

# Users' Perception and Satisfaction of Current Situation of Home Health Care Services in Jordan

Hania A. Dawani<sup>1</sup>, Ayman M. Hamdan-Mansour<sup>2,3</sup>, Musa T. Ajlouni<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Jordanian Nursing Council, Amman, Jordan

<sup>2</sup>Department of Community Health, Al Farabi College, Riyadh, KSA

<sup>3</sup>Faculty of Nursing, The University of Jordan, Amman, Jordan

<sup>4</sup>Faculty of Administrative and Financial Sciences, Philadelphia University, Amman, Jordan

Email: [drhaniam@nets.jo](mailto:drhaniam@nets.jo), [a.mansour@ju.edu.jo](mailto:a.mansour@ju.edu.jo), [aymanjabay@gmail.com](mailto:aymanjabay@gmail.com), [majlouni@philadelphia.edu.jo](mailto:majlouni@philadelphia.edu.jo)

Received 21 December 2013; revised 25 January 2014; accepted 3 February 2014

Copyright © 2014 by authors and Scientific Research Publishing Inc.

This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution International License (CC BY).

<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>



Open Access

---

## Abstract

**Background:** The healthcare system in Jordan is evolving and has to continuously respond to the changing risk profile of the population. The purpose of this study was to examine perception of users and providers of the quality of home health care services. **Methods:** A descriptive design was used to collect data from a convenience sample of 82 users of home health care services. **Results:** Users had low to fair satisfaction (30.5% - 69.5%) about the quality of care provided, had moderate satisfaction (72.0% to 81.7%) about the information received, and had low to fair satisfaction about education related to goal of treatment and medication (46.4% - 53.3%). Users had high level of agreement (>70%) that health agencies provided interpersonal care. **Conclusion:** The ability of the frail people to choose from a variety of cost-effective long-term care services is limited.

## Keywords

Home Health Care Services; Users' Perception; Jordan

---

## 1. Introduction

Continuity of care became an urged issue due to fragmentation of care. Specialization and multidisciplinary approaches increased the likelihood that patients are seen by number of health care professional during their testament journey. According to Haggerty *et al.* [1], policy reports and charters worldwide called for integration and enhancement of continuity of care. Continuity is especially important in chronic or complex clinical diseases.

**How to cite this paper:** Dawani, H.A., Hamdan-Mansour, A.M. and Ajlouni, M.T. (2014) Users' Perception and Satisfaction of Current Situation of Home Health Care Services in Jordan. *Health*, 6, 549-558.  
<http://dx.doi.org/10.4236/health.2014.67074>

es that require management from several providers who could potentially work at cross purposes [1]. Therefore, home care services have dramatically increased in many countries around [2]. “Home care”, “home health care”, and “in-home care” are terms used interchangeably to refer to any type of care given to a person in their own home. More recently, there is a growing movement to distinguish between “home health care” meaning skilled health care and “home care” meaning non-medical care. Home care aims supporting people with various degrees of dependency to remain at home rather than use residential, long-term, or institutional-based nursing care [3]. Home care providers render services in the clients’ own home. These services may include combined professional health care services and life assistance services. Professional home health services could include medical and/or psychological assessment and intervention; wound care, medication teaching, pain management, disease education and management, physical therapy, speech therapy, and occupational therapy [4] [5]. Home health care is usually less expensive and more convenient than hospital or skilled nursing facility [1]. In general, the goal of home health care is to help patients get better, regain their independence, and become as self-sufficient as possible [2].

The healthcare system in Jordan is evolving and has to continuously respond to the changing demographics, epidemiologic and risk profile of the population [6]. Demographic, epidemiological, social, and cultural trends in Jordan as in other countries are changing the traditional patterns of care. According to Tarricone & Tsouros [7] rates of care-dependent older people and noncommunicable diseases will increase rates of chronic illness and disability. The shift of care of complex health conditions to home care demands high level of competence among care providers. A previous study showed that that nursing staff in home care were less competent than nurses working in nursing homes. A significant number of home care nursing staff, reported insufficient knowledge in palliative care, patient transfers, oral care, nutrition, range of motion, medication and pressure ulcer [8]. Furthermore, home care was staffed by aide and practical nurses that performed delegated medical tasks on daily basis [9]. Other studies also showed that staff competence development was needed in mental illnesses [10] and dementia [11].

Moreover, the literature addressed the need for a link with the secondary care when caring for complex health care conditions at home [9] [12] [13]. These studies highlighted the need to perform thorough assessment of users, and to assure matching the needs of the users as measured by their level of functioning, ability to perform ADLs, and other health and social needs, with the level of service and the preparedness of the organization and the care givers. In Jordan, there are no legislations, policies or guidelines that govern home health care services, therefore; these services are neither regulated nor monitored and their accountability to the users of the services is not defined.

Thus, there is an urgent need to explore the current status of the home health care sector in Jordan and to evaluate the patterns and barriers of utilization of home health care services from providers and users perspectives. The purpose of this study was to examine perception of users’ perception and satisfaction of current situation of home health care services in Jordan. The specific objectives were:

- 1) Users’ perception of current situation of home health care services in Jordan.
- 2) To assess users’ satisfaction of quality of care provided by home health care services in Jordan.

## 2. Methods

**Design:** This is descriptive study using convenience sample of 82 family member/users to assess users’ perception and satisfaction of current situation of home health care services in Jordan. Data was collected using a self report format of data collection from families/users of home health care services. Data from families/users were collected in regards to perception of quality of care provided by home health care agencies.

**Sample and settings:** The study used a convenience sample of 82 family/users of registered home health care agencies at the Ministry of Health in Jordan. Inclusion criteria for family member/user was included those who receive/received home care services at the agency for at least one month. Other than deceased users, there were no other exclusion criteria to maximized variation in data collection. Data was collected from the period Jan 2012 to May 2012.

**Data collection procedures:** Approvals from the ethics and Academic Research Committee at Philadelphia University were obtained prior to data collection. The Ministry of Health was contacted to provide contact list of the registered and licensed home health care agencies in Jordan. The adapted and translated HHCAHPS (Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems) was pilot tested using 10 users of home health care. The managers of all home health care agencies registered and licensed by the Ministry of Health were invited to

participate in the study. They served as the liaison to facilitate the contact list of the users of the services who either choose the services or were referred by medical doctors. The research team (Principal Investigator and Co-investigators) contacted all subjects and screened them to determine their eligibility for the study. Those who met the inclusion criteria were invited to participate in the study and asked to sign the informed consent form. Families/users of home health care services who met the inclusion criteria were informed that data will be collected through self-administered questionnaire that measures their perception of quality of care provider by the home health care agencies. They were informed where to pick and return the package that included two forms; the demographic form and the Home Health Care CAHPS survey. The package also included a cover letter that includes information about the purpose of the study and what was expected from them and where to return the packages, and that the study is anonymous. In addition, the cover letter included contact information of the principal investigator and co-investigator for any further information and for answering the questions related to the study. The interested participants were asked to sign the cover letter in which a statement made at the end of the cover letter says explicitly that their participation in the study was voluntarily and their decision is of their own choice without any direct or indirect influence. Human participants' right to confidentiality, privacy and safety were securely protected throughout the project. Files were kept in locked cabinets at the Jordanian Nursing Council. All projects' electronic versions were kept only in the primary investigator's computer. No names or any identifying information were used that may cause harm to participants at any stage of participation. An approval from the Academic Research Committee at Philadelphia University was obtained prior to data collection at the beginning of the research project.

**Measurement:** Data were collected using self-administered questionnaires adapted from the Home Health Care CAHPS survey (HHCAHPS) [14]. This questionnaire was translated into Arabic by a professional translator and back translated by another translator into English; discrepancies were compared and checked to assure sameness in the meanings on all items. HHCAHPS measures the perception of quality of home health care provided. The Home Health Care CAHPS Survey questionnaire includes the two types of questions contained on all CAHPS instruments—those dealing with reports of specific experiences and those asking for opinions and ratings. The Home Health Care CAHPS Survey instrument contains 34 items that cover topics such as access to care, communications, and interactions with the agency and with agency staff. There are two global items; one asks the patient to rate the care provided by the Home Health Agency (HHA), and the second asks the patient about his or her willingness to recommend the HHA to family and friends. The HHCAHPS was designed to measure the experiences of people receiving home health care from Medicare-certified home health care agencies. This instrument was developed by the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ) in conjunction with Center for Medicaid and Medicare Services (CMS). The tool is used globally as reference for quality assessment and measurement for health care services. In this study tool showed good reliability with Cronbach's alpha of 0.79.

**Potential covariates:** Gender, age, citizenship, medical diagnoses, length of time of use of the home health care services, type of service used, health condition, and health insurance and method of payment.

**Data analysis plan:** The computer program, SPSS Windows (version 17.0) was used to describe the variables of the study using central tendency measures (means, and medians) and the dispersion measures (standard deviation and ranges). The estimated descriptive statistics were compared to normative samples in the literature.

### 3. Results

#### 3.1. Users' Characteristics

A total of 82 users completed and returned the questionnaire. The majority of users (see **Table 1**) were above the age of 60 years (54.8%,  $n = 44$ ), while about 11% ( $n = 9$ ) were below the age of 30 years. 51.2% of them ( $n = 42$ ) were males, and 48.8% ( $n = 40$ ) were females. About 46% ( $n = 38$ ) have bachelor and graduate level of education, while 23.2% ( $n = 19$ ) have high school level of education. Most of the users were Jordanian citizens about 76%, ( $n = 62$ ), while the non-Jordanians were 24% ( $n = 20$ ). The majority of user were not living alone (86.6% ( $n = 71$ ), and do not have health insurance. More than 90% of the users were diagnosed with heart problems, lung problem, and diabetes. Their use of the home health services vary from one week to more than 8 years; however, the highest reported period was more than six months and less than one year (18.3%), and the lowest was less than one week (1.2%,  $n = 1$ ). Most of the users sought home health services for nursing care (61.0%,  $n = 50$ ) and specialized health care services (25.6%,  $n = 21$ ).

**Table 1.** Demographic and personal characteristics of users of home health care services (N = 82).

Variable	n	%	
Gender	Male	42	51.2
	Female	40	48.8
Age (years)	<20	3	3.7
	21 - 29	6	7.3
	30 - 39	3	3.7
	40 - 49	8	9.8
	50 - 59	17	20.7
	>60	44	54.8
Level of education	Eighth class or less	11	13.3
	High school	19	23.2
	Diploma	14	17.2
	Baccalaureate	28	34.1
Do you live alone	Graduate level	10	12.2
	Yes	11	13.4
Medical diagnosis	No	71	86.6
	Heart	34	41.5
	Lung	17	20.7
	Diabetes	24	29.3
	Tumors	4	4.9
	Bones fractures	14	17.1
	OBGYN	1	1.2
	Psychiatric	5	6.1
	Dementia	11	13.4
	Cancer	7	8.5
Length of using home health services	1 week or less.	1	1.2
	2 weeks - 1 month	6	7.3
	2 months - 6 months	41	50.0
	6 months - 1 year	15	18.3
	1 year - 3 years	3	3.7
	4 - 7 years	3	3.7
	>8 years	1	1.2
Type of HHC services*	Specialized	21	25.6
	Nursing care	50	61.0
	Domestic care	10	12.2
Health insurance	Companionship	9	11.0
	Yes	11	13.4
Percentage of insurance coverage (n = 11)	No	71	86.6
	Complete	4	36.4
Source for none insured (n = 71)	Partial	7	63.6
	Out of pocket	67	94.4
	Charity	4	5.6

\*HHC services: Home Health Care Services.

### 3.2. Users' Perception about Information Provided by Home Health Care Agencies

In relation to information, the results (Table 2) showed that users have reported high level of satisfaction about the type of introductory information that had been provided to them when they started their treatment courses with the institution; 72.0% to 81.7% of users reported that the institution provided them with such information and appropriate education. However, users had lower satisfaction about the follow up information. Most of users were not satisfied about the type of education they received from health care agencies. The analysis showed that 53.7% (n = 44) reported that they had low to fair agreement that home health care agencies educated them about the goal of treatment and medication time, 62.2% (n = 51) had low to fair agreement that they received pain information, and 46.2% (n = 38) reported low to fair agreement that they received information about the side effects of their medication. In general, the users reported that although they felt moderately satisfied about the information received at the beginning of treatment course, they had low satisfaction about health information provided to them during the follow up services.

Regarding the interpersonal aspect of care, the analysis (see Table 3) showed that the “usually” and “always” agreement responses of clients for items related to interpersonal aspects of care ranged from 73.1% “Care providers are aware and knowledgeable of recent developments in home care” to 85.2% “Care providers respect clients.”

Although most response rates seem satisfactory, the rates might be considered debatable as the aspects such as respect, listening, insight and awareness, notification, explanation and clarification are the core elements of quality of health care services that should be maximized to the utmost levels. Moreover, 12.2% (n = 10) of users reported that they have never received any explanation from the health care providers about their health care services.

### 3.3. Users' Perception of Quality of Home Health Care Services

Regarding the quality of home health care services as perceived by clients, the results (Table 4) showed that users had some problems related to the quality of care received from home health care agencies. Users agreement responses ranged from 30.5% (n = 25) “During the last two months of care, had you faced any problems in the care that was provided to you from this institution” to 69.5% (n = 57) “During the last two months of care, have you taken a new treatment, or has been there any change in any of your treatments you are receiving.” Although 76.8% (n = 63) of the users reported that they will advise the institution that provides them with home health

**Table 2.** Users' perception of type of information services provided by Home Health Care Services Institutions (N = 82).

Item	Yes		No		Don't know	
	n	%	n	%	n	%
When you started receiving health care in this institution, has anyone from the institution educate you about the care and the services you will receive?	67	81.7	7	8.5	8	9.8
When you started receiving health care in this institution, has someone from the institution talked to you about the amendments you should make in the home environment to be able to move safely?	59	72.0	14	17.1	8	9.8
When you started receiving health care in this institution, has someone from the institution talked to you about the prescriptions and medications you have been taking?	63	76.8	12	14.6	7	8.5
When you started receiving health care in this institution, has someone from the institution asked you to check and review the prescriptions and medications you have been taking?	66	80.5	9	11.0	7	8.5
During the last two months of care, have a care provider from this institution talked to you about pain?	55	67.1	25	30.5		
					<b>No changes of treatment</b>	
During the last two months of care, has any care provider from this institution educated you about the goal of giving you a new treatment or the goal of changing treatment	44	53.7	11	13.4	27	32.9
During the last two months of care, has any care provider from this institution educated you about the new medication time?	44	53.7	11	13.4	27	32.9
During the last two months of care, has any care provider from this institution educated you about the side effects of these new medications?	38	46.4	17	20.7	27	32.9

**Table 3.** Users' perception of the interpersonal aspects of care provided at home health care agencies (N = 82).

Item	Never		Somewhat		Usually		Always	
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
Care providers are aware and knowledgeable of recent developments in home care	8	9.8	14	17.1	15	18.3	45	54.8
Care providers notify clients about their arrival time to his home	6	7.3	12	14.6	15	18.3	49	59.8
Care providers treat clients so kindly	4	4.9	7	8.5	18	22.0	53	64.6
Care providers explain things to clients in a manner easy to understand	10	12.2	12	14.8	14	17.1	45	54.9
Care providers listen to clients carefully and with proper attention	8	9.8	9	11.0	11	13.4	54	65.8
Care providers respect clients	4	4.9	8	9.9	12	14.6	58	70.6

**Table 4.** Users' perception of quality of services provided by home health care agencies (N = 82).

Item	Yes		No	
	n	%	n	%
During the last two months, had you called the institution office asking for assistance or advice?	66	67.1	26	31.7
During the last two months of care, when you called the home health care institution office did you receive the assistance or the advice you asked for?	46	69.7	20	30.3
During the last two months of care, have you received the type of assistance you asked for in the same day?	43	64.2%	24	33.8%
Have you taken a new treatment, or has been there any change in any of your treatments you are receiving?	57	69.5	25	30.5
During the last two months of care, had you faced any problems in the care that was provided to you from this institution?	25	30.5	57	69.5

services to others, only 64.2% (n = 43) of them reported that they have received the type of assistance they asked for in the same day and 33.8% (n = 24) of them reported that they did not. Moreover, 48.8% (n = 40) of the users reported that their evaluation for their health is "Possibly bad" to "surely bad", while only 13.4% (n = 11) reported that their health is excellent. Particularly, 44.5% (n = 37) of the users reported that their emotional status is possible too bad and 15.8% (n = 13) of them reported that emotional status as excellent.

### 3.4. Differences in Users' Evaluation of Home Health Care Services Related to Demographic Characteristics

The analysis shows (Table 5) that the mean score of users' evaluation of HHCS was 7.60 (SD = 2.90). About 25% of the users had a score of 6.0 or below and 25% of them had a score of 10.0 or above. This means that 50% of the users had a score between 6.0 and 10.0 and this would be considered moderate to high level of general satisfaction of HHCS provided by agencies. To investigate whether users' evaluation has been affected by demographic and personal characteristics of the users, nonparametric statistical analysis is conducted as sample size was small and distribution of samples was not normal. The analysis (see Table 5) shows that there was no significant difference in users evaluation of health care provision in regards to gender (U = 728.5, p = 0.78), citizenship (U = 438.0, p = 0.096), and whether they live alone or not (U = 241.5, p = 0.900); while there is significant difference in regards to source of information (U = 310.5, p > 0.001). In addition, the analysis shows that there is no significant difference in users' evaluation of health care provided between those who recommend agencies and those who do not (U = 25.0, p = 0.245), while there was a significant difference between those who have health insurance and those who do not (U = 213.0, p = 0.033).

Using Kruskal Wallis test (see Table 6) to investigate differences in users' evaluation of health care provided in regards to users' age group and evaluation to their health physically and psychologically; the analysis shows no significant difference in regards to age groups and general users' evaluation to their psychological health; while there was significant difference in regards to users' evaluation to their physical health (chi square = 10.89, p = 0.028). Moreover, difference in users' evaluation to health care provided by HHC agencies has been inves-

**Table 5.** Differences in users' evaluation of health care provided by agency in relation to selected demographic and personal characteristics (N = 82).

Variable		M	SD	Statistical Test	
				U test	p value
Gender	Male	7.74	2.76	728.5	0.777
	Female	7.53	2.91		
Citizenship	Jordanian	7.66	3.10	438.0	0.096
	Non-Jordanian	7.15	2.50		
Living alone	Yes	7.29	3.20	241.5	0.900
	No	7.59	2.93		
Source of information	Internal	9.20	1.25	310.5	>0.001
	External	5.98	3.185		
Recommending agency to others	Yes	2.55	2.38	25.0	0.245
	No	3.71	1.11		
Health insurance	Yes	5.40	3.81	213.0	0.033
	No	7.89	2.64		

tigated in regards to users' health problems and health status. The analysis shows there was no significant difference in users' evaluation to health care provided by HHC agencies in regards to their type of health problem they sought care for or to their current evaluation of their health status ( $p > 0.05$ ). On the other hand, the analysis shows that there is a significant difference in regards to source of information between those who recommended HHC agencies and those who did not (chi-square = 23.50,  $p < 0.001$ ), while there were no significant differences in regards to levels of users' physical health evaluation (chi-square = 8.34,  $p = 0.074$ ) and levels of users' psychological health evaluation (chi-square = 7.41,  $p > 0.05$ ).

The results indicate that differences in users' evaluation to provided health care at HHC agencies are related to factors as source of information (internal versus external resources) and health insurance status. All other variables were statistically non-significant although there were significant differences between the subgroups of variables. For example males mean score was higher than females, those who are not living alone have higher mean score than those who live alone, and those who will not advise the agency had higher mean score than those who will. The mean differences have impression that all these factors have a role in deciding the quality of care provided and may serve as indicators for quality of care provided by HHCS (Home Health Care Services) agencies. In addition, patients' health problems and the current health status of the patients that serve as reasons to seek HHC did not show any effect on the users' evaluation for HHCS (Home Health Care Services) or their evaluation for their health. In conclusion, although users' satisfaction level of the provided HHCS (Home Health Care Services) was moderate to high, it was significantly higher among those who reported their evaluation to internal sources than those who reported their evaluation to external sources. In other word, there is a possibility of social bias in reported users' evaluation.

#### 4. Discussion

Many health care treatments that were once offered only in a hospital or a doctor's office can now be done in home. Home health care is usually less expensive, more convenient, and just as effective as a hospital or skilled nursing facility [3]. The literature underlined the core goal of home health care as to provide treatment for an illness or injury, and that home health care help patients get better, regain their independence, and become as self-sufficient as possible. This study, in general, found that patients receiving care from Jordanian home health care agencies are, generally, not satisfied. Patients had low perception of quality of information received related to their treatment plans and in overall level of quality of care. However; patients reported high level of satisfac-



**Table 6.** Differences in users' evaluation of health care provided by agency in relation to selected demographic and personal characteristics (N = 82).

Variable	M	SD	Statistical Test		
			Kruskal-Wallis	p-value	
Age group	<20	7.67	1.53	6.377	0.271
	20 - 29	7.83	4.02		
	30 - 39	6.00	3.61		
	40 - 49	7.88	2.36		
	50 - 59	8.94	1.39		
	>60	7.14	3.21		
Length of HHCS* utilization	1 week or less	9.00	1.27	10.76	0.293
	2 weeks	10.00	1.87		
	1 month	8.20	3.03		
	2 months	8.22	1.39		
	3 months	8.10	1.91		
	4 months	6.57	4.16		
	6 months	8.31	2.50		
	6 months - 1 year	8.60	3.10		
	1 year - 3 years	6.00	3.32		
	4 - 7 years	5.33	4.04		
	>8 years	2.00	1.12		
	Physical health evaluation	Excellent	8.36		
Very good		8.77	2.28		
Good		8.61	1.85		
Possible		7.24	2.92		
Bad		5.46	3.93		
Psychological/emotional health evaluation	Excellent	9.15	1.14	5.56	0.161
	Very good	7.62	2.66		
	Good	8.41	2.09		
	Possible	6.96	3.06		
	Bad	6.92	3.75		
Level of education	8th level or less	6.30	3.37	9.94	0.077
	High school	6.30	3.37		
	Diploma	8.86	1.70		
	Undergraduate	8.25	2.50		
	Graduate level	6.20	3.39		

\*HHCS: Home Health Care Service.

tion about the quality of interpersonal care. The results in study had some agreement with reports from interna-



tional studies. For example, Riccio [15] found that 20% of patients rated their satisfaction with home health nursing care as satisfied, 71% were undecided, and 9% were dissatisfied. Also that study found that patients were most dissatisfied with teaching which agrees with the results from this study. In addition, Gasquet and colleagues [16] found that patients had small-to-moderate satisfaction about medical/nursing care and information received at home care agencies. Moreover, Fadyl and colleagues [17] maintained that the patients receiving home care identified the main quality indicators for home health care services to be technical competence of care service and professionals, human approach to service provision, context-appropriate response to needs. One explanation to for the results in this study can be interpreted in terms of lived experience with chronic and debilitating disease. This infers that users of home health care services are aware and willing to evaluate the quality of care provided and that they expect. In another word, while users find that home-based care enriched their experiences, the level of competency and high expectation of care might contribute to low level of satisfaction about the quality of care received. The low level of satisfaction, as reported, may have negative impact on users' willingness and desire to use home health care services, and that the ultimate goal for home health care services is jeopardized increasing the cost of health care services. One of the causes for the growth in home care services is the preference for home care by the users [18]. However, low satisfaction may affect users' preference for selecting home health care services. Furthermore, patients in this study had low satisfaction about the level and type of information they received questioning the competency of health care providers. The results agrees with previous international studies that found that patients had low satisfaction about level of information received [16], and that health care providers lack the knowledge and skills to provide a quality of care [8] [9]. This has not been only addressed by patients, but also recognized by providers themselves who have reported needs for competency staff development training programs [10] [11].

The interpersonal aspects of care, such as caring, respect, and kindness and listening were characterized as the "human side" of provider-patient relationships. In this study, the users reported high satisfaction of level of caring, respect, and kindness that they received from the providers at home care agencies. According to Wickizer and associates [19], satisfaction with interpersonal aspects of care reflects the patients' overall treatment experience. While, Donabedian [20] reported that satisfaction with interpersonal care enhanced patient outcomes and considered a significant indicator for quality of care.

One limitation for this study is the limited access to users of home services, and that home health care agencies in Jordan are centralized in large cities limiting the access to users.

## 5. Conclusion

In Jordan, the ability of the frail people and disabled people to choose from a variety of cost-effective long-term care services has been limited by many factors including the lack of health insurance coverage for home care services, lack of quality home health care services, and accessibility. This study found that patients had low level of satisfaction, exempting the interpersonal care aspects, about services provided by home health care agencies. Therefore, home health care agencies should develop continuous quality improvement programs to raise the standard of care provided to clients and increase their level of satisfaction. Also, they should perform periodic clients' satisfaction surveys to identify dissatisfaction areas and develop proper interventions to minimize them. Home health care agencies should develop written policies and procedures, health information system, patients' education and orientation programs, continuous quality improvement programs, and continuous training programs.

## References

- [1] Haggerty, J.L., Reid, R.J., Freeman, G.K., Starfield, B.H., Adair C.F. and McKendry, R. (2003) Continuity of Care: A Multidisciplinary Review. *British Medical Journal*, **327**, 1219-1221. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmj.327.7425.1219>
- [2] Murashima, S., Nagata, S., Magilvy, J., Fukui, S. and Kayma, M. (2002) Home Care Nursing in Japan: A Challenge for Providing Good Care at Home. *Journal of Public Health Nursing*, **19**, 94-103. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1525-1446.2002.19204.x>
- [3] Van Campen, C. and Woittiez, I.B. (2003) Client Demands and the Allocation of Home Care in the Netherlands. A Multinomial Logit Model of Client Types, Care Needs and Referrals. *Health Policy*, **64**, 229-241. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0168-8510\(02\)00156-2](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0168-8510(02)00156-2)
- [4] Ejaz, F.K., Straker, J.K., Fox, K. and Swami, S. (2003) Developing a Satisfaction Survey for Families of Ohio's Nurs-

- ing Home Residents. *The Gerontologist*, **43**, 447-458. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/geront/43.4.447>
- [5] Shepperd, S. (1998) Randomized Controlled Trial Comparing Hospital at Home Care with Inpatient Hospital Care. II. *Cost Minimization Analysis*, **316**, 1786-1791.
- [6] Ajlouni, M. (2011) Jordan Health System Profile. EMRO, World Health Organization.
- [7] Tarricone, R. and Tsouros, A.D. (2008) The Solid Facts: Home Care in Europe. WHO, Regional Office for Europe, Copenhagen.
- [8] Stajduhar, K.I. (2003) Examining the Perspectives of Family Members Involved in the Delivery of Palliative Care at Home. *Journal of Palliative Care*, **19**, 27-35.
- [9] Hasson, H. and Arnetz, J. (2007) Nursing Staff Competence, Work Strain, Stress and Satisfaction in Elderly Care: A Comparison of Home-Based Care and Nursing Homes. *Journal of Clinical Nursing*, **44**, 468-481.
- [10] Raudonis, B., Kyba, F. and Kinsey, T. (2002) Long-Term Care Nurses Knowledge End-of-Life Care. *Geriatric Nursing*, **23**, 296-301. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1067/mgn.2002.130270>
- [11] Brodaty, H., Draper, B. and Low, L. (2003) Nursing Home Staff Attitudes towards Residents with Dementia: Strain and Satisfaction with Work. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, **44**, 583-590. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.0309-2402.2003.02848.x>
- [12] Challis, D., Darton, R., Hughes, J., Stewart, K. and Weiner, K. (2001) Intensive Care-Management at Home: An Alternative to Institutional Care? *British Geriatric Society*, **30**, 409-413.
- [13] Fortinsky, R., Madigan, E., Sheehan, T., McGuinness, R. and Fenester, J. (2006) Risk Factors for Hospitalization among Medicare Home Care Patients. *Western Journal of Research*, **28**, 902-916. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0193945906286810>
- [14] Giordano, L.A., Elliott, M.N., Goldstein, E., Lehrman, W.G. and Spencer, P.A. (2009) Development, Implementation and Public Reporting of the HCAHPS Survey. *Medical Care Research and Review*, **67**, 27-37. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/1077558709341065>
- [15] Riccio, P. (2001) The Quality of Home Care as Perceived by Patients, Physicians, and Nurses. *Journal of Nursing Care Quality*, **15**, 58-67. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/00001786-200115020-00007>
- [16] Gasquet, I., Dehe, S., Gaudebout, P. and Falissard, B. (2003) Regular Visitors Are Not Good Substitutes for Assessment of Elderly Patient Satisfaction with Nursing Home Care and Services. *Journal of Gerontology and Biological Medical Sciences*, **58**, 1036-1041. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/gerona/58.11.M1036>
- [17] Fadyl, J.K., McPherson, K.M. and Kayes, N. (2011) Quality of Home Care as Perceived by People Who Experience Disability. *BMJ Quality & Safety*, **20**, 87-95. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmjqs.2010.042812>
- [18] Grone, O. and Garcia-Barbero, M. (2001) Integrated Care: A Position Paper of the WHO European Office for Integrated Health Care Services. *International Journal of Integrated Care*, **1**, 1-15.
- [19] Wickizer, T.M., Franklin, G., Fulton-Kehoe, D., Turner, J., Mootz, R. and Smith-Weller, T. (2004) Patient Satisfaction, Treatment Experience, and Disability Outcomes in a Population-Based Cohort of Injured Workers in Washington State: Implications for Quality Improvement. *BMC Health Services Research*, **39**, 727-748. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1475-6773.2004.00255.x>
- [20] Donabedian, A. (2003) An Introduction to Quality Assurance in Health Care. Oxford University Press, New York.