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#### Abstract

In this paper, we obtain Chen's inequalities in $(\kappa, \mu)$-contact space form with a semi-symmetric non-metric connection. Also we obtain the inequalites for Ricci and $K$-Ricci curvatures.
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## 1. Introduction

In 1924, Friedmann and Schouten [1] introduced the idea of a semi-symmetric connection on a differentiable manifold. A linear connection $\bar{\nabla}$ on a differentiable manifold $M$ is said to be semi-symmetric connection if the torsion tensor $\bar{T}$ of the connection $\bar{\nabla}$ satisfies

$$
\bar{T}(\bar{X}, \bar{Y})=\phi(\bar{Y}) \bar{X}-\phi(\bar{X}) \bar{Y}
$$

where $\phi$ is a 1 -form.
In 1932, Hayden [2] introduced the notion of a semi-symmetric metric connection on a Riemannian manifold $(M, g)$. A semi-symmetric connection $\bar{\nabla}$ is said to be semi-symmetric metric connection if

$$
\bar{\nabla} g=0
$$

Yano [3] studied some properties of a Riemannian manifold endowed with a semi-symmetric metric connection. Submanifolds of a Riemannian manifold with a semi-symmetric metric connection were studied by Nakao [4].

After a long gap, the study of semi-symmetric connection $\bar{\nabla}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\nabla} g \neq 0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

was initiated by Prvanovic [5] with the name Pseudo-metric semi-symmetric connection, and was just followed by Smaranda and Andonie [6].

A semi-symmetric connection $\bar{\nabla}$ is said to be a semi-symmetric non-metric connection if it satisfies the condition Equation (1).

In 1992, Agashe and Chafle [7] introduced a semi-symmetric non-metric connection $\bar{\nabla}$ on a Riemannian manifold $(M, g)$ which is given by

$$
\bar{\nabla}_{\bar{X}} \bar{Y}=\bar{\nabla}_{\bar{X}}^{\prime} \bar{Y}+\phi(\bar{X})(\bar{Y})
$$

where $\bar{\nabla}^{\prime}$ is Riemannian connection on $M$. They give the relation between the curvature tensor of the manifold with respect to the semi-symmetric non-metric connection and the Riemannian connection. They also proved that the projective curvature tensors of the manifold with respect to these connections are equal to each other.

In 2000, Sengupta, De, and Binh [8] gave another type of semi-symmetric non-metric connection. Özgür [9] studied properties of submanifolds of a Reiemannian manifold with the semi-symmetric non-metric connection.

On the other hand, one of the basic problem in submanifold theory is to find the simple relationship between the intrinsic and extrinsic invariants of a submanifold. Chen [10] [11] [12], established inequalities in this respect, called Chen inequalities. And many geometers studied similar problems for different submanifolds in various ambient space, see [13] [14] [15] [16] [17].

Motivated by [7] [21] and [22], we have studied Chen's inequalities for submanifolds in $(\kappa, \mu)$-contact space form with a semi-symmetric non-metric connection. The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we give a brief introduction about semi-symmetric non-metric connection, $(\kappa, \mu)$-contact space, Chen invarants. In Section 3, for submanifolds in $(\kappa, \mu)$-contact space form with a semi-symmetric non-metric connection we establish the Chen first inequality and Chen Ricci inequalities by using algebraic lemmas.

## 2. Preliminaries

Let $N^{n+p}$ be an $(n+p)$-dimensional Riemannian manifold and $\bar{\nabla}$ is a linear connection on $N^{n+p}$. If the torsion tensor

$$
\bar{T}(\bar{X}, \bar{Y})=\bar{\nabla}_{\bar{X}} \bar{Y}-\bar{\nabla}_{\bar{Y}} \bar{X}-[\bar{X}, \bar{Y}]
$$

for any vector fields $\bar{X}$ and $\bar{Y}$ on $N^{n+p}$ satisfies $\bar{T}(\bar{X}, \bar{Y})=\phi(\bar{Y}) \bar{X}-\phi(\bar{X}) \bar{Y}$ for a 1-form $\phi$, then the connection $\bar{\nabla}$ is called a semi-symmetric connection.

Let $g$ be a Riemannian metric on $N^{n+p}$. If $\bar{\nabla} g=0$, then $\bar{\nabla}$ is called a semisymmetric metric connection on $N^{n+p}$. If $\bar{\nabla} g \neq 0$, then $\bar{\nabla}$ is called a semisymmetric non-metric connection on $N^{n+p}$.

Following [7], a semi-symmetric symmetric non-metric connection $\bar{\nabla}$ on $N^{n+p}$ is given by

$$
\bar{\nabla}_{\bar{X}} \bar{Y}=\bar{\nabla}_{\bar{X}}^{\prime} \bar{Y}+\phi(\bar{Y}) \bar{X}
$$

for any $\bar{X}, \bar{Y} \in \mathcal{X}\left(N^{n+p}\right)$, where $\bar{\nabla}^{\prime}$ denotes the Levi-civita connection with
respect to the Riemannian metric $g$ and $\phi$ is a 1-form. Denote by $U=\Phi^{\#}$, i.e., the dual vector field $U$ is defined by $g(U, \bar{X})=\phi(\bar{X})$, for any vector field $\bar{X}$ on $N^{n+p}$.

Let $M^{n}$ be an $n$-dimensional submanifold of $N^{n+p}$ with the semisymmetric connection $\bar{\nabla}$ and the Levi-Civita connection $\bar{\nabla}^{\prime}$. On $M^{n}$ we consider the induced semi-symmetric connection denoted by $\nabla$ and the induced Levi-Civita connection denoted by $\nabla^{\prime}$. The Gauss formula with respect to $\nabla$ and $\nabla^{\prime}$ can be written as

$$
\bar{\nabla}_{X} Y=\nabla_{X} Y+\delta(X, Y), \quad \bar{\nabla}_{\bar{X}}^{\prime} Y=\nabla_{X}^{\prime} Y+\delta^{\prime}(X, Y), \forall X, Y \in \mathcal{X}\left(M^{n}\right)
$$

where $\delta^{\prime}$ is the second fundamental form of $M^{n}$ and $\delta$ is a $(0,2)$-tensor on $M^{n}$. According to [18], we know $\delta=\delta^{\prime}$.

Let $\bar{R}$ and $\bar{R}^{\prime}$ denote the curvature tensor with respect to $\bar{\nabla}$ and $\bar{\nabla}^{\prime}$ respectively. We also denote the curvature tensor $R$ and $R^{\prime}$ associated with $\nabla$ and $\nabla^{\prime}$ repectively. From [7].

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{R}(X, Y, Z, W)=\bar{R}^{\prime}(X, Y, Z, W)+S(X, Z) g(Y, W)-S(Y, Z) g(X, W) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $X, Y, Z, W \in \mathcal{X}\left(M^{n}\right)$, where $S$ is a $(0,2)$-tensor field defined by

$$
S(X, Y)=\left(\bar{\nabla}_{X}^{\prime} \phi\right) Y-\phi(X) \phi(Y), \forall X, Y, Z, W \in \mathcal{X}\left(M^{n}\right)
$$

Denote by $\lambda$ the trace of $S$.
Decomposing the vector field $U$ on $M$ uniquely into its tangent and normal components $U^{T}$ and $U^{\perp}$, respectively, we have $U=U^{T}+U^{\perp}$. For any vector field $X, Y, Z, W$ on $M$, the gauss equation with respect to the semi-symmetric non-metric connection is (see [18])

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{R}(X, Y, Z, W)= & R(X, Y, Z, W)+g(\delta(X, Z), \delta(Y, W)) \\
& -g(\delta(X, W), \delta(Y, Z))+g\left(U^{\perp}, \delta(Y, Z)\right) g(X, W)  \tag{3}\\
& -g\left(U^{\perp}, \delta(X, Z)\right) g(Y, W)
\end{align*}
$$

In $N^{n+p}$ we can choose a local orthonormal frame $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, \cdots, e_{n}, e_{n+1}, \cdots, e_{n+p}\right\}$ such that $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, \cdots, e_{n}\right\}$ are tangent to $M^{n}$. Setting $\delta_{i j}^{r}=g\left(\delta\left(e_{i}, e_{j}\right), e_{r}\right)$, then the squared lenght of $\delta$ is given by

$$
\|\delta\|^{2}=\sum_{i, j=1}^{n} g\left(\delta\left(e_{i}, e_{j}\right)\right)=\sum_{r=n i, j=1}^{n+p} \sum_{i j}^{n}\left(\delta_{i j}^{r}\right)^{2}
$$

The mean curvature vector of $M^{n}$ associated to $\nabla^{\prime}$ is $H^{\prime}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \delta^{\prime}\left(e_{i}, e_{i}\right)$. The mean curvature vector of $M^{n}$ associated to $\nabla$ is defined by $H=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \delta\left(e_{i}, e_{i}\right)$.

Let $\pi \subset T_{p} M^{n}$ be a 2-plane section for any $p \in M^{n}$ and $K(\pi)$ the sectional curvature of $M^{n}$ associated to the semi-symmetric non-metric connection $\nabla$. The scalar curvature $\tau$ associated to the semi-symmetric nonmetric connection $\nabla$ at $p$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau(p)=\sum_{1 \leq i<j \leq n} K\left(e_{i} \wedge e_{j}\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $L_{k}$ be a $k$-plane section of $T_{p} M^{n}$ and $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, \cdots, e_{k}\right\}$ any orthonormal basis of $L_{k}$. The scalar curvature $\tau(k)$ of $L_{k}$ associated to the semisymmetric connection $\nabla^{\prime}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau\left(L_{k}\right)=\sum_{1 \leq i<j \leq k} \kappa\left(e_{i} \wedge e_{j}\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We denote by $(\inf K)(p)=\inf \left\{K(\pi) \mid \pi \subset T_{p} M^{n}, \operatorname{dim} \pi=2\right\}$. In [12] Chen introduced the first Chen invariant $\delta_{m}(p)=\tau(p)-(\inf K)(p)$, which is certainly an intrinsic character of $M^{n}$.

Suppose $L$ is a $k$-plane section of $T_{p} M$ and $X$ is a unit vector in $L$, we choose an orthonormal basis $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, \cdots, e_{k}\right\}$ of $L$, such that $e_{1}=X$. The Ricci curvature Ric $_{p}$ of $L$ at $X$ associated to the semi-symmetric metric connection $\nabla^{\prime}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Ric}_{L}(X)=\kappa_{12}+\kappa_{13}+\cdots+\kappa_{1 k} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\kappa_{i j}=\kappa\left(e_{i} \wedge e_{j}\right)$. The $\operatorname{Ric}_{L}(X)$ is called a $K$-Ricci curvature. For each integer $k, 2 \leq k \leq n$, the Riemannian invariant $\theta_{k}$ on $M^{n}$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{k}(p)=\left(\frac{1}{k-1}\right) \inf _{L, X}\left\{\operatorname{Ric}_{L}(X)\right\}, p \in M^{n} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $L$ is a $k$-plane section in $T_{p} M^{n}$ and $X$ is a unit vector in $L$ [19].
Recently, T. Konfogiorgos intoduced the notion of $(k, \mu)$-contact space form [20], which contains the well known class of sasakian space forms for $\kappa=1$. Thus it is worthwhile to study relationships between intrinsic and extrinsic invariants of submanifolds in a $(k, \mu)$-contact space form with a semi-symmetric non-metric connection $\bar{\nabla}^{\prime}$.

A $(2 m+1)$-dimentional differntiable manifold $\hat{M}$ is called an almost contact metric manifold if there is an almost contact metric structure $(\varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ consisting of a $(1,1)$ tensor field $\varphi$, a vector field $\xi$, a 1 -form $\eta$ and a compatible Riemannian metric $g$ satisfying

$$
\begin{align*}
& \varphi^{2}=-I+\eta \otimes \xi, \eta(\xi)=1, \varphi \xi=0, \eta \circ \phi=0 \\
& g(X, \varphi Y)=-g(\varphi X, Y), \quad g(X, \xi)=\eta(X) \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

$\forall X, Y \in \mathcal{X}(\hat{M})$. An almost contact metric structure becomes a contact metric structure if $d \eta=\Phi$, where $\Phi(X, Y)=g(X, \varphi Y)$ is the fundamental 2-form of $\hat{M}$.

In a contact metric manifold $\hat{M}$, the (1,1) -tensor field $h$ defined by $2 h=\mathcal{L}_{\xi} \varphi$ is symmetric and satisfies

$$
h \xi=0, \quad h \varphi+\varphi h=0, \quad \bar{\nabla}^{\prime} \xi=-\varphi-\varphi h, \quad \operatorname{trace}(h)=\operatorname{trace}(\varphi h)=0
$$

The $(k, \mu)$-nullity distribution of a contact metric manifold $\hat{M}$ is a distribution

$$
\begin{aligned}
N(k, \mu): p \rightarrow N_{p}(k, \mu)= & \left\{Z \in T_{p} \hat{M} \mid \hat{R}(X, Y) Z=k[g(Y, Z) X-g(X, Z) Y]\right. \\
& +\mu[g(Y, Z) h X-g(X, Z) h Y]\}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $k$ and $\mu$ are constants. If $\xi \in N(k, \mu), \hat{M}$ is called a $(k, \mu)$-contact
metric manifold. Since in a $(k, \mu)$-contact metric manifold one has $h^{2}=(k-1) \varphi^{2}$, therefore $k \leq 1$ and if $k=1$ then the structure is Sasakian.

The sectional curvature $\hat{K}(X, \varphi X)$ of a plane section spanned by a unit vector orthogonal to $\xi$ is called a $\varphi$-sectional curvature. If the $(k, \mu)$-contact metric manifold $\hat{M}$ has constant $\varphi$-sectional curvature $C$, then it is called a $(k, \mu)$-contact space form and it is denoted by $\hat{M}(C)$. The curvature tensor of $\hat{M}(C)$ is given by [20].

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{R}^{\prime}(X, Y) Z= & \frac{c+3}{4}\{g(Y, Z) X-g(x, z) y\}+\frac{c+3-4 k}{4}\{\eta(X) \eta(Z) Y \\
& -\eta(Y) \eta(Z) X+g(X, Z) \eta(Y) \xi-g(Y, Z) \eta(X, \xi)\} \\
& +\frac{c-1}{4}\{2 g(X, \varphi Y) \varphi Z+g(\varphi X, \varphi Z) \varphi Y-g(\varphi Z) \varphi X\} \\
& +\frac{1}{2}\{g(h Y, Z) h X-g(h X, Z) h Y+g(\varphi h X, Z) \varphi h Y \\
& -g(\varphi h Y, Z) \varphi h X\}-g(X, Z) h Y+g(Y, Z) h X+\eta(X) \eta(Z) h Y \\
& -\eta(Y) \eta(Z) h X-g(h X, Z) Y+g(h Y, Z) X-g(h Y, Z) \eta(X) \xi  \tag{9}\\
& +g(h X, Z) \eta(Y) \xi+\mu\{\eta(Y) \eta(Z) h X-\eta(X) \eta(Z) h Y \\
& +g(h Y, Z) \eta(X) \xi-g(h X, Z) \eta(Y) \xi\}
\end{align*}
$$

$\forall X, Y, Z \in \mathcal{X}(\hat{M})$, Where $c+2 k=-1=k-\mu$ if $k<1$.
For a vector field $X$ on a submanifold $M$ of a $(k, \mu)$-contact form $\hat{M}(C)$, Let $P X$ be the tangential part of $\varphi X$. Thus, $P$ is an endomorphism of the tangent bundle of $M$ and satisfies $g(X, P Y)=-g(P X, Y)$ for $X, Y \in \mathcal{X}(\hat{M})$. $(\varphi h)^{T} X$ and $h^{T} X$ are the tangential parts of $\varphi h X$ and $h X$, respectively. Let $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, \cdots, e_{n}\right\}$ be an orthonormal basis of $T_{p} M$. We set $\|\vartheta\|^{2}=\sum_{i, j=1}^{n} g\left(e_{i}, \vartheta e_{j}\right)^{2}, \vartheta \in\left\{P,(\vartheta h)^{T}, h^{T}\right\}$. Let $\pi \subset T_{p} M$ be a 2-plane section spanning by an orthonormal basis $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}\right\}$. Then $\beta(\pi)$ given by

$$
\beta(\pi)=\left\langle e_{1}, P e_{2}\right\rangle^{2}
$$

is a real number in $[0,1]$, which is independent of the choice of orthonormal basis $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}\right\}$. Put $\gamma(\pi)=\left(\eta\left(e_{1}\right)\right)^{2}+\left(\eta\left(e_{2}\right)\right)^{2}$

$$
\theta(\pi)=\eta\left(e_{1}\right)^{2} g\left(h^{T} e_{2}, e_{2}\right)+\eta\left(e_{2}\right)^{2} g\left(h^{T} e_{1}, e_{1}\right)-2 \eta\left(e_{1}\right) \eta\left(e_{2}\right) g\left(h^{T} e_{1}, e_{2}\right)
$$

Then $\gamma(\pi)$ and $\theta(\pi)$ are also real numbers and do not depend on the choice of orthonormal basis $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}\right\}$, of course, $\gamma(\pi) \in[0,1]$

## 3. Chen's First Inequality

For submanifold of a $(k, \mu)$-contact space form endowed with a semisymmetric non-matric connection, we establish th following optimal inequality relating the scalar curvature and the squared mean curvature, which will be called Chen first inequality. We recall the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1 ([22]) Let $f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)$ for $(n \geq 3)$ be a function in $R^{n}$ defined by

$$
f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)=\left(x_{1}+x_{2}\right) \sum_{i=3}^{n} x_{i}+\sum_{3 \leq i<j \leq n} x_{i} x_{j} .
$$

If $x_{1}+x_{2}+\cdots+x_{n}=(n-1) \varepsilon$, then we have

$$
f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{n}\right) \leq \frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{2} \varepsilon^{2}
$$

with the equality holding if and only if $x_{1}+x_{2}=\cdots=x_{n}=\varepsilon$.
Theorem 3.1 Let $M$ ba an n-dimensional $(n \geq 3)$ submanifold of a $(2 m+1)$ dimensional $(k, \mu)$-contact form $\hat{M}(C)$ endowed with a semi-symmetric non-metric connection $\bar{\nabla}^{\prime}$ such that $\xi \in T M$. Then, for each 2-plane section $\pi \subset T_{p} M$. We have,

$$
\begin{align*}
\tau(p)-K(\pi) \leq & \frac{n^{2}(n-2)}{2(n-1)}\|H\|^{2}+\frac{1}{8} n(n-3)(c+3)+(n-1) k \\
& +\frac{3(c-1)}{8}\left[\|P\|^{2}-2 \beta(\pi)\right]+\frac{1}{4}(c+3-4 k) \gamma(\pi) \\
& -(\mu-1) \theta(\pi)-\frac{1}{2}\left[2 \operatorname{trace}\left(\left.h\right|_{\pi}\right)+\operatorname{det}\left(\left.h\right|_{\pi}\right)-\operatorname{det}\left(\left.\varphi h\right|_{\pi}\right)\right]  \tag{10}\\
& +(\mu+n-2) \operatorname{trace}\left(h^{T}\right)+\frac{1}{4}\left[\left\|(\varphi h)^{T}\right\|^{2}-\left\|h^{T}\right\|^{2}-\left(\operatorname{trace}(\varphi h)^{T}\right)^{2}\right. \\
& \left.+\left(\operatorname{trace}\left(h^{T}\right)\right)^{2}\right]-\frac{n(n-1)}{2} \varphi(H)-\frac{n-1}{2} \lambda+\Omega
\end{align*}
$$

The equality in (10) holds at $p \in M$ if and only if there exits an orthonormal basis $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, \cdots, e_{n}\right\}$ of $T_{p} M$ and an orthonormal basis $\left\{e_{n+1}, \cdots, e_{2 m+1}\right\}$ of $T_{p}^{\perp} M$ such that (a) $\pi=\operatorname{span}\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}\right\}$ and (b) the forms of shape operators $A_{r} \equiv A_{e_{r}}, r=n+1, \cdots, 2 m+1$

$$
\begin{gathered}
A_{n+1}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\delta_{11}^{n+1} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \delta_{22}^{n+1} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \left(\delta_{11}^{n+1}+\delta_{22}^{n+1}\right) I_{n-2}
\end{array}\right) \\
A_{r}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\delta_{11}^{r} & \delta_{12}^{r} & 0 \\
\delta_{12}^{r} & -\delta_{11}^{r} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0_{n-2}
\end{array}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

Proof. Let $\pi \subset T_{p} M$ be a 2-plane section. We choose an orthonormal basis $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{n}\right\}$ for $T_{p} M$ and $\left\{e_{n+1}, \cdots, e_{2 m+1}\right\}$ for $T_{p}^{\perp} M$ such that $\pi=\operatorname{Span}\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}\right\}$. Setting $X=W=e_{i}, \quad Y=Z=e_{j}, \quad i \neq j, i, j=1, \cdots, n$. And using (2), (3) and (9) we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{i j i}= & \frac{c+3}{4}+\frac{c+3-4 k}{4}\left\{-\eta\left(e_{i}\right)^{2}-\eta\left(e_{j}\right)^{2}\right\}+\frac{c-1}{4}\left\{3 g\left(e_{i}, \varphi e_{j}\right)^{2}\right\} \\
& +\frac{1}{2}\left\{g\left(e_{i}, \varphi h e_{j}\right)^{2}-g\left(e_{i}, h e_{j}\right)^{2}+g\left(e_{i}, h e_{i}\right) g\left(e_{j}, h e_{j}\right)\right. \\
& \left.-g\left(e_{i}, \varphi h e_{i}\right) g\left(e_{j}, \varphi h e_{j}\right)\right\}+g\left(e_{i}, h e_{i}\right)+2 \eta\left(e_{i}\right) \eta\left(e_{j}\right) g\left(e_{i}, h e_{j}\right) \\
& -g\left(h e_{i}, e_{i}\right) \eta\left(e_{j}\right)^{2}-g\left(h e_{j}, e_{j}\right) \eta\left(e_{i}\right)^{2}+g\left(h e_{j}, e_{j}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& +\mu\left\{g\left(h e_{i}, e_{i}\right) \eta\left(e_{j}\right)^{2}+g\left(h e_{j}, e_{j}\right) \eta\left(e_{i}\right)^{2}-2 \eta\left(e_{i}\right) \eta\left(e_{j}\right) g\left(e_{i}, h e_{j}\right)\right\} \\
& -\varphi\left(\delta\left(e_{j}, e_{j}\right)\right)-S\left(e_{j}, e_{j}\right)-g\left(\delta\left(e_{i}, e_{j}\right), \delta\left(e_{j}, e_{i}\right)\right)  \tag{11}\\
& +g\left(\delta\left(e_{i}, e_{i}\right), \delta\left(e_{j}, e_{j}\right)\right)
\end{align*}
$$

From (11) we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\tau= & \frac{1}{8}\left\{n(n-1)(c+3)+3(c-1)\|p\|^{2}-2(n-1)(c+3-4 k)\right\} \\
& +\frac{1}{4}\left\{\left\|(\varphi h)^{T}\right\|^{2}-\left\|h^{T}\right\|^{2}-\left(\operatorname{trace}(\varphi h)^{T}\right)^{2}+\left(\operatorname{trace}\left(h^{T}\right)\right)^{2}\right\} \\
& +(\mu+n-1) \operatorname{trace}\left(h^{T}\right)+\sum_{r=n+11 \leq i<j \leq n}^{2 m+1} \sum_{i i}\left[\delta_{i j}^{r}-\left(\delta_{i j}^{r}\right)^{2}\right]  \tag{12}\\
& -\frac{n(n-1)}{2} \phi(H)-\frac{n-1}{2} \lambda .
\end{align*}
$$

where $\phi(H)=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \phi\left(\delta\left(e_{i}, e_{i}\right)\right)=g\left(U^{\perp}, H\right)$. On the other hand, using (11) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
R_{1212}= & \frac{1}{4}\{c+3+3(c-1) \beta(\pi)-(c+3-4 k) \gamma(\pi)\} \\
& +\frac{1}{2}\left\{\operatorname{det}\left(\left.h\right|_{\pi}\right)-\operatorname{det}\left(\left.\varphi h\right|_{\pi}\right)\right\}+\operatorname{trace}\left(\left.h\right|_{\pi}\right)-\theta(\pi)+\mu \theta(\pi) \\
& +\sum_{r=n+1}^{2 m+1}\left[\delta_{11}^{r} \delta_{22}^{r}-\left(\delta_{12}^{r}\right)^{2}\right]-\phi\left(\delta\left(e_{2}, e_{2}\right)\right)-S\left(e_{2}, e_{2}\right)  \tag{13}\\
= & \frac{1}{4}\{c+3+3(c-1) \beta(\pi)-(c+3-4 k) \gamma(\pi)+4(\mu-1) \theta(\pi)\} \\
& +\frac{1}{2}\left\{\operatorname{det}\left(\left.h\right|_{\pi}\right)-\operatorname{det}\left(\left.\varphi h\right|_{\pi}\right)+2 \operatorname{trace}\left(\left.h\right|_{\pi}\right)\right\}+\sum_{r=n+1}^{2 m+1}\left[\delta_{11}^{r} \delta_{22}^{r}-\left(\delta_{12}^{r}\right)^{2}\right]-\Omega
\end{align*}
$$

where $\Omega$ is denoted by $\phi\left(\delta\left(e_{2}, e_{2}\right)\right)+S\left(e_{2}, e_{2}\right)=\Omega$.
From (12) and (13). It follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau-K(\pi)= & \frac{1}{8} n(n-3)(c+3)+\left(n_{1}\right) k+\frac{3(c-1)}{8}\left[\|P\|^{2}-2 \beta(\pi)\right] \\
& +\frac{1}{4}(c+3-4 k) \gamma(\pi)-(\mu-1) \varphi(\pi)-\frac{1}{2}\left\{2 \operatorname{trace}\left(\left.h\right|_{\pi}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\operatorname{det}\left(\left.h\right|_{\pi}\right)-\operatorname{det}\left(\left.\varphi h\right|_{\pi}\right)\right\}+(\mu+n-2) \operatorname{trace}\left(h^{T}\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{4}\left\{\left\|(\varphi h)^{T}\right\|^{2}-\left\|h^{T}\right\|^{2}-\left(\operatorname{trace}(\varphi h)^{T}\right)^{2}+\left(\operatorname{trace}\left(h^{T}\right)\right)^{2}\right\} \\
& +\sum_{r=n+1}^{2 m+1}\left[\left(\delta_{11}^{r}+\delta_{22}^{r}\right) \sum_{3 \leq i \leq n} \delta_{i i}^{r}+\sum_{3 \leq i<j \leq n} \delta_{i i}^{r} \delta_{j j}^{r}-\sum_{3 \leq j \leq n}\left(\delta_{1 j}^{r}\right)^{2}\right. \\
& \left.-\sum_{2 \leq i<j \leq n}\left(\delta_{i j}^{r}\right)^{2}\right]-\frac{n(n-1)}{2} \phi(H)-\frac{n-1}{2} \lambda+\Omega \\
\leq & \frac{1}{8} n(n-3)(c+3)+(n-1) k+\frac{3(c-1)}{8}\left[\|P\|^{2}-2 \beta(\pi)\right] \\
& +\frac{1}{4}(c+3-4 k) \gamma(\pi)-\mu(\mu-1) \theta(\pi)-\frac{1}{2}\left\{2 \operatorname{trace}\left(\left.h\right|_{\pi}\right)\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.+\operatorname{det}\left(\left.h\right|_{\pi}\right)-\operatorname{det}\left(\left.\varphi h\right|_{\pi}\right)\right\}+(\mu+n-2) \operatorname{trace}\left(h^{T}\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{4}\left\{\|(\varphi h)\|^{2}-\left\|h^{T}\right\|^{2}-\left(\operatorname{trace}(\varphi h)^{T}\right)^{2}+\left(\operatorname{trace}\left(h^{T}\right)\right)^{2}\right\} \\
& +\sum_{r=n+1}^{2 m+1}\left[\left(\delta_{11}^{r}+\delta_{22}^{r}\right) \sum_{3 \leq i \leq n} \delta_{i i}^{r}+\sum_{3 \leq i<j \leq n} \delta_{i i}^{r} \delta_{i j}^{r}\right]  \tag{14}\\
& -\frac{n(n-1)}{2} \phi(H)-\frac{n-1}{2} \lambda+\Omega
\end{align*}
$$

Let us consider the following problem:

$$
\max \left\{f_{r}\left(\delta_{11}^{r}, \cdots, \delta_{n n}^{r}\right)=\left(\delta_{11}^{r}+\delta_{22}^{r}\right) \sum_{3 \leq i \leq n} \delta_{i i}^{r}+\sum_{3 \leq i<j \leq n} \delta_{i i}^{r} \delta_{j j}^{r} \mid \delta_{11}^{r}+\cdots+\delta_{n n}^{r}=k^{r}\right\}
$$

where $k^{r}$ is a real constant.
From lemma 3.1, We know

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{r} \leq \frac{n-2}{2(n-1)}\left(k^{r}\right)^{2} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the equality holding if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{11}^{r}+\delta_{22}^{r}=\delta_{i i}^{r}=\frac{k^{r}}{n-1}, i=3, \cdots, n \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (14) and (15), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau-K(\pi)= & \frac{n^{2}(n-2)}{2(n-1)}\|H\|^{2}+\frac{1}{8} n(n-3)(c+3)+(n-1) k \\
& +\frac{3(c-1)}{8}\left[\|P\|^{2}-2 \beta(\pi)\right]+\frac{1}{4}(c+3-4 k) \gamma(\pi)-(\mu-1) \theta(\pi) \\
& -\frac{1}{2}\left[2 \operatorname{trace}\left(\left.h\right|_{\pi}\right)+\operatorname{det}\left(\left.h\right|_{\pi}\right)-\operatorname{det}\left(\left.\varphi h\right|_{\pi}\right)\right]+(\mu+n-2) \operatorname{trace}\left(h^{T}\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{4}\left[\left\|(\varphi h)^{T}\right\|^{2}-\left\|h^{T}\right\|^{2}-\left(\operatorname{trace}(\varphi h)^{T}\right)^{2}+\left(\operatorname{trace}\left(h^{T}\right)\right)^{2}\right] \\
& -\frac{n(n-1)}{2} \phi(H)-\frac{n-1}{2} \lambda+\Omega
\end{aligned}
$$

If the equality in (10) holds, then the inequalities given by (14) and (15) become equalities. In this case we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sum_{2 \leq i \leq n}\left(\delta_{1 i}^{r}\right)^{2}=0, \sum_{2 \leq i<j \leq n}\left(\delta_{i j}^{r}\right)^{2}=0, \forall r . \\
\delta_{11}^{r}+\delta_{22}^{r}=\delta_{i i}^{r}, 3 \leq i \leq n, \forall r .
\end{gathered}
$$

From [18] we know $\delta^{\prime}=\delta$. So choose a suitable orthonormal basis, the shape operators take the desired forms.

The converse is easy to follow.
For a Sasakian space form $\hat{M}(c)$, we have $\kappa=1$ and $h=0$. So using Theorem 3.1, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 3.1 Let $M$ be an $n$-dimensional $(n \geq 3)$ submanifold in a sasakian space form $\hat{M}(c)$ endowed with a semi-symmetric non-metric connection such that $\xi \in T M$. Then, for each point $p \in M$ and each plane section
$\pi \subset T_{p} M$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\tau-K(\pi) \leq & \frac{n^{2}(n-2)}{2(n-1)}\|H\|^{2}+\frac{1}{8} n(n-3)(c+3)+(n-1) \\
& +\frac{3(c-1)}{8}\left[\|P\|^{2}-2 \beta(\pi)\right]+\frac{c-1}{4} \gamma(\pi)  \tag{17}\\
& -\frac{n(n-1)}{2} \phi(H)-\frac{n-1}{2} \lambda+\Omega
\end{align*}
$$

If $U$ is a tangent vector field to $M$, then the equality in (17) holds at $p \in M$ if and only there exists an orthonormal basis $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, \cdots, e_{n}\right\}$ of $T_{p} M$ and orthonormal basis $\left\{e_{n+1}, \cdots, e_{2 m+1}\right\}$ of $T_{p}^{\perp} M$ such that

$$
\pi=\operatorname{Span}\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}\right\}
$$

and the forms of shape operators $A_{r} \equiv A_{e_{r}}, r=n+1, \cdots, 2 m+1$, become

$$
\begin{gathered}
A_{n+1}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\delta_{11}^{n+1} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \delta_{22}^{n+1} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \left(\delta_{11}^{r}+\delta_{22}^{r}\right) I_{n-2}
\end{array}\right), \\
A_{r}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\delta_{11}^{r} & \delta_{12}^{r} & 0 \\
\delta_{12}^{r} & -\delta_{11}^{r} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0_{n-2}
\end{array}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Since in case of non-Sasakian $(\kappa, \mu)$-contact space form, we have $\kappa<1$, thus $c=-2 \kappa-1$ and $\mu=\kappa+1$. Putting these values in (17), we can have a direct corollary to Theorem 3.1.

Corollary 3.2 Let Let $M$ be an n-dimensional $(n \geq 3)$ submanifold in a non-Sasakian $(\kappa, \mu)$-contact space form $\hat{M}(c)$ with a semi-symmetric non-metric connection such that $\xi \in T M$. Then, for each point $p \in M$ and each plane section $\pi \subset T_{p} M$, When $c=-2 k-1, \mu=k+1$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\tau-K(\pi)= & \frac{n^{2}(n-2)}{2(n-1)}\|H\|^{2}-\frac{1}{4} n(n-3)(k-1)+(n-1) k-\frac{3}{4}(k+1)\|P\|^{2} \\
& +\frac{1}{2}[3(k+1) \beta(\pi)-(3 k-1) \gamma(\pi)-2 k \theta(\pi)] \\
& -\frac{1}{2}\left[2 \operatorname{trace}\left(\left.h\right|_{\pi}\right)+\operatorname{det}\left(\left.h\right|_{\pi}\right)-\operatorname{det}\left(\left.\varphi h\right|_{\pi}\right)\right]+(k+n-1) \operatorname{trace}\left(h^{T}\right)  \tag{18}\\
& +\frac{1}{4}\left[\left\|(\varphi h)^{T}\right\|^{2}-\left\|h^{T}\right\|^{2}-\left(\operatorname{trace}(\varphi h)^{T}\right)^{2}+\left(\operatorname{trace}\left(h^{T}\right)\right)^{2}\right] \\
& -\frac{n(n-1)}{2} \phi(H)-\frac{n-1}{2} \lambda+\Omega
\end{align*}
$$

If $U$ is a tangent vector field to $M$, then the equality in (18) holds at $p \in M$ if and only there exists an orthonormal basis $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, \cdots, e_{n}\right\}$ of $T_{p} M$ and orthonormal basis $\left\{e_{n+1}, \cdots, e_{2 m+1}\right\}$ of $T_{p}^{\perp} M$ such that

$$
\pi=\operatorname{Span}\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}\right\}
$$

and the forms of shape operators $A_{r} \equiv A_{e_{r}}, r=n+1, \cdots, 2 m+1$, become

$$
\begin{gathered}
A_{n+1}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\delta_{11}^{n+1} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \delta_{22}^{n+1} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \left(\delta_{11}^{r}+\delta_{22}^{r}\right) I_{n-2}
\end{array}\right), \\
A_{r}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\delta_{11}^{r} & \delta_{12}^{r} & 0 \\
\delta_{12}^{r} & -\delta_{11}^{r} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0_{n-2}
\end{array}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

## 4. Ricci Curvature and K-Ricci Curvatures

In this section, we establish inequality between Ricci curvature and the squared mean curvature for submanifolds in a $(\kappa, \mu)$-contact space form with a semi-symmetric non-metric connection. This inequality is called Chen-Ricci inequality [19].

First we give a lemma as following. First we give a lemma as following.
Lemma 4.1 ([22]) Let $f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)$ be a function in $R^{n}$ defined by

$$
f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)=x_{1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}
$$

If $x_{1}+x_{2}+\cdots+x_{n}=2 \varepsilon$, then we have

$$
f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{n}\right) \leq \varepsilon^{2},
$$

with the equality holding if and only if $x_{1}+x_{2}+\cdots+x_{n}=\varepsilon$.
Theorem 4.1 Let $M$ be an $n$-dimensional $(n \geq 2)$ submanifold of a $(2 m+1)$ dimensional $(\kappa, \mu)$-contact space form $\hat{M}(c)$ endowed with a semi-symmetric non-metric connection such that $\xi \in T M$. Then for each point $p \in M$,

1) For each unit vector $X$ in $T_{p} M$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{Ric}(X) \leq & \frac{n^{2}}{4}\|H\|^{2}+\frac{(n-1)(c+3)}{4}+\frac{3(c-1)}{4}\|P X\|^{2} \\
& -\frac{c+3-4 \kappa}{4}\left[1+(n-2) \eta(X)^{2}\right]+\frac{1}{2}\left[\left\|(\varphi h X)^{T}\right\|^{2}-\left\|(h X)^{T}\right\|^{2}\right. \\
& \left.+g(h X, X) \operatorname{trace}\left(h^{T}\right)-g(\varphi h X, X) \operatorname{trace}\left((\varphi h)^{T}\right)\right]  \tag{19}\\
& +(\mu+n-3) g(X, h X)+\left[1+(\mu-1) \eta(X)^{2}\right] \operatorname{trace}\left(h^{T}\right) \\
& -n \phi(H)+\phi(\delta(X, X))-\lambda+S(X, X) .
\end{align*}
$$

2) If $H(p)=0$, a unit tangent vector $X \in T_{p} M$ satisfies the equality case of (19) if and only if $X \in N(p)=\left\{X \in T_{p} M \mid(X, Y)=0, \forall Y \in T_{p} M\right\}$.
3) The equality of (19) holds identically for all unit tangent vectors if and only if
either
4) $n \neq 2, \quad \delta_{i j}^{r}=0, i, j=1,2, \cdots, n ; r=n+1, \cdots, 2 m+1$,
or
5) $n=2, \delta_{11}^{r}=\delta_{22}^{r}, \delta_{12}^{r}=0, r=3, \cdots, 2 m+1$.

Proof. (1) Let $X \in T_{p} M$ be an unit vector. We choose an orthonormal basis
$e_{1}, \cdots, e_{n}, e_{n+1}, \cdots, e_{2 m+1}$ such that $e_{1}, \cdots, e_{n}$ are tangential to $M$ at $p$ with $e_{1}=X$.
Using (11), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{Ric}(X)= & \frac{(n-1)(c+3)}{4}-\frac{c+3-4 \kappa}{4}\left[1+(n-2) \eta(X)^{2}\right]+\frac{3(c-1)}{4}\|P X\|^{2} \\
+ & \frac{1}{2}\left[\left\|(\varphi h X)^{T}\right\|^{2}-\left\|(h X)^{T}\right\|^{2}+g(h X, X) \operatorname{trace}\left(h^{T}\right)\right. \\
& \left.-g(\varphi h X, X) \operatorname{trace}\left((\varphi h)^{T}\right)\right]+(\mu+n-3) g(X, h X) \\
& +\left(1-\eta(X)^{2}+\mu \eta(X)^{2}\right) \operatorname{trace}\left(h^{T}\right)-n \phi(H) \\
+ & \phi(\delta(X, X))-\lambda+S(X, X)+\sum_{r=n+1 i=2}^{2 m+1} \sum_{1 i}^{n}\left[\delta_{11}^{r} \delta_{i i}^{r}-\left(\delta_{1 i}^{r}\right)^{2}\right] \\
\leq & \frac{(n-1)(c+3)}{4}+\frac{3(c-1)}{4}\|P X\|^{2}-\frac{c+3-4 k}{4}\left[1+(n-2) \eta(X)^{2}\right] \\
& +\frac{1}{2}\left[\left\|(\varphi h X)^{T}\right\|^{2}-\left\|(h X)^{T}\right\|^{2}-g(\varphi h X, X) \operatorname{trace}(\varphi h)^{T}\right. \\
& \left.+g(h X, X) \operatorname{trace}\left(h^{T}\right)\right]+(\mu+n-3) g(X, h X)  \tag{20}\\
& +\left(1-\eta(X)^{2}+\mu \eta(X)^{2}\right) \operatorname{trace}\left(h^{T}\right)-n \phi(H) \\
& +\phi(\delta(X, X))-\lambda+S(X, X)+\sum_{r=n+1}^{2 m+1} \sum_{i=2}^{n} \delta_{11}^{r} \delta_{i i}^{r} .
\end{align*}
$$

Let us consider the function $f_{r}: R^{n} \rightarrow R$, defined by

$$
f_{r}\left(\delta_{11}^{r}, \delta_{22}^{r}, \cdots, \delta_{n n}^{r}\right)=\sum_{i=2}^{n} \delta_{11}^{r} \delta_{i i}^{r}
$$

We consider the problem

$$
\max \left\{f_{r} \mid \delta_{11}^{r}+\cdots+\delta_{n n}^{r}=k^{r}\right\}
$$

where $k^{r}$ is a real constant. From lemma 4.1, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{r} \leq \frac{k^{r}}{4} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

With equality holding if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{11}^{r}=\sum_{i=2}^{n} \delta_{i i}^{r}=\frac{k^{r}}{2} . \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (20) and (21) we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Ric}(X) \leq & \frac{n^{2}}{4}\|H\|^{2}+\frac{(n-1)(c+3)}{4}+\frac{3(c-1)}{4}\|P X\|^{2} \\
& -\frac{c+3-4 \kappa}{4}\left[1+(n-2) \eta(X)^{2}\right]+\frac{1}{2}\left[\left\|(\varphi h X)^{T}\right\|^{2}-\left\|(h X)^{T}\right\|^{2}\right. \\
& \left.-g(\varphi h X, X) \operatorname{trace}(\varphi h)^{T}+g(h X, X) \operatorname{trace}\left(h^{T}\right)\right] \\
& +(\mu+n-3) g(X, h X)+\left[1+(\mu-1) \eta(X)^{2}\right] \operatorname{trace}\left(h^{T}\right) \\
& -n \phi(H)+\phi(\delta(X, X))-\lambda+S(X, X) .
\end{aligned}
$$

2) For a unit vector $X \in T_{p} M$, if the equality case of (19) holds, from (20), (21) and (22) we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\delta_{1 i}^{r}=0, i \neq 1, \forall r \\
\delta_{11}^{r}+\delta_{22}^{r}+\cdots+\delta_{n n}^{r}=2 \delta_{11}^{r}, \forall r
\end{gathered}
$$

Since $H(p)=0$, we know

$$
\delta_{11}^{r}=0, \forall r
$$

So we get

$$
\delta_{1 j}^{r}=0, \forall r
$$

i.e. $X \in N(p)$

The converse is trivial.
3) For all unit vector $X \in T_{p} M$, the equality case of (19) holds if and only if

$$
\begin{gathered}
2 \delta_{i i}^{r}=\delta_{11}^{r}+\cdots+\delta_{n n}^{r}, i=1, \cdots, n ; r=n+1, \cdots, 2 m+1 . \\
\delta_{i j}^{r}=0, i \neq j, r=n+1, \cdots, 2 m+1 .
\end{gathered}
$$

Thus we have two cases, namely either $n \neq 2$ or $n=2$.
In the first case we

$$
\delta_{i j}^{r}=0, i, j=1, \cdots, n ; r=n+1, \cdots, 2 m+1
$$

In the second case we have

$$
\delta_{11}^{r}=\delta_{22}^{r}, \delta_{12}^{r}=0, r=3, \cdots, 2 m+1
$$

The converse part is straightforward.
Corollary 4.1 Let $M$ be an n-dimensional $(n \geq 2)$ submanifold in a Sasakian space form $\hat{M}(c)$ endowed with a semi-symmetric non-metric connection such that $\xi \in T M$. Then for each point $p \in M$, For each unit vector $X$ in $T_{p} M$, for $k=1, h=0$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Ric}(X) \leq & \frac{n^{2}}{4}\|H\|^{2}+\frac{(n-1)(c+3)}{4}+\frac{3(c-1)}{4}\|P X\|^{2}-\frac{c-1}{4}\left[1+(n-2) \eta(X)^{2}\right] \\
& -n \phi(H)+\phi(\delta(X, X))-\lambda+S(X, X)
\end{aligned}
$$

Corollary 4.2 Let $M$ be an n-dimensional $(n \geq 2)$ submanifold in a nonSasakian space form $\hat{M}(c)$ endowed with a semi-symmetric non-metric connection such that $\xi \in T M$. Then for each point $p \in M$, For each unit vector $X \in T_{p} M, \forall p \in M$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Ric}(X) \leq & \frac{n^{2}}{4}\|H\|^{2}+\frac{(n-1)(1-\kappa)}{2}-\frac{3(\kappa+1)}{2}\|P X\|^{2} \\
& +\frac{3 \kappa-1}{2}\left[1+(n-2) \eta(X)^{2}\right]+\frac{1}{2}\left[\left\|(\varphi h X)^{T}\right\|^{2}-\left\|(h X)^{T}\right\|^{2}\right. \\
& \left.-g(\varphi h X, X) \operatorname{trace}(\varphi h)^{T}+g(h X, X) \operatorname{trace}\left(h^{T}\right)\right] \\
& +(\kappa+n-2) g(h X, X)+\left[1+\kappa \eta(X)^{2}\right] \operatorname{trace}\left(h^{T}\right) \\
& -n \phi(H)+\phi(\delta(X, X))-\lambda+S(X, X) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 4.2 Let $M$ be an $n$-dimensional $(n \geq 3)$ submanifold in a $(2 m+1)$
-dimensional $(\kappa, \mu)$-contact space form $\hat{M}(c)$ endowed with a semi-symmetric non-metric connection such that $\xi \in T M$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
n(n-1)\|H\|^{2} \geq & n(n-1) \Theta_{k}(p)-\frac{1}{4}\left\{n(n-1)(c+3)+3(c-1)\|P\|^{2}\right. \\
& -2(n-1)(c+3-4 k)\}-\frac{1}{2}\left\{\left\|(\varphi h)^{T}\right\|^{2}-\left\|h^{T}\right\|^{2}-\left(\operatorname{trace}(\varphi h)^{T}\right)^{2}\right. \\
& \left.+\left(\operatorname{trace}\left(h^{T}\right)\right)^{2}\right\}-2[\mu+(n-1)] \operatorname{trace}\left(h^{T}\right)+n(n-1) \phi(H) \\
& +(n-1) \lambda .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Let $\left\{e_{1}, \cdots, e_{n}\right\}$ be an orthonormal basis of $T_{p} M$. We denote by $L_{i 1, \cdots, j k}$ the $k$-plane section spanned by $e_{i 1}, \cdots, e_{i k}$. From (5) and (6), it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau\left(L_{i 1}, \cdots, e_{i k}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \in\{i 1, \cdots, j k\}} \operatorname{Ric}_{L_{i 1, \cdots, j k}}\left(e_{i}\right) \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau(p)=\frac{1}{C_{n-2}^{k-2}} \sum_{1 \leq i \ll \cdots<i k \leq n} \tau\left(L_{i 11, \cdots, i k}\right) \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (7), (23) and (24), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau(p) \geq \frac{n(n-1)}{2} \Theta_{k}(p) \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

We choose an orthonormal basis $\left\{e_{1}, \cdots, e_{n}\right\}$ of $T_{p} M$ such that $e_{n+1}$ is in the direction of the mean curvature vector $H(p)$ and $\left\{e_{1}, \cdots, e_{n}\right\}$ diagnolize the shape operator $A_{n+1}$. Then the shape operators take the following forms:

$$
\begin{align*}
A_{n+1} & =\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
a_{1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & a_{2} & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & a_{n}
\end{array}\right),  \tag{26}\\
\operatorname{traceA}_{r}= & 0, r=n+2, \cdots, 2 m+1 . \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$

From (11), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2 \tau=\frac{1}{4}\left\{n(n-1)(c+3)+3(c-1)\|P\|^{2}-2(n-1)(c+3-4 k)\right\} \\
& +\frac{1}{2}\left\{\left\|(\varphi h)^{T}\right\|^{2}-\left\|h^{T}\right\|^{2}-\left(\operatorname{trace}(\varphi h)^{T}\right)^{2}+\left(\operatorname{trace}\left(h^{T}\right)\right)^{2}\right\}  \tag{28}\\
& +2[\mu+(n-1)] \operatorname{trace}\left(h^{T}\right)-n(n-1) \varphi(H)-(n-1) \lambda+n^{2}\|H\|^{2}-\|\delta\|^{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

Using (26) and (28), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
n^{2}\|H\|^{2}= & 2 \tau+\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}^{2}+\sum_{r=n+2 i, j=1}^{2 m+1} \sum_{i j}^{n}\left(\delta_{i j}^{r}\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{4}\left\{n(n-1)(c+3)+3(c-1)\|P\|^{2}\right. \\
& -2(n-1)(c+3-4 k)\}-\frac{1}{2}\left\{\left\|(\varphi h)^{T}\right\|^{2}-\left\|h^{T}\right\|^{2}-\left(\operatorname{trace}(\varphi h)^{T}\right)^{2}\right.  \tag{29}\\
& \left.+\left(\operatorname{trace}\left(h^{T}\right)\right)^{2}\right\}-2[\mu+(n-1)] \operatorname{trace}\left(h^{T}\right) \\
& +n(n-1) \phi(H)+(n-1) \lambda .
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand from (26) and (27), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
(n\|H\|)^{2}=\left(\sum a_{i}\right)^{2} \leq n \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}^{2} . \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (29) and (30), it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& n(n-1)\|H\|^{2} \\
& \geq 2 \tau-\frac{1}{4}\left\{n(n-1)(c+3)+3(c-1)\|P\|^{2}-2(n-1)(c+3-4 k)\right\} \\
& -\frac{1}{2}\left\{\left\|(\varphi h)^{T}\right\|^{2}-\left\|h^{T}\right\|^{2}-\left(\operatorname{trace}(\varphi h)^{T}\right)^{2}+\left(\operatorname{trace}\left(h^{T}\right)\right)^{2}\right\} \\
& -2[\mu+(n-1)] \operatorname{trace}\left(h^{T}\right)+n(n-1) \phi(H)+(n-1) \lambda+\sum_{r=n+2 i, j=1}^{2 m+1} \sum_{i j}^{n}\left(\delta_{i}^{r}\right)^{2} \\
& \geq 2 \tau-\frac{1}{4}\left\{n(n-1)(c+3)+3(c-1)\|P\|^{2}-2(n-1)(c+3-4 k)\right\} \\
& \quad-\frac{1}{2}\left\{\left\|(\varphi h)^{T}\right\|^{2}-\left\|h^{T}\right\|^{2}-\left(\operatorname{trace}(\varphi h)^{T}\right)^{2}+\left(\operatorname{trace}\left(h^{T}\right)\right)^{2}\right\} \\
& \quad-2[\mu+(n-1)] \operatorname{trace}\left(h^{T}\right)+n(n-1) \phi(H)+(n-1) \lambda
\end{aligned}
$$

Using (25), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& n(n-1)\|H\|^{2} \\
& \geq n(n-1) \Theta_{k}(p)-\frac{1}{4}\left\{n(n-1)(c+3)+3(c-1)\|P\|^{2}\right. \\
& \quad-2(n-1)(c+3-4 k)\}-\frac{1}{2}\left\{\left\|(\varphi h)^{T}\right\|^{2}-\left\|h^{T}\right\|^{2}-\left(\operatorname{trace}(\varphi h)^{T}\right)^{2}\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\left(\operatorname{trace}\left(h^{T}\right)\right)^{2}\right\}-2[\mu+(n-1)] \operatorname{trace}\left(h^{T}\right) \\
& \quad+n(n-1) \phi(H)+(n-1) \lambda
\end{aligned}
$$

Corollary 4.3 Let $M$ be an n-dimensional $(n \geq 3)$ submanifold in a Sasakian space form $\hat{M}(c)$ endowed with a semi-symmetric non-metric connection such that $\xi \in T M$. Then for each point $p \in M$, For each unit vector $X \in T_{p} M$, $\forall p \in M$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& n(n-1)\|H\|^{2} \\
& \geq n(n-1) \Theta_{k}(p)-\frac{1}{4}\left\{n(n-1)(c+3)+3(c-1)\|P\|^{2}-2(n-1)(c-1)\right\} \\
& \quad+n(n-1) \phi(H)+(n-1) \lambda
\end{aligned}
$$

Corollary 4.4 Let $M$ be an n-dimensional $(n \geq 3)$ submanifold in a nonSasakian space form $\hat{M}(c)$ endowed with a semi-symmetric non-metric connection such that $\xi \in T M$. Then for each point $p \in M$, For each unit vector $X \in T_{p} M, \forall p \in M$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& n(n-1)\|H\|^{2} \\
& \geq n(n-1) \Theta_{k}(p)-\frac{1}{2}\left\{n(n-1)(1-\kappa)-3(\kappa+1)\|P\|^{2}+2(n-1)(3 \kappa-1)\right\} \\
& \quad-\frac{1}{2}\left\{\left\|(\varphi h)^{T}\right\|^{2}-\left\|h^{T}\right\|^{2}-\left(\operatorname{trace}(\varphi h)^{T}\right)^{2}+\left(\operatorname{trace}\left(h^{T}\right)\right)^{2}\right\} \\
& \quad-2(k+n) \operatorname{trace}\left(h^{T}\right)+n(n-1) \phi(H)+(n-1) \lambda .
\end{aligned}
$$
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