

# Geometry of the Standard Model of Quantum Physics

Claude Daviau<sup>1</sup>, Jacques Bertrand<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Le Moulin de la Lande, Pouillé-les-coteaux, France

<sup>2</sup>15 Avenue Danielle Casanova, Saint-Gratien, France

Email: [claudedaviau@nordnet.fr](mailto:claudedaviau@nordnet.fr), [bertrandjacques-m@orange.fr](mailto:bertrandjacques-m@orange.fr)

Received December 2014

---

## Abstract

General relativity links gravitation to the structure of our space-time. Nowadays physics knows four types of interactions: Gravitation, electromagnetism, weak interactions, strong interactions. The theory of everything (ToE) is the unification of these four domains. We study several necessary cornerstones for such a theory: geometry and mathematics, adapted manifolds on the real domain, Clifford algebras over tangent spaces of these manifolds, the real Lagrangian density in connection with the standard model of quantum physics. The geometry of the standard model of quantum physics uses three Clifford algebras. The algebra  $Cl_3$  of the 3-dimensional physical space is sufficient to describe the wave of the electron. The algebra  $Cl_{1,3}$  of space-time is sufficient to describe the wave of the pair electron-neutrino. A greater space-time with two additional dimensions of space generates the algebra  $Cl_{1,5}$ . It is sufficient to get the wave equation for all fermions, electron, its neutrino and quarks u and d of the first generation, and the wave equations for the two other generations. Values of these waves allow defining, in each point of space-time, geometric transformations from one intrinsic manifold of space-time into the usual manifold. The Lagrangian density is the scalar part of the wave equation.

## Keywords

Geometry, Invariance Group, Dirac Equation, Electromagnetism, Weak Interactions, Strong Interactions, Clifford Algebras

---

## Introduction

Geometry is equivalent to physics since Einstein has linked gravitation to the geometry of our Universe [1] [2]. The mathematical frame of this geometry is a 4-dimensional manifold where the dimension is this number of real parameters. Nowadays everyone in physics tries to produce a ToE (theory of everything), a theory including gravitation, electromagnetism, weak and strong interactions. String theory, supersymmetry, superstring theory, M-theory, loop quantum gravity, are most famous recent tentatives. This means that the problem is very hard. The aim of this paper is the analysis of these difficulties, preparing new trails to construct such a theory. The ba-

sic idea is: perhaps the solution is not so difficult, perhaps we have not been seeking in the right direction. After his discovery of general relativity, Einstein made numerous attempts [3] to get a theory unifying electromagnetism and gravitation. In the same time quantum mechanics was quickly growing, a relativistic wave equation was given by Dirac for the electron. It was then necessary to account not only for gravitation and electromagnetism, but also for the spin 1/2 of the electron and for the quantum wave. Difficulties here begin with the terrible difference between mathematical tools: the 4-dimensional real manifold of the general relativity versus quantum states using hermitical linear spaces over the complex field. Electromagnetism and weak interactions have been partially unified in the Weinberg-Salam model of electro-weak interactions [4], then strong interactions have been described by chromodynamics [5] and both are the main parts of the synthesis known as standard model of quantum physics.

All preceding attempts have supposed that the starting point was necessarily the standard model of quantum physics. The philosophical background of this choice is the believing in the necessity of the theoretical construction made from quantum mechanics. This comes historically from the fact that the first wave equation, found by E. Schrödinger, was able to account not only for one particle, but for a lot of them. Unhappily this wave equation is not relativistic. Consequently it is also unable to account for the spin 1/2, a common property for all fundamental fermions of relativistic quantum physics.

Since 1912 general relativity has made tremendous progress. Solutions were found justifying the behavior of stars with a very strong gravitational field, emitting gravitational waves. Calculations perfectly account for the measurements. On the contrary even if the quantum field theory claims still more precise results, such as the calculation of the Lamb effect or the calculation of the anomalous magnetic moment of the electron, the calculation is difficult: it is a process of successive approximations with the necessity to cut off not a small error but an infinite part of the result: this is not mathematically correct. In fact there are two quantum theories, the first and the second quantification. The first quantification uses for the electron a wave that is a function of space and time with value into the complex field or into a complex vector space. Calculations are exact in the case of the hydrogen atom and results are very precise. The second quantification transforms this wave into something very different, a field of operators operating on something, an object with no mathematical definition. Therefore on the mathematical point of view the departure of the ToE must be the general relativity and neither the second quantification nor any theory using the second quantification.

On the physical point of view it is the same from time properties. Time in physics is not space: in space we can go in any direction, in time we go only from past to future and there is strictly no way to go backwards. Gravitation propagates with gravitational waves that are emitted by stars and the move of these stars is changing with time. All laws of quantum physics are obtained from Lagrangian densities that give the wave equations from a principle of stationarity. This principle was inherited from classical mechanics where the time is not oriented if we forget frictions. Since the true time is the oriented time of thermodynamics, not the time of classical mechanics, only general relativity, allowing the use of an oriented time, is able to be the starting point of the ToE. General relativity and standard model are both obtained from a principle of stationarity, this is certainly another cornerstone to build the ToE (private discussion with D. Girardot).

## 1. Clifford Algebra of the 3-Dimensional Space

Clifford algebras on the real field are a necessary tool because particles with spin 1/2 exist. We use here all notations of “New insights in the standard model of quantum physics in Clifford Algebra” [6]. Its first chapter study at an elementary level the three Clifford algebras used in the present work. The algebra  $Cl_3$  of the physical space is sufficient to describe the physical space-time because

$$x = x^\mu \sigma_\mu = \begin{pmatrix} x^0 + x^3 & x^1 - ix^2 \\ x^1 + ix^2 & x^0 - x^3 \end{pmatrix} \quad (1.1)$$

forms the auto-adjoint subspace of the Clifford algebra  $Cl_3$ . The wave of an electron is a function of this part of  $Cl_3$  into the full algebra:  $x \mapsto \phi(x)$ . The Dirac wave equation reads in this frame

$$0 = \nabla \hat{\phi} \sigma_{21} + qA\hat{\phi} + m\phi \quad (1.2)$$

$$\phi = \sqrt{2}(\xi - i\sigma_2 \eta^*) = \sqrt{2} \begin{pmatrix} \xi_1 & -\eta_2^* \\ \xi_2 & \eta_1^* \end{pmatrix}; \hat{\phi} = \sqrt{2}(\eta - i\sigma_2 \xi^*) = \sqrt{2} \begin{pmatrix} \eta_1 & -\xi_2^* \\ \eta_2 & \xi_1^* \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\nabla = \sigma^\mu \partial_\mu; A = \sigma^\mu A_\mu; q = \frac{e}{\hbar c}; m = \frac{m_0 c}{\hbar}, \sigma_{21} = \sigma_2 \sigma_1 = -i\sigma_3. \quad (1.3)$$

where  $\xi$  and  $\eta$  are respectively right and left Weyl spinors,  $m_0$  is the proper mass and  $e$  is the negative charge of the electron<sup>1</sup>. The Dirac equation is the linear approximation of our non-linear homogeneous wave equation

$$\bar{\phi}(\nabla \hat{\phi})\sigma_{21} + \bar{\phi}qA\hat{\phi} + m\rho = 0 \quad (1.4)$$

$$\bar{\phi} = \hat{\phi}^\dagger; \bar{\phi}\phi = \phi\bar{\phi} = \det(\phi) = \rho e^{i\beta} \quad (1.5)$$

where  $\beta$  is the Yvon-Takabayasi angle. The non-linear wave equation has the Dirac equation as linear approximation if this angle is null or very small.

I recall now how the wave equation is said form invariant: If  $M$  is any element in  $Cl_3$  and if  $R$  is the transformation from the space-time into itself, which to any  $x$  associates  $x'$  such as

$$x = x^\mu \sigma_\mu; x' = x'^\mu \sigma_\mu = R(x) = MxM^\dagger \quad (1.6)$$

we note, if  $\det(M) \neq 0$ :

$$\det(M) = r e^{i\theta}, \quad r = |\det(M)|. \quad (1.7)$$

We then get:

$$\begin{aligned} (x'^0)^2 - (x'^1)^2 - (x'^2)^2 - (x'^3)^2 &= \det(x') = \det(MxM^\dagger) \\ &= r e^{i\theta} \det(x) r e^{-i\theta} = r^2 [(x^0)^2 - (x^1)^2 - (x^2)^2 - (x^3)^2] \end{aligned} \quad (1.8)$$

$R$  multiplies then by  $r$  any space-time distance and is called ‘‘Lorentz dilation with ratio  $r$ ’’. If we let, with the usual convention summing the up and down indices:

$$x'^\mu = R^\mu_\nu x^\nu \quad (1.9)$$

we get for  $M = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \neq 0$ :

$$2R_0^0 = |a|^2 + |b|^2 + |c|^2 + |d|^2 > 0 \quad (1.10)$$

$x'^0$  has then the same sign as  $x^0$  at the origin:  $R$  conserves the arrow of the time. Even more we get (the calculation is in [7] A.2.1 and A.2.4):

$$\nabla = \overline{M} \nabla' \hat{M}; \nabla' = \sigma^\mu \partial'_\mu \quad (1.11)$$

$$\det(R^\mu_\nu) = r^4 \quad (1.12)$$

$R$  conserves therefore the orientation of space-time and as it conserves the time orientation it conserves also the space orientation. Relativistic quantum mechanics lets

$$\phi'(x') = M \phi(x) \quad (1.13)$$

and non relativistic quantum mechanics uses the same relation with  $M$  only in  $SU(2)$ . All properties of particles with spin  $\frac{1}{2}$  such as Clebsch-Gordon coefficients are deduced from (1.13) and from the properties of the matrix representations of  $SU(2)$ . The wave Equation (1.4) is form invariant because

$$\bar{\phi}(\nabla \hat{\phi})\sigma_{21} = \bar{\phi}'(\nabla' \hat{\phi}')\sigma_{21} \quad (1.14)$$

<sup>1</sup>It seems that we are still using complex linear spaces. But actually anything in (1.2) is usual in classical physics:  $(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3)$  is a direct orthonormal basis of the physical space,  $i$  is the name of the product  $\sigma_{123} = \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_3$ . For any vector  $\vec{u}, \vec{v}$  we have  $\vec{u}\vec{v} = \vec{u} \cdot \vec{v} + i(\vec{u} \times \vec{v})$  where  $\vec{u} \cdot \vec{v}$  is the usual scalar product and  $\vec{u} \times \vec{v}$  is the usual cross product.

$$\bar{\phi} q A \hat{\phi} = \bar{\phi}' q' A' \hat{\phi}' \quad (1.15)$$

$$m \rho = m' \rho'. \quad (1.16)$$

Here (1.14) comes from (1.11) and (1.13). The relation (1.15) is necessary to get the gauge invariance of the electromagnetism and comes from

$$A' = \sigma^\mu A'_\mu; qA = \bar{M} q' A' \hat{M}. \quad (1.17)$$

The relation (1.16) comes from (1.5), (1.7), (1.13) that give

$$\rho' = r \rho \quad (1.18)$$

and (1.16) is then equivalent to

$$m = r m' \quad (1.19)$$

The set  $Cl_3^* = GL(2, \mathbb{C})$  of the  $M$  matrices is a 8-dimensional real Lie group. The application  $M \mapsto R$  is an homomorphism of  $Cl_3^*$  into the 7-dimensional Lie group  $D$  of Lorentz dilations, each dilation being the product, in any order, of an element of the restricted Lorentz group  $\mathcal{L}_+^\uparrow$  and of a homothety with ratio  $r$ . The kernel of this homomorphism is 1-dimensional, it is the  $U(1)$  set of the  $M = e^{i\theta}$  where  $i = \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_3$  gives the orientation of the physical space. We consider the  $Cl_3^*$  group as the true group of invariance of the Dirac wave and of the electromagnetism<sup>2</sup> [6]-[8]. This group is greater than the  $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$  group of relativistic quantum mechanics, then there are less invariant terms: now  $\rho$  and  $m$  are not separately invariant, (1.16) means that only the  $m\rho$  product remains invariant under  $Cl_3^*$ .

Our wave equation is gauge invariant under two gauges. The first one is well known since it is the electric gauge

$$\phi \mapsto \phi' = \phi e^{ia\sigma_3}; A_\mu \mapsto A'_\mu = A_\mu - \frac{1}{q} \partial_\mu a \quad (1.20)$$

The second one is only a global gauge, it is the chiral gauge

$$\phi \mapsto \phi' = \phi e^{ia}; \partial_\mu a = 0. \quad (1.21)$$

Each gauge is linked to a conserved current. The current linked to the electric gauge is the current of probability

$$D_0 = \phi \phi^\dagger \quad (1.22)$$

The second conserved current is the  $D_3$  current satisfying

$$D_3 = \phi \sigma_3 \phi^\dagger \quad (1.23)$$

Components  $D_0^\mu$  and  $D_3^\mu$  of these space-time vectors are 8 of the 36 components of tensorial densities available from the 8 real parameters of the wave of the electron (the Dirac theory knows only 16 of them). At each point  $x$  the value of the wave  $\phi(x)$  has exactly the same form as the  $M$  matrix, then we can associate to this value the geometric transformation  $D$ , with ratio  $\rho$ :

$$D: y \mapsto x = \phi y \phi^\dagger. \quad (1.24)$$

And the components  $D_\mu^y$  of the four  $D_\mu$  are the 16 terms of the matrix of the dilation because

$$x = x^\mu \sigma_\mu = \phi y^\nu \sigma_\nu \phi^\dagger = y^\nu \phi \sigma_\nu \phi^\dagger = y^\nu D_\nu = y^\nu D_\nu^\mu \sigma_\mu; x^\mu = D_\nu^\mu y^\nu. \quad (1.25)$$

There is no difference between the matrix product  $M\hat{M}$  which gives the composition of dilations  $R' \circ R$  and the product  $M\phi$  which gives the transformation of the wave under a dilation, and this induces then a composition of dilations  $R \circ D$ :

$$x' = MxM^\dagger = M\phi y \phi^\dagger M^\dagger = (M\phi)y(M\phi)^\dagger = \phi' y \phi'^\dagger. \quad (1.26)$$

<sup>2</sup>Relativistic quantum mechanics enlarges the invariance under  $SI(2, \mathbb{C})$  by using P and T transformations. We never use these transformations: they are purely theoretical since absolutely no way exists in the Nature to change the orientation of the time, no way exists to change the orientation of the space.

This means that the  $y$  introduced into (1.24) does not change, either seen by the observer of  $x$  or by the observer of  $x'$ . It is independent on the observer, intrinsic to the wave.

And since  $\phi$  is function of  $x$ , the dilation is also a function of  $x$ , and varies from a point to another in space-time:  $y$  is not an element of the global space-time, only of the local space-time. So we must see  $y$  as the general element of the tangent space-time, at  $x$ , to a space-time manifold which depends only on the wave, not on the observer, and that we will name “intrinsic manifold”. On the contrary the dilation depends on the observer, the observer of  $x$  sees  $D$ , the one of  $x'$  sees  $D' = R \circ D$ .

The geometric meaning of the Dirac wave is as follows: At each point of the space-time we have, not only one space-time manifold, but two space-time manifolds: the manifold of the  $x$  and  $x'$ , for which each relativistic observer is associated to a Lorentzian tangent space-time, and the intrinsic manifold, this of the  $y$  which is a manifold with torsion [7] [8]. Moreover each tangent space-time has an orthonormal basis allowing to construct a Clifford algebra. The fiber of each of these two manifolds is then a same Clifford algebra.

## 2. Wave of Electron + Neutrino in $Cl_{1,3}$

The electric gauge is the reason of the electric interaction of the electron. Similarly the second gauge of the electron is linked to the weak interaction of the electron. The Weinberg-Salam model of electro-weak interactions [4] considers the right  $\xi_e$  and left  $\eta_e$  waves of the electron and the left wave  $\eta_n$  of the electronic neutrino. If a right  $\xi_n$  wave exists it does not interact neither electrically nor weakly. Therefore the standard model supposes  $\xi_n = 0$ .

Relativistic quantum mechanics supposes also a link between the wave of the particle and the wave of its anti-particle. Noting now  $\phi_e$  the wave of the electron, this link reads in space algebra (see [6] 2.4.2)

$$\hat{\phi}_e = \hat{\phi}_e \sigma_1; \hat{\phi}_n = \hat{\phi}_n \sigma_1 \quad (2.1)$$

Then the wave of electron + positron + neutrino + antineutrino is a function of  $x$  with value in a 12-dimensional subspace of  $Cl_{1,3}$ . The form invariance of the wave implies that  $\phi_e$  and  $\phi_n$  must transform similarly. The lepton wave  $\Psi_l$  is a function of  $x$  into the Clifford algebra of space-time  $Cl_{1,3}$  [9]:

$$\phi_e = \sqrt{2}(\xi_e - i\sigma_2 \eta_e^*); \hat{\phi}_e = \sqrt{2}(\eta_e - i\sigma_2 \xi_e^*) \quad (2.2)$$

$$\phi_n = \sqrt{2}(0 - i\sigma_2 \eta_n^*); \hat{\phi}_n = \sqrt{2}(\eta_n - 0) \quad (2.3)$$

$$\Psi_l = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_e & \phi_n \\ \hat{\phi}_n \sigma_1 & \hat{\phi}_e \sigma_1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_e & \phi_n \\ \hat{\phi}_n & \hat{\phi}_e \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.4)$$

The link between  $Cl_3$  and  $Cl_{1,3}$  is made by the Pauli matrices  $\sigma_j$  and the matrix representation<sup>3</sup>

$$\gamma_0 = \gamma^0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I \\ I & 0 \end{pmatrix}; \gamma_j = -\gamma^j = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma_j \\ -\sigma_j & 0 \end{pmatrix}; I = \sigma_0 = \sigma^0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.5)$$

With this matrix representation the reverse of  $\Psi_l$  is

$$\tilde{\Psi}_l = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\phi}_e & \phi_n^\dagger \\ \bar{\phi}_n & \phi_e^\dagger \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.6)$$

The invariant form of the wave equation of electron + neutrino reads [10]

$$\tilde{\Psi}_l (\mathbf{D}\Psi_l) \gamma_{012} + m \boldsymbol{\rho} \tilde{\Psi}_l \chi_l = 0 \quad (2.7)$$

where we have to define  $\boldsymbol{\rho}$ ,  $\chi_l$  and  $\mathbf{D}$ . The covariant derivative  $\mathbf{D}$  satisfies

$$\mathbf{D} = \partial + \frac{g_1}{2} \mathbf{B} P_0 + \frac{g_2}{2} (\mathbf{W}^1 P_1 + \mathbf{W}^2 P_2 + \mathbf{W}^3 P_3) \quad (2.8)$$

<sup>3</sup>The use for complex matrices here is also pure commodity. Matrix representations were used to get by induction on the dimension the main theorem of existence and unicity, up to an isomorphism, of all real Clifford algebras. All calculations may be done by using  $(\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3)$  as a basis of space-time. The link with  $Cl_3$  is made by  $\sigma_j = \gamma_j \gamma_0$ : this is equivalent to identify  $Cl_3$  to the even subalgebra  $Cl_{1,3}^+$  of the space-time algebra.

$$\mathbf{D} = \gamma^\mu D_\mu; \partial = \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu; \mathbf{B} = \gamma^\mu B_\mu; \mathbf{W}^j = \gamma^\mu W_\mu^j \quad (2.9)$$

The  $P_\mu$  are four operators so defined:

$$P_\pm(\Psi) = \frac{1}{2}(\Psi \pm \mathbf{i}\Psi\gamma_{21}); \mathbf{i} = \gamma_0\gamma_1\gamma_2\gamma_3; \gamma_{21} = \gamma_2\gamma_1 \quad (2.10)$$

$$P_0(\Psi) = \Psi\gamma_{21} + P_-(\Psi)\mathbf{i} \quad (2.11)$$

$$P_1(\Psi) = P_+(\Psi)\gamma_3\mathbf{i} \quad (2.12)$$

$$P_2(\Psi) = P_+(\Psi)\gamma_3 \quad (2.13)$$

$$P_3(\Psi) = P_+(\Psi)(-\mathbf{i}) \quad (2.14)$$

The  $\rho$  term generalizes the  $\rho$  of the electron:

$$a_1 = \det(\phi_e) = \phi_e \bar{\phi}_e = 2(\xi_{1e}\eta_{1e}^* + \xi_{2e}\eta_{2e}^*) = \rho e^{i\beta} \quad (2.15)$$

$$a_2 = 2(\xi_{1e}^*\eta_{1n}^* + \xi_{2e}^*\eta_{2n}^*) = 2(\eta_{2e}^*\eta_{1n}^* - \eta_{1e}^*\eta_{2n}^*) \quad (2.16)$$

$$a_3 = 2(\xi_{1e}\eta_{1n}^* + \xi_{2e}\eta_{2n}^*) \quad (2.17)$$

$$\rho = \sqrt{a_1 a_1^* + a_2 a_2^* + a_3 a_3^*} \quad (2.18)$$

and  $\chi_l$  satisfies

$$\chi_l = \frac{1}{\rho^2} \begin{pmatrix} a_1^* \phi_e + a_2^* \phi_n \sigma_1 + a_3^* \phi_n & -a_2^* \phi_{eL} \sigma_1 + a_3^* \phi_{eR} \\ a_2 \hat{\phi}_{eL} \sigma_1 + a_3 \hat{\phi}_{eR} & a_1 \hat{\phi}_e - a_2 \hat{\phi}_n \sigma_1 + a_3 \hat{\phi}_n \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.19)$$

$$\phi_{eR} = \phi_e \frac{1+\sigma_3}{2}; \phi_{eL} = \phi_e \frac{1-\sigma_3}{2} \quad (2.20)$$

The invariant wave Equation (2.7) has a real scalar part [10] that is simply

$$\mathcal{L} = 0 \quad (2.21)$$

where  $\mathcal{L}$  is the Lagrangian density that gives the whole Equation (2.7) by Lagrange equations. Another of the real equations equivalent to (2.7) is

$$\partial_\mu (D_0^\mu + D_n^\mu) = 0; D_0 = \phi_e \phi_e^\dagger; D_n = \phi_n \phi_n^\dagger \quad (2.22)$$

Then there is only one conservative current, it is the sum of the current of the electron and the same current for the neutrino. The wave equation is gauge invariant [10] under the  $U(1) \times SU(2)$  gauge group generated by operators  $P_\mu$ . The wave equation is form invariant under the dilation  $R$  generated by any  $M$  matrix satisfying (1.6), because, if

$$N = \begin{pmatrix} M & 0 \\ 0 & \hat{M} \end{pmatrix}; g: M \mapsto N \quad (2.23)$$

$g$  is a group isomorphism, the transformation of the wave satisfies

$$\Psi'_i = N\Psi_i; \chi'_i = \tilde{N}^{-1}\chi_i; \rho' = r\rho \quad (2.24)$$

which gives, with (1.19)

$$\tilde{\Psi}'_i(\mathbf{D}\Psi_i)\gamma_{012} + m\rho\tilde{\Psi}'_i\chi_i = \tilde{\Psi}'_i(\mathbf{D}'\Psi'_i)\gamma_{012} + m'\rho'\tilde{\Psi}'_i\chi'_i = 0. \quad (2.25)$$

In the space-time algebra we use

$$\mathbf{x} = x^\mu \gamma_\mu = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x \\ \hat{x} & 0 \end{pmatrix}; \mathbf{y} = y^\mu \gamma_\mu = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & y \\ \hat{y} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.26)$$

We note  $\langle M \rangle_n$  the n-vector part of the multivector  $M$ . The geometric transformation  $D$  in (1.24) linked to the wave reads now

$$\mathbf{D} : \mathbf{y} \mapsto \mathbf{x} = \langle \Psi_l \mathbf{y} \tilde{\Psi}_l \rangle_1 = \frac{1}{2} (\Psi_l \mathbf{y} \tilde{\Psi}_l + \mathbf{i} \Psi_l \mathbf{y} \tilde{\Psi}_l \mathbf{i}). \quad (2.27)$$

Similarly to the electron case  $\mathbf{y}$  is independent from the observer, intrinsic to the wave since under the dilation  $R$  we get

$$\Psi'_l = N \Psi_l ; \tilde{\Psi}'_l = \Psi_l \tilde{N} \quad (2.28)$$

$$\mathbf{x}' = N \mathbf{x} \tilde{N} = N \frac{1}{2} (\Psi_l \mathbf{y} \tilde{\Psi}_l + \mathbf{i} \Psi_l \mathbf{y} \tilde{\Psi}_l \mathbf{i}) \tilde{N} = \frac{1}{2} (\Psi'_l \mathbf{y} \tilde{\Psi}'_l + \mathbf{i} \Psi'_l \mathbf{y} \tilde{\Psi}'_l \mathbf{i}) = \langle \Psi'_l \mathbf{y} \tilde{\Psi}'_l \rangle_1 \quad (2.29)$$

Relation (2.27) is equivalent to

$$x = \phi_e y \phi_e^\dagger + \phi_n \hat{y} \phi_n^\dagger \quad (2.30)$$

$$x^\mu = (D_0^\mu + D_n^\mu) y^0 + D_1^\mu y^1 + D_2^\mu y^2 + (D_3^\mu + D_n^\mu) y^3. \quad (2.31)$$

Then  $D$  is an affine transformation where indices 1 and 2 play a very different role. This is evidently linked to the fact that  $\sigma_{21}$  is present in the wave equation of the electron and  $\gamma_{012}$  is present in the wave of the electron + neutrino. This anisotropy is the reason of the existence of three kinds of leptons.

We know three generations of leptons and quarks and the standard model study separately these three generations. The reason is simply that our physical space is three dimensional, and we get the wave equation of leptons three times. One of the three is (2.7) that reads:

$$0 = \tilde{\Psi}_3 (\mathbf{D}_3 \Psi_3) \gamma_{012} + m_3 \rho \tilde{\Psi}_3 \chi_3 ; \mathbf{D}_3 = \mathbf{D} ; \Psi_3 = \Psi_l ; \chi_3 = \chi_l ; m_3 = m \quad (2.32)$$

$$0 = \tilde{\Psi}_1 (\mathbf{D}_1 \Psi_1) \gamma_{023} + m_1 \rho \tilde{\Psi}_1 \chi_1 \quad (2.33)$$

$$0 = \tilde{\Psi}_2 (\mathbf{D}_2 \Psi_2) \gamma_{031} + m_2 \rho \tilde{\Psi}_2 \chi_2 \quad (2.34)$$

To go from one generation to another one is simple: we permute indices 1, 2, 3 of  $\sigma_j$  everywhere in all preceding formulas with the circular permutation  $p$  or  $p^2$ :

$$p : 1 \mapsto 2 \mapsto 3 \mapsto 1 ; p^2 : 1 \mapsto 3 \mapsto 2 \mapsto 1. \quad (2.35)$$

We do not know if the muon is obtained by  $p$  or by  $p^2$ . If it is  $p$ , the wave of the pair muon-muonic neutrino follows (2.33) and this explains why a muon is like an electron, generally. But the covariant derivative is different, because in the place of (2.10) to (2.14) we must use

$$P_\pm^l(\Psi) = \frac{1}{2} (\Psi \pm \mathbf{i} \Psi \gamma_{32}) \quad (2.36)$$

$$P_0^l(\Psi) = \Psi \gamma_{32} + P_-(\Psi) \mathbf{i} \quad (2.37)$$

$$P_1^l(\Psi) = P_+(\Psi) \gamma_1 \mathbf{i} \quad (2.38)$$

$$P_2^l(\Psi) = P_+(\Psi) \gamma_1 \quad (2.39)$$

$$P_3^l(\Psi) = P_+(\Psi) (-\mathbf{i}) \quad (2.40)$$

We must also change the link (2.1) between the wave of the particle and the wave of the antiparticle, link using a  $\sigma_1$  for the first generation. The wave of the anti-muon must satisfy:

$$\hat{\phi}_\mu = \hat{\phi}_\mu \sigma_2 \quad (2.41)$$

and we shall have a 3 index in the case of the last generation. We must also change the definition of left and right wave. For the second generation this becomes

$$\phi_{\mu R} = \phi_\mu \frac{1}{2} (1 + \sigma_1) ; \phi_{\mu L} = \phi_\mu \frac{1}{2} (1 - \sigma_1) \quad (2.42)$$

and me must also permute the indexes in the geometric transformation (2.31). We can then understand why the Lagrangian density, which comes from the scalar part of the invariant equation, must be calculated separately

for the pair electron-electronic neutrino and for the pair muon-muonic neutrino or tau-tauic neutrino.

If there are only three objects like  $\sigma_{21}$ , there is one other term with square  $-1$  in  $Cl_3$ ,  $i = \sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_3$ . this fourth term allows a fourth neutrino [11].

### 3. Standard Model in $Cl_{1,5}$

The standard model adds to the leptons (electron and its neutrino) in the first “generation” two quarks  $u$  and  $d$  with three states each. Weak interactions acting only on left waves of quarks (and right waves of antiquarks) the wave of all fermions of the first generation satisfies:

$$\Psi = \begin{pmatrix} \Psi_l & \Psi_r \\ \Psi_g & \Psi_b \end{pmatrix}; \Psi_r = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_{dr} & \phi_{ur} \\ \hat{\phi}_{ur} & \hat{\phi}_{dr} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_{dr} & \phi_{ur} \\ \hat{\phi}_{ur}\sigma_1 & \hat{\phi}_{dr}\sigma_1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.1)$$

$$\Psi_g = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_{dg} & \phi_{ug} \\ \hat{\phi}_{ug} & \hat{\phi}_{dg} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_{dg} & \phi_{ug} \\ \hat{\phi}_{ug}\sigma_1 & \hat{\phi}_{dg}\sigma_1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.2)$$

$$\Psi_b = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_{db} & \phi_{ub} \\ \hat{\phi}_{ub} & \hat{\phi}_{db} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_{db} & \phi_{ub} \\ \hat{\phi}_{ub}\sigma_1 & \hat{\phi}_{db}\sigma_1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.3)$$

The  $\Psi$  wave is now a function of space and time with value into  $Cl_{1,5}$  which is a sub-algebra (on the real field) of  $M_8(\mathbb{C})$ <sup>4</sup>. The covariant derivative (2.8) becomes

$$\underline{D} = \underline{\partial} + \frac{g_1}{2} \underline{B} \underline{P}_0 + \frac{g_2}{2} \underline{W}^j \underline{P}_j + \frac{g_3}{2} \underline{G}^k \underline{\Gamma}_k \quad (3.4)$$

$$\underline{D} = \sum_{\mu=0}^3 L^\mu D_\mu; \underline{\partial} = \sum_{\mu=0}^3 L^\mu \partial_\mu; \underline{B} = \sum_{\mu=0}^3 L^\mu B_\mu \quad (3.5)$$

$$\underline{W}^j = \sum_{\mu=0}^3 L^\mu W_\mu^j, j = 1, 2, 3 \quad (3.6)$$

$$\underline{G}^k = \sum_{\mu=0}^3 L^\mu G_\mu^k, k = 1, 2, \dots, 8 \quad (3.7)$$

$$L_\mu = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \gamma_\mu \\ \gamma_\mu & 0 \end{pmatrix}; L_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -I_4 \\ I_4 & 0 \end{pmatrix}; L_5 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{i} \\ \mathbf{i} & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.8)$$

We use two projectors satisfying

$$\underline{P}_\pm(\Psi) = \frac{1}{2}(\Psi \pm \mathbf{i}\Psi L_{21}); \mathbf{i} = L_{0123} \quad (3.9)$$

Three operators act on quarks like on leptons:

$$\underline{P}_1(\Psi) = \underline{P}_+(\Psi)L_{35}; \underline{P}_2(\Psi) = \underline{P}_+(\Psi)L_{5012} \quad (3.10)$$

$$\underline{P}_3(\Psi) = \underline{P}_+(\Psi)(-\mathbf{i}). \quad (3.11)$$

The fourth operator acts differently on the lepton and on the quark sector:

$$\underline{P}_0(\Psi) = \begin{pmatrix} P_0(\Psi_l) & P'_0(\Psi_r) \\ P'_0(\Psi_g) & P'_0(\Psi_b) \end{pmatrix}; \quad (3.12)$$

$$P_0(\Psi_l) = \Psi_l \gamma_{21} + P_-(\Psi_l) \mathbf{i}$$

<sup>4</sup>As before we remark that we are always in a frame of classical physics on the real field.  $(L_0, L_1, L_2, L_3, L_4, L_5)$  is an orthonormal basis in  $\mathbb{R}_{1,5}$ :  $L_0^2 = 1$ ,  $L_1^2 = -1$ ,  $L_2^2 = -1$ ,  $L_3^2 = -1$ ,  $L_4^2 = -1$ ,  $L_5^2 = -1$ ,  $L_n \cdot L_p = 0, n \neq p$ . We use  $L^0 = L_0$ ,  $L^n = -L_n, n > 0$ . We can consider  $(L_0, L_1, L_2, L_3)$  as an orthonormal basis of  $\mathbb{R}_{1,3}$ . The use for complex matrices is convenient but not compulsory.



$$P'_0(\Psi_c) = -\frac{1}{3}\Psi_c\gamma_{21} + P_-(\Psi_c)\mathbf{i}, \quad c = r, g, b. \quad (3.13)$$

These definitions are absolutely all that you have to change to go from the lepton case into the quark case, to get the gauge group of electro-weak interactions. We proved in [6] 6.3 that this gives:

$$D_\mu\xi_d = \partial_\mu\xi_d - i\left(-\frac{1}{3}\right)g_1B_\mu\xi_d \quad (3.14)$$

$$D_\mu\xi_u = \partial_\mu\xi_u - i\left(+\frac{2}{3}\right)g_1B_\mu\xi_u \quad (3.15)$$

$$D_\mu\eta_{\bar{d}} = \partial_\mu\eta_{\bar{d}} - i\left(+\frac{1}{3}\right)g_1B_\mu\eta_{\bar{d}} \quad (3.16)$$

$$D_\mu\eta_{\bar{u}} = \partial_\mu\eta_{\bar{u}} - i\left(-\frac{2}{3}\right)g_1B_\mu\eta_{\bar{u}} \quad (3.17)$$

This means that changing the coefficient 1 of  $\Psi\gamma_{21}$  into  $-1/3$  is sufficient to get the correct charges of  $u$  and  $d$  quarks, the correct charges of antiquarks  $\bar{u}$  and  $\bar{d}$ . Moreover we get a doublet of left waves for the quarks and a doublet of right waves for the antiquarks:

$$\psi_L = \begin{pmatrix} \eta_u \\ \eta_d \end{pmatrix}; \quad \psi_R = \begin{pmatrix} \xi_{\bar{u}} \\ \xi_{\bar{d}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.18)$$

which gives

$$D_\mu\psi_L = \partial_\mu\psi_L - i\frac{g_1}{6}B_\mu\psi_L - i\frac{g_2}{2}(W_\mu^1\tau_1 + W_\mu^2\tau_2 + W_\mu^3\tau_3)\psi_L \quad (3.19)$$

$$D_\mu\psi_R = \partial_\mu\psi_R + i\frac{g_1}{6}B_\mu\psi_R - i\frac{g_2}{2}(W_\mu^1\tau_1 - W_\mu^2\tau_2 + W_\mu^3\tau_3)\psi_R \quad (3.20)$$

$$\tau_1 = \gamma_0; \quad \tau_2 = \gamma_{123}; \quad \tau_3 = \gamma_5. \quad (3.21)$$

Then all features of electro-weak interactions of leptons and quarks are simply obtained from the structure of the wave, itself linked to the structure of the space-time

The generators of the  $SU(3)$  gauge group of chromodynamics use two projectors:

$$P^+ = \frac{1}{2}(I_8 + L_{012345}) = \begin{pmatrix} I_4 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}; \quad P^- = \frac{1}{2}(I_8 - L_{012345}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I_4 \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.22)$$

and eight operators  $\Gamma_k$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots, 8$  so defined (with  $c$  instead  $\Psi_c$ ):

$$\Gamma_1(\Psi) = \frac{1}{2}(L_4\Psi L_4 + L_{01235}\Psi L_{01235}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & g \\ r & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.23)$$

$$\Gamma_2(\Psi) = \frac{1}{2}(L_5\Psi L_4 - L_{01234}\Psi L_{01235}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -ig \\ \mathbf{ir} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.24)$$

$$\Gamma_3(\Psi) = P^+\Psi P^- - P^-\Psi P^+ = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & r \\ -g & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.25)$$

$$\Gamma_4(\Psi) = L_{01253}\Psi P^- = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b \\ 0 & r \end{pmatrix}; \quad \Gamma_5(\Psi) = L_{01234}\Psi P^- = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -ib \\ 0 & \mathbf{ir} \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.26)$$

$$\Gamma_6(\Psi) = P^-\Psi L_{01253} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ b & g \end{pmatrix}; \quad \Gamma_7(\Psi) = -\mathbf{i}P^-\Psi L_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ -ib & \mathbf{ig} \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.27)$$

$$\Gamma_8(\Psi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(P^-\Psi L_{012345} + L_{012345}\Psi P^-) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & r \\ g & -2b \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.28)$$

We explained in [9] how these operators are equivalent to the eight generators  $\lambda_k$  of  $SU(3)$ . Everywhere in (3.23) to (3.28) the eight matrices  $\Gamma_k(\Psi)$  have a zero left up term, therefore all  $\Gamma_k$  project the wave on its quark sector. The physical translation is: leptons do not interact by strong interactions, this comes from the structure itself of the quantum wave. We consider this result as a great success of real Clifford algebras. Now with

$$\begin{aligned}\underline{D} &= \sum_{\mu=0}^3 L^\mu D_\mu ; \underline{\partial} = \sum_{\mu=0}^3 L^\mu \partial_\mu ; \underline{B} = \sum_{\mu=0}^3 L^\mu B_\mu \\ \underline{W}^j &= \sum_{\mu=0}^3 L^\mu W_\mu^j ; \underline{G}^k = \sum_{\mu=0}^3 L^\mu G_\mu^k\end{aligned}\quad (3.29)$$

where the eight  $\underline{G}^k$  are part of what is named ‘‘gluons’’, the covariant derivative reads

$$\underline{D} = \underline{\partial} + \frac{g_1}{2} \underline{B} \underline{P}_0 + \frac{g_2}{2} \sum_{j=1}^3 \underline{W}^j \underline{P}_j + \frac{g_3}{2} \sum_{k=1}^8 \underline{G}^k \mathbf{i} \Gamma_k. \quad (3.30)$$

The gauge group is obtained by exponentiation. We use four numbers  $a^\mu$  and eight numbers  $b_k$ . We let

$$S = S_0 + S_1 + S_2 \quad (3.31)$$

$$S_0 = a^0 \underline{P}_0 ; S_1 = \sum_{j=1}^3 a^j \underline{P}_j ; S_2 = \sum_{k=1}^8 b^k \mathbf{i} \Gamma_k. \quad (3.32)$$

We get

$$\begin{aligned}\exp(S) &= \exp(S_0) \exp(S_1) \exp(S_2) \\ &= \exp(S_0) \exp(S_2) \exp(S_1) \\ &= \exp(S_2) \exp(S_1) \exp(S_0) = \dots\end{aligned}\quad (3.33)$$

in any order, because:

$$\underline{P}_0 \underline{P}_j = \underline{P}_j \underline{P}_0, \quad j = 1, 2, 3 \quad (3.34)$$

$$\underline{P}_\mu \mathbf{i} \Gamma_k = \mathbf{i} \Gamma_k \underline{P}_\mu, \quad \mu = 0, 1, 2, 3, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, 8 \quad (3.35)$$

Therefore the set

$$G = \{\exp(S)\} \quad (3.36)$$

is a  $U(1) \times SU(2) \times SU(3)$  Lie group. The gauge transformation reads

$$\Psi' = [\exp(S)](\Psi); \quad (3.37)$$

$$\underline{D} = L^\mu \underline{D}_\mu ; \underline{D}' = L^\mu \underline{D}'_\mu$$

$$\underline{D}' \Psi' = [\exp(S)] \underline{D}(\Psi) \quad (3.38)$$

$$B'_\mu = B_\mu - \frac{2}{g_1} \partial_\mu a^0 \quad (3.39)$$

$$W_\mu'^j \underline{P}_j = [\exp(S_1) W_\mu^j \underline{P}_j - \frac{2}{g_2} \partial_\mu [\exp(S_1)]] \exp(-S_1) \quad (3.40)$$

$$G_\mu'^k \mathbf{i} \Gamma_k = [\exp(S_2) G_\mu^k \mathbf{i} \Gamma_k - \frac{2}{g_3} \partial_\mu [\exp(S_2)]] \exp(-S_2) \quad (3.41)$$

The  $SU(3)$  group of  $\exp(S_2)$  operators, generated by projectors on the quark sector, acts only on this sector of the wave:

$$P^+ [\exp(S_2)](\Psi) P^+ = P^+ \Psi P^+ = \begin{pmatrix} \Psi_l & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.42)$$

We then get the gauge group of the standard model, automatically, and not another group. It is impossible to get operators exchanging  $\Psi_l$  and  $\Psi_c, c = r, g, b$  like  $\Gamma_1$  exchanging  $\Psi_r$  and  $\Psi_g$  because  $\Psi_l(x)$  is 12-dimensional on  $\mathbb{R}$  while  $\Psi_r(x)$  is 8-dimensional on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Then we cannot get a greater group than the preceding  $U(1) \times SU(2) \times SU(3)$  gauge group. This limitation is linked to the geometric structure of the wave. The physical consequence is the experimental fact that the proton cannot disintegrate. This is said in the language of quantum field theory by saying that the baryonic quantum number is conservative.

We have still supposed nothing on  $\phi_{ur}, \phi_{ug}, \phi_{ub}, \phi_{dr}, \phi_{dg}, \phi_{db}$ . But the standard model uses only left waves for the particles in the case of electro-weak interactions (and right waves for the antiparticles). Why ? I think possible to give a mathematical reason to this physical hypothesis. If  $u$  and  $d$  quarks have only left wave, this means  $\eta$  waves, we have:

$$\Psi_l = \sqrt{2} \begin{pmatrix} \xi_1 & -\eta_2^* & 0 & -\eta_{2n}^* \\ \xi_2^* & \eta_1^* & 0 & \eta_{1n}^* \\ \eta_{1n} & 0 & \eta_1 & -\xi_2^* \\ \eta_{2n} & 0 & \eta_2 & \xi_1^* \end{pmatrix}; \Psi_r = \sqrt{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\eta_{2dr}^* & 0 & -\eta_{2ur}^* \\ 0 & \eta_{1dr}^* & 0 & \eta_{1ur}^* \\ \eta_{1ur} & 0 & \eta_{1dr} & 0 \\ \eta_{2ur} & 0 & \eta_{2dr} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.43)$$

and two similar equalities for colors g and b. Now we define two matrices  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  :

$$M_1 = \sqrt{2} \begin{pmatrix} \eta_1 & \eta_{1n} & \eta_{1dr} & \eta_{1ur} \\ \eta_2 & \eta_{2n} & \eta_{2dr} & \eta_{2ur} \\ \eta_{1dg} & \eta_{1ug} & \eta_{1db} & \eta_{1ub} \\ \eta_{2dg} & \eta_{2ug} & \eta_{2db} & \eta_{2ub} \end{pmatrix}; M_2 = \sqrt{2} \begin{pmatrix} \eta_1 & -\xi_2^* & \eta_{1dr} & \eta_{1ur} \\ \eta_2 & \xi_1^* & \eta_{2dr} & \eta_{2ur} \\ \eta_{1dg} & 0 & \eta_{1db} & \eta_{1ub} \\ \eta_{2dg} & 0 & \eta_{2db} & \eta_{2ub} \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.44)$$

and we got the remarkable identity [6]

$$\det(\Psi) = |\det(M_1)|^2 + |\det(M_2)|^2. \quad (3.45)$$

Usually  $\det(\Psi) \neq 0$  therefore  $\Psi(x)$  is invertible. We can see the wave  $\Psi$ , which implies by its structure itself the gauge group of the standard model, as having the maximum number (36) of degrees of freedom compatible with the existence of an inverse wave. And we need the existence of the inverse to allow the construction of the wave of systems of fermions (See [12] and [9] 4.4.1).

To add two quarks with three colors each in the second and in the third generation we need

$$P_0^l(\Psi_r) = -\frac{1}{3} \Psi_r \gamma_{32} + P_-^l(\Psi_r) \mathbf{i} \quad (3.46)$$

and two similar definitions for colors g and b. Now since the  $\Gamma_k$  generators of the  $SU(3)$  group of chromodynamics are unchanged by the circular permutation  $p$  used to pass from one generation to another, strong interactions are unperturbed by the change of generation. This allows physical quarks composing particles to mix the generations. For instance the physical quark  $\mathbf{d}$  present in protons and neutrons is thought as a mixing of the d of the first generation and the s that is the equivalent of d in the second generation. Even if the wave of an antiquark is linked to the wave of the corresponding quark, the mixing of waves of different generations, and the difference between what we call "left" and "right" part in each generation, induce the wave of physical quarks to have both a left and a right wave.

The link between the reverse in  $Cl_{1,5}$  and the reverse in  $Cl_{1,3}$  is not trivial and is similar to ([6] A.2). This link reads

$$\tilde{\Psi} = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\Psi}_b & \tilde{\Psi}_r \\ \tilde{\Psi}_g & \tilde{\Psi}_l \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.47)$$

This link does not exist in  $Cl_{2,3} = M_4(\mathbb{C})$  nor in  $Cl_{3,4} = M_8(\mathbb{C})$ . We can see the link as a consequence both of the one-dimensionality of the time and of (2.1) that reduces the dimension of the Clifford algebra. It has then also a geometric meaning. If this link (2.1) between the wave of the particle and the wave of the antiparticle is not used we need one dimension more, we loose the link between the different kinds of reverse, then we loose the geometric transformation linked to the wave. We have then good reasons to think that  $Cl_{1,5}$  is the true

frame necessary and sufficient to get the gauge group required by experimental results. And this is so more interesting than we can consider the space-time manifold with signature + - - - as embedded in a space-time manifold with signature + - - - -. The wave equation for all objects of the first generation reads [13]

$$0 = (\underline{D}\Psi)L_{012} + \underline{\mathbf{M}}; \underline{\mathbf{M}} = \begin{pmatrix} m_2 \rho_2 \chi_b & m_2 \rho_2 \chi_g \\ m_2 \rho_2 \chi_r & m_1 \rho \chi_l \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.48)$$

where we use the scalar densities  $s_j$  and  $\chi$  terms satisfying

$$\rho_1^2 = \rho^2 = a_1 a_1^* + a_2 a_2^* + a_3 a_3^*; \quad \rho_2^2 = \sum_{j=1}^{j=15} s_j s_j^* \quad (3.49)$$

$$\begin{aligned} s_1 &= 2(\eta_{2ur}^* \eta_{1ug}^* - \eta_{1ur}^* \eta_{2ug}^*); \quad s_2 = 2(\eta_{2ug}^* \eta_{1ub}^* - \eta_{1ug}^* \eta_{2ub}^*) \\ s_3 &= 2(\eta_{2ub}^* \eta_{1ur}^* - \eta_{1ub}^* \eta_{2ur}^*); \quad s_4 = 2(\eta_{2dr}^* \eta_{1dg}^* - \eta_{1dr}^* \eta_{2dg}^*) \\ s_5 &= 2(\eta_{2dg}^* \eta_{1db}^* - \eta_{1dg}^* \eta_{2db}^*); \quad s_6 = 2(\eta_{2db}^* \eta_{1dr}^* - \eta_{1db}^* \eta_{2dr}^*) \\ s_7 &= 2(\eta_{2ur}^* \eta_{1dr}^* - \eta_{1ur}^* \eta_{2dr}^*); \quad s_8 = 2(\eta_{2ug}^* \eta_{1dg}^* - \eta_{1ug}^* \eta_{2dg}^*) \\ s_9 &= 2(\eta_{2ub}^* \eta_{1db}^* - \eta_{1ub}^* \eta_{2db}^*); \quad s_{10} = 2(\eta_{2ur}^* \eta_{1dg}^* - \eta_{1ur}^* \eta_{2dg}^*) \\ s_{11} &= 2(\eta_{2ug}^* \eta_{1db}^* - \eta_{1ug}^* \eta_{2db}^*); \quad s_{12} = 2(\eta_{2ub}^* \eta_{1dr}^* - \eta_{1ub}^* \eta_{2dr}^*) \\ s_{13} &= 2(\eta_{2ur}^* \eta_{1db}^* - \eta_{1ur}^* \eta_{2db}^*); \quad s_{14} = 2(\eta_{2ug}^* \eta_{1dr}^* - \eta_{1ug}^* \eta_{2dr}^*) \\ s_{15} &= 2(\eta_{2ub}^* \eta_{1dg}^* - \eta_{1ub}^* \eta_{2dg}^*). \end{aligned} \quad (3.50)$$

We use

$$\rho_2^2 \chi_r = \begin{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} s_4^* \hat{\phi}_{dg} - s_6^* \hat{\phi}_{db} - s_7^* \hat{\phi}_{ur} \\ -s_{12}^* \hat{\phi}_{ub} - s_{14}^* \hat{\phi}_{ug} \end{pmatrix} \sigma_1 & \begin{pmatrix} s_1^* \varphi_{ug} - s_3^* \hat{\phi}_{ub} + s_7^* \hat{\phi}_{dr} \\ +s_{10}^* \hat{\phi}_{dg} + s_{13}^* \hat{\phi}_{db} \end{pmatrix} \sigma_1 \\ \begin{pmatrix} -s_1 \hat{\phi}_{ug} + s_3 \hat{\phi}_{ub} - s_7 \hat{\phi}_{dr} \\ -s_{10} \hat{\phi}_{dg} - s_{13} \hat{\phi}_{db} \end{pmatrix} \sigma_1 & \begin{pmatrix} -s_4 \hat{\phi}_{dg} + s_6 \hat{\phi}_{db} + s_7 \hat{\phi}_{ur} \\ +s_{12} \hat{\phi}_{ub} + s_{14} \hat{\phi}_{ug} \end{pmatrix} \sigma_1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.51)$$

$$\rho_2^2 \chi_g = \begin{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} s_5^* \hat{\phi}_{db} - s_4^* \hat{\phi}_{dr} - s_8^* \hat{\phi}_{ug} \\ -s_{10}^* \hat{\phi}_{ur} - s_{15}^* \hat{\phi}_{ub} \end{pmatrix} \sigma_1 & \begin{pmatrix} s_2^* \hat{\phi}_{ub} - s_1^* \hat{\phi}_{ur} + s_8^* \hat{\phi}_{dg} \\ +s_{11}^* \hat{\phi}_{db} + s_{14}^* \hat{\phi}_{dr} \end{pmatrix} \sigma_1 \\ \begin{pmatrix} -s_2 \hat{\phi}_{ub} + s_1 \hat{\phi}_{ur} - s_8 \hat{\phi}_{dg} \\ -s_{11} \hat{\phi}_{db} - s_{14} \hat{\phi}_{dr} \end{pmatrix} \sigma_1 & \begin{pmatrix} -s_5 \hat{\phi}_{db} + s_4 \hat{\phi}_{dr} + s_8 \hat{\phi}_{ug} \\ +s_{10} \hat{\phi}_{ur} + s_{15} \hat{\phi}_{ub} \end{pmatrix} \sigma_1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.52)$$

$$\rho_2^2 \chi_b = \begin{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} s_6^* \hat{\phi}_{dr} - s_5^* \hat{\phi}_{dg} - s_9^* \hat{\phi}_{ub} \\ -s_{11}^* \hat{\phi}_{ug} - s_{13}^* \hat{\phi}_{ur} \end{pmatrix} \sigma_1 & \begin{pmatrix} s_3^* \hat{\phi}_{ur} - s_2^* \hat{\phi}_{ug} + s_9^* \hat{\phi}_{db} \\ +s_{12}^* \hat{\phi}_{dr} + s_{15}^* \hat{\phi}_{dg} \end{pmatrix} \sigma_1 \\ \begin{pmatrix} -s_3 \hat{\phi}_{ur} + s_2 \hat{\phi}_{ug} - s_9 \hat{\phi}_{db} \\ -s_{12} \hat{\phi}_{dr} - s_{15} \hat{\phi}_{dg} \end{pmatrix} \sigma_1 & \begin{pmatrix} -s_6 \hat{\phi}_{dr} + s_5 \hat{\phi}_{dg} + s_9 \hat{\phi}_{ub} \\ +s_{11} \hat{\phi}_{ug} + s_{13} \hat{\phi}_{ur} \end{pmatrix} \sigma_1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.53)$$

The wave Equation (3.48) is gauge invariant under the gauge transformation (3.37) to (3.41) [13]. It is form invariant under the transformation  $R$  in (1.6) because with

$$\underline{N} = \begin{pmatrix} N & 0 \\ 0 & N \end{pmatrix}; \quad \Psi' = \underline{N}\Psi \quad (3.54)$$

we get [13]

$$0 = (\underline{D}\Psi)L_{012} + \underline{\mathbf{M}} = \tilde{N}[(\underline{D}'\Psi')L_{012} + \underline{\mathbf{M}}'] \quad (3.55)$$

From (3.54) which is equivalent to

$$\Psi'_c = N\Psi_c, \quad c = l, r, g, b \quad (3.56)$$

and from the link  $\hat{\phi}'_z = \hat{\phi}_z \sigma_1$  between the wave of the particle and the wave of the antiparticle (3.54) is equivalent to

$$\phi'_z = M\phi_z; \quad \hat{\phi}'_z = \hat{M}\hat{\phi}_z \quad (3.57)$$

for any index  $z$ . It happens that  $Cl_{1,5} = Cl_{5,1}$  because the  $n$ -vectors of  $Cl_{1,5}$  are the  $(6-n)$ -vectors of  $Cl_{5,1}$ . The natural generalization of  $\mathbf{x}' = N\mathbf{x}\tilde{N}$  uses vectors and pseudovectors of  $Cl_{1,5}$ . Noting with a 5 index all terms that are components of 5-vectors we let

$$\underline{x} = x^n L_n + x_5^n L_n L_{012345}; \quad \mathbf{x} = x^\mu \gamma_\mu; \quad \mathbf{x}_5 = x_5^\mu \gamma_\mu \quad (3.58)$$

$$\underline{x}' = x'^n L_n + x_5'^n L_n L_{012345}; \quad \mathbf{x}' = x'^\mu \gamma_\mu; \quad \mathbf{x}'_5 = x_5'^\mu \gamma_\mu \quad (3.59)$$

$$\underline{x}' = N \underline{x} \tilde{N} \quad (3.60)$$

And since we can separate the different multivector parts, (3.60) is equivalent to the system:

$$x' = Nx\tilde{N} \quad (3.61)$$

$$x_5' = Nx_5\tilde{N} \quad (3.62)$$

$$x'^4 + ix_5'^5 = N(x^4 + ix_5^5)\tilde{N} \quad (3.63)$$

$$x_5'^4 + ix'^5 = N(x_5^4 + ix^5)\tilde{N}. \quad (3.64)$$

With (1.7) (3.63) and (3.64) read

$$x'^4 + ix_5'^5 = re^{i\theta}(x^4 + ix_5^5) \quad (3.65)$$

$$x_5'^4 + ix'^5 = re^{i\theta}(x_5^4 + ix^5) \quad (3.66)$$

This separation between the different components of the global space-time explains why we usually see only the real components of the 4-dimensional space-time vector  $x$ . Only the usual space-time has real components. Relations (3.65) and (3.66) indicates both that these two supplementary real dimensions give one complex dimension and that they separate completely the usual space-time in the global space-time. A space-time with one or two supplementary conditions has been used early [3]. The problem was always to explain why classical physics do not see these supplementary dimensions. Here this problem is automatically solved by the difference coming from the invariance group of physical laws.

The link (3.49) between the reversion into  $Cl_{1,3}$  and the reversion into  $Cl_{1,5}$  allows to extend the transformation linked to the wave. We let

$$\underline{x} = x^n L_n + x_5^n L_n L_{012345}; \quad \underline{y} = y^n L_n + y_5^n L_n L_{012345} \quad (3.67)$$

$$\langle M \rangle_v = \langle M \rangle_1 + \langle M \rangle_5 \quad (3.68)$$

$$\underline{x} = \langle \Psi y \tilde{\Psi} \rangle_v \quad (3.69)$$

The transformation linked to the wave reads

$$f : y_v \mapsto x_v = 2 \langle \Psi y_v \tilde{\Psi} \rangle_v \quad (3.70)$$

$$\begin{aligned} f : y_v \mapsto x'_v &= 2 \langle \Psi' y_v \tilde{\Psi}' \rangle_v = 2 \langle N \Psi y_v \tilde{\Psi} \tilde{N} \rangle_v \\ &= 2N \langle \Psi y_v \tilde{\Psi} \rangle_v \tilde{N} = \underline{N} x_v \underline{\tilde{N}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.71)$$

Similarly to what we said from (1.26),  $y_v$  is independent on the observer, intrinsic to the wave. Contrarily to the dilation  $R$  where the real space-time is well separated with (3.61), the transformation (3.70) is a transformation from the subspace of the  $\langle M \rangle_v$  into the same subspace of the relative manifold. We get from (3.1),

(3.47) and (3.70)

$$x_v = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x_2 \\ x_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}; y_v = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & y_2 \\ y_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.72)$$

$$x_1 = 2(\Psi_b y_1 \tilde{\Psi}_b + \Psi_g y_2 \tilde{\Psi}_g) \quad (3.73)$$

$$x_2 = 2(\Psi_r y_1 \tilde{\Psi}_r + \Psi_l y_2 \tilde{\Psi}_l) \quad (3.74)$$

Next we let

$$x_1 = \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{x}_5 + x^4 + x_5^4 + (x^5 + x_5^5)\mathbf{i} \quad (3.75)$$

$$x_2 = \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_5 - x^4 + x_5^4 + (x^5 - x_5^5)\mathbf{i} \quad (3.76)$$

$$y_1 = \mathbf{y} + \mathbf{y}_5 + y^4 + y_5^4 + (y^5 + y_5^5)\mathbf{i} \quad (3.77)$$

$$y_2 = \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{y}_5 - y^4 + y_5^4 + (y^5 - y_5^5)\mathbf{i} \quad (3.78)$$

and we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x} = & \phi_e(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{y}_5)\phi_e^\dagger + \phi_n(\hat{\mathbf{y}} - \hat{\mathbf{y}}_5)\phi_n^\dagger + 2\Re\{\phi_e\phi_n^\dagger[-y^4 + y_5^4 + (y^5 - y_5^5)i]\} \\ & + \phi_{dr}(\mathbf{y} + \mathbf{y}_5)\phi_{dr}^\dagger + \phi_{ur}(\hat{\mathbf{y}} + \hat{\mathbf{y}}_5)\phi_{ur}^\dagger + 2\Re\{\phi_{dr}\phi_{ur}^\dagger[y^4 + y_5^4 + (y^5 + y_5^5)i]\} \\ & + \phi_{dg}(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{y}_5)\phi_{dg}^\dagger + \phi_{ug}(\hat{\mathbf{y}} - \hat{\mathbf{y}}_5)\phi_{ug}^\dagger + 2\Re\{\phi_{dg}\phi_{ug}^\dagger[-y^4 + y_5^4 + (y^5 - y_5^5)i]\} \\ & + \phi_{db}(\mathbf{y} + \mathbf{y}_5)\phi_{db}^\dagger + \phi_{ub}(\hat{\mathbf{y}} + \hat{\mathbf{y}}_5)\phi_{ub}^\dagger + 2\Re\{\phi_{db}\phi_{ub}^\dagger[y^4 + y_5^4 + (y^5 + y_5^5)i]\}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.79)$$

We consider now the geometric transformation  $\mathbf{p}: \underline{y} \mapsto \mathbf{x}$ . It is much more complicated than (2.30), because it is not possible to separate 1-vector and 5-vector. This comes from the identity between  $Cl_{1,5}$  and  $Cl_{5,1}$ . The  $\mathbf{p}$  transformation applies the linear space  $\mathbb{R}_{1,5}$  onto  $\mathbb{R}_{1,3}$ .

#### 4. Concluding Remarks

A quantum wave equation generalizing the Dirac wave equation has been proposed to explain the experimental results of the standard model of quantum physics. It does not require the second quantification nor the very tedious calculations brought by its method of approximation. The wave is a true function of space and time with value into well-defined Clifford algebras. It will then be easier to bring together this frame and the solid similar frame of general relativity.

It is very important to notice that these two frames use a same time. This time is our oriented time and the invariance group of the wave conserves this orientation. When the variational calculus is used to go from a Lagrangian density to the corresponding wave equations an integration by parts is necessary, and the assumption that it is possible to cancel solutions at infinity. This hypothesis seems physically equivalent to suppress the propagation. The result is that quantum fields theory is fully satisfactory for stationary states but is structurally unable to account for any irreversible process. Our study explains why Lagrangian densities take place everywhere in quantum physics: they are only the scalar part of the invariant wave equations of fermions. They are not at all fundamental, they are only a mathematical tool.

Fermions are useful and fundamental, but our Universe has not only fermions but also boson waves. We suspect that the boson part of our universe, that contains the massless photon, does not come from a Lagrangian density. For instance the theory of the photon made by L. de Broglie [14] [15] gives not one, but two Lagrangian densities. This is normal since his photon comes from two Dirac waves, and each one brings its own Lagrangian density.

Another important point is emphasized by T. Socroun [16]: in order to get the unification between gravitation and electromagnetism, it is necessary to incorporate charges into potentials terms. This is equivalent, in fact, to the prescription made by Einstein itself that all laws of physics are covariant. With  $Cl_3^*$  as fundamental group of invariance the difference between contravariant and covariant terms is a physical one: a contravariant vector

in space-time transforms as  $x$  in (1.6) while a covariant vector transforms as  $\nabla$  in (1.11). These two transformations are not equivalent as soon as  $\det(M) \neq 1$ . It is easy to see that the incorporation of the charges  $g_1, g_2, g_3$  into the potentials can be made inside each of our preceding equations. The electromagnetic potential gives instead (1.2), (1.3) and (1.15)

$$\nabla \hat{\phi} \sigma_{21} + \mathbf{a} \hat{\phi} + m \phi = 0; \mathbf{a} = qA; \bar{\phi} \mathbf{a} \hat{\phi} = \bar{\phi}' \mathbf{a}' \hat{\phi}' \quad (4.1)$$

So the three charges disappear in the calculations. For electro-weak interactions and strong interactions we let

$$\underline{b} = \frac{g_1}{2} \underline{B}; \underline{w}^j = \frac{g_2}{2} \underline{W}^j; \underline{g}^k = \frac{g_3}{2} \underline{G}^k. \quad (4.2)$$

Then the covariant derivative (3.4) is simply

$$\underline{D} = \underline{\partial} + \underline{b} \underline{P}_0 + \underline{w}^j \underline{P}_j + \underline{g}^k \underline{\Gamma}_k. \quad (4.3)$$

Why this has never been made? The reason is probably that theories of great unification consider the charges as slowly variable with the scale of energy and hope that when these charges become equal the structure of the gauge group is enlarged. This is strictly impossible when charges are integrated into potential terms. But this is a new scope as soon as we have linked the generators of the gauge group to the structure of the wave in (2.37) to (2.40), (3.10) to (3.13), (3.23) to (3.28). Since all attempts made to get the unification have failed, the incorporation of charges into potentials is a cornerstone for the ToE. To get the ToE perhaps will it be sufficient to link the Lagrangian density of the general relativity to the Lagrangian density coming from the standard model? (D. Girardot, private discussion) This density is the real scalar part of the invariant wave Equation (3.48)

$$\mathcal{L} = \langle (\underline{D}\Psi) L_{012} + \underline{\mathbf{M}} \rangle \quad (4.4)$$

This density is easily generalized to three generations of fundamental fermions:

$$\mathcal{L} = \langle (\underline{D}_3 \Psi_3) L_{012} + \underline{\mathbf{M}}_3 \rangle + \langle (\underline{D}_1 \Psi_1) L_{023} + \underline{\mathbf{M}}_1 \rangle + \langle (\underline{D}_2 \Psi_2) L_{031} + \underline{\mathbf{M}}_2 \rangle \quad (4.5)$$

It is indeed very sophisticated but on the mathematical point of view it is not so different from the Lagrangian density of general relativity, they are both real functions of space and time.

Another cornerstone of a future ToE is the fact that both theories use a covariant derivative. We must understand how these two covariant derivative coexists. A simple hypothesis coming from the double manifold encountered in (1.24) is that each one can be the covariant derivative on one of the two manifolds. Is one of these two manifolds simply embedded into the other?

## References

- [1] Einstein, A. (1912) Lichtgeschwindigkeit und Statik des Gravitationsfeldes. *Annalen der Physik (ser. 4)*, **38**, 355-369.
- [2] Einstein, A. (1912) Theorie des statischen gravitationsfeldes. *Annalen der Physik (ser. 4)*, **38**, 443-458.
- [3] Tonnelat, M.A. (1965) *Les théories unitaires de l'électromagnétisme et de la gravitation*. Gauthier-Villars, Paris.
- [4] Weinberg, S. (1967) A Model of Leptons. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **19**, 1264-1266.  
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.19.1264>
- [5] Matveev, V.A. and Tavkhelidze, A.N. (2006) The Quantum Number Color, Colored Quarks and QCD. In: RAS INR, Ed., *99th Session of the JINR Scientific Council*, Dubna, Moscow.
- [6] Daviau, C. and Bertrand, J. (2014) New Insights in the Standard Model of Quantum Physics in Clifford Algebra. Je Publie, Pouillé-les-coteaux. <http://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-00907848>
- [7] Daviau, C. (2011) *L'espace-temps Double*. JePublie, Pouillé-les-coteaux.
- [8] Daviau, C. (2012) *Double Space-Time and More*. JePublie, Pouillé-les-coteaux.
- [9] Daviau, C. (2013) Invariant Quantum Wave Equations and Double Space-Time. *Adv. in Imaging and Electron Physics*, 179, Chapter 1, 1-137.
- [10] Daviau, C. and Bertrand, J. (2014) Relativistic Gauge Invariant Wave Equation of the Electron-Neutrino. *Journal of Modern Physics*, **5**, 1001-1022. <http://dx.doi.org/10.4236/jmp.2014.511102>
- [11] Daviau, C. and Bertrand, J. (2013) A Lepton Dirac Equation with Additional Mass Term and a Wave Equation for a Fourth Neutrino. *Ann. Fond. Louis de Broglie*, **38**.

- [12] Daviau, C. (2012)  $Cl_3^+$  Invariance of the Dirac Equation and of Electromagnetism. *Adv. Appl. Clifford Algebras*, **22**, 611-623. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00006-012-0351-7>
- [13] Daviau, C. and Bertrand, J. (2014) A Wave Equation Including Leptons and Quarks for the Standard Model of Quantum Physics in Clifford Algebra. <http://dx.doi.org/10.4236/jmp.2014.518210>
- [14] de Broglie, L. (1940) La mécanique du photon, Une nouvelle théorie de la lumière: Tome 1 La lumière dans le vide. Hermann, Paris.
- [15] de Broglie, L. (1942) tome 2 Les interactions entre les photons et la matière. Hermann, Paris.
- [16] Socroun, T. (2014) Clifford to Unify General Relativity and Electromagnetism. *ICCA10*, Tartu (Estonia), Submitted.