Evaluation of Pollution Sources of Zinc in Tokyo Bay Based on Zinc Isotope Ratio in Sediment Core
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Abstract
This study evaluated the sources of Zn pollution in Tokyo Bay, Japan, on the basis of δ⁶⁶Zn in a sediment core. The Zn concentration in sediments in the 1980s-2000s was considerably higher than the background concentration, suggesting that there remain important sources of Zn in the bay. The δ⁶⁶Zn (+0.51‰) of anthropogenic Zn estimated in the core was significantly higher than those (approximately −0.1‰ - +0.2‰) of treated water from sewage treatment plants and vehicle-related sources. A large number of electroplating plants are located in Tokyo areas. It is assumed that Zn in effluents from electroplating operations is isotopically heavier owing to a negative isotopic effect on Zn electroplating. This tends to support the hypothesis that river bottom sediments, which were contaminated with Zn in the untreated effluents from electroplating plants in the past, are the principal sources of anthropogenic Zn in Tokyo Bay in the 1980s-2000s.
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1. Introduction
Aquatic regions, which are particularly surrounded by populated and industrialized areas, easily accumulate toxic trace substances, including heavy metals, organochlorine compounds (e.g., PCBs and dioxins), and other compounds of anthropogenic origin. Diagenetic remobilization of sulfide-forming metals, including Cd, Cu, Hg, Pb, and Zn, in sediments is considered negligible owing to
their fixation as sulfides under anoxic conditions (Kitano et al., 1980; Sakata, 1985; Canavan et al., 2007). Hence, the history of pollution by these metals has frequently been recorded in sediment cores from closed aquatic regions such as bays and lakes. In developed countries, a downward historical trend of their pollution has been generally observed in the cores owing to pollution control in industries and utilities (e.g., Hirao et al., 1986; Pearson et al., 1997; Fox et al., 1999; Hornberger et al., 1999; Yasuhara & Yamazaki, 2005; Heim et al., 2004; Mahler et al., 2006; Sakata et al., 2008; Hosono et al., 2010). Among heavy metals, however, zinc (Zn) concentration in sediments in recent years is significantly higher than the background concentration (Mahler et al., 2006; Sakata et al., 2008; Thapalia et al., 2010, 2015). Thus, Zn is still an important pollutant in aquatic environments even in developed countries, suggesting that there remain major sources of Zn. Clarifying the sources in aquatic environments is essential for achieving the effective reduction of the environmental risks associated with Zn and also the conservation of ecosystems (Tang et al., 2014).

Zn originates from various point and nonpoint sources, including waste-burning, power generation, refining, and the manufacturing and disposal of products such as galvanized steel, rubber, cement, fertilizer, medicines, and cosmetics (Thapalia et al., 2015). Particularly in urban environments, stormwater runoff has been also recognized as a major nonpoint source owing to an increase in flow that mobilizes various pollutants (e.g., atmospheric deposition, vehicle exhausts and tires, and road debris) deposited on the land surface and river bottom (e.g., Characklis & Wiesner, 1997; Sansalone & Buchberger, 1997; Brown & Peake, 2005; Westerlund & Viklander, 2006; Eckley & Branfireum, 2008; Kang et al., 2009; Sakata et al., 2010).

Zinc isotope ratio, such as $^{66}$Zn/$^{64}$Zn (expressed as $\delta^{66}$Zn), may provide useful information on the sources of Zn in environmental samples when each source of Zn has a distinctive isotope ratio. Thapalia et al. (2010) measured $\delta^{66}$Zn to identify the sources of Zn in a sediment core from Lake Ballinger near Seattle, USA. The upward historical trend of Zn concentration and conversely the downward historical trend of $\delta^{66}$Zn were observed in the core since approximately 1900. These trends reflect the effects of atmospheric emissions from a nearby smelter and rapid urbanization of the watershed. Urban sources of Zn were dominant since the smelter closed in the 1980s, and the $\delta^{66}$Zn measured in tire samples suggested that tire wear is a likely source of Zn. Moreover, Thapalia et al. (2015) measured Zn concentration and $\delta^{66}$Zn in sediment cores collected from eight lakes and reservoirs across the US. The relationship between Zn concentration and $\delta^{66}$Zn indicated that the lakes and reservoirs with greater than 70% urban land use in the watershed are affected by large amounts of Zn from primarily vehicle-related sources such as tire wear and emissions. On the other hand, Chen et al. (2008) reported that dissolved Zn in the Seine River, France, is essentially of anthropogenic origin (>90%) rather than natural sources (<7%), and that roof leaching from Paris conurbations is a major source of Zn. The above-mentioned
anthropogenic sources of Zn are characterized by $\delta^{66}$Zn values of +0.05‰ - 0.10‰ lower than those (+0.35‰ - 0.43‰) of the natural sources (Chen et al., 2008; Thapalia et al., 2015). However, whether this is common in aquatic regions polluted by Zn remains to be clarified, because there are few data.

In this study, we evaluated the pollution sources of Zn in Tokyo Bay (Figure 1), Japan, on the basis of $\delta^{66}$Zn in a sediment core. It should be noted that the historical trend of pollution sources of Pb in Tokyo Bay was already investigated on the basis of Pb isotope ratios in the same core (Sakata et al., 2018). Tokyo Bay has an area of 960 km$^2$ and a mean depth of 15 m. The bay is surrounded by a densely populated (approximately 26 million) and highly industrialized area. Many anthropogenic sources, including steel mills, petrochemical plants, and municipal solid waste incinerators, are located in its coastal regions. Sakata et al. (2008) reported the historical trend of pollution by As, Cd, Cr, Cu, Hg, and Pb recorded in a sediment core dated with $^{210}$Pb. The results showed that the pollution levels in Tokyo Bay increased abruptly after about 1950, reaching their maximum in the early 1970s, during which time, pollution control in industries and utilities started in earnest. For some metals such as Zn and Cd, however, their pollution levels in sediments after the 1980s were significantly higher than the background levels (unpublished data from the same authors for Zn). Moreover, Sakata et al. (2008) indicated that the contribution of atmospheric deposition of As, Cd, Cr, Cu, Hg, and Pb to the bay ranges from 5% to 26% on the basis of a mass balance study, suggesting the importance of river and effluent discharges as the sources of their metals. Unfortunately, there is no data on the mass balance of Zn in Tokyo Bay due to the contamination of samples by Zn. However, we believe that the contribution of the atmospheric deposition of Zn to the bay is significantly smaller than those of river and effluent discharges on the basis of a mass balance study of other heavy metals. This is likely supported by the finding that the Zn concentration decreases rapidly with increasing distance from the mouth of principal rivers flowing into the bay (Terashima et al., 2007). To obtain data from river and effluent discharges, we measured Zn concentration and $\delta^{66}$Zn in water samples from three principal rivers, namely, Edogawa, Arakawa, and Tama and treated water samples from sewage treatment plants located along the Tama River (Figure 1).

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Sampling

A sediment core was collected by a diver by inserting an acrylic plastic tube with a diameter of 20 cm and a length of 100 cm into the sediment at a site in Tokyo Bay (N35°34.06', E139°54.32', Figure 1) in December 2003 (Sakata et al., 2006). The core was immediately cut vertically into 2-cm-thick sections for chemical analyses. The sediment samples were dried at 80°C in an oven and then ground. The age of the sediment core was determined by Sakata et al. (2006) by the $^{210}$Pb dating method.
River water samples were collected from the three principal rivers using a Teflon sampler on bridges near estuaries (Figure 1). This sampler is entirely made of Teflon, which makes it possible to prevent the contamination and adsorption of Zn in the samples. In general, sampling was conducted every month from January 2004 to March 2005 during ordinary runoff and low tide on the same date of each month. In contrast, treated water samples from sewage treatment plants were collected in July 2016 from an outfall of the three plants located along Tama River (Figure 1). To the water samples, HNO$_3$ (0.13 - 0.26 mol·L$^{-1}$) was added to dissolve the bulk of Zn associated with suspended particles.

2.2. Chemical Analyses

2.2.1. Sediment Samples

For the determination of the Zn concentration in sediment samples (a total of 26), 0.25 g of a dry sample was digested using HF-HNO$_3$-HClO$_4$. After evaporation to dryness, the residue was dissolved in 10 mL of 0.1 mol·L$^{-1}$ HNO$_3$. The Zn concentration in this solution was measured by inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectrometry (ICP-AES; Varian 730ES).

The $\delta^{66}$Zn values in sediment samples (a total of 10 selected) were measured by multiple collector ICP mass spectrometry (MC-ICP-MS; Nu Plasma 500, Nu Instrument) using the above sample solution in which Zn isotopes were purified in advance by a column chromatography technique (Borrok et al., 2007) as follows. The column was loaded with approximately 0.5 mL (length, ~20 mm; diameter, ~6 mm) of 100 - 200 mesh AG MP-1 anion-exchange resin (Bio-Rad'). The resin was then sufficiently rinsed using 2 mol·L$^{-1}$ HCl and Milli-Q water and conditioned using 1 mol·L$^{-1}$ HCl. The sample solution (0.1 mol·L$^{-1}$ HNO$_3$) ob-
tained from sediment digestion was evaporated to dryness, and the resulting residue was dissolved in 1 mol·L\(^{-1}\) HCl. This solution was loaded onto the resin bed. The required volume of sample evaporated was calculated on the basis of the initial Zn concentration in a sample to obtain a Zn concentration of 100 μg·L\(^{-1}\) which enables isotope analysis with good precision (described later). Zn was eluted by loading 6 mL of Milli-Q water after 4 mL of 1 mol·L\(^{-1}\) HCl was passed through the column. This separation process was repeated three times to minimize the effects of impurities on Zn isotope analysis. The recovery rate of Zn through the separation process was higher than 95%. Moreover, the total Zn blank level from the separation process was less than 30 ng, the contribution of which to the samples was less than 3%. Hence, no correction for blank contribution was made in this study.

All the samples (1% HNO\(_3\) solution) were prepared to obtain a Zn concentration of 100 μg·L\(^{-1}\) for isotope analysis and spiked with 100 μg·L\(^{-1}\) Cu (\(^{65}\)Cu/\(^{63}\)Cu = 0.4456; NIST SRM 976) to correct the mass discrimination effect by an external correction technique using Cu (Ohno et al., 2005). A correction of \(^{64}\)Ni concentration is required to eliminate spectral interference overlapping with \(^{64}\)Zn. This correction was carried out by monitoring the abundance of \(^{62}\)Ni, assuming natural isotopic abundances. There was a difference of –0.043‰ - +0.011‰ between the original and corrected δ\(^{66}\)Zn values of the samples after the correction of \(^{64}\)Ni concentration. The Zn isotope ratio of the solution of a reference material IRMM-3702, whose Zn concentration was adjusted to be the same as that of a sample (i.e., 100 μg·L\(^{-1}\) ), was measured before and after the isotope ratio measurement of the sample. The Zn isotope ratio of the sample was normalized to the mean isotope ratio of IRMM-3702. The mean reproducibility (2σ) of δ\(^{66}\)Zn in all the samples was 0.07‰. A mass dependence plot of δ\(^{68}\)Zn versus δ\(^{66}\)Zn showed that there is a relation of δ\(^{68}\)Zn = 2.0 δ\(^{66}\)Zn + 0.12 (\(r^2 = 0.92, p < 0.001\)). This demonstrates that spectral interferences are not present for these masses. Finally, δ\(^{66}\)Zn was recalculated with respect to the isotope ratio of a reference material JMC Lyon, which is commonly used, but no longer available, on the basis of the calibration of the IRMM-3702 against the JMC Lyon (δ\(^{66}\)Zn\(_{JMC\,Lyon}\) = δ\(^{66}\)Zn\(_{IRMM-3702}\) + 0.29‰; Moeller et al., 2012).

2.2.2. Water Samples

The acidified samples from rivers (15 samples collected every month for each river) and sewage treatment plants (3 samples) were passed through a 0.45 μm membrane filter to remove particles. Similarly to the case of sediment samples, the Zn concentration and δ\(^{66}\)Zn in these samples were measured by ICP-AES and MC-ICP-MS, respectively. For the determination of δ\(^{66}\)Zn in the river water samples, one sample collected in each season (4 samples) was selected for each river. Moreover, the Al concentration in the filtrated samples was measured by ICP-AES to use as an indicator of lithogenic particles originating from soil or river bottom sediments.
3. Results

3.1. Vertical Profiles of Zn Concentration and $\delta^{66}$Zn in Sediment Core

The Zn concentration in the sediment core is plotted as a function of time based on $^{210}$Pb dating in Figure 2. The Zn consumption from 1950 to 2000 in Japan (https://www.aist-riss.jp/wp-content/uploads/2014/10/Zn_Summary.pdf) is also given in the figure. The background concentration of Zn in Tokyo Bay sediments is approximately 80 μg·g$^{-1}$ (Kitano et al., 1980), showing that Zn pollution in Tokyo Bay started before 1880. The Zn concentration increased abruptly after about 1950, and then decreased from the late 1970s to the 1980s. On the other hand, the Zn consumption in Japan increased abruptly from about 1950 to the mid-1970s, and tended to decrease gradually from about 1990. It is likely that the enhanced release of Zn into the water environment associated with the Zn consumption worsened the Zn pollution from about 1950 to the mid-1970s in Tokyo Bay. After that time, the Zn concentration in the core decreased, but that (400 μg·g$^{-1}$) in the surface layer (2001-2003) of the core is still sufficiently high, which is similar to the concentration in the 1960s. Thus, the Zn pollution in Tokyo Bay is in progress at a higher level even after the 1980s, during which time, pollution control in industries and utilities was being established. This implies that important anthropogenic sources contribute to the Zn pollution in Tokyo Bay.

Figure 2. Vertical profile of Zn concentration in sediment core as a function of time. The Zn consumption from 1950 to 2000 in Japan (https://www.aist-riss.jp/wp-content/uploads/2014/10/Zn_Summary.pdf) is also given in the figure.

Figure 3 shows the vertical profile of $\delta^{66}$Zn (mean ± 2σ) in the sediment core as a function of time. There was no marked change in the $\delta^{66}$Zn in the core in 1900-1940. After this period, however, $\delta^{66}$Zn increased gradually and reached a maximum around 1970, and then $\delta^{66}$Zn decreased from the late 1970s to the 1980s. Thus, the vertical profile of $\delta^{66}$Zn in the core is similar to that of Zn concentration. This suggests that anthropogenic sources, which brought about the rapid increase in Zn concentration since about 1950, are characterized by high $\delta^{66}$Zn values.
3.2. Zn Concentration and $\delta^{66}$Zn in River Water and Treated Water from Sewage Treatment Plants

The Zn concentrations (mean ± 1σ) in water samples from three principal rivers were 22 ± 15 μg·L$^{-1}$ for Edogawa River, 18 ± 8 μg·L$^{-1}$ for Arakawa River, and 13 ± 4 μg·L$^{-1}$ for Tama River. In contrast, the Zn concentration (mean ± 1σ) in the treated water samples from sewage treatment plants located along the Tama River was 37 ± 10 μg·L$^{-1}$. Thus, the Zn concentration in the treated water from sewage treatment plants was higher than those in the river water, suggesting that the treated water from sewage treatment plants contributes to Zn pollution in the rivers. 

**Figure 4** shows that there is a significant correlation (r$^2 = 0.71$, p < 0.001) between the concentrations of Zn and Al in the three rivers. Hence, it is likely that the Zn concentration in the rivers increases with increasing amount of lithogenic particles. This suggests that Zn is transported through rivers primarily in particulate form associated with soil or river bottom sediments. Moreover, **Figure 4** indicates that particles in the rivers are highly polluted by Zn, because the Zn/Al concentration ratio in the rivers is markedly higher than that in the upper crust of Japan (Zn, 74.1 μg·g$^{-1}$; Al, 77,600 μg·g$^{-1}$; Togashi et al., 2000).

The relationship between Zn concentration and $\delta^{66}$Zn in the rivers is shown in **Figure 5**, which indicates that $\delta^{66}$Zn increases with increasing Zn concentration in the rivers ($r^2 = 0.59$, p < 0.01). As mentioned earlier, it is probable that the Zn concentration in the rivers increases with increasing amount of lithogenic particles. This implies that Zn associated with soil or river bottom sediments has a relatively high $\delta^{66}$Zn (probably $> +0.4\%o$). On the other hand, the $\delta^{66}$Zn values in the treated water from sewage treatment plants were relatively low, ranging from +0.05‰ to +0.11‰ (mean ± 2σ 0.08‰ ± 0.06‰), which were close to the values ($-0.03\%o$ - $+0.08\%o$) reported for the plant-treated wastewaters in the Paris area (Chen et al., 2008). It appears that Zn in the rivers originates primarily from two sources, namely, soil or river bottom sediments highly polluted by Zn ($\delta^{66}$Zn: $>+0.4\%o$) and the treated water from sewage treatment plants ($\delta^{66}$Zn: approximately $-0.2\%o$ - $+0.1\%o$). Thus, the $\delta^{66}$Zn of the rivers may depend on the mixing of these two sources.
Figure 4. Relationship between concentrations of Zn and Al in three principal rivers. The figure indicates that the Zn/Al concentration ratio in the rivers is markedly higher than that in the upper crust of Japan (Zn, 74.1 μg·g⁻¹; Al, 77,600 μg·g⁻¹; Togashi et al., 2000).

Figure 5. Relationship between δ⁶⁶Zn (mean ± 2σ) and Zn concentration in three principal rivers.

As mentioned in section 2.2.2, we measured the concentration and δ⁶⁶Zn of dissolved Zn plus particulate Zn in river water. On the basis of our previous study of the Tama River (Sakata et al., 2010), the ratio of particulate Zn was approximately 20% at the total Zn concentration of 10 μg·L⁻¹, and increased abruptly with increasing total Zn concentration. Hence, it is assumed that Zn in river water, which was measured in this study, exists mostly in particulate form. On the other hand, Chen et al. (2008) measured the concentration and δ⁶⁶Zn of only dissolved Zn in the Seine River. The measured Zn concentrations ranged from approximately 20 - 70 nmol·L⁻¹ (1 - 5 μg·L⁻¹), which corresponded to only 1/10 of the concentrations measured in this study (Figure 4). Chen et al. (2008) reported that leaching from roofs in Paris conurbation is a major source of dissolved Zn. However, there is a possibility of other sources of particulate Zn in the river, because this Zn is affected directly by soil or river bottom sediments.
4. Discussion

As mentioned in section 3.1, it is probable that anthropogenic sources, which brought about the rapid increase in Zn concentration since about 1950, are characterized by high δ⁶⁶Zn values. To simplify our examination, we assumed that anthropogenic Zn with high δ⁶⁶Zn has been added to background Zn in sediments. Then, the δ⁶⁶Zn of anthropogenic Zn in the sediment core was estimated using the two-component end-member equation commonly and widely utilized.

\[
\begin{align*}
Z_{nT} &= Z_{nA} + Z_{nB} \\
\delta^{66}\text{Zn}_{T} &= \delta^{66}\text{Zn}_{A} \left( \frac{Z_{nA}}{Z_{nT}} \right) + \delta^{66}\text{Zn}_{B} \left( \frac{Z_{nB}}{Z_{nT}} \right)
\end{align*}
\]

where \(Z_{nT}\), \(Z_{nA}\), and \(Z_{nB}\) are the concentrations of total Zn, anthropogenic Zn, and background Zn in sediments, respectively. Similarly, \(\delta^{66}\text{Zn}_{T}\), \(\delta^{66}\text{Zn}_{A}\), and \(\delta^{66}\text{Zn}_{B}\) are the \(\delta^{66}\text{Zn}\) of total Zn, anthropogenic Zn, and background Zn in sediments, respectively. Combining Equations (1) and (2) gives Equation (3).

\[
\delta^{66}\text{Zn}_{T} = \frac{1}{Z_{nT}} Z_{nB} \left( \delta^{66}\text{Zn}_{B} - \delta^{66}\text{Zn}_{A} \right) + \delta^{66}\text{Zn}_{A}
\]

Thus, a plot of \(\delta^{66}\text{Zn}_{T}\) versus \(1/Z_{nT}\) should yield a straight line with a y-intercept of \(\delta^{66}\text{Zn}_{A}\). This allows us to estimate \(\delta^{66}\text{Zn}_{A}\), that is, the \(\delta^{66}\text{Zn}\) of anthropogenic Zn in the sediment core.

Figure 6 shows a plot of \(\delta^{66}\text{Zn}_{T}\) versus \(1/Z_{nT}\), resulting in a straight line between the parameters \((r^2 = 0.82, p < 0.001)\). The y-intercept of the line gives +0.51‰ as the \(\delta^{66}\text{Zn}\) of anthropogenic Zn in the sediment core. To the best of our knowledge, such a high \(\delta^{66}\text{Zn}\) will be the first report for anthropogenic Zn in aquatic regions. This \(\delta^{66}\text{Zn}\) is much higher than those (+0.05‰ - 0.11‰) of the treated water from sewage treatment plants measured in this study. Vehicle-related sources, such as tire wear and vehicle emissions, are the dominant sources of Zn in urban watersheds with high traffic (Thapalia et al., 2010, 2015). However, these sources are characterized by low \(\delta^{66}\text{Zn}\) values (+0.05‰; Thapalia et al., 2015). In addition, Thapalia et al. (2010) reported that the \(\delta^{66}\text{Zn}\) of tire samples \((n = 5)\) ranges from -0.10‰ to +0.14‰. We also confirmed that the \(\delta^{66}\text{Zn}\) values of dust samples \((n = 3)\) collected in road tunnels in Shizuoka City, Japan are significantly lower (-0.04‰ - +0.29‰; unpublished data by M. Sakata). Hence, Zn from vehicle-related sources cannot explain the high \(\delta^{66}\text{Zn}\) of anthropogenic Zn in the sediments from Tokyo Bay.

It was described previously that the Zn consumption in Japan increased abruptly from 1950 to the mid-1970s, and then tended to decrease gradually from about 1990 (Figure 2). Within the total Zn consumption, the consumption related to electroplating accounted for approximately 60% - 70% (https://www.aist-rris.jp/wp-content/uploads/2014/10/Zn_Summary.pdf). A large number of electroplating plants locates currently in the Tokyo area (312 plants; http://www.tmk.or.jp/). This makes it possible to expect that effluent discharges from electroplating plants contribute to Zn pollution in Tokyo Bay.
At present, the public sewerage system is almost completely established in the Tokyo area, and effluents from electroplating plants are required to connect to the public sewerage system after treatment to conform to effluent standards. Hence, there will be no direct effluent discharges from electroplating plants into rivers. However, it should be considered that the untreated effluents from electroplating plants located in the Tokyo area may have been discharged directly into rivers during the time when pollution control was not sufficiently established yet.

In this study, we did not measure the $\delta^{66}$Zn values of untreated effluent samples from electroplating plants in the Tokyo area, because it was difficult to obtain such samples. Thus, available $\delta^{66}$Zn data for untreated effluent samples from electroplating plants are limited; however, Kurata et al. (2011) reported relatively high $\delta^{66}$Zn values of +2.56‰ and +0.28‰ for samples collected from two plants in the Tokyo area, in which the difference is probably caused by the $\delta^{66}$Zn of Zn ores as raw materials. Moreover, Kurata et al. (2011) showed that the $\delta^{66}$Zn of treated effluents decreases owing to isotopic fractionation during coagulation processes of effluents. John et al. (2007) found that electroplated Zn metal is isotopically lighter than common Zn metal, which is consistent with previous experimental results showing that there is a negative isotopic effect on Zn electroplating. Conversely, this finding suggests that Zn in the effluents from electroplating operations is isotopically heavier and consequently has higher $\delta^{66}$Zn values (John et al., 2007). Thus, Zn in the untreated effluents from electroplating plants may explain the high $\delta^{66}$Zn of anthropogenic Zn in the sediments from Tokyo Bay.

If this is the case, it is assumed that the untreated effluents from electroplating plants located in the Tokyo area were discharged directly into rivers before the 1970s when pollution control was not sufficiently established, and contributed significantly to Zn pollution in Tokyo Bay. On the basis of the measurement of Zn concentration in river bottom sediments (dredged samples collected around 2000) in the Tokyo area (Figure 7), the bottom sediments from the three...
principal rivers in urban sites have high Zn concentrations, exceeding the concentration (400 μg·g⁻¹) in surface sediments from Tokyo Bay. As mentioned in Section 3.2, it is likely that the Zn concentration in the three principal rivers increases with increasing amount of lithogenic particles (Figure 4), and Zn associated with those particles has relatively high δ⁶⁶Zn (probably > +0.4‰). In this study, the Zn concentration and δ⁶⁶Zn in the rivers were measured primarily in ordinary runoff with low flow rates. In contrast, it is assumed that larger amounts of river bottom sediments are resuspended at higher flow rates during heavy rainfall, and discharged to the bay through river runoff. Thus, it is suggested that river bottom sediments contaminated with Zn are the principal sources of anthropogenic Zn with relatively high δ⁶⁶Zn (+0.51‰) in Tokyo Bay in the 1980s-2000s, during which time pollution control in industries and utilities was being established.

5. Conclusion

In this study, we evaluated the pollution sources of Zn in Tokyo Bay on the basis of δ⁶⁶Zn in a sediment core. The results presented here support the hypothesis that the anthropogenic sources, which brought about the rapid increase in the Zn concentration in Tokyo Bay since about 1950, are the untreated effluents from electroplating plants located in the Tokyo area. Moreover, it is supposed that river bottom sediments, which were contaminated with Zn in the untreated effluents from electroplating plants in the past, are the principal sources of anthropogenic Zn in Tokyo Bay in the 1980s-2000s, during which time, pollution control in industries and utilities was being established. This suggests that the present pollution level of Zn has been maintained in Tokyo Bay over a long time, which may be a reason why the Zn concentration in the surface layer (2001-2003) of the core is still significantly higher than the background concentration. A similar result showing that contaminated river bottom sediments are the principal pollution sources in Tokyo Bay has been obtained for Pb pollution (Sakata et al., 2018). Thus, dredging channels may be an effective countermea-
sure for reducing the loads of toxic substances such as heavy metals in Tokyo Bay.
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