Screening of Foot at Risk in Diabetic Patients
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Abstract

Introduction: The term “diabetic foot” refers to all conditions that affect the foot and are directly related to the impact of diabetes. Objective: Screen the foot at risk in diabetic patients at the hospital of Mali. Methods: It was a cross-sectional study from January 1st, 2016 to June 30, 2016, at the Department of Internal Medicine and endocrinology of the Hospital of Mali. It was focused on all hospitalized diabetic patients. Results: Thirty-two (32) patients had a foot at risk among 76 diabetic patients during the study period representing 42.10%. The sex ratio was 0.52. Type 2 diabetes accounted for 82%. A glycemic imbalance (HBA1C > 7%) was observed in 88.15%. Eighteen percent (18%) of patients had a history of ulceration or amputation; 33% were walking barefoot; 78.9% had tingles in the foot; 31.6% had intermittent claudication; 64.5% had foot cleanliness; 8% claw toes; 42% had abolition or reduction of superficial tenderness to monofilament and 21% had mixed foot (neuropathy + arteriopathy). In our study, 58.9% of patients had no risk of podiatry. Conclusion: Screening of foot at risk is essential in the management of diabetes because it determines the podiatric risk enabling to minimize future functional disabilities.
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1. Introduction

The term “diabetic foot” refers to all conditions that affect the foot and are...
directly related to the impact of diabetes. The foot of the diabetic patient is vulnerable and responsible for major functional disabilities, and impaired quality of life and death [1].

Management of diabetic foot requires a multidisciplinary approach. It is the leading cause of hospitalization of diabetic patients. The direct and indirect costs to society are considerable [2].

It is the largest provider of lower limb amputations in the world.

*8/10 non-traumatic amputations are due to diabetes.

*Amputation is made every 30 seconds in a diabetic patient in the world [3].

In Mali, the diabetic foot constitutes a major problem in our health structures both by the delay of the diagnosis and its care. In the Internal Medicine department of the University Hospital of Point G in Bamako, the diabetic foot problem represented 55% of hospitalization for diabetic patients, including 41% of amputations and 5.8% of deaths [4].

A study conducted at the University Teaching Hospital of Point-G noted that the total expenses for the management of extremities infection in diabetic patients was between 500,005 and 2,000,000 F CFA in 51.5% of the cases [5].

Several studies have been conducted on the foot at risk due to neurological or vascular component or both. These two components are responsible for lesions or ulcerations of the foot with or without infection [6].

Given the seriousness of the problem, we conducted this study on diabetic feet at the Department of Internal Medicine and Endocrinology of the Hospital of Mali, a new structure of reference in diabetology.

2. Objective

Study the foot at risk among diabetic patients at the hospital of Mali.

3. Methods

The study was conducted at the Department of Medicine and Endocrinology of the Hospital of Mali. It was a prospective and descriptive study for the 6-month period (January 1 to June 30, 2016). It was focused on diabetic patients hospitalized during the study period.

Were included, all patients with diabetes who were hospitalized regardless of sex, age and the type of diabetes.

The data were collected on a pre-established individual questionnaire which was addressed to the patients regarding the objectives of the study. The questionnaire has been pretested and validated. Demographic data such as age, sex, profession as well as characteristics of diabetes including the type of diabetes, duration disease, and degenerative complications were collected.

Physical exam was performed to assess lower limbs arteriopathy highlighted by an ischemic necrosis of the extremities toes in the form of blackening of the toes, the perception or not of peripheral arterial pulses of the lower limbs (femoral, popliteal, pedis, posterior tibial pulse), cold extremities, and the search
Neuropathy for assessed highlighted by an edematous, a deformed, amyotrophy, the callus, hyperkeratosis, ulcerations of the lower limbs, and the Charcot’s foot.

In addition to the abolition of tactile sensitivity to monofilament, the deep sensitivity to the tuning fork, and a reduction or abolition of osteo tendinous reflex were assessed.

Neuropathy was classified into:
- Mild: the presence of functional signs and absence of physical signs.
- Obvious: the presence of functional signs and physical signs.

Paraclinical exam included a recent report of fewer than 3 months of glycated hemoglobin [Good balance between 6.5% - 7% and balance Bad > 7%].

Patients were classified according to the podiatry risk of the ALFEDIAM classification that is shown in Table 1.

Data were entered on the software Excel 2007 and analyzed with SPSS Version 20.0 after cleaning. The statistical tests used were chi² for the comparison of proportions (with p < 0.05).

All patients voluntarily accepted and provided verbal consent before inclusion in the study.

4. Results

During the study period; 76 cases of diabetes we recorded out of 321 patients hospitalized at the Department of Medicine and endocrinology of the hospital of Mali with a frequency of 23.67%. Among them, 32 patients had a foot at risk which represented 42%.

The limitations of the study were the lack of financial means to achieve a certain number of paraclinical results that were not used in the study to avoid missing data.

Women represented 66% (n = 50) of the study population with a ratio of 0.52 shown in Figure 1.

Type 2 diabetes represented the majority of the studied population with 82% (n = 62).

Table 1. Podiatry risk according to the ALFEDIAM classification.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>Management</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>Neuropathy, no arteritis, the possibility of deformations nonspecific</td>
<td>Yearly exam of the feet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Neuropathy (monofilament not seen), without arteritis nor deformations</td>
<td>Exam of the feet and shoes at each consultation. Patient education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Neuropathy + arteritis and or deformation</td>
<td>Same action + podiatry specialist every two months + if necessary orthosis and adapted shoes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>History of amputation or ulcer with more than 3 months duration</td>
<td>Same action + education with a specialized team</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Patients with less than 5 years of diabetes progression represented 47.4% \((n = 36)\).

Eighteen percent (18%) of patients had a history of ulceration (>3 months) or amputation.

Almost all patients (88.15%) \((n = 70)\) were in glycemic imbalance (HBA1C > 7).

As a risk practice for patients shown in Table 2: Barefoot walking was found in 32.9% \((n = 25)\); cutting nails at raw 25% \((n = 19)\); using a sharpened instrument for horn 13.2% \((n = 10)\); using corticoids 10.5%; prolonged baths 22.5% \((n = 17)\).

According to the general aspects of the foot: More than half of the patients 64.5% \((n = 49)\) had clean feet; 78.9% \((n = 60)\) had tingling; 31.6% \((n = 24)\) intermittent claudication; 14.4% \((n = 11)\) had deformations of the foot (toe in claw or hammer teeth, hallux valgus and Quintus varus); 42.1% \((n = 32)\) had abolition or reduction of superficial sensitivity to monofilament.

Less than half of the patients, 42% \((n = 32)\) had diabetic neuropathy.

Lower extremity arteriopathy was present in 22.4% \((n = 17)\) (of our patients, including 10.5% \((n = \text{in stage II})\), 7.9% in stage III, 2.6% in stage I and 1.3% in stage IV) of the classification of Leriche and Fontaine.

Patients with a mixed foot (neuropathy + arteriopathy) represented 21.1% \((n = 16)\) of the study population.

The distribution shown in Figure 2 according to the podiatry risk of the classification of ALFEDIAM of the French society of diabetes (SFD) showed a grade 0 in 58% \((n = 44)\), a grade 1 in 20% \((n = 15)\), grade 2 in 14% \((n = 11)\) and grade 3 in 8% \((n = 6)\).

The onset of Diabetic Foot Neuropathy increased with the duration of diabetes \((p = 0.001)\), also for the arterial disease of the foot \((p = 0.025)\).
Table 2. Distribution according to risk practices.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Practices at risk</th>
<th>Number of employees (n = 76)</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Barefoot</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>32.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cut raw nails</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use sharp instrument for horn</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>13.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use coricides</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extended baths</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>22.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2. Patient distribution according to podiatry risk.

The risk of diabetic foot neuropathy increased with poor glycemic control (p = 0.045).

There was a statistically significant association between diabetic foot neuropathy and the history of ulceration or amputation (p = 0.002).

Similarly, there was a statistically significant relationship between an arteriopathy foot and a history of ulceration or amputations (p = 0.001).

5. Comment and Discussion

This was a prospective and descriptive study conducted at the Department of Medicine and Endocrinology of the Hospital of Mali from January 1, 2016, to June 30, 2016.

The study involved 76 diabetic patients out of a total of 321 patients hospitalized with a frequency of 23.67%. This result is higher than that of TRAORE [7] who found 16.37%. It is explained by the fact that; the department of endocrinology was newly opened at the hospital of Mali.

Of the 76 patients included in our study, 66% were women with a sex ratio of 0.52. This result is comparable to that of COULIBALY [8] who found a sex ratio of 0.55.

Type 2 diabetes represented nearly all patients (82%). This result is consistent with the literature data for type 2 diabetes which is and remains the most com-
mon worldwide (80% to 90%) according to WHO [2]. This result is superimposable to those of TRAORE [9] and TRAORE [7] who found 96.1% and 91.5% respectively.

Nearly half of the patients (47.4%) had recent-onset diabetes (an evolution period of fewer than 5 years). This result is lower than those of TRAORE [5] and CAMARA [10] who found 65.5% and 60.9% respectively. This could be explained by the massive screening for diabetes in recent years during the International Diabetes Day.

During our study, all our patients had performed the HbA1C test. Almost all of the patients had a poor balance with 88.15%. This result is closer to those of TRAORE [7] and TRAORE [11] who found respectively 72.41% and 76%. These poor results can be explained by the late diagnosis of diabetes [3].

Foot neuropathy was present in more than one-third of our patients with 42.1%. This result is lower than that of TRAORE [9] and superior to CAMARA [10] results who reported respectively 55.6% and 28.8%.

The onset of diabetic foot neuropathy increased with the duration of diabetes (p = 0.001). This relationship was also found by TRAORE [9] and BARBOSA [12].

The risk of diabetic foot neuropathy increased with the poor glycemic control with a (p = 0.045). This relationship was also found by TRAORE [9] and BARBOSA [12].

There was a statistically significant relationship between neuropathy of diabetic foot and a history of ulcers or amputations (p = 0.002). This result can be explained the existence already of neuropathy in the presence of ulcerations or amputations in the majority of cases [13].

The arteriopathy of the foot was present in quite more than a quarter of patients with 28.94%. This frequency is higher than those of TRAORE [9] and KAGONE [14] who found respectively 15.1% and 11.8%.

There was a statistically significant relationship between the duration of diabetes and the onset of foot arteriopathy (p = 0.025). This relationship was established in TRAORE's study [9] and KAGONE [14]. These results are explained by the physiopathology of diabetes for macroangiopathic complications that arise with the duration of diabetes [15].

There was a statistically significant relationship between the arteriopathy foot and history of ulcers (3 > months) or with amputations (p = 0.001). This result is explained by the longer time of ulcers for recovery in the presence of the foot arteriopathy, and the vast majority of diabetic patients are amputated for obliterative arteriopathy of the lower limbs [16].

About 2/3 or (57.9%) of the patients in our study were 0 podiatry risk. These results are lower than that of TRAORE [9] who found (70.3%). These results can be explained by massive screening and early management of diabetes each year, especially during the International Diabetes Day enabling early management and a global education focused on the Foot.
6. Conclusion

The screening of the foot at risk is essential in the management of diabetes because it can determine the podiatry risk leading to minimize future functional disabilities.
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Investigation Sheet

Name and surname: Age: BMI:
Type of diabetes Duration of diabetes progression

Gender: Profession:

Followed:
HbA1C = %
ATCD Ulceration or amputation: Yes: □ No: □

Condition:
Cracks: Yes: □ No: □
Cleanliness: Yes: □ No: □
Callosity: Yes: □ No: □
Infections: Yes: □ No: □

Neuropathic foot:
SF/Burns: Yes: □ No: □
Tingling: Yes: □ No: □
Cramps: Yes: □ No: □
Tingling: Yes: □ No: □
SP/ROT: Yes: □ No: □
Monofilament = Sensitive: Yes: □ No: □
Diapason = Sensitive: Yes □ No □

Arteriopathic foot:
SP: Cold feet
Yes: □ No: □
Cyanose in declivity: Yes: □ No: □
Thick nails: Yes: □ No: □
Intermittent claudication: Yes: □ No: □
Post Tibial Pulse Right: Yes: □ No: □/Left: Yes: □ No: □
Pedal Pulse Right: Yes: □ No: □/Left: Yes: □ No: □

Deformities:
Hallux valgus: Yes: □ No: □
Quintus varus: Yes: □ No: □
Toes in claw or hammer: Yes: □ No: □
Charcot’s foot: Yes: □ No: □

Mixed foot: yes: □ No: □

RISK Practices:
Walk barefoot: Yes: □ No: □
Cut the fingernails: Yes: □ No: □
Use sharp instrument for horns: Yes: □ No: □
Use coricides: Yes: □ No: □
Extended baths: Yes: □ No: □
### Podiatric risk classification according to SFD (ALFEDIAM)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>Neither sensitive neuropathy nor arteritis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Absence isolated from monofilament perception</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No perception of associated monofilament:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>- has arterial disease of the lower limbs and or</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- has a foot malformation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Antecedent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>- dulcation of the foot evolving more than 4 weeks and or</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- d’amputation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>