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#### Abstract

This paper presents a method to deal with an extension of regional gradient observability developed for parabolic system $[1,2]$ to hyperbolic one. This concerns the reconstruction of the state gradient only on a subregion of the system domain. Then necessary conditions for sensors structure are established in order to obtain regional gradient observability. An approach is developed which allows the reconstruction of the system state gradient on a given subregion. The obtained results are illustrated by numerical examples and simulations.
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## 1. Introduction

For a distributed parameter system evolving on a spatial domain $\Omega \subset I R^{n}$, the notion of regional observability concerns the reconstruction of the initial state on a subregion $\omega$ of $\Omega$. Characterization results and approaches for the reconstruction of regional state are given in [3,4]. Similar results were developed for the state gradient of parabolic systems in [2]. This led to the so-called regional gradient observability and concerns the possibility to reconstruct the gradient on a subregion $\omega$ without the knowledge of the system state. The study of gradient observability is motivated by real applications, the case of insulation problems, also there exist systems for which the state is not observable but the state gradient is observable, example is given in [1].

In this paper we present an extension of the above results on regional gradient observability to hyperbolic systems evolving on a spatial domain $\Omega$. That is to say one may be concerned with the observability of the state gradient only in a critical subregion $\omega$ of $\Omega$. More precisely let ( S ) be a linear hyperbolic system with suitable state space and suppose that the initial state $\bar{y}^{0}$ and its gradient $\bar{\nabla} \bar{y}^{0}$ are unknown and that measurements are given by means of output functions (depending on the number and structure of the sensors). The problem concerns the reconstruction of the state gradient on the subregion $\omega$ of the system domain $\Omega$ without taking into account the residuel part on $\Omega \backslash \omega$.

Here, we consider the problem of regional gradient
observability of hyperbolic systems and we establish condition that allows the reconstruction of the initial gradient on such a subregion. And the paper is organized as follows.

The second section is devoted to definitions and characterizations of this notion for hyperbolic systems. In the third section we establish a relation between regional gradient observability and sensors structure. The fourth section is focused on regional reconstruction of the initial gradient. In the last section we give a numerical approach, extending the Hilbert Uniqueness Method developed by J.L. Lions [5], and illustrations with efficient simulations.

## 2. Regional Gradient Observability

Let $\Omega$ be an open bounded subset of $I R^{n}$ with a regular boundary $\partial \Omega$. Fix $T>0$ and let denote by $Q=\Omega \times] 0, T\left[\right.$ and $\left.\sum=\partial \Omega \times\right] 0, T[$.

Consider the system described by the hyperbolic equation

$$
\begin{cases}\frac{\partial^{2} y(x, t)}{\partial t^{2}}=A y(x, t) & Q  \tag{1}\\ y(x, 0)=y^{0}, \frac{\partial y(x, 0)}{\partial t}=y^{1} & \Omega \\ y(\zeta, t)=0 & \sum\end{cases}
$$

where $A$ is the second order elliptic linear operator with regular coefficients.

Equation (1) has a unique solution

$$
\begin{equation*}
y \in C\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right) \cap C^{1}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose that measurements on system (1) are given by an output function:

$$
\begin{equation*}
z(t)=C y(t) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C: H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \rightarrow I R^{q}$ is a linear operator depending on the structure of $q$ sensors.
Let us recall that a sensor is defined by a couple ( $D, f$ ), where $D \subset \Omega$ is the location of the sensor and $f \in L^{2}(D)$ is the spatial distribution of measurements on $D$. In the case of a pointwise sensor, $D=b \in \Omega$ and $f=\delta_{b}$ is the Dirac mass concentrated in $b$ see [7].

Let $\bar{y}=(y, \partial y / \partial t)$ and $\bar{C} \bar{y}=(C y, 0)$ then the system (1) may be written in the form

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{\partial \bar{y}}{\partial t}=\bar{A} & \text { in } \Omega  \tag{3}\\
\bar{y}^{0}=\left(y^{0}, y^{1}\right) & \text { in } \Omega
\end{array}\right.
$$

with $\bar{A}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}0 & I \\ A & 0\end{array}\right)$.
$\bar{A}$ has a compact resolvent and generates a strongly continuous semi-group $(S(t))_{t \geq 0}$ on a subspace of the Hilbert state space $L^{2}(\Omega) \times L^{2}(\Omega)$ given by

$$
S(t)\binom{y_{1}}{y_{2}}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\sum_{m \geq 1} \sum_{j=1}^{r_{m}}\left(\left\langle y_{1}, w_{m j}\right\rangle \cos \sqrt{-\lambda_{m}} t\right. \\
\left.+\frac{1}{\sqrt{-\lambda_{m}}}\left\langle y_{2}, w_{m j}\right\rangle \sin \sqrt{-\lambda_{m}} t\right) w_{m j} \\
\sum_{m \geq 1} \sum_{j=1}^{r_{m}}\left(-\sqrt{-\lambda_{m}}\left\langle y_{1}, w_{m j}\right\rangle \sin \sqrt{-\lambda_{m}} t\right. \\
\left.+\left\langle y_{2}, w_{m j}\right\rangle \cos \sqrt{-\lambda_{m}} t\right) w_{m j}
\end{array}\right)
$$

$\left(w_{m j}\right)$ is a basis in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ of eigenfunctions of $A$, orthonormal in $L^{2}(\Omega)$ and $\lambda_{m}<0$ the associated eigenvalues with multiplicity $r_{m}$. Then (3) admits a unique solution $\bar{y}=S(t) \bar{y}^{0}$.
Let us define the observability operator

$$
\begin{aligned}
K: H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \times H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) & \rightarrow L^{2}\left(0, T ; I R^{q}\right) \\
h & \rightarrow \bar{C} S(.) h
\end{aligned}
$$

which is linear and bounded with its adjoint denoted by $K^{*}$ and let $\bar{\nabla}$ be the operator

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{\nabla}: H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \times H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \rightarrow\left(L^{2}(\Omega)\right)^{n} \times\left(L^{2}(\Omega)\right)^{n} \\
& \left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right) \rightarrow \bar{\nabla}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)=\left(\nabla y_{1}, \nabla y_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nabla: H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) & \rightarrow\left(L^{2}(\Omega)\right)^{n} \\
y & \rightarrow \nabla y=\left(\frac{\partial y}{\partial x_{1}}, \frac{\partial y}{\partial x_{2}}, \ldots, \frac{\partial y}{\partial x_{n}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

while their adjoints are denoted by $\bar{\nabla}^{*}$ and $\nabla^{*}$ respectively.

### 2.1. Definition 2.1

The system (1) together with the output (2) is said to be exactly (resp. approximately) gradient observable if

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Im}\left(\bar{\nabla} K^{*}\right)=\left(L^{2}(\Omega)\right)^{n} \times\left(L^{2}(\Omega)\right)^{n}, \\
& \left(\text { resp. } \operatorname{Ker}\left(K \bar{\nabla}^{*}\right)=\{0\}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Such a system will be said exactly (resp. approximately) G-observable.

For a positive Lebesgue measure subset $\omega$ of $\Omega$, we also consider the operators

$$
\begin{gathered}
\bar{\chi}_{\omega}:\left(L^{2}(\Omega)\right)^{n} \times\left(L^{2}(\Omega)\right)^{n} \rightarrow\left(L^{2}(\omega)\right)^{n} \times\left(L^{2}(\omega)\right)^{n} \\
\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(\chi_{\omega} y_{1}, \chi_{\omega} y_{2}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
\chi_{\omega}:\left(L^{2}(\Omega)\right)^{n} \rightarrow\left(L^{2}(\omega)\right)^{n} \\
\left.y \rightarrow y\right|_{\omega}
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\begin{gathered}
\tilde{\chi}_{\omega}: L^{2}(\Omega) \rightarrow L^{2}(\omega) \\
\left.y \rightarrow y\right|_{\omega}
\end{gathered}
$$

while their adjoints, denoted by $\bar{\chi}_{\omega}^{*}, \chi_{\omega}^{*}$ and $\tilde{\chi}_{\omega}^{*}$ respectively and given by

$$
\begin{gathered}
\bar{\chi}_{\omega}^{*}:\left(L^{2}(\omega)\right)^{n} \times\left(L^{2}(\omega)\right)^{n} \rightarrow\left(L^{2}(\Omega)\right)^{n} \times\left(L^{2}(\Omega)\right)^{n} \\
\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(\chi_{\omega}^{*} y_{1}, \chi_{\omega}^{*} y_{2}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\chi_{\omega}^{*}:\left(L^{2}(\omega)\right)^{n} & \rightarrow\left(L^{2}(\Omega)\right)^{n} \\
y & \rightarrow \chi_{\omega}^{*} y= \begin{cases}y & \text { on } \omega \\
0 & \text { on } \Omega \backslash \omega\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\chi}_{\omega}^{*}: L^{2}(\omega) & \rightarrow L^{2}(\Omega) \\
y & \rightarrow \tilde{\chi}_{\omega}^{*} y= \begin{cases}y & \text { on } \omega \\
0 & \text { on } \Omega \backslash \omega\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

We finally introduce the operator

$$
\mathrm{H}=\bar{\chi}_{\omega} \bar{\nabla} K^{*}: L^{2}\left(0, T ; I R^{q}\right) \rightarrow\left(L^{2}(\omega)\right)^{n} \times\left(L^{2}(\omega)\right)^{n}
$$

### 2.2. Definition 2.2

1) The system (1) together with the output Equation (2) is said to be exactly regionally gradient observable or exactly G-observable on $\omega$ if

$$
\operatorname{Im}(\mathrm{H})=\left(L^{2}(\omega)\right)^{n} \times\left(L^{2}(\omega)\right)^{n}
$$

2) The system (1) together with the output equation (2) is said to be approximately regionally gradient observable or approximately G-observable on $\omega$ if $\operatorname{Ker}\left(\mathrm{H}^{*}\right)=\{0\}$.

The notion of regional G-observability on $\omega$ may be characterized by the following results.

### 2.3. Proposition 2.3

1) The system (1) together with the output Equation (2) is exactly G-observable on $\omega$ if and only if one of the following propositions is holds.
a) For all $z^{*} \in\left(L^{2}(\omega)\right)^{n} \times\left(L^{2}(\omega)\right)^{n}$, there exists $c>0$, such that

$$
\left\|z^{*}\right\|_{\left.\left(L^{2}(\omega)\right)\right)^{n} \times\left(L^{2}(\omega)\right)^{n}} \leq C\left\|K \bar{\nabla}^{*} \bar{\chi}_{\omega}^{*} z^{*}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; I R^{q}\right)}
$$

b) $\operatorname{Ker} \bar{\chi}_{\omega}+\operatorname{Im}\left(\bar{\nabla} K^{*}\right)=\left(L^{2}(\Omega)\right)^{n} \times\left(L^{2}(\Omega)\right)^{n}$
2) The system (1) together with the output Equation (2) is approximately G-observable on $\omega$ if and only if the operator $N_{\omega}=\mathrm{HH}^{*}$ is positive definite.

### 2.4. Proof

1) a) let us consider the operator $h=I d_{\left(L^{2}(\omega)\right)^{n} \times\left(L^{2}(\omega)\right)^{n}}$ and $g=\bar{\chi}_{\omega} \bar{\nabla} K$.

Since the system is exactly G-observable on $\omega$, we have $\operatorname{Im} h \subset \operatorname{Im} g$, and by the general result given in [8], this is equivalent to $\exists c>0$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \forall z^{*} \in\left(L^{2}(\omega)\right)^{n} \times\left(L^{2}(\omega)\right)^{n} \\
& \left\|h^{*} z^{*}\right\|_{\left(L^{2}(\omega)\right)^{n} \times\left(L^{2}(\omega)\right)^{n}} \leq c\left\|g^{*} z^{*}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; I R^{q}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

b) Let $y \in\left(L^{2}(\Omega)\right)^{n} \times\left(L^{2}(\Omega)\right)^{n}$, then

$$
\bar{\chi}_{\omega} y \in\left(L^{2}(\omega)\right)^{n} \times\left(L^{2}(\omega)\right)^{n}
$$

since the system (1) is exactly G-observable on $\omega$, there exists $z \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; I R^{q}\right)$ such that $\bar{\chi}_{\omega}\left(y-\bar{\nabla} K^{*} z\right)=0$. Let put $y=y_{1}+y_{2}$ where $y_{1}=y-\bar{\nabla} K^{*} z$ and $y_{2}=\bar{\nabla} K^{*} z$, then $y_{1} \in \operatorname{Ker} \bar{\chi}_{\omega}$ and $y_{2} \in \operatorname{Im}\left(\bar{\nabla} K^{*}\right)$.
Conversely, let $y \in\left(L^{2}(\omega)\right)^{n} \times\left(L^{2}(\omega)\right)^{n}$, then $\bar{\chi}_{\omega}^{*} y \in\left(L^{2}(\Omega)\right)^{n} \times\left(L^{2}(\Omega)\right)^{n}$, there exist $y_{1} \in \operatorname{Ker} \bar{\chi}_{\omega}$ and $y_{2} \in \operatorname{Im}\left(\bar{\nabla} K^{*}\right)$ such that $\bar{\chi}_{\omega}^{*} y=y_{1}+y_{2}$ and $\bar{\chi}_{\omega} \bar{\chi}_{\omega}^{*} y=\bar{\chi}_{\omega} y_{1}+\bar{\chi}_{\omega} y_{2}=\bar{\chi}_{\omega} y_{2}$.

Since $y_{2} \in \operatorname{Im}\left(\bar{\nabla} K^{*}\right)$, there exists $z \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; I R^{q}\right)$ such that $y_{2}=\bar{\nabla} K^{*} z$. Thus $\bar{\chi}_{\omega} \bar{\chi}_{\omega}^{*} y=\bar{\chi}_{\omega} \bar{\nabla} K^{*} z$, which gives $y=\bar{\chi}_{\omega} \bar{\nabla} K^{*} z \in \operatorname{ImH}$.
2) Let $z^{*} \in\left(L^{2}(\omega)\right)^{n} \times\left(L^{2}(\omega)\right)^{n}$ such that $\left\langle N_{\omega} Z^{*}, Z^{*}\right\rangle_{\left(L^{2}(\omega)\right)^{n} \times\left(L^{2}(\omega)\right)^{n}}=0$.

So $\left\langle\mathrm{H}^{*} \mathrm{z}^{*}, \mathrm{H}^{*} \mathrm{z}^{*}\right\rangle_{\mathrm{L}^{2}\left(0, T ; I R^{q}\right)}=0$ which means that
$z^{*} \in \operatorname{Ker} \mathrm{H}^{*}$ and since (1) is approximately G-observable then $z^{*}=0$, that is $N_{\omega}$ is positive definite.

Conversely, let $z^{*} \in\left(L^{2}(\omega)\right)^{n} \times\left(L^{2}(\omega)\right)^{n}$ such that $\mathrm{H}^{*} \mathrm{z}^{*}=0$, then $\left\langle N_{\omega} z^{*}, z^{*}\right\rangle_{\left(L^{2}(\omega)\right)^{n} \times\left(L^{2}(\omega)\right)^{n}}=0$, there for $z^{*}=0$, that is the system is approximately G-observable on $\omega$.

### 2.5. Remark 2.4

1) If a system is exactly (resp. approximately) G-observable on $\omega_{2}$, it is exactly (resp. approximately) G-observable on $\omega_{1} \subseteq \omega_{2}$.
2) There exist systems which are not G-observable on the whole domain but may be G-observable on some subregion.

### 2.6. Example 2.5

Let $\Omega=] 0,1[\times] 0,1[$, we consider the two-dimensional system described by the hyperbolic system

$$
\begin{cases}\frac{\partial^{2} y\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, t\right)}{\partial t^{2}}=\Delta y\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, t\right) & Q \\ y\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, 0\right)=y^{0}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) & \Omega \\ \frac{\partial y\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, 0\right)}{\partial t}=y^{1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) & \Omega \\ y(\zeta, \eta, t)=0 & \sum\end{cases}
$$

The operator $A=\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x_{1}{ }^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x_{2}{ }^{2}}$, which the eigenvalues are $\lambda_{i j}=-\left(i^{2}+j^{2}\right) \pi^{2}$ associated to the eigenfunctions $w_{i j}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=2 \sin \left(i \pi x_{1}\right) \sin \left(j \pi x_{2}\right)$.

Measurements are given by the output function

$$
z(t)=\int_{D} y\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, t\right) f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \mathrm{d} x_{1} \mathrm{~d} x_{2}
$$

where $\left.D=\left\{\frac{1}{2}\right\} \times\right] 0,1[$ is the sensor support and $f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\sin \left(\pi x_{2}\right)$ is the function measure.
Let the subregion $\omega=] 0,1[\times] \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{3}[$ and we consider the initial state

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y^{0}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\sin \left(\pi x_{1}\right) \sin \left(2 \pi x_{2}\right), \\
& y^{1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\cos \left(\pi x_{1}\right) \cos \left(3 \pi x_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Then the initial state gradient to be observed is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g^{0}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\binom{\pi \cos \left(\pi x_{1}\right) \sin \left(2 \pi x_{2}\right)}{2 \pi \sin \left(\pi x_{1}\right) \cos \left(2 \pi x_{2}\right)}^{t} \\
& g^{1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\binom{-\pi \sin \left(\pi x_{1}\right) \cos \left(3 \pi x_{2}\right)}{-3 \pi \cos \left(\pi x_{1}\right) \sin \left(3 \pi x_{2}\right)}^{t}
\end{aligned}
$$

We have the result.

### 2.7. Proposition 2.6

The gradient $g$ is not approximately G-observable on the whole domain $\Omega$, however it is approximately G-observable on the subregion $\omega$.

### 2.8. Proof

To prove that $g$ is not approximately G-observable on $\Omega$, we must show that $g \in \operatorname{Ker}\left(K \bar{\nabla}^{*}\right)$. We have

$$
\begin{array}{r}
K \bar{\nabla}^{*}\left(g^{0}, g^{1}\right)=\sum_{i j=1}^{+\infty}\left[\left\langle\nabla^{*} g^{0}, w_{i j}\right\rangle \cos \sqrt{-\lambda_{i j}} t\right. \\
\left.\quad+\frac{1}{\sqrt{-\lambda_{i j}}}\left\langle\nabla^{*} g^{1}, w_{i j}\right\rangle \sin \sqrt{-\lambda_{i j}} t\right]\left\langle w_{i j}, f\right\rangle
\end{array}
$$

Since

$$
\left\langle w_{i j}, f\right\rangle_{L^{2}(D)}= \begin{cases}0 & \text { for } j>1 \\ \sin \left(i \frac{\pi}{2}\right) & \text { for } j=1\end{cases}
$$

we have $\forall i \in I N^{*}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle\nabla^{*} g^{0}, w_{i 1}\right\rangle_{L^{2}(\Omega)}= & \int_{0}^{1} 2 i \pi^{2} \cos \left(\pi x_{1}\right) \cos \left(i \pi x_{1}\right) \mathrm{d} x_{1} \\
& \int_{0}^{1} \sin \left(2 \pi x_{2}\right) \sin \left(\pi x_{2}\right) \mathrm{d} x_{2} \\
& +4 \pi^{2} \int_{0}^{1} \sin \left(\pi x_{1}\right) \sin \left(i \pi x_{1}\right) \mathrm{d} x_{1} \\
& \int_{0}^{1} \cos \left(2 \pi x_{2}\right) \cos \left(\pi x_{2}\right) \mathrm{d} x_{2}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle\nabla^{*} g^{1}, w_{i 1}\right\rangle_{L^{2}(\Omega)}= & \int_{0}^{1}-2 i \pi^{2} \sin \left(\pi x_{1}\right) \cos \left(i \pi x_{1}\right) \mathrm{d} x_{1} \\
& \int_{0}^{1} \cos \left(3 \pi x_{2}\right) \sin \left(\pi x_{2}\right) \mathrm{d} x_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -6 \pi^{2} \int_{0}^{1} \cos \left(\pi x_{1}\right) \sin \left(i \pi x_{1}\right) \mathrm{d} x_{1} \\
& \int_{0}^{1} \sin \left(3 \pi x_{2}\right) \cos \left(\pi x_{2}\right) \mathrm{d} x_{2}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

This gives $K \bar{\nabla}^{*}\left(g^{0}, g^{1}\right)=0$, and then the system is not approximately G-observable once $\Omega$.

On the other hand $g$ may be approximately G-observable on $\omega$.

Indeed, suppose that $K \bar{\nabla}^{*} \bar{\chi}_{\omega}^{*} \bar{\chi}_{\omega}\left(g^{0}, g^{1}\right)=0$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i j=1}^{\infty}\left[\left\langle\nabla^{*} g^{0}, w_{i j}\right\rangle \cos \sqrt{-\lambda_{i j}} t\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{\sqrt{-\lambda_{i j}}}\left\langle\nabla^{*} g^{1}, w_{i j}\right\rangle \sin \sqrt{-\lambda_{i j}} t\right]\left\langle w_{i j}, f\right\rangle=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Since for $T$ large enough, the set $\left\{\sin \sqrt{-\lambda_{i j}} t, \cos \sqrt{-\lambda_{i j}}\right\}_{i j \geq 1}$ forms a complete orthonormal set of $L^{2}(0, T)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle\nabla^{*} g^{0}, w_{i j}\right\rangle_{L^{2}(\omega)}\left\langle w_{i j}, f\right\rangle_{L^{2}(D)} \\
& =\left\langle\nabla^{*} g^{1}, w_{i j}\right\rangle_{L^{2}(\omega)}\left\langle w_{i j}, f\right\rangle_{L^{2}(D)}=0 ; \forall i, j \geq 1
\end{aligned}
$$

but for $j=1$ and $i \in 2 I N+1$, we have

$$
\left\langle w_{i 1}, f\right\rangle_{L^{2}(D)}=\sin \left(i \frac{\pi}{2}\right) \neq 0 .
$$

witch gives, $\forall i \in 2 I N+1$

$$
\left\langle\nabla^{*} g^{0}, w_{i 1}\right\rangle_{L^{2}(\omega)}=\left\langle\nabla^{*} g^{1}, w_{i 1}\right\rangle_{L^{2}(\omega)}=0 .
$$

But for $i=1$, we have

$$
\left\langle\nabla^{*} g^{0}, w_{11}\right\rangle_{L^{2}(\omega)}==\frac{\pi}{4}\left(3 \sqrt{3}-\frac{11}{3}\right) \neq 0 .
$$

Thus $K \bar{\nabla}^{*} \bar{\chi}_{\omega}^{*} \bar{\chi}_{\omega}\left(g^{0}, g^{1}\right) \neq 0$.

## 3. Gradient Strategic Sensors

The purpose of this section is to establish a link between regional gradient observability and the sensors structure.

Let us consider the system (1) observed by $q$ sensors $\left(D_{i}, f_{i}\right)_{1 \leq i \leq q}$ which may be pointwise or zone.

### 3.1. Definition 3.1

A sensor $(D, f)$ (or a sequence of sensors) is said to be gradient strategic on $\omega$ if the observed system is G-observable on $\omega$, such a sensor will be said G-strategic on $\omega$.

We assume that the operator $A$ is of constant coefficients and has a complete set of eigenfunctions in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ denoted by $\left(w_{i}\right)$ orthonormal in $L^{2}(\Omega)$
associated to the eigenvalues $\lambda_{i}$ of multiplicity $r_{i}$. Assume also that $r=\sup r_{i}$ is finite, then we have the following result.

### 3.2. Proposition 3.2

If the sequence of sensors $\left(D_{i}, f_{i}\right)_{1 \leq i \leq q}$ is G-strategic on $\omega$, then $q \geq r$ and $\operatorname{rank}\left(M_{m} \gamma_{\omega}^{m}\right)=r_{m}, \forall m \geq 1$, where $1 \leq i \leq q$ and $1 \leq j \leq r_{m}$

$$
\left(M_{m}\right)_{i, j}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\partial w_{m_{j}}\left(b_{i}\right)}{\partial x_{k}} \quad \text { point twise case }  \tag{4}\\
\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left\langle\frac{\partial w_{m_{j}}}{\partial x_{k}}, f_{i}\right\rangle_{L^{2}\left(D_{i}\right)} \quad \text { zone case. }
\end{array}\right.
$$

and $\gamma_{\omega}^{m}=\left(\begin{array}{c}\gamma_{\omega}^{m_{1}} \\ \gamma_{\omega}^{m_{2}} \\ \vdots \\ \gamma_{\omega}^{m_{r_{m}}}\end{array}\right)$
is the row vector the elements of which are $\gamma_{\omega}^{m_{i}}=\left(\gamma_{\omega}^{m_{i} j_{k}}\right)$,
with $\gamma_{\omega}^{m_{i} j_{k}}=\int_{\omega} w_{m_{i}} w_{j_{k}} \mathrm{~d} x$; for $j=1, \cdots \infty ; k=1, \cdots r_{j}$.

### 3.3. Proof

The proof is developed in the case zone sensors.
The sequence of sensors $\left(D_{i}, f_{i}\right)$ is G-strategic on $\omega$ if and only if

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\{z \in\left(L^{2}(\omega)\right)^{n} \times\left(L^{2}(\omega)\right)^{n} /\langle\mathrm{H} u, z\rangle_{\left(L^{2}(\omega)\right)^{n} \times\left(L^{2}(\omega)\right)^{n}}=0,\right. \\
& \left.\forall u \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; I R^{q}\right)\right\}=\{0\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Suppose that the sequence of sensors $\left(D_{i}, f_{i}\right)$ is Gstrategic on $\omega$ and there exists $m_{0} \geq 1$, with $\operatorname{rank}\left(M_{m_{0}} \gamma_{\omega}^{m_{0}}\right) \neq r_{m_{0}}$ then there exists
$Z_{m_{0}}=\left(0, \cdots, z_{m_{0}}, \cdots, 0\right)^{T}$ such that

$$
z_{m_{0}}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
z_{m_{0}}^{1}  \tag{5}\\
\vdots \\
z_{m_{0}}^{r_{m_{0}}}
\end{array}\right) \neq 0 \quad \text { and } \quad M_{m_{0}} \gamma_{\omega}^{m_{0}} z_{m_{0}}=0
$$

Let $z_{1}^{*}=\left(\begin{array}{c}z_{11}^{*} \\ \vdots \\ z_{1 n}^{*}\end{array}\right) \in\left(L^{2}(\omega)\right)^{n} \quad$ verifying

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left\langle z_{1 k}^{*}, w_{m_{0 j}}\right\rangle_{L^{2}(\omega)}=z_{m_{0}}^{j}, \forall j=1, \cdots, r_{m_{0}}, \forall k=1, \cdots, n  \tag{6}\\
\left\langle z_{1 k}^{*}, w_{m_{j}}\right\rangle_{L^{2}(\omega)}=0, \forall m \neq m_{0}, \forall j=1, \cdots, r_{m}, \forall k=1, \cdots, n
\end{array}\right.
$$

Let $z_{2}^{*}=\left(\begin{array}{c}z_{21}^{*} \\ \vdots \\ z_{2 n}^{*}\end{array}\right) \in\left(L^{2}(\omega)\right)^{n} \quad$ verifying

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\left\langle z_{2 k}^{*}, w_{m_{0 j}}\right\rangle_{L^{2}(\omega)}=z_{m_{0}}^{j}, \forall j=1, \cdots, r_{m_{0}}, \forall k=1, \cdots, n  \tag{7}\\
\left\langle z_{2 k}^{*}, w_{m_{j}}\right\rangle_{L^{2}(\omega)}=0, \forall m \neq m_{0}, \forall j=1, \cdots, r_{m} \\
\forall k=1, \cdots, n
\end{array}\right.
$$

and let $z^{*}=\left(z_{1}^{*}, z_{2}^{*}\right) \in\left(L^{2}(\omega)\right)^{n} \times\left(L^{2}(\omega)\right)^{n}$
and $z^{*} \neq 0$ then

$$
\left\langle\mathrm{H} u, \mathrm{z}^{*}\right\rangle_{\left(L^{2}(\omega)\right)^{n} \times\left(L^{2}(\omega)\right)^{n}}=\left\langle\bar{\chi}_{\omega} \bar{\nabla} K^{*} u, z^{*}\right\rangle_{\left(L^{2}(\omega)\right)^{n} \times\left(L^{2}(\omega)\right)^{n}}
$$

assume that

$$
K^{*} u=\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right) \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \times H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)
$$

then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle\mathrm{H} u, z^{*}\right\rangle_{\left(L^{2}(\omega)\right)^{n} \times\left(L^{2}(\omega)\right)^{n}} \\
& =\left\langle\nabla v_{1}, \chi_{\omega}^{*} z_{1}^{*}\right\rangle_{\left(L^{2}(\Omega)\right)^{n}}+\left\langle\nabla v_{2}, \chi_{\omega}^{*} z_{2}^{*}\right\rangle_{\left(L^{2}(\Omega)\right)^{n}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Integrating on $\Omega$ we obtain

$$
\left\langle\nabla v_{1}, \chi_{\omega}^{*} z_{1}^{*}\right\rangle_{\left(L^{2}(\Omega)\right)^{n}}=-\left\langle v_{1}, \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\partial\left(\tilde{\chi}_{\omega}^{*} z_{1 k}^{*}\right)}{\partial x_{k}}\right\rangle_{L^{2}(\Omega)}
$$

and

$$
\left\langle\nabla v_{2}, \chi_{\omega}^{*} z_{2}^{*}\right\rangle_{\left(L^{2}(\Omega)\right)^{n}}=-\left\langle v_{2}, \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\partial\left(\tilde{\chi}_{\omega}^{*} z_{2 k}^{*}\right)}{\partial x_{k}}\right\rangle_{L^{2}(\Omega)}
$$

then

$$
\left\langle H u, z^{*}\right\rangle=-\left\langle u, K\binom{\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\partial\left(\tilde{\chi}_{\omega}^{*} z_{1 k}^{*}\right)}{\partial x_{k}}}{\left.\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\partial\left(\tilde{\chi}_{\omega}^{*} z_{2 k}^{*}\right)}{\partial x_{k}}\right)}\right\rangle
$$

but we have

$$
\tilde{\chi}_{\omega}^{*} z_{1 k}^{*}=\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{r_{m}}\left\langle\tilde{\chi}_{\omega}^{*} z_{1 k}^{*}, w_{m j}\right\rangle_{L^{2}(\Omega)} w_{m j}
$$

and

$$
\tilde{\chi}_{\omega}^{*} z_{2 k}^{*}=\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{r_{m}}\left\langle\tilde{\chi}_{\omega}^{*} z_{2 k}^{*}, w_{m j}\right\rangle_{L^{2}(\Omega)} w_{m j}
$$

Using the fact that

$$
\left\langle\tilde{\chi}_{\omega}^{*} z_{1 k}^{*}, w_{m_{j}}\right\rangle_{L^{2}(\Omega)}=\sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{p=1}^{\eta_{l}} \gamma_{\omega}^{m_{j} l_{p}}\left\langle z_{1 k}^{*}, w_{l_{p}}\right\rangle_{L^{2}(\omega)}
$$

and

$$
\left\langle\tilde{\chi}_{\omega}^{*} z_{2 k}^{*}, w_{m_{j}}\right\rangle_{L^{2}(\Omega)}=\sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{p=1}^{r_{1}} \gamma_{\omega}^{m_{j} l_{p}}\left\langle z_{2 k}^{*}, w_{l_{p}}\right\rangle_{L^{2}(\omega)}
$$

then we obtain $\forall i=1, \cdots, q$

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\partial\left(\tilde{\chi}_{\omega}^{*} z_{1 k}^{*}\right)}{\partial x_{k}}=\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{r_{m}} \sum_{p=1}^{r_{l}} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \gamma_{\omega}^{m_{j} l_{p}}\left\langle z_{1 k}^{*}, w_{l_{p}}\right\rangle \frac{\partial w_{m j}}{\partial x_{k}}
$$

and

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\partial \tilde{\chi}_{\omega}^{*} z_{2 k}^{*}}{\partial x_{k}}=\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{r_{m}} \sum_{p=1}^{r_{l}} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \gamma_{\omega}^{m_{j} l_{p}}\left\langle z_{2 k}^{*}, w_{l_{p}}\right\rangle \frac{\partial w_{m j}}{\partial x_{k}}
$$

from (5), (6) and (7) we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{r_{m}} \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left[\sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{p=1}^{r_{l}} \gamma_{\omega}^{m_{j} l_{p}}\left\langle z_{1 k}^{*}, w_{l_{p}}\right\rangle \cos \sqrt{-\lambda_{m}} t+\frac{1}{\sqrt{-\lambda_{m}}}\right. \\
& \left.\sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{p=1}^{r_{l}} \gamma_{\omega}^{m_{j} l_{p}}\left\langle z_{2 k}^{*}, w_{l_{p}}\right\rangle \sin \sqrt{-\lambda_{m}} t\right]\left\langle\frac{\partial w_{m j}}{\partial x_{k}}, f_{i}\right\rangle=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus

$$
K\binom{\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\partial\left(\tilde{\chi}_{\omega}^{*} z_{1 k}^{*}\right)}{\partial x_{k}}}{\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\partial\left(\tilde{\chi}_{\omega}^{*} z_{2 k}^{*}\right)}{\partial x_{k}}}=0
$$

this gives $\left\langle\mathrm{H} u, \mathrm{z}^{*}\right\rangle_{\left(L^{2}(\omega)\right)^{n} \times\left(L^{2}(\omega)\right)^{n}}=0$,
$\forall u \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; I R^{q}\right)$ and $z^{*} \neq 0$, which contradicts the fact that the sequence of sensors is G-strategic.

### 3.4. Remark 3.3

1) The above proposition implies that the required number of sensors is greater than or equal to the largest multiplicity of eigenvalues.
2) By infinitesimally deforming of the domain, the multiplicity of the eigenvalues can be reduced to one [ 9,10$]$. Consequently, the regional G-observability on the subregion $\omega$ may be possible only by one sensor.

## 4. Regional Gradient Reconstruction

In this section, we give an approach which allows the reconstruction of the initial state gradient on $\omega$ of the system (1). This approach extends the Hilbert Uniqueness Method developed for controllability by Lions [6] and don't take into account what must be the residual initial gradient state on the subregion $\Omega \backslash \omega$. Consider the set

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F=\left\{\left(\varphi^{0}, \varphi^{1}\right) \in L / \varphi^{0}=\varphi^{1}=0 \text { on } \Omega \backslash \omega\right\} \\
& \cap\left\{\bar{\nabla}\left(\phi^{0}, \phi^{1}\right) /\left(\phi^{0}, \phi^{1}\right) \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \times H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $L=\left(L^{2}(\Omega)\right)^{n} \times\left(L^{2}(\Omega)\right)^{n}$
for $\left(\varphi^{0}, \varphi^{1}\right) \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \times H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$, the system

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{\partial^{2} \phi(x, t)}{\partial t^{2}}=A \phi(x, t) \quad Q  \tag{8}\\
\phi(x, 0)=\phi^{0}(x), \frac{\partial \phi(x, 0)}{\partial t}=\phi^{1}(x) \Omega \\
\phi(\zeta, t)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

has a unique solution

$$
\phi \in C^{0}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right) \cap C^{1}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)
$$

We consider the zone sensor case where the system (1) is observed by the output function

$$
\begin{equation*}
z(t)=\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left\langle\frac{\partial y(., t)}{\partial x_{k}}, f(x)\right\rangle_{L^{2}(D)} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

$D$ is the sensor support, $f$ the function of measure and we consider a semi-norm on $F$ defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\varphi^{0}, \varphi^{1}\right) & \in F \mapsto\left\|\left(\varphi^{0}, \varphi^{1}\right)\right\|_{F}^{2} \\
\quad= & \int_{0}^{T}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left\langle\frac{\partial \phi(., t)}{\partial x_{k}}, f\right\rangle_{L^{2}(D)}\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} t . \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\phi(x, t)$ is the solution of (8).
The reverse system given by

$$
\begin{cases}\frac{\partial^{2} \psi(x, t)}{\partial t^{2}}=A^{*} \psi(x, t)+\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left\langle\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_{k}}, f\right\rangle \chi_{D} f & Q  \tag{11}\\ \psi(x, T)=0, \frac{\partial \psi(x, T)}{\partial t}=0 & \Omega \\ \psi(\zeta, t)=0 & \sum\end{cases}
$$

has a unique solution

$$
\psi \in C\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right) \cap C^{1}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)
$$

We denote the solution $\psi(x, 0)$ by $\psi^{0}(x)$ and $\frac{\partial \psi(x, 0)}{\partial t}$ by $\psi^{1}(x)$.

Let consider the operator

$$
\Lambda\left(\varphi^{0}, \varphi^{1}\right)=P\left(-\Psi^{1}, \Psi^{0}\right)
$$

where $P=\bar{\chi}_{\omega}^{*} \bar{\chi}_{\omega}$,

$$
\Psi^{1}=\left(\psi^{1}, \psi^{1}, \cdots, \psi^{1}\right), \Psi^{0}=\left(\psi^{0}, \psi^{0}, \cdots, \psi^{0}\right)
$$

and consider the retrograde system which has a unique solution

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{\partial^{2} Z(x, t)}{\partial t^{2}}=A^{*} Z(x, t)+\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left\langle\frac{\partial y(t)}{\partial x_{k}}, f\right\rangle \chi_{D} f \\
Z(x, T)=0, \quad \frac{\partial Z(x, T)}{\partial t}=0 \\
Z(\zeta, t)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

$Z \in C\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right) \cap C^{1}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)$ [5].
We denote the solution $Z(x, 0)$ by $Z^{0}(x)$ and $\frac{\partial Z(x, 0)}{\partial t}$ by $Z^{1}(x)$. Then, the regional gradient observability turns up to solve the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda\left(\varphi^{0}, \varphi^{1}\right)=P\left(-\bar{Z}^{1}, \bar{Z}^{0}\right) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\bar{Z}^{1}=\left(Z^{1}, Z^{1}, \cdots, Z^{1}\right)$ and $\bar{Z}^{0}=\left(Z^{0}, Z^{0}, \cdots, Z^{0}\right)$.

### 4.1. Proposition 4.1

If the sensor $(D, f)$ is G-strategic on $\omega$, then the equation (13) has a unique solution $\left(\varphi^{0}, \varphi^{1}\right)$ which is the gradient of the initial state to be observed on $\omega$.

### 4.2. Proof

1) Let us show first that if the system (1) is G-observable, then (10) defines a norm on $F$.

Consider a basis $\left(w_{j}\right)$ of the eigenfunctions of $A$, without loss of generality we suppose that the multiplicity of the eigenvalues are simple, then

$$
\left\|\left(\varphi^{0}, \varphi^{1}\right)\right\|_{F}=0 \Leftrightarrow \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left\langle\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_{k}}, f\right\rangle_{L^{2}(D)}=0
$$

on $] 0, T$ [ which is equivalent to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left[\left\langle\phi^{0}, w_{j}\right\rangle_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \cos \sqrt{-\lambda_{j}} t\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{\sqrt{-\lambda_{j}}}\left\langle\phi^{1}, w_{j}\right\rangle_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \sin \sqrt{-\lambda_{j}} t\right] \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left\langle\frac{\partial w_{j}}{\partial x_{k}}, f\right\rangle=0
\end{aligned}
$$

The set $\left\{\sin \sqrt{-\lambda_{j}} t, \cos \sqrt{-\lambda_{j}} t\right\}_{j \geq 1}$ forms a complete orthogonal set of $L^{2}(0, T)$, then we obtain

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left\langle\phi^{0}, w_{j}\right\rangle_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left\langle\frac{\partial w_{j}}{\partial x_{k}}, f\right\rangle_{L^{2}(D)}=0, \forall j \geq 1 \\
\left\langle\phi^{1}, w_{j}\right\rangle_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left\langle\frac{\partial w_{j}}{\partial x_{k}}, f\right\rangle_{L^{2}(D)}=0, \forall j \geq 1
\end{array}\right.
$$

and since the sensor $(D, f)$ is regionally G-strategic on $\omega$, we have

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left\langle\frac{\partial w_{j}}{\partial x_{k}}, f\right\rangle_{L^{2}(D)} \neq 0, \forall j \geq 1
$$

then $\left\langle\phi^{0}, w_{j}\right\rangle_{L^{2}(\Omega)}=\left\langle\phi^{1}, w_{j}\right\rangle_{L^{2}(\Omega)}=0, \forall j \geq 1$.
Consequently $\phi^{0}=\phi^{1}=0$ and thus $\varphi^{0}=\varphi^{1}=0$.
Conversely, $\quad \varphi^{0}=\varphi^{1}=0 \Rightarrow \phi^{0}=c_{1} \quad$ and $\quad \phi^{1}=c_{2}$ (constants), since

$$
\varphi \in C^{0}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right) \cap C^{1}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)
$$

and from $\phi=0$ on $\Sigma,(10)$ is a norm.
2) Let denote by $F$ completion of $F$ by the norm (10) and $F^{*}$ be its dual. We show that $\Lambda$ is an isomorphism from $F$ into $F^{*}$. Indeed, let $\left(\hat{\varphi}^{0}, \hat{\varphi}^{1}\right) \in F$ and $\hat{\phi}$ the corresponding solution for the problem (8), multiply the first equation of the system (11) by
$\frac{\partial \hat{\phi}(x, t)}{\partial x_{k}}$, and integrate on $Q$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle\frac{\partial \hat{\phi}}{\partial x_{k}}, \frac{\partial^{2} \psi}{\partial t^{2}}\right\rangle_{L^{2}(Q)}=\left\langle\frac{\partial \hat{\phi}}{\partial x_{k}}, A^{*} \psi\right\rangle_{L^{2}(Q)} \\
& +\left\langle\frac{\partial \hat{\phi}}{\partial x_{k}}, \sum_{l=1}^{n}\left\langle\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_{l}}, f(x)\right\rangle_{L^{2}(D)} f \chi_{D}\right\rangle_{L^{2}(Q)}
\end{aligned}
$$

for the first term, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle\frac{\partial \hat{\phi}}{\partial x_{k}}, \frac{\partial^{2} \psi}{\partial t^{2}}\right\rangle=-\left\langle\frac{\partial \hat{\phi}(., 0)}{\partial x_{k}}, \frac{\partial \psi(., 0)}{\partial t}\right\rangle_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \\
& +\left\langle\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k}}\left(\frac{\partial \hat{\phi}(., 0)}{\partial t}\right), \psi(., 0)\right\rangle_{L^{2}(\Omega)}+\left\langle\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k}}(A \hat{\phi}), \psi\right\rangle_{L^{2}(Q)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Using Green formula for the second term, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle\frac{\partial \hat{\phi}}{\partial x_{k}}, A^{*} \psi\right\rangle_{L^{2}(Q)}+\left\langle\frac{\partial \hat{\phi}}{\partial x_{k}}, \sum_{l=1}^{n}\left\langle\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_{l}}, f\right\rangle_{L^{2}(D)} f \chi_{D}\right\rangle_{L^{2}(Q)} \\
& =\left\langle A \frac{\partial \hat{\phi}}{\partial x_{k}}, \psi\right\rangle_{L^{2}(Q)} \\
& +\int_{\Sigma}\left(\psi(\xi, t) \frac{\partial^{2} \hat{\phi}(\xi, t)}{\partial \eta_{A} \partial x_{k}}-\frac{\partial \hat{\phi}(\xi, t)}{\partial x_{k}} \frac{\partial \psi(\xi, t)}{\partial \eta_{A^{*}}}\right) \mathrm{d} \Sigma \\
& +\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial \hat{\phi}(x, t)}{\partial x_{k}} \sum_{l=1}^{n}\left\langle\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_{l}}, f\right\rangle_{L^{2}(D)} f \chi_{D} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t
\end{aligned}
$$

and with the boundary conditions, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle\left(\hat{\varphi}^{0}, \hat{\varphi}^{1}\right), \Lambda\left(\varphi^{0}, \varphi^{1}\right)\right\rangle \\
& =\int_{0}^{T}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left\langle\frac{\partial \hat{\phi}(t)}{\partial x_{k}}, f\right\rangle\right)\left(\sum_{l=1}^{n}\left\langle\frac{\partial \phi(t)}{\partial x_{l}}, f\right\rangle\right) \mathrm{d} t
\end{aligned}
$$

Using Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, we have,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \forall\left(\varphi^{0}, \varphi^{1}\right),\left(\hat{\varphi}^{0}, \hat{\varphi}^{1}\right) \in F \\
& \qquad\left\langle\left(\hat{\varphi}^{0}, \hat{\varphi}^{1}\right), \Lambda\left(\varphi^{0}, \varphi^{1}\right)\right\rangle \leq\left\|\left(\hat{\varphi}^{0}, \hat{\varphi}^{1}\right)\right\|_{F}\left\|\left(\varphi^{0}, \varphi^{1}\right)\right\|_{F}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, $\forall\left(\varphi^{0}, \varphi^{1}\right) \in F$

$$
\left\langle\left(\varphi^{0}, \varphi^{1}\right), \Lambda\left(\varphi^{0}, \varphi^{1}\right)\right\rangle=\left\|\left(\varphi^{0}, \varphi^{1}\right)\right\|_{\hat{F}}^{2}
$$

which proves that $\Lambda$ is an isomorphism and consequently the Equation (13) has a unique solution which corresponds to the state gradient to be observed on the subregion $\omega$.

### 4.3. Remark 4.2

The previous approach can be established with similar techniques when the output is defined by means of internal or boundary pointwise sensors.

## 5. Numerical Approach

In this section we give a numerical approach which leads to explicit formulas for $\nabla y^{0}, \nabla y^{1}$ on $\omega$. We consider the case where the system (1) is observed by the output equation

$$
\left.z(t)=\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left\langle\frac{\partial y(., t)}{\partial x_{k}}, f\right\rangle_{L^{2}(D)}, t \in\right] 0, T[
$$

### 5.1. Proposition 5.1

If the sensor $(D, f)$ is G-strategic on $\omega$, then the initial gradients $\nabla y^{0}$ and $\nabla y^{0}$ may be approached by $\hat{\nabla} y^{0}$ and $\hat{\nabla} y^{1}$ respectively

$$
\begin{align*}
& \hat{\nabla} y^{0} \approx\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\sum_{j=1}^{M}\left[\frac{2}{T\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left\langle\frac{\partial w_{j}}{\partial x_{k}}, f\right\rangle\right)^{2}} \sum_{l=1}^{n} \sum_{m=1}^{M}\left\langle w_{m}, f\right\rangle\right. \\
\begin{array}{l}
\left.\int_{0}^{T} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\langle\frac{\partial y}{\partial x_{i}}, f\right\rangle \cos \sqrt{-\lambda_{m}} t \mathrm{~d} t\left\langle w_{m}, \frac{\partial w_{j}}{\partial x_{l}}\right\rangle\right] \nabla w_{j} \\
0 \\
\text { on } \omega \\
\text { on } \Omega \backslash \omega
\end{array}
\end{array}\right.  \tag{14}\\
& \hat{\nabla} y^{1} \approx\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\sum_{j=1}^{M}\left[\frac{-2 \lambda_{j}}{T\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left\langle\frac{\partial w_{j}}{\partial x_{k}}, f\right\rangle\right)^{2}} \sum_{l=1}^{n} \sum_{m=1}^{M}\left\langle w_{m}, f\right\rangle\right. \\
\begin{array}{l}
\left.\int_{0}^{T} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\langle\frac{\partial y}{\partial x_{i}}, f\right\rangle \frac{\sin \sqrt{-\lambda_{m}} t}{\sqrt{-\lambda_{m}}} \mathrm{~d} t\left\langle w_{m}, \frac{\partial w_{j}}{\partial x_{l}}\right\rangle\right] \nabla w_{j} \\
0 \\
\text { on } \omega \\
\text { on } \Omega \backslash \omega
\end{array}
\end{array}\right. \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\left\langle\bar{Z}^{0}, \varphi^{1}\right\rangle=\sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{+\infty}\left\langle\phi^{1}, w_{j}\right\rangle\left\langle Z^{0}, \frac{\partial w_{j}}{\partial x_{k}}\right\rangle
$$

The minimization of (13) is equivalent to solve the two following problems

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Inf}_{\varphi^{0}} \sum_{j=1}^{+\infty}\left\{\frac{T}{4}\left\langle\phi^{0}, w_{j}\right\rangle_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left\langle\frac{\partial w_{j}}{\partial x_{k}}, f\right\rangle_{L^{2}(D)}\right)^{2}\right. \\
& \left.-\left\langle\phi^{0}, w_{j}\right\rangle_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left\langle-Z^{1}, \frac{\partial w_{j}}{\partial x_{k}}\right\rangle_{L^{2}(\omega)}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Inf}_{\phi^{1}} \sum_{j=1}^{+\infty}\left\{\frac{-T}{4 \lambda_{j}}\left\langle\phi^{1}, w_{j}\right\rangle_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left\langle\frac{\partial w_{j}}{\partial x_{k}}, f\right\rangle_{L^{2}(D)}\right)^{2}\right. \\
& -\left\langle\phi^{1}, w_{j}\right\rangle_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left\langle Z^{0}, \frac{\partial w_{j}}{\partial x_{k}}\right\rangle_{L^{2}(\omega)}
\end{aligned}
$$

which solutions are, $\forall j \geq 1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\phi^{0}, w_{j}\right\rangle_{L^{2}(\Omega)}=-\frac{2}{T} \frac{\left\langle\bar{Z}^{1}, \nabla w_{j}\right\rangle_{\left(L^{2}(\omega)\right)^{n}}}{\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left\langle\frac{\partial w_{j}}{\partial x_{k}}, f\right\rangle_{L^{2}(D)}\right)^{2}} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\phi^{1}, w_{j}\right\rangle_{L^{2}(\Omega)}=-\frac{2 \lambda_{j}}{T} \frac{\left\langle\bar{Z}^{0}, \nabla w_{j}\right\rangle_{\left(L^{2}(\omega)\right)^{n}}}{\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left\langle\frac{\partial w_{j}}{\partial x_{k}}, f\right\rangle_{L^{2}(D)}\right)^{2}} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, let $Z(x, t)=\sum_{m \geq 1} Z_{m}(t) w_{m}(x)$ be the solution of the system (12) with

$$
Z_{m}(t)=\frac{\left\langle w_{m}, f\right\rangle}{\sqrt{-\lambda_{m}}} \int_{0}^{T} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\langle\frac{\partial y(., s)}{\partial x_{i}}, f\right\rangle \sin \sqrt{-\lambda_{m}}(s-t) \mathrm{d} s
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
Z^{0}=Z(x, 0)= & \sum_{m \geq 1} \frac{\left\langle w_{m}, f\right\rangle^{T}}{\sqrt{-\lambda_{m}}} \int_{0}^{T} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\langle\frac{\partial y(., s)}{\partial x_{i}}, f\right\rangle \\
& \sin \sqrt{-\lambda_{m}} \operatorname{sds} w_{m}(x) .
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
Z^{1}=\frac{\partial Z}{\partial t}(x, 0)= & -\sum_{m \geq 1}\left\langle w_{m}, f\right\rangle \int_{0}^{T} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\langle\frac{\partial y(., s)}{\partial x_{i}}, f\right\rangle \\
& \cos \sqrt{-\lambda_{m}} s \text { ds } w_{m}(x) .
\end{aligned}
$$

then, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle\bar{Z}^{0}, \nabla w_{j}\right\rangle_{L^{2}(\omega)}=\sum_{l=1}^{n} \sum_{m=1}^{+\infty} \frac{\left\langle w_{m}, f\right\rangle_{L^{2}(D)}}{\sqrt{-\lambda_{m}}} \\
& \int_{0}^{T} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\langle\frac{\partial y(., s)}{\partial x_{i}}, f\right\rangle \sin \sqrt{-\lambda_{m}} s d s\left\langle w_{m}, \frac{\partial w_{j}}{\partial x_{l}}\right\rangle_{\omega}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle\bar{Z}^{1}, \nabla w_{j}\right\rangle_{L^{2}(\omega)}=-\sum_{l=1}^{n} \sum_{m=1}^{+\infty}\left\langle w_{m}, f\right\rangle_{L^{2}(D} \\
& \int_{0}^{T} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\langle\frac{\partial y(s)}{\partial x_{i}}, f\right\rangle \cos \sqrt{-\lambda_{m}} s d s\left\langle w_{m}, \frac{\partial w_{j}}{\partial x_{l}}\right\rangle_{\omega}
\end{aligned}
$$

With these developments, according to (18) and (19), we obtain. $\forall j \geq 1$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle\phi^{0}, w_{j}\right\rangle_{L^{2}(\Omega)}=\frac{2}{T\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left\langle\frac{\partial w_{j}}{\partial x_{k}}, f\right\rangle\right)^{2}} \sum_{l=1}^{n} \sum_{m=1}^{+\infty}\left\langle w_{m}, f\right\rangle \\
& \int_{0}^{T} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\langle\frac{\partial y(., s)}{\partial x_{i}}, f\right\rangle \cos \sqrt{-\lambda_{m}} \operatorname{sds}\left\langle w_{m}, \frac{\partial w_{j}}{\partial x_{l}}\right\rangle_{\omega}
\end{aligned}
$$

and $\left\langle\phi^{1}, w_{j}\right\rangle_{L^{2}(\Omega)}=\frac{-2 \lambda_{j}}{T\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left\langle\frac{\partial w_{j}}{\partial x_{k}}, f\right\rangle\right)^{2}} \sum_{l=1}^{n} \sum_{m=1}^{+\infty} \frac{\left\langle w_{m}, f\right\rangle}{\sqrt{-\lambda_{m}}}$ $\int_{0}^{T} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\langle\frac{\partial y(., s)}{\partial x_{i}}, f\right\rangle \sin \sqrt{-\lambda_{m}} s \mathrm{~d} s\left\langle w_{m}, \frac{\partial w_{j}}{\partial x_{l}}\right\rangle_{\omega}$.

We replace that in the relation (16) and (17), we obtain

$$
\varphi^{0}(x)=\sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} \frac{2}{T\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left\langle\frac{\partial w_{j}}{\partial x_{k}}, f\right\rangle\right)^{2}} \sum_{l=1}^{n} \sum_{m=1}^{+\infty}\left\langle w_{m}, f\right\rangle
$$

$$
\int_{0}^{T} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\langle\frac{\partial y(s)}{\partial x_{i}}, f\right\rangle \cos \sqrt{-\lambda_{m}} s \mathrm{ds}\left\langle w_{m}, \frac{\partial w_{j}}{\partial x_{l}}\right\rangle \nabla w_{j} \text { on } \omega
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varphi^{1}(x)=\sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} \frac{-2 \lambda_{j}}{T\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left\langle\frac{\partial w_{j}}{\partial x_{k}}, f\right\rangle\right)^{2}} \sum_{l=1}^{n} \sum_{m=1}^{+\infty} \frac{\left\langle w_{m}, f\right\rangle}{\sqrt{-\lambda_{m}}} \\
& \int_{0}^{T} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\langle\frac{\partial y(s)}{\partial x_{i}}, f\right\rangle \sin \sqrt{-\lambda_{m}} s d s\left\langle w_{m}, \frac{\partial w_{j}}{\partial x_{l}}\right\rangle \nabla w_{j} \text { on } \omega
\end{aligned}
$$

We consider a truncation up to order $M\left(M \in I N^{*}\right)$, then we obtain the relation (14) and (15).

We define a final error

$$
\xi^{2}=\left\|\bar{\nabla} y^{0}-\hat{\nabla} y^{0}\right\|_{L^{2}(\omega)}^{2}+\left\|\bar{\nabla} y^{1}-\hat{\nabla} y^{1}\right\|_{L^{2}(\omega)}^{2}
$$

The good choice of $M$ will be such that $\xi \leq \varepsilon$
$(\varepsilon>0)$, and we have the following algorithm:

## Algorithm

Step 1: Data: The region $\omega$, the sensor location $D$ and $\varepsilon$.

Step 2: Choose a low truncation order $M$.
Step 3: Computation of $\hat{\nabla} y^{0}$ and $\hat{\nabla} y^{1}$ by the formulae (14) and (15).

Step 4: If $\xi<\varepsilon$ then stop, otherwise.
Step 5: $M \leftarrow M+1$ and return to step 3 .

### 5.3. Remark 5.2

if $y^{0}$ and $y^{1}$ are regular enough, we have a regular system state, so measurements may be taken with pointwise sensor. In this case we obtain similar formulaes as in the previous proposition given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \hat{\nabla} y^{0} \approx\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\sum_{j=1}^{M}\left[\frac{2}{T\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\partial w_{j}(b)}{\partial x_{k}}\right)^{2}} \sum_{l=1}^{n} \sum_{m=1}^{M} w_{m}(b)\right. \\
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\int_{0}^{T} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\partial y(b, t)}{\partial x_{k}} \cos \sqrt{-\lambda_{m}} t \mathrm{~d} t
\end{array}\left\langle w_{m}, \frac{\partial w_{j}}{\partial x_{l}}\right\rangle\right] \nabla w_{j} \\
\text { on } \omega \\
0 \\
\text { on } \Omega \backslash \omega
\end{array}\right.  \tag{20}\\
& \hat{\nabla} y^{1} \approx\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\sum_{j=1}^{M}\left[\frac{-2 \lambda_{j}}{T\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\partial w_{j}(b)}{\partial x_{k}}\right)^{2}} \sum_{l=1}^{n} \sum_{m=1}^{M} \frac{w_{m}(b)}{\sqrt{-\lambda_{m}}}\right. \\
\begin{array}{l}
\left.\int_{0}^{T} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\partial y(b, t)}{\partial x_{k}} \sin \sqrt{-\lambda_{m}} t \mathrm{~d} t\left\langle w_{m}, \frac{\partial w_{j}}{\partial x_{l}}\right\rangle\right] \nabla w_{j} \\
0 \\
\text { on } \omega
\end{array} \\
\text { on } \Omega \backslash \omega
\end{array}\right. \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

## 6. Simulations

### 6.1. Example

In this section we develop a numerical example that leads to results related to the choice of the subregion, the sensor location and the initial state gradient.

On $\Omega=] 0,1[$, we consider the one dimensional system.

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{lr}
\frac{\partial^{2} y(x, t)}{\partial t^{2}}=\frac{\partial^{2} y(x, t)}{\partial x^{2}} & ] 0,1[\times] 0, T[  \tag{22}\\
y(x, 0)=y^{0}(x) & ] 0,1[ \\
\frac{\partial y(x, 0)}{\partial t}=y^{1}(x) & ] 0,1[ \\
y(0, t)=y(1, t)=0 & ] 0,1[
\end{array}\right.
$$ line) and estimate initial state gradient bar $(\nabla) y^{0}$ (dashed line).

Figure 1. Iheb2.eps: initial state gradient $\nabla \boldsymbol{y}^{\mathbf{0}}$ (continuous


Figure 2. Nouha2.eps: initial speed gradient $\nabla \boldsymbol{y}^{1}$ (continuous line) and estimate initial speed gradient bar $(\nabla) y^{1}$ (dashed line).


Figure 3. Iheb1.eps: initial state gradient $\nabla \boldsymbol{y}^{0}$ (continuous line) and estimate initial state gradient bar $(\nabla) y^{0}$ (dashed line).


Figure 4. Nouha1.eps: initial speed gradient $\nabla y^{1}$ (continuous line) and estimate initial speed gradient bar $(\nabla) y^{1}$ (dashed line).

Table 1. Evolution error with respect to the area of the subregion.

| $\omega$ | $\xi$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $] 0,1[$ | $4.04912 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| $] 0.14,0.94[0.24,0.84[$ | $1.00315 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| $] 0.34,0.74[$ | $2.04316 \times 10^{-3}$ |
| $] 0.46,0.66[$ | $8.61831 \times 10^{-4}$ |

Table 2. Evolution error with respect to the initial state gradient amplitude.

| $A_{1}$ | $\xi$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0.5 | $1.56181 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| 0.1 | $2.38512 \times 10^{-3}$ |
| 0.08 | $9.02815 \times 10^{-4}$ |
| 0.04 | $1.52361 \times 10^{-4}$ |
| 0.015 | $8.16314 \times 10^{-5}$ |

Also how both the error decreases with respect to the amplitude $A_{1}$ of the initial state gradient. For this let take the subregion $\omega=] 0.46,0.66\left[\right.$ and $A_{2}=-0.01$. We note that the reconstruction error depends on the amplitude of initial state gradient. It means that the greater the amplitude is, the greater the error is. The obtained results are presented in Table 2.

## 7. Conclusion

Gradient Observability on a subregion interior to the spatial evolution domain of hyperbolic system is considered. A relation between this notion and the sensors structure is established and numerical approach for its reconstruction is given. This allows the computation of the initial state gradient without the knowledge of the system state. Illustrations by numerical simulations show the efficiency of the approach. Interesting questions remain open, the case where the subregion $\omega$ is part of the boundary of the system domain. This question is under consideration.
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