^{j} \right\|^{2} ds \leq \sum_{j} \varphi^{j} \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon + \beta} \right) \left\| y^{j} \right\|^{2}$$
(8)

b) For each $x^{j} \in X, y^{j} \in X'$, and $\varepsilon > -\beta$

$$\sup_{\beta < 1} (1 - \beta) \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \sum_{j} \left| \left\langle R^{2} \left((1 + \varepsilon) + is, A_{j} \right) x^{j}, y^{j} \right\rangle \right| ds \leq \sum_{j} \varphi^{j} \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon + \beta} \right) \left\| x^{j} \right\| \left\| y^{j} \right\|$$
(9)

c) A_i generates a φ^j -bounded C_0 -semigroup T^j on X, for which

$$\sum_{j} \left\| T^{j} \left(1 + \varepsilon \right) \right\| \leq \frac{e^{2}}{2\pi} \sum_{j} \varphi^{j} \left(1 + \varepsilon \right) \text{ for each } \varepsilon \geq -1$$
 (10)

Then (a) \Rightarrow (b) \Rightarrow (c). In this case, the semigroup T^{j} is given by $T^{j}(0) = I_{d}$ and for $\varepsilon > -1$,

$$\sum_{j} T^{j} (1+\varepsilon) x^{j} = \frac{1}{2\pi (1+\varepsilon)} \sum_{j} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{((1+\varepsilon)+is)(1+\varepsilon)} R^{2} ((1+\varepsilon)+is, A_{j}) x^{j} ds, \quad (11)$$

for each $(1+\varepsilon) > s_0(A_j)$ and $x^j \in X$. Furthermore, if X is a Hilbert space and

 φ^{j} satisfies (5), then (c) implies (a) with $(1+\varepsilon)(\varphi^{j})^{2}\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon+\beta}\right)$, instead of $\varphi^{j}\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon+\beta}\right)$, for some $\varepsilon > -1$.

Proof. (a) \Rightarrow (b) It is obtained by applying the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality.

(b) \Rightarrow (c). Deduce from (Gomilko [9]) and ((p. 505) from (Chill & Tomilov [10]) that the assumption (b) implies that the sequence of operator A_j generates a C_0 -semigroup T^j and for all $\varepsilon > -1$, $\sup_{\varepsilon > -1} \left\| e^{-(1+\varepsilon)^2} \sum_j T^j (1+\varepsilon) \right\| < \infty$, and for all $\varepsilon > -1$, $x^j \in X$ and $y^j \in X'$

$$\sum_{j} \left\langle T^{j} \left(1 + \varepsilon \right) x^{j}, y^{j} \right\rangle = \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\left(1 + \varepsilon \right)^{2}}}{2\pi \left(1 + \varepsilon \right)} \sum_{j} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \mathrm{e}^{is\left(1 + \varepsilon \right)} \left\langle R^{2} \left(\left(1 + \varepsilon \right) + is, A_{j} \right) x^{j}, y^{j} \right\rangle \mathrm{d}s \quad (12)$$

then the result is deduced by choosing $\varepsilon + \beta = \frac{1+\varepsilon}{2} = \frac{1}{1+\varepsilon}$.

Conversely. Let $0 < \varepsilon + \beta < 1 + \varepsilon$. As in (7) the Parseval identity yields

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{j} \left\| \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} R\left((1+\varepsilon) + is, A_{j} \right) x^{j} \right\|^{2} \mathrm{d}s \\ &= 2\pi \int_{0}^{+\infty} \mathrm{e}^{-2(1+\varepsilon)^{2}} \sum_{j} \left\| T^{j} \left(1+\varepsilon \right) x^{j} \right\|^{2} \mathrm{d} \left((1+\varepsilon) \right) \\ &\leq \frac{\mathrm{e}^{4}}{2\pi} M_{1}^{2} \sum_{j} \left(\varphi^{j} \right)^{2} \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon+\beta} \right) \int_{0}^{\infty} \mathrm{e}^{-2(1-\beta)(1+\varepsilon)} \left\| x^{j} \right\|^{2} \mathrm{d} \left(1+\varepsilon \right) \\ &= \frac{\mathrm{e}^{4} M_{1}^{2}}{4\pi (1-\beta)} \sum_{j} \left(\varphi^{j} \right)^{2} \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon+\beta} \right) \left\| x^{j} \right\|^{2}, \end{split}$$

and the identical reasoning for the dual case.

Remark 3.3. 1) The condition $\varphi^{j}(0) \ge 1$ cannot be omitted in the above Theorem 3.2. If not, the semigroup may not be strongly continuous at the origin.

2) It is not enough that the condition (9) be satisfied by some $(1+\varepsilon) > s_0(A_j)$.

An example due to (Selim Grigorevich Krein [11]) see also (Kaiser & Weis [12]), exhibits that there exists a closed, densely defined sequence of operators $(A_j, D(A_j))$ acting on a Hilbert space X such that the resolvent exists and uniformly bounded on $\{\lambda_j \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Re} \lambda_j \ge 0\}$ and

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left(\sum_{j} \left\| R\left(is, A_{j}\right) x^{j} \right\|^{2} + \sum_{j} \left\| R\left(is, A_{j}'\right) x^{j} \right\|^{2} \right) \mathrm{d}s < \infty \quad \forall x^{j} \in X ,$$

but the sequence A_j of generators of semigroup is not strongly continuous at the origin.

3) If $\varphi^{j}(1+\varepsilon) = M(1+(1+\varepsilon)^{d})$ in (9) we recover the result of (Eisner [2]) when $d \ge 0$, and (Gomilko [9]) with d = 0.

4) If $\varphi^{j} = e^{(d-1)(\varepsilon+\beta)\frac{-1}{d-1}}$ for some d > 1 in (9), obtain (Laubenfels *et al.* [13] Corollary (3.5)), exactly, the semigroup satisfies

$$\sum_{j} \left\| T^{j} \left(1 + \varepsilon \right) \right\| \leq \frac{e^{2}}{2\pi} e^{d(1+\varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{d}}} \quad \text{for all} \quad \varepsilon \geq -1.$$
(13)

In order to give a generalization of (Eisner and Zwart [14], Theorem 2.1) supposes that the resolvent of the sequence of generator A_i is $(1+\varepsilon)$ -integrabe, *i.e.*

$$\sum_{j} \left\| \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} R\left(\left(1 + \varepsilon \right) + is, A_{j} \right) x^{j} \right\|^{(1+\varepsilon)} \mathrm{d}s < \infty \quad \text{for all} \quad x^{j} \in X ,$$
 (14)

and

$$\sum_{j} \left\| \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} R\left(\left(1 + \varepsilon \right) + is, A'_{j} \right) y^{j} \right\|^{\frac{1+\varepsilon}{\varepsilon}} ds < \infty \quad \text{for all} \quad y^{j} \in X',$$
(15)

Note. We can deduce that:

$$e^{d(1+\varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{d}}} \leq \sum_{j} \varphi^{j} (1+\varepsilon) \text{ for all } \varepsilon \geq -1.$$

Proof. From (10) and (13).

Theorem 3.4. Let A_j be the sequence of generator of a C_0 -semigroup T^j on a Banach space X such that $s(A_j) \le 0$ and its resolvent is $(1+\varepsilon)$ -integrable for $\varepsilon > 0$. Let $\varphi^j : [0, \infty) \to [1, \infty)$ be a continuous function. If there exist $(1+\varepsilon)_0, M > 0$ such that

(i)
$$\sum_{j} \left\| R\left((1+\varepsilon)+is, A_{j}\right) \right\| \leq M \sum_{j} \varphi^{j} \left(\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon}\right) \text{ for all } 0 < (1+\varepsilon) < (1+\varepsilon)_{0};$$

(ii) $\sum_{j} \left\| R\left((1+\varepsilon)+is, A_{j}\right) \right\| \leq M \text{ for all } (1+\varepsilon) \geq (1+\varepsilon)_{0},$
(16)

then

$$\sum_{j} \left\| T^{j} \left(1 + \varepsilon \right) \right\| \leq \sum_{j} \left(\varphi^{j} \right)^{2} \left(1 + \varepsilon \right)$$
(17)

for some $\varepsilon > -1$ and $(1+\varepsilon) > \frac{1}{(1+\varepsilon)_0}$.

Proof. As it is shown in Eisner (2007), from (16) deduce that $s_0(A_j) \leq 0$ and for all $r \in (0, (1+\varepsilon)_0)$ there exists $M_1 \geq 0$ such that for each $x^j \in X$ and $y^j \in X'$

$$\sum_{j} \left\| R\left(r+i, A_{j}\right) x^{j} \right\|_{L^{\left(1+\varepsilon\right)}\left(\mathbb{R}, X\right)} \leq M_{1} \sum_{j} \varphi^{j}\left(\frac{1}{r}\right) \left\| x^{j} \right\|$$

and

$$\sum_{j} \left\| R\left(r+i, A_{j}'\right) y^{j} \right\|_{L^{\frac{(1+\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon}}(\mathbb{R}, X')} \leq M_{1} \sum_{j} \varphi^{j} \left(\frac{1}{r}\right) \left\| y^{j} \right\|$$

The Cauchy Schwarz inequality yields

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left| \sum_{j} \left\langle R^{2} \left(r + is, A_{j} \right) x^{j}, y^{j} \right\rangle \right| \mathrm{d}s \leq M_{1}^{2} \sum_{j} \left(\varphi^{j} \right)^{2} \left(\frac{1}{r} \right) \left\| x^{j} \right\| \left\| y^{j} \right\|.$$
(18)

By the inverse formula we get

$$\sum_{j} \left| \left\langle T^{j} \left(1 + \varepsilon \right) x^{j}, y^{j} \right\rangle \right| \leq \frac{\mathrm{e}^{r(1+\varepsilon)}}{2\pi (1+\varepsilon)} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \sum_{j} \left| \left\langle R^{2} \left(r + is, A_{j} \right) x^{j}, y^{j} \right\rangle \right| \mathrm{d}s$$
$$\leq \frac{\mathrm{e}^{r(1+\varepsilon)}}{2\pi (1+\varepsilon)} M_{1}^{2} \sum_{j} \left(\varphi^{j} \right)^{2} \left(\frac{1}{r} \right) \left\| x^{j} \right\| \left\| y^{j} \right\|.$$

For $(1+\varepsilon)$ large enough, one can choose $(1+\varepsilon) = \frac{1}{r}$.

Note. We can deduce that:

i)
$$\sum_{j} \varphi^{j} (1+\varepsilon) \leq \frac{2\pi}{e^{2}} \sum_{j} (\varphi^{j})^{2} (1+\varepsilon)$$
 for all $\varepsilon \geq -1$
ii) $e^{d(1+\varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{d}}} \leq \frac{2\pi}{e^{2}} \sum_{j} (\varphi^{j})^{2} (1+\varepsilon)$ for all $\varepsilon \geq -1$.

Proof. i) From (10) and (17).

1

ii) From (13) and (17).

Corollary 3.5. Let A_j be the sequence of generator of a C_0 -semigroup T on a Banach space X such that $s_0(A_j) \le 0$ and the resolvent is $(1+\varepsilon)$ -integrable, for some $\varepsilon > 0$. If

$$\lim_{(1+\varepsilon)\to 0^+} \sqrt{(1+\varepsilon)} \left\| \sum_{j} R((1+\varepsilon), A_j) \right\| = 0$$
(19)

and there exist constant $(1+\varepsilon)_0$, M > 0 such that

$$\sum_{j} \left\| R\left((1+\varepsilon) + is, A_{j} \right) \right\| \leq \left(1 + \sum_{j} \left\| R\left((1+\varepsilon), A_{j} \right) \right\| \right), \text{ for all } 0 < (1+\varepsilon) < (1+\varepsilon)_{0}$$
$$\sum_{j} \left\| R\left((1+\varepsilon) + is, A_{j} \right) \right\| \leq M \quad \text{for all } (1+\varepsilon)_{0} \leq (1+\varepsilon) .$$
(20)

Then the semigroup T^{j} is uniformly stable.

Proof. It is enough to choose $\sum_{j} \varphi^{j} \left(\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon} \right) = \left(1 + \sum_{j} \left\| R\left((1+\varepsilon), A_{j} \right) \right\| \right)$

Remark 3.6. 1) By $\sum_{j} \left\| R(\lambda_{j}, A_{j}) \right\| \ge \sum_{j} \frac{1}{dist(\lambda_{j}, \sigma(A_{j}))}$, (19) and (20) are

equivalent to $\sum_{j} \left\| R((1+\varepsilon)+is, A_{j}) \right\| \le M_{1}$ for each $\varepsilon \ge -1$ and $s \in \mathbb{R}$. Hence with the $(1+\varepsilon)$ -integrability of the resolvent the uniform stability of the semigroup follows from (Eisner [15], Theorem 2.15).

2) Note that the Conditions (20) are satisfied by positivity-preserving semigroups, acting in $L^{(1+\varepsilon)}(X, dx^j)$ for some $0 \le \varepsilon < \infty$ and $\omega_0^j = 0$, see (Davies [16], Lemma 9).

4. Boundedness Types of the Perturbed Semigroups

We exhibit that the conditions on A_j which ensure the φ^j -boundedness of the semigroup T^j are sufficient to obtain the same property for the perturbed $S := S(1+\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon>-1}$ of a semigroup sequence of generators $A_j + B_j$.

Let A_j be the sequence of generator of a C_0 -semigroup T^j with $s_0(A_j) \le 0$. Peekingan other closed sequence operator $(B_j, D(B_j))$ such that $D(A_j) \subset D(B_j)$, and let $(B'_j, D(B'_j))$ its dual. Suppose that

 $\begin{aligned} &(\mathcal{H}_0) \quad \sum_j \left\| B_j R(\lambda_j, A_j) \right\| \le M < 1 \quad \text{for all} \quad \lambda_j \in \mathbb{C}^+, \text{ and} \\ &(\mathcal{H}_1) \quad \sum_j \left\| R(\lambda_j, A_j) B_j y^j \right\| \le M \sum_j \left\| y^j \right\| \quad \text{for all} \quad \lambda_j \in \mathbb{C}^+, \quad y^j \in D(B_j). \end{aligned}$

Let $\lambda_j \in \mathbb{C}^+$. Since $s_0(A_j) \leq 0$, and by (\mathcal{H}_1) and the decomposition $\lambda_j - (A_j + B_j) = (\lambda_j - A_j) [I_d - R(\lambda_j, A_j)B_j]$, deduce that

 $\lambda_j \in \varrho(A_j + B_j) = \varrho(A_j + B_j)'$. Furthermore the inverse $R(\lambda_j, (A_j + B_j))$ satisfies

$$\sum_{j} \left\| R\left(\lambda_{j}, \left(A_{j} + B_{j}\right)\right) \right\| = \sum_{j} \left\| \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(R\left(\lambda_{j}, A_{j}\right) B_{j}\right)^{n} R\left(\lambda_{j}, A_{j}\right) \right\|$$

$$\leq \left(1 - M\right)^{-1} \sum_{j} \left\| R\left(\lambda_{j}, A_{j}\right) \right\|$$
(22)

where $M := \sum_{j} \left\| R(\lambda_{j}, A_{j}) B_{j} \right\|$. From (\mathcal{H}_{0}) we obtain that

$$\sum_{j} \left\| R\left(\lambda_{j}, A_{j}\right)' B_{j}'\left(y^{j}\right)' \right\| \leq M \sum_{j} \left\| \left(y^{j}\right)' \right\| \text{ for each } \left(y^{j}\right)' \in D\left(B_{j}\right)', \text{ and similar}$$

arguments exhibit that

$$\sum_{j} \left\| R\left(\lambda_{j}, A_{j} + B_{j}\right)' y^{j} \right\| \leq \left(1 - M\right)^{-1} \sum_{j} \left\| R\left(\lambda_{j}, A_{j}\right)' y^{j} \right\|.$$
(23)

Note that if (21) holds then the resolvent of $(A_j + B_j)$ is $(1 + \varepsilon)$ -integrable when that of A_j is.

Proposition 4.1. Let $(A_j, D(A_j))$ be a closed and densely defined a sequence operator on a Banachspace X with $s(A_j) \leq 0$. Let B_j be a closed sequence of operator such that $D(A_j) \subset D(B_j)$ and satisfy (\mathcal{H}_0) and (\mathcal{H}_1) . Let φ^j be a continuous function for which (8) holds, then $(A_j + B_j)$ generates a φ^j -bounded C_0 -semigroup.

Proof. Since $D(A_j + B_j) = D(A_j) \cap D(B_j) = D(A_j)$ then $D(A_j + B_j)$ is dense. By the assumption (\mathcal{H}_0) , (\mathcal{H}_1) and $s_0(A_j) \le 0$ we deduce that $s_0(A_j + B_j) \le 0$ and the Conditions (9) for $(A_j + B_j)$ are deduced from the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, (22) and (23).

The following proposition organizes a connection between the φ^{j} -boundedness of the semigroup T^{j} and that of its perturbed *S*. Furthermore this result gives a generalization of (Kaiser and Weis [12], Theorem 3.1) and (Batty and Charles [17], Theorem 1).

Proposition 4.2. Let $(A_j, D(A_j))$ be a sequences of generator of a C_0 -semigroup T^j on a Hilbert space X. Let B_j be a closed sequence of operator satisfying $D(A_j) \subset D(B_j)$ and for which the hypothesis (\mathcal{H}_0) and (\mathcal{H}_1) hold. Let φ^j be a continuous function satisfying (5).

If T^{j} is φ^{j} -bounded, then $(A_{j} + B_{j})$ generates a $(\varphi^{j})^{2}$ -bounded C_{0} -semigroup.

Proof. from (22), (23) and Theorem 3.2.

Assuming (\mathcal{H}_0) and (\mathcal{H}_1) , we will give sufficient conditions on A_j confirming that both T^j and S have the same boundedness types for large $(1+\varepsilon)$.

Proposition 4.3. Let T^{j} be a C_{0} -semigroup generated by the sequence of operator A_{j} for which $\mathbb{C}^{+} \subseteq \varrho(A_{j})$ and having $(1+\varepsilon)$ -integrable resolvent for some $\varepsilon > 0$. Suppose that (\mathcal{H}_{0}) and (\mathcal{H}_{1}) hold for some closed sequence of operator B_{j} . Let $\varphi^{j}: [0,\infty) \to [1,\infty)$ be a continuous function satisfying (16).

Then the semigroup S generated by $(A_i + B_j)$ is strongly continuous se-

quence on $(0, +\infty)$ and satisfy (17).

Proof. a direct consequence of Theorem 3.4.

5. Conclusion

As discussed above the type of the boundedness of a semigroup T^{j} in terms of increment of its Cesàro-average and that of its adjoint $(T^{j})' := (T^{j})' (1+\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon^{\geq -1}}$ is φ^{j} -bounded, then the semigroup is bounded (see Theorem 2.1). Also, we introduced a Hille-Yosida type characterization of generators of φ^{j} -bounded C_{0} -semigroups (see Theorem 3.1). We presented some effect of a perturbation sequence operator A_{j} by sequence operator B_{j} , that satisfies some assumptions specified (see Proposition 4.1 and Proposition 4.2).

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank our colleagues for interesting discussions and helpful ideas.

Author Contributions

The authors approve and read the article.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

References

- Shi, D.H. and Feng, D.X. (2000) Characteristic Conditions of the Generation of C₀-Semigroups in a Hilbert Space. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 247, 356-376. <u>https://doi.org/10.1006/jmaa.2000.6810</u>
- [2] Eisner, T. (2005) Polynomially Bounded C₀-Semigroups. Semigroup Forum, 70, 118-126. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00233-004-0151-z</u>
- [3] Batty, C., Haase, M. and Mubeen, J. (2013) The Holomorphic Functional Calculus Approach to Operator Semigroups. *Acta Scientiarum Mathematicarum*, **79**, 289-323. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/BF03651410</u>
- [4] Zwart, H. (2003) Boundedness and Strong Stability of C₀-Semigroups on a Banach Space. *Ulm-Seminar*, 8, 380-383.
- [5] Van Casteren, J.A. (1983) Operators Similar to Unitary or Selfadjoint Ones. *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*, 104, 241-255. <u>https://doi.org/10.2140/pjm.1983.104.241</u>
- [6] Van Casteren, J.A. (1997) Boundedness Properties of Resolvents and Semigroups of Operators. *Banach Center Publications*, 38, 59-74. <u>https://doi.org/10.4064/-38-1-59-74</u>
- [7] Guo, B.Z. and Zwart, H. (2006) On the Relation between Stability of Continuous and Discrete-Time Evolution Equations via the Cayley Transform. *Integral Equations and Operator Theory*, 54, 349-383. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00020-003-1350-9</u>
- Boukdir, M. (2014) On the Growth of Semigroups and Perturbations. Semigroup Forum, 91, 338-346. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00233-014-9655-3</u>

- [9] Gomilko, A.M. (1999) Conditions on the Generator of a Uniformly Bounded C₀-Semigroups. *Functional Analysis and Its Applications*, **33**, 294-296. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02467113</u>
- [10] Chill, R. and Tomilov, Y. (2003) Stability of C₀-Semigroups and Geometry of Banach Spaces. *Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society*, 135, 493-511. <u>https://doi.org/10.1017/S0305004103006893</u>
- [11] Krein, S.G. (1971) Linear Differential Equations in Banach Spaces. (Translations of Mathematical Monographs). American Mathematical Society, Washington DC.
- Kaiser, C. and Weis, L. (2003) A Perturbation Theorem for Operator Semigroups in Hilbert Spaces. *Semigroup Forum*, **67**, 63-75. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s002330010166</u>
- [13] DeLaubenfels, R., Phóong, V.Q. and Wang, S. (2002) Laplace Transforms of Vector-Valued Functions with Growth ω and Semigroups of Operators. *Semigroup Forum*, 64, 355-375. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s002330010061</u>
- [14] Eisner, T. and Zwart, A. (2007) Note on Polynomially Growing C₀-Semigroups. Semigroup Forum, 75, 438-445. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00233-007-0723-9</u>
- [15] Eisner, T. (2010) Stability of Operators and Operator Semigroups. In: Ball, J.A., Böttcher, A., Dym, H., *et al.*, Eds., *Operator Theory: Advances and Applications*, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-0346-0195-5</u>
- [16] Davies, E.B. (2005) Semigroup Growth Bounds. Journal of Operator Theory, 53, 225-249.
- [17] Batty, C.J.K. (2005) On a Perturbation Theorem of Kaiser and Weis. Semigroup Forum, 70, 471-474. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00233-005-0504-2</u>