Drug use, consequences and perceived accessibility in three Nigerian universities

Peter Olutunde Onifade¹, Edward Babatunde Somoye², Oladotun Olorunfemi Ogunwobi³, Babatunde Fadipe⁴, Ayodele Lucy Fela-Thomas², Mojisola Anifat Adeniji²

¹Drug Addiction Treatment, Education and Research Unit, Neuropsychiatric Hospital, Abeokuta, Nigeria

²Neuropsychiatric Hospital, Abeokuta, Nigeria

³Department of Medicine, Bowen University Teaching Hospital, Ogbomoso, Nigeria

⁴Department of Psychiatry, Lagos University Teaching Hospital, Lagos, Nigeria

Email: oniffpo@yahoo.com

Received 25 November 2013; revised 20 December 2013; accepted 27 December 2013

Copyright © 2014 Peter Olutunde Onifade *et al.* This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. In accordance of the Creative Commons Attribution License all Copyrights © 2014 are reserved for SCIRP and the owner of the intellectual property Peter Olutunde Onifade *et al.* All Copyright © 2014 are guarded by law and by SCIRP as a guardian.

ABSTRACT

Background: Use of alcohol and other psychoactive substances constitutes major public health concern, especially among adolescents and young adults. The aims of this study were to determine the prevalence and perceived accessibility of psychoactive substances. Method: This cross sectional study was conducted in three Nigerian universities with the use of United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime (UNODC) student model questionnaire. Results: Five hundred and forty-nine students participated. Majority of them were females, 289 (55.2%); Christians, 382 (73.0%); of Yoruba ethnic group, 375 (79.6%), and single, 512 (94.8%). Their mean age was 20.11 years (sd = 2.36). Stimulants other than the Amphetamine-types had the highest lifetime prevalence rate (53.4%), followed by Alcohol (35.8%), tranquilizers (12%), opiates other than Heroin (11.9%) and cigarettes (11.3%). Among the illicit drugs, marijuana had the highest prevalence (7.2%). Lifetime prevalence rates of cocaine and heroin use were both 2.1%. The average age at first use of any of the substances was between 10 and 17 years. The commonest consequences of alcohol use were engaged in sex regretted the next day (2.5%) and engaged in sex without using condom (2.1%); while the commonest consequences of drug use were damages to objects or clothing (1.1%), problems in relationship with parents (1.0%) and friends (1.0%). Stimulants other than amphetamine-types, solvents, opiates other than heroin, tranquilizers and cannabis were perceived as easy to get by 57.3%, 38.7%, 32.8%, 29.4% and 22.7% of the respondents respectively. For all the substances except Amphetamine-type stimulants, ecstasy and crack, lifetime drug use was significantly associated with perceived easy accessibility to the respective substances. Conclusions and Recommendations: Drug use among the students was associated with relationship problems and unsafe sex. It is also associated with perceived accessibility of the drugs. Attention needs to be focused on safe sex practices among the students in addition to drug use prevention interventions.

KEYWORDS

Psychoactive Substance; Availability; University Students; Nigeria

1. INTRODUCTION

Alcohol use, unsafe sex and illicit drug use respectively contribute 8%, 5% and 2% of disability-adjusted lifeyears (DALYs) global burden of diseases among the 15 -24-year-old adolescents. The health burden of alcohol and drug use is enormous. Among young people aged 10 - 24 years worldwide in 2004, alcohol use caused the loss of 71 million years of full health as measured by disability-adjusted life-years (DALYs). Only road traffic accidents and psychotic mental illness contributed greater health burden among this age group. Among males aged 15 - 19 years, 11 million healthy lives were lost due to misuse of psychoactive substances aside alcohol [1].

Among university students in Nigeria, the lifetime and one-month rates of the use of any psychoactive substance were reported to be 56% - 68% and 28% - 40% respectively [2,3]. The rate of lifetime alcohol use among un-



dergraduates ranged between 1% and 85%, [2-10]. The one-year range was 26% - 62% [6,7]. One-month rate of alcohol use was 0.7% - 78% [2-5.7-9]. Rate of lifetime cannabis use among them was 0.1% in the North-West zone of the country [8], 2.3% in the North-Central [3] and ranged between 20% [7] and 44% [10] in the South-South geopolitical zone of the country. One-month rate of cannabis use was 0.6% - 3.3% [3,7,8,11]. The highest lifetime rate (16%) of cocaine use was reported among undergraduates in the South-South [10]. In the 1999 United Nations International Drug Control Programme (UNDCP) survey, 1% of tertiary school students abused cocaine [12]. For many undergraduates, use of psychoactive substances began in secondary schools. Among secondary school students aged 11 - 19 years in the South-East, the rate of use of any psychoactive substance was 63% lifetime and 34% one-month [13]. Among those aged 13 - 20 years in the North-Central, the rate was 91% lifetime and 72% one-month [14].

Many studies in Nigeria have reported the prevalence of substance use among university students, but there is paucity of data on the consequences and perceived accessibility of the substances around the university premises. The aims of this study were to determine the prevalence rate of substance use and consequences, and perceive accessibility of the substances.

2. METHOD

This cross sectional study was conducted in 2009-2010 in three Nigerian universities. Two of them were religion-based—one a Muslim (UNV A) and the other a Christian (UNV B). The third university (UNV C) was secular and owned by the Federal Government. The study was part of a larger study on social capital in the hostels of the three universities; so residence in the hostels was an inclusion criterion. Sampling was done proportionate to the size of each hostel. Systematic random technique was used to select the rooms. Every member of the selected rooms was selected for the study.

The United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime (UNODC) student model questionnaire was adapted for the study. The questionnaire is a 20-item instrument containing socio-demographic questions (items 1 - 6), lifetime, last 12-month and last 30-day substance use (items 7 - 14), age at first use of the substances (item 15), disapproval of the use of the substances (item 17), perceived risk of harm from substance use (item 18), perceived ease of accessibility to the substances (item 19) and personal experience of harm resulting from the use of alcohol, drugs or other causes [15]. The self administered questionnaire is reliable for drug use survey in Nigeria [16]. The questionnaire with the informed consent page was delivered in envelope to each selected student. The students were requested to enclose the filled questionaire value of the substance of the substance.

tionnaires in the envelopes and submit them to designated persons in the hostels. Values were not computed for missing data except in case of lifetime and 12-month drug use; for example, if lifetime response was blank, but 12 month was positive, lifetime was computed to be positive. The analysis was done with Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 16. Frequency, mean, median, mode and standard deviation were used for descriptive exploration. Chi-squared statistic was used to test association among categorical variables with p-value < 0.05 taken as significant. In addition to ethical approval by the Research Ethics committee, permission letter was obtained from each of the three universities.

3. RESULTS

3.1. Response Rate

One hundred and twenty-three (125) questionnaires were given out in UNV A, 300 in UNV B and 250 in UNV C while 97, 254 and 198 filled questionnaire were returned from the three universities respectively, giving response rates of 77.6%, 84.6% and 79.2% respectively.

3.2. Socio-Demographic Variables

Table 1 shows the socio demographic variables of the universities. Majority of the participants were females, 289 (55.2%); Christians, 382 (73.0); Yoruba, 375 (79.6%), and single, 512 (94.8%). As shown in Table 2,

Ί	at	ole	1.	S	ocio-c	lemo	grap	hic	varia	b	les.
---	----	-----	----	---	--------	------	------	-----	-------	---	------

Total (%)	UNV A (%)	UNV B (%)	UNV C (%)
549 (100.0)	97 (17.7)	254 (46.3)	198 (36.1)
235 (44.8)	31 (33.7)	116(48.1)	88 (46.1)
289 (55.2)	61(66.3)	125(51.9)	103 (53.9)
143 (27.0)	92 (97.9)	20 (8.2)	31 (16.1)
382 (73.0)	2 (2.1)	223 (91.8)	161 (83.9)
375 (79.6)	77 (95.1)	146 (67.6)	152 (87.4)
96 (20.4)	4 (4.9)	70 (32.4)	22 (12.6)
515 (94.8)	92 (94.8)	233 (91.7)	190 (99.0)
28 (5.2)	5 (5.2)	21 (8.3)	2 (1.0)
	549 (100.0) 235 (44.8) 289 (55.2) 143 (27.0) 382 (73.0) 375 (79.6) 96 (20.4) 515 (94.8)	549 (100.0) 97 (17.7) 235 (44.8) 31 (33.7) 289 (55.2) 61(66.3) 143 (27.0) 92 (97.9) 382 (73.0) 2 (2.1) 375 (79.6) 77 (95.1) 96 (20.4) 4 (4.9) 515 (94.8) 92 (94.8)	549 (100.0) 97 (17.7) 254 (46.3) 235 (44.8) 31 (33.7) 116(48.1) 289 (55.2) 61(66.3) 125(51.9) 143 (27.0) 92 (97.9) 20 (8.2) 382 (73.0) 2 (2.1) 223 (91.8) 375 (79.6) 77 (95.1) 146 (67.6) 96 (20.4) 4 (4.9) 70 (32.4) 515 (94.8) 92 (94.8) 233 (91.7)

*p-value < 0.05.

Table 2. Mean age of respondents.

	n	n Mean (95 CI)		AN	OVA
				F	р
UNV A	85	19.93 (19.43 - 20.43)	2.298		
UNV B	219	20.30 (19.97 - 20.63)	2.477	1.251	0.287
UNV C	162	19.96 (19.62 - 20.31)	2.220		
Total	466	20.11 (19.90 - 20.33)	2.360		

the mean age of the participants was 20.11 (sd = 2.36) with no statistically significant difference among the universities (F = 1.251, p = 0.287).

3.3. Prevalence of Drug Use

Lifetime prevalence of drug use is depicted in **Table 3**. Stimulants other than the Amphetamine-types had the highest lifetime prevalence rate (53.4%), followed by Alcohol (35.8%), other drugs like power horse (25.1%), tranquilizers (12%), opiates other than Heroin (11.9%) and cigarettes (11.3%). Among the illicit drugs, marijuana had the highest prevalence (7.2%). Lifetime prevalence rates of cocaine and heroin use were both 2.1%. Lifetime rates of use for some of the drugs (like cigarettes, alcohol, and marijuana) were significantly different among the three universities.

Table 3 also shows the 12-month prevalence rate of drug use. Stimulants other than the Amphetamine-types still had the highest one-year prevalence rate (40.2%), followed by Alcohol (25.0%), opiates other than Heroin (8.6%), cigarettes (8.4%) and tranquilizers (7.5%). Among the illicit drugs, marijuana had the highest 12-month prevalence (5.0%). Twelve-month prevalence rates of cocaine and heroin use were both 1.4%.

Thirty-day prevalence of drug use is shown in **Table 3**. Stimulants other than the Amphetamine-types remained the substance with the highest 30-day prevalence rate (23.3%), followed by Alcohol (13.3%), solvents/ inhalants (4.7%), cigarettes (3.7%), marijuana (3.5%) and opiates other than Heroin (3.5%). Among the illicit drugs, marijuana had the highest one-year prevalence (5.0%). 30-day prevalence rates of cocaine and heroin use were both 1.2%.

3.4. Age at Onset of Drug Use

Table 4 shows the age at first use of the substances. The average age at first use of any of the substances was between 10 and 17 years. By the age of between 15 and 19 years, 50% (50th percentile) of the students had used at least one of the substances.

3.5. Consequences of Drug Use

As seen in **Table 5**, the commonest consequences of alcohol use were engaging in sex regretted the next day (2.5%), quarrel or argument (2.3%), engaging in sex without using condom (2.1%) and damage to objects or clothing (2.1%). The commonest consequences of drug use were damage to objects or clothing (1.1%), problems in relationship with parents (1.0%) and friends (1.0%).

3.6. Perceived Drug Accessibility and Lifetime Substance Use

Other drugs like power horse, stimulants other than am-

phetamine-types, solvents, opiates other than heroin, tranquilizers and cannabis were perceived as easy to get by 58.1%, 57.3%, 38.7%, 32.8%, 29.4% and 22.7% of the respondents respectively (see **Table 6**).

Table 7 shows the association between lifetime substance use and perceived accessibility to the substance. For all the substances except Amphetamine-type stimulants, Ecstasy and crack, lifetime drug use was significantly associated with perceived easy accessibility to the respective substances at p-value less than 0.05.

4. DISCUSSION

4.1. Prevalence of Drug Use

The two most commonly used substances at lifetime; 12-month and 30-day periods were stimulants other than Amphetamine-Type and alcohol. Prevalence rate of opiates other than heroin ranked among the first 5 at lifetime, 12-month and 30-day periods. Marijuana and inhalant/solvents were among the five most commonly used substances in 30-day, but not the lifetime and 12 month period. The 12-month and 30-day rates of cocaine and heroin use were nearly the same.

The prevalence rates of psychoactive substances among the students were comparable to rates reported in some secondary schools and other universities in Nigeria [17-19]. Rate of current use of cigarettes in this study was greater than the 0% and 0.1% reported among Nigerian household women aged 15 - 19 years and 20 - 24 years respectively. It about doubles the 1.4% reported among household men aged 15 - 19 years but lower than the 6% reported among 20 - 24 year-old men [20].

4.2. Consequences of Drug Use

While damage to objects or clothes, problems in relationship with parents, and friends were often associated with drug use, the most common consequences of alcohol use in this study were engaging in sex regretted the following day, quarrels, and engaging in sex without condom. In the Nigerian households, sex related alcohol use problems have been reported in which 68.4% of ever-married women aged 15 - 49 whose partners got drunk often experienced emotional, physical or sexual violence compared to women whose partners did not drink often experienced emotional, physical or sexual violence compared to women whose partners did not drink alcohol.

Similarly, 6.5% of women whose husbands got drunk often committed physical violence against their partners compared with 0.5% of women whose partners did not drink [20].

An incidental finding in this study was that dysfunctional relationship, physical injury and damage to objects or clothing were mostly due to reasons other than use of

		Lifetime			12-month			30-day	
	UNV A	UNV B	UNV C	UNV A	UNV B	UNV C	UNV A	UNV B	UNV C
Cigarettes	6 (6.3)	44 (18.7)	8 (18.7)	4 (4.2)	32 (13.6)	7 (3.8)	2 (2.1)	14 (6.0)	3 (1.6)
Alcohol	16 (16.7)	112 (47.7)	53 (30.5)	10 (10.4)	83 (35.3)	33 (19.0)	5 (5.2)	39 (16.6)	23 (13.2)
Marijuana	4 (4.3)	28 (11.3)	5 (2.8)	2 (2.2)	20 (8.1)	4 (2.2)	1 (1.1)	14 (5.8)	3 (1.7)
Tranquillizers	6 (6.5)	35 (14.2)	21 (11.9)	5 (5.4)	27 (10.9)	7 (3.9)	3 (3.3)	6 (2.5)	1 (.6)
Amphetamines	0 (0.0)	1 (0.4)	3 (1.7)	0 (0.0)	1 (0.4)	2 (1.1)	0 (0.0)	1 (.4)	1 (.6)
Metamphetamines	0 (0.0)	2 (0.8)	5 (2.8)						
Other stimulants	52 (56.5)	151 (61.1)	73 (41.0)	43 (46.7)	108 (43.7)	57 (32.0)	24 (26.1)	57 (23.3)	38 (21.8)
Ecstasy	3 (3.3)	3 (1.2)	10 (5.7)	2 (2.2)	2 (0.8)	5 (2.8)	0 (0.0)	1 (0.4)	3 (1.7)
Other hallucinogens	2 (2.2)	6 (2.5)	4 (2.3)	2 (2.2)	5 (2.0)	2 (1.1)	2 (2.2)	3 (1.2)	2 (1.1)
Cocaine	4 (4.3)	5 (2.0)	2 (1.1)	2 (2.2)	4 (1.6)	1 (0.6)	1 (1.1)	4 (1.7)	1 (0.6)
Crack	1 (1.1)	4 (1.6)	3 (1.7)	0 (0.0)	4 (1.6)	2 (1.1)	0 (0.0)	2 (0.8)	1 (0.6)
Heroin	2 (2.2)	6 (2.5)	3 (1.7)	1 (1.1)	5 (2.0)	1 (0.6)	1 (1.1)	4 (1.7)	1 (0.6)
Other opiates	13 (14.1)	36 (14.7)	12 (6.8)	12 (13.0)	27 (11.0)	7 (3.9)	5 (5.4)	9 (3.7)	4 (2.3)
Drugs by injection	6 (6.5)	6 (2.4)	8 (4.5)	3 (3.3)	4 (1.6)	6 (3.4)	1 (1.1)	4 (1.7)	3 (1.7)
Solvents/Inhalant	11 (12.0)	21 (8.5)	17 (9.6)	8 (8.7)	14 (5.7)	14 (7.9)	5 (5.5)	10 (4.1)	9 (5.2)
Analgesics	54 (58.7)	125 (50.8)	88 (49.4)	46 (50.0)	97 (39.4)	63 (35.2)	33 (36.3)	65 (26.7)	39 (22.3)
Other drugs	28 (30.4)	66 (28.2)	31 (18.0)						

Table 3. Lifetime, 12-month and 30-day rates of psychoactive substance use.

 Table 4. Ages at first use of the drugs.

	Ν	Mean	sd		Percentiles	
				25	50	75
Cigarettes	63	15.98	3.661	14.00	17.00	19.00
Alcohol	211	15.87	3.528	14.00	17.00	18.00
Marijuana	30	17.07	2.852	15.75	17.00	19.00
Tranquillizers	38	15.68	3.625	14.00	16.00	18.25
Amphetamines						
Methamphetamine	1	10.00	10	10.00	10.00	
Other stimulants	151	14.22	3.946	10.00	15.00	17.00
Ecstasy	2	16.50	2.121	15.00	16.50	18.00
LSD^*						
Other hallucinogens	6	13.33	5.610	7.50	14.00	18.50
Cocaine	5	13.60	6.504	7.50	13.00	20.00
Crack	3	16.67	4.041	12.00	19.00	19.00
Heroin	6	16.50	3.082	14.00	17.50	19.00
Other opiates	41	15.17	4.260	12.00	16.00	18.00
Drugs by injection	9	14.44	5.548	11.00	14.00	19.50
Solvents/inhalants	34	12.29	3.648	9.75	12.00	15.00
Analgesics	171	12.96	4.298	10.00	13.00	16.00
Other drugs	97	16.93	2.898	15.00	17.00	19.00

*LSD-Lysergic acid diethylamide.

Consequence	Never	Due to alcohol use	Due to the use of other substances	Due to other reasons
Quarrel	102 (21.3)	11(2.3)	4(0.8)	362 (75.6)
Scuffle	271 (57.1)	4 (0.8)	2 (0.4)	198 (41.7)
Accident	234 (49.0)	4 (0.8)		240 (50.2)
Loss of money	152 (31.9)	9 (1.9)	3 (0.6)	313 (65.6)
Damage to objects	246 (52.2)	10 (2.1)	5 (1.1)	210 (44.6)
Problems with parents	300 (62.8)	5 (1.0)	5 (1.0)	168 (35.1)
Problems with friends	235 (49.2)	10 (1.0)	5 (1.0)	228 (47.7)
Problems with neigbours	362 (77.7)	2 (0.4)	4 (0.9)	98 (21.0)
Expelled from school	460 (96.4)	4 (0.8)	2 (0.4)	11 (2.3)
Poor school performance	349 (73.6)	7 (1.5)	3 (0.6)	115 (24.3)
Victimized by robbery or theft	419 (87.7)	6 (1.3)		53 (11.1)
Trouble with police	447 (93.7)	7 (1.5)	1 (0.2)	22 (4.6)
Hospitalized	412 (86.2)	2 (0.4)		64 (13.4)
Sex regretted the next day	412 (87.1)	12 (2.5)	1 (0.2)	48 (10.1)
Sex without using condom	389 (82.2)	10 (2.1)	1 (0.2)	73 (15.4)

Table 5. Sequels of substance use.

Tables 6. Perceived accessibility to substances among the universities.

Substance and ease of getting it		etting it Total (%) UNV A (%)		UNV B (%)	UNV C (%)	x ²	df	р
Marijuana	Difficult	285 (77.2)	51 (77.3)	138 (71.1)	96 (88.1)	11.20	2	0.002
	Easy	84 (22.8)	15 (22.7)	56 (28.9)	13 (11.9)	11.39	2	0.003
Tranquillizer	Difficult	263 (72.1)	48 (70.6)	129 (67.2)	86 (81.9)	7.39	2	0.025
	Easy	102 (27.9)	20 (29.4)	63 (32.8)	19 (18.1)	7.39	Z	0.025
Amphetamines	Difficult	318 (94.1)	61 (96.8)	158 (91.3)	99 (97.1)			
	Easy	20 (5.9)	2 (3.2)	15 (8.7)	3 (2.9)			
Methamphetamine	Difficult	316 (95.5)	61 (98.4)	160 (93.6)	95 (96.9)	3.14	2	0.200
	Easy	15 (4.5)	1 (1.6)	11 (6.4)	3 (3.1)	3.14	2	0.208
Other stimulants	Difficult	172 (44.4)	32 (42.7)	74 (36.3)	66 (61.1)	17.76	2	<0.00
	Easy	215 (55.6)	43 (57.3)	130 (63.7)	42 (38.9)	17.70	2	< 0.00
Ecstasy	Difficult	298 (92.0)	58 (96.7)	150 (88.2)	90 (95.7)	6.82	2	0.02
	Easy	26 (8.0)	2 (3.3)	20 (11.8)	4 (4.3)	0.82	2	0.033
LSD	Difficult	310 (95.7)	58 (96.7)	159 (93.0)	93 (100.0)	7.34	2	0.025
	Easy	14 (4.3)	2 (3.3)	12 (7.0)	0 (.0)	7.54	2	0.02
Other hallucinogens	Difficult	304 (92.4)	57 (91.9)	154 (90.6)	93 (95.9)	2.48	3 2	0.20
	Easy	25 (7.6)	5 (8.1)	16 (9.4)	4 (4.1)	2.48	2	0.289
Cocaine	Difficult	325 (93.4)	61 (95.3)	165 (89.7)	99 (99.0)	9.59	2	0.00
	Easy	23 (6.6)	3 (4.7)	19 (10.3)	1 (1.0)		2	0.00
Crack	Difficult	323 (95.6)	59 (98.3)	167 (92.8)	97 (99.0)	7.08	2	0.020
	Easy	15 (4.4)	1 (1.7)	13 (7.2)	1 (1.0)		2	0.02
Heroin	Difficult	317 (92.4)	57 (91.9)	165 (90.7)	95 (96.0)	2.50	2	0.07
	Easy	26 (7.6)	5 (8.1)	17 (9.3)	4 (4.0)	2.59	2	0.27
Other opiates	Difficult	265 (75.1)	43 (67.2)	130 (69.5)	92 (90.2)	18 (8	2	
	Easy	88 (24.9)	21 (32.8)	57 (30.5)	10 (9.8)	17.67	2	< 0.00
Drugs by injection	Difficult	316 (90.0)	61 (92.4)	159 (87.8)	96 (92.3)	1.00	2	0.07
	Easy	35 (10.0)	5 (7.6)	22 (12.2)	8 (7.7)	1.98	2	0.37
Solvents/inhalants	Difficult	225 (59.4)	46 (61.3)	108 (54.5)	71 (67.0)	4.57	2	0.10
	Easy	154 (40.6)	29 (38.7)	90 (45.5)	35 (33.0)	4.57	2	0.101
Analgesics	Difficult	142 (36.0)	22 (28.9)	64 (31.2)	56 (49.6)	10 50	2	0.00
	Easy	252 (64.0)	54 (71.1)	141 (68.8)	57 (50.4)	12.68	2	0.002
Other drugs	Difficult	202 (53.2)	31 (41.9)	98 (49.5)	73 (67.6)	12.05	2	0.00
~	Easy	178 (46.8)	43 (58.1)	100 (50.5)	35 (32.4)	13.87	2	0.00

|--|

			me use				
Substance	Perceived accessibility	Total	No	Yes	x ²	df	р
Marijuana	Difficult to get	278 (76.8)	276 (83.1)	2 (6.7)	90.28	1	< 0.00
	Easy to get	84 (23.2)	56 (16.9)	28 (93.3)	70.20	1	\0.00
	Total	362 (100.0)	332 (100.0)	30 (100.0)			
Tranquillizer	Difficult to get	257 (71.6)	240 (76.9)	17 (36.2)	33.35	1	< 0.00
	Easy to get	102 (28.4)	72 (23.1)	30 (63.8)	55.55	1	\0.00
	Total	359 (100.0)	312 (100.0)	47 (100.0)			
Amphetamines	Difficult to get	312 (94.0)	311 (94.0)	1 (100.0)	0.06	1	0.800
	Easy to get	20 (6.0)	20 (6.0)	0 (0.0)	0.00	1	0.000
	Total	332 (100.0)	331 (100.0)	1 (100.0)			
Methamphetamine	Difficult to get	309 (95.4)	306 (95.6)	3 (75.0)	3.80	1	0.051
	Easy to get	15 (4.6)	14 (4.4)	1 (25.0)	5.00	1	0.051
	Total	324 (100.0)	320 (100.0)	4 (100.0)			
Other stimulants	Difficult to get	168 (44.0)	112 (67.5)	56 (25.9)	65.75	1	< 0.00
	Easy to get	214 (56.0)	54 (32.5)	160 (74.1)	05.75	1	\0.00
	Total	382 (100.0)	166 (100.0)	216 (100.0)			
Ecstasy	Difficult to get	290 (91.8)	281 (91.5)	9 (100.0)	0.83	1	0.362
	Easy to get	26 (8.2)	26 (8.5)	0 (0.0)	0.05		0.502
	Total	316 (100.0)	307 (100.0)	9 (100.0)			
LSD	Difficult to get	303 (95.6)	302 (95.9)	1 (50.0)	9.91	1	0.002
	Easy to get	14 (4.4)	13 (4.1)	1 (50.0)	9.91	1	0.002
	Total	317 (100.0)	315 (100.0)	2 (100.0)			
Other hallucinogens	Difficult to get	298 (92.3)	296 (93.1)	2 (40.0)	19.42	1	< 0.00
	Easy to get	25 (7.7)	22 (6.9)	3 (60.0)	19.42	1	<0.00
	Total	323 (100.0)	318 (100)	5 (100.0)			
Cocaine	Difficult to get	318 (93.3)	315 (94.3)	3 (42.9)	21.26	1	< 0.00
	Easy to get	23 (6.7)	19 (5.7)	4 (57.1)	21.26	1	\0.00
	Total	341 (100.0)	334 (100.0)	7 (100.0)			
Crack	Difficult to get	316 (95.5)	312 (95.7)	4 (80.0)	2.81	1	0.094
	Easy to get	15 (4.5)	14 (4.3)	1 (20.0)	2.01	1	0.07-
	Total	331 (100.0)	326 (100.0)	5 (100.0)			
Heroin	Difficult to get	311 (92.3)	308 (93.1)	3 (50.0)	15.34	1	< 0.00
	Easy to get	26 (7.7)	23 (6.9)	3 (50.0)	15.54	1	<0.00
	Total	337 (100.0)	331(100.0)	6 (100.0)			
Other opiates	Difficult to get	260 (74.7)	249 (82.5)	11 (23.9)	72.40	1	< 0.00
	Easy to get	88 (25.3)	53 (17.5)	35 (76.1)	72.40	1	<0.00
	Total	348 (100.0)	302 (100.0)	46 (100.0)			
Drugs by injection	Difficult to get	311 (89.9)	306 (91.9)	5 (38.5)	39.28	1	< 0.00
	Easy to get	35 (10.1)	27 (8.1)	8 (61.5)	39.20	1	<0.00
	Total	346 (100.0)	333 (100.0)	13 (100.0)			
Solvents/Inhalants	Difficult to get	221 (59.2)	213 (62.8)	8 (23.5)	10.77	1	.0.00
	Easy to get	152 (40.8)	126 (37.2)	26 (76.5)	19.77	1	< 0.00
	Total	373 (100.0)	339 (100.0)	34 (100.0)			
Analgesics	Difficult to get	138 (35.7)	112 (64.4)	26 (12.2)	1 1 2	1	.0.00
	Easy to get	249 (64.3)	62 (35.6)	187 (87.8)	1.13	1	< 0.00
	Total	387 (100.0)	174 (100.0)	213 (100.0)			
Other drugs	Difficult to get	188 (52.1)	179 (69.1)	9 (8.8)	1.0-		0.00
-	Easy to get	173 (47.9)	80 (30.9)	93 (91.2)	1.06	1	< 0.00
	Total	361 (100.0)	259 (100.0)	102 (100.0)			

 Tables 7. Perceived substance accessibility and lifetime uses.

alcohol and other substances. Of great public health concern is that, for reasons other than alcohol and drug use, 1 out of every 10 students engaged in sex regretted the next day and that 3 out of every 20 engaged in sex without using condom.

4.3. Perceived Drug Accessibility and Lifetime Substance Use

The significant association between a perceived accessibility and lifetime use of the substance can have direction in both ways. This study is unable to provide information on the direction of causality.

4.4. Strengths

This study reported not only the prevalence rate of substance use, but also the perceived accessibility and experience of personal harm from the substance use. It also provides data to compare substance use in three Nigerian Universities with different religious orientations.

4.5. Limitations

The study population was located in the South-Western part of Nigeria. Therefore its results may not be generalizable over the entire country. The study assessed consequences of substance use but did not explore the reasons why these students used the drugs they use.

5. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Drug use among the students was associated with physical injury, relationship problems, and unsafe sex. It was associated with perceived accessibility of the drugs.

While programs are needed to address drug use and its negative consequences, attention also needs to be focused on the safe sex practices among the students.

Further study is needed to determine the direction of causality between drug use and perceived accessibility of the drug.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Thanks to the authorities of the three universities for the permission to conduct the study.

REFERENCES

- Gore, F.M., Bloem, P.J., Patton, G.C., Ferguson, J., Joseph. V., Coffey, C., *et al.* (2011) Global burden of disease in young people aged 10 24 years: A systematic analysis. *Lancet*, **377**, 2093-2102. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(11)60512-6
- [2] Ihezue, U.H. (1988) Drug abuse among medical students at a Nigerian university: Part 1. Prevalence and pattern of

use. Journal of the National Medical Association, 80, 81-85.

- [3] Makanjuola, A.B., Daramola, T.O. and Obembe, A.O. (2007) Psychoactive substance use among medical students in a Nigerian university. *World Psychiatry*, 6, 112-114.
- [4] Chikere, E.I. and Mayowa, M.O. (2011) Prevalence and perceived health effect of alcohol use among male undergraduate students in Owerri, South-East Nigeria: A descriptive cross-sectional study. *BMC Public Health*, **11**, 118. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1471-2458-11-118</u>
- [5] Adewuya, A.O. (2005) Validation of the alcohol use disorders identification test (audit) as a screening tool for alcohol-related problems among Nigerian university students. *Alcohol*, 40, 575-577.
- [6] Adewuya, A.O. (2006) Prevalence of major depressive disorder in Nigerian college students with alcohol-related problems. *General Hospital Psychiatry*, 28, 169-173. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.genhosppsych.2005.09.002</u>
- [7] Nevadomsky, J.J. (1985) Drug use among Nigerian university students: Prevalence of self-reported use and attitudes to use. *Bulletin on Narcotics*, 37, 31-42.
- [8] Yunusa, M.A., Obembe, A., Madawaki, A. and Asogwa, F. (2011) A survey of psychostimulants use among a university students in Northwestern Nigeria. *Nigerian Journal of Psychiatry*, 9, 40-45.
- [9] Achalu, O.E. and D.F. (1987) Duncan, drug-taking among Nigerian students at universities in the United States of America. *Bulletin on Narcotics*, **39**, 75-80.
- [10] Okoza, J., Aluede, O. Fajoju, S. and Okhiku, I. (2009) Drug abuse among students of Ambrose Alli University, Ekpoma, Nigeria. *European Journal of Social Sciences*, 10, 85-92.
- [11] Ihezue, U.H. (1988) Alcohol and drug taking among medical students at a Nigerian university campus: Part 2. Sociodemographic factors of etiologic significance. *Journal of the National Medical Association*, **80**, 191-195.
- [12] UNDCP (1999) Report of the rapid situation assessment of drug abuse in Nigeria. United Nations International Drug Control Programme, Nigeria.
- [13] Igwe, W.C. and Ojinnaka N.C. (2010) Mental health of adolescents who abuse psychoactive substances in Enugu, Nigeria—A cross-sectional study. *Italian Journal of Pediatrics*, **36**, 53.
- [14] Adelekan, M.L. (1989) Self-reported drug use among secondary school students in the Nigerian state of Ogun. *Bulletin on Narcotics*, **41**, 109-116.
- [15] UNODC (2003) GAP toolkit module 3: Conducting school surveys on drug abuse. UN, New York
- [16] Adelekan, M.L. and Odejide, O.A. (1989) The reliability and validity of the WHO student drug-use questionnaire among Nigerian students. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence*, 24, 245-249. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0376-8716(89)90062-8</u>
- [17] Oshodi, O.Y., Aina, O.F. and Onajole A.T. (2010) Substance use among secondary school students in an urban setting in Nigeria: Prevalence and associated factors. *Afri-*

can Journal of Psychiatry (Johannesbg), 13, 52-57.

- [18] Yisa, I.O., Lawoyin, T.O., Fatiregun, A.A. and Emelumadu, O.F. (2009) Pattern of substance use among senior students of command secondary schools in Ibadan, Nigeria. *Nigerian Journal of Medicine*, 18, 286-290.
- [19] Fatoye, F.O. (2007) Substance use among university un-

dergraduates: A study of pattern and beliefs in Ile-Ife. *Nigerian Postgraduate Medical Journal*, **14**, 37-41.

[20] NPC and ICF (2009) Nigeria demographic and health survey. National Population Commission and ICF Macro, Abuja.