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#### Abstract

The objectives of this study were to identify the antioxidants in acid hydrolysates of six of Taiwan's indigenous pur-ple-leaved vegetables (IPLV), including purple-leaved sweet potato (Ipomoea batatas L. Lamark), purple-leaved gynura line 1 (Gynura bicolor D.C.), purple-leaved gynura line 2 (Gynura bicolor D.C.), purple-leaved perilla line 1 (Perilla frutescents L. Britton), bicolored-leaved perilla line 2 (Perilla frutescens L. Britton), and heartleaf houttuynia (Houttuynia cordata Thumb.). Their antioxidant activity and ability to protect DNA from oxidative damage was also evaluated. The antioxidants identified included flavonoids, anthocyanidins and flavonols. Both purple-leaved perilla line 1 and bicolored-leaved perilla line 2 were found to be abundant in cynidin, malvidin, and myricetin. Moreover, pur-ple-leaved sweet potato and heartleaf houttuynia were found to be abundant in quercetin. Purple-leaved sweet potato and purple-leaved perilla line 1 contained significantly higher inhibition percentages of conjugated diene formation than other vegetables. Purple-leaved sweet potato, heartleaf houttuynia, purple-leaved perilla line 1, and bicolored-leaved perilla line 2 had better inhibition percentages of tail DNA \% and tail moment in the study. In conclusion, the abovementioned four indigenous purple-leaved vegetables abounded in antioxidants had strong antioxidant activity for protecting DNA in lymphocytes from oxidative damages.
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## 1. Introduction

Many epidemiological studies suggest that increased consumption of vegetables, fruits, and other foods that contain antioxidants can protect against DNA damage and carcinogenesis [1-3]. Flavonoids such as flavonols, flavanols, and anthocyanins have strong antioxidative efficiencies and are common in vegetables and fruits. The antioxidant $\alpha$-tocopherol decreases TPA-induced DNA fragmentation and the occurrence of carcinogenesis [4-6]. Hence, a number of phytochemicals commonly used in research have antioxidant activity that can protect cells from ROS-mediated DNA damage, resulting in mutation

[^0]and subsequent carcinogenesis [7-11]. Cao [12] indicated that increased consumption of vegetables and fruits increases the plasma antioxidant capacity in human beings. Several vegetables, such as garlic, kale, spinach, Brussels sprouts, broccoli, red bell pepper, beets, corn, and eggplant, have antioxidant activity against hydroxyl radicals [13]. Some common vegetables, such as sweet potato (purple- and green-leaved) and onion outer layers, abound in quercetin and myricetin, scavenge DPPH, superoxide, and hydroxyl radicals, and inhibit lipid peroxidation [14].

Some of Taiwan's indigenous vegetables, such as leafy sweet potato, perilla, Chinese knotweed, Gracilaria tenuistipitata, lettuce, pea shoot, and gynura are favored by Taiwanese as functional vegetables. Some health ef-
fects of vegetables have been reported including antioxidation [15-17], cholesterol lowering [18], inhibition of NO formation [19], and blood pressure reduction [20,21]. Previously, we also demonstrated that purple-leaved sweet potato exhibits free radical scavenging and has high polyphenolic content [22]. However, these studies focused on vitamins and glutathione contents, preventing microsomal lipid peroxidation, lowing plasma and liver lipid peroxidation, and preventing DNA from oxidative damage. Limited information is available regarding composition and antioxidant activity, and its relation to efficacy or ability to prevent oxidative damage to DNA of these indigenous purple vegetables, except for the purple-leaved sweet potato [22]. The objective of this study was to evaluate the antioxidant components, antioxidant activity, and the extent to which acid hydrolysates of six Taiwan indigenous vegetables with purple leaves could protect DNA in human lymphocytes from oxidative damage induced by $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$. Our study explores the relationship between the composition and content of flavonols and anthocyanidin with antioxidative efficiency, and prevention of DNA oxidative damage afforded by indigenous pur-ple-leaved vegetables.

## 2. Materials and Methods

### 2.1. Chemicals

Methanol, ethanol, acetone, hydrochloric acid, di-sodium hydrogen phosphate, potassium dihydrogen phosphate, formic acid, $\mathrm{NaCl}, \mathrm{KCl}$, Tris- HCl , and dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) were purchased from Merck Co. (Darmstadt, Germany). Linoleic acid, MgCl , d-glucose, calcium choloride dihydrate, EDTA, Tris (hydroxymethyl) aminomethane (Tris base), sodium lauryl sarcosinate, and ethidium bromide were purchased from Sigma Chemical Co (St Louis, MO, USA). Myricetin, morin, quercetin, kaempferol, cynidin, and malvidin were purchased from ROTH (Rheinzabern, Denmark). Ficoll-Paque was purchased from Amersham Biosciences (Uppsala, Sweden). Low melting gel agrose and triton X-100 were purchased from BDH (Poole, England). Normal melting gel agarose was purchased from Pantech Instruments (Darmstadt, Germany).

### 2.2. Vegetables

The vegetables we tested were purple-leaved sweet potato (Ipomoea batatas L. Lamark), purple-leaved gynura line 1 (Gynura bicolor DC.), purple-leaved gynura line 2 (Gynura bicolor DC.), purple-leaved perilla line 1 (Perilla frutescents L. Britton), bicolored-leaved perilla line 2 (Perilla frutescens L. Britton), and heartleaf houttuynia (Houttuynia cordata Thumb.). Green-leaved sweet potato (Ipomoea batatas L. Lamarck) was used as an indi-
genous green-leaved vegetable control. These purple indigenous vegetables were generously provided by Dr. T. R. Chang, Taoyuan District Agricultural Research and Extension Station Council of Agriculture, Executive Yuan, Taiwan.

### 2.3. Preparation of Vegetables Extract

The edible portion of a vegetable was weighed, lyophilized, and ground to powder. Each lyophilized vegetable powder was prepared according to Justesen et al. [23] with modifications as follows: 10 ml of $62.5 \%$ aqueous methanol containing BHT ( $2 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{l}$ ) was added to 1.25 g lyophilized samples, followed by adding 5 ml of 6 M HCl to bring up total volume to 12.5 ml . The final mixture consisted of 1.2 M HCl in $50 \%$ aqueous methanol. The extraction mixture was thereafter heated to $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a steam bath and refluxed for 2 h , allowed to cool in the refrigerator, sonicated for 5 min , and diluted to 50 ml with methanol to form the final extract. The acid hydrolysates methanolic extract was ready for HPLC and inhibition of conjugated diene formation in the linoleic acid assay.

### 2.4. Anthocyanidin Assay

The acid hydrolysates methanolic extract was used for anthocyanidin assays. Anthocyanidin was quantified according to the method of Mancinelli [24], and absorbances at 657 nm and 530 nm were measured.

### 2.5. Flavonoids Assay

The acid hydrolysates methanolic extract was used for flavonoids assays. Flavonoids were determined according to the method of Geissman [25], and absorbance at 540 nm was measured in supernatants.

### 2.6. Flanonols and Anthocyanidins Analysis

One ml of acid hydrolysates methanolic extract was filtered through a $0.45 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ filter prior to $20 \mu \mathrm{l}$ injection into the HPLC. Samples were analyzed with a Spectra SYSTEM UV6000LP Photodiode Array Detection System (Thermo Separation Products, San Jose, USA) and an ODS column ( $250 \times 4.6 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$; YMC, ODS-A, YMC Co., Kyoto, Japan). The mobile phase consisted of methanol-water ( $30: 70, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) with $1 \%$ formic acid and $100 \%$ methanol. The gradient was $25 \%-74 \%$ methanol in 40 min at a flow rate of $0.75 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$. Spectra were recorded at 365 and 528 nm for flavonol and anthocyanidin determination, respectively [23].

### 2.7. Inhibition of Conjugated Diene Formation in Linoleic Acid Emulsion Autoxidation System

The inhibition of conjugated diene formation was deter-
mined according to Mitsuda et al. [26]. Briefly, an aliquot of 0.1 ml of each diluted vegetable methanolic extract or blank was added to 2 ml of 10 mM linoleic acid emulsion ( pH 6.6 ), mixed well, and incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 h . A sample of 0.2 ml for a 0 and 15 h incubation period was mixed with 7 ml of $80 \%$ methanol, followed by measuring the absorbance at 234 nm .

### 2.8. Isolated Human Peripheral Blood Lymphocytes

Blood samples were obtained from six donors, including four male and two female healthy non-smokers, 24 to 48 years old. Fresh whole blood ( $20-30 \mathrm{ml}$ ) from volunteers was taken with informed consent, and lymphocytes were isolated using a separation solution kit supplemented with Ficoll-Paque Plus lymphocyte isolation sterile solution (Pharmacia Biotech, Sweden) [27]. Cells were harvested within 1 day of blood samples taken, and cultured with AIM V medium containing serum-free lymphocyte medium (Gibco Invitrogen, USA) in a humidified atmosphere of $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ in air at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 h .

### 2.9. Cell-Viability Testing

After culturing, lymphocytes were exposed to each of seven different vegetable extracts, including six purple-leaved and one green-leaved. Each lymphocyte was used at three concentrations ( 25,50 , and $100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ ) for 30 min at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. DNA damage was induced by exposing lymphocytes to $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(10 \mu \mathrm{M})$ for 5 min on ice. Treatment on ice minimized the possibility of cellular DNA repair after $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ injury. Cells were centrifuged ( $100 \times \mathrm{g}$ for 10 min ), washed, and resuspended in the same medium as the Comet assay. All experiments were carried out in triplicate. Cell viability was tested using the tetrazolium/formazan (MTT) assay [28] both prior to and after either vegetable extract or $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ treatment.

### 2.10. DNA Single-Strand-Break Damage Estimation Using the Comet Assay

The standard Comet assay was performed as described in Szeto et al. [10]. Acid hydrolysates from six indigenous purple-leaved vegetables were used for the Comet assay, plus green-leaved sweet potato as a control. Briefly, 0.1 ml diluted extract aliquots with 1 ml lymphocyte suspension containing $20,000 / \mathrm{ml}$ were incubated for 30 min at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a dark incubator together with untreated samples. After pre-incubation, samples were incubated with 10 $\mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ for 5 min together with untreated samples. Samples were centrifuged at 80 g for 10 min at $18^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and lymphocyte samples were resuspended in 0.25 ml of PBS and mixed well with 0.5 ml of low melting point agarose $(0.5 \%)$. A 0.1 ml sample of the mixture was embedded
on the top layer of a frosted slide with the normal agarose $(0.65 \%)$ on the bottom layer, and covered with a cover glass in duplicate. After solidifying in a refrigerator for 30 min , only the cover glass was removed. Slides were dipped in the final lysing solution, which was freshly prepared with $1 \%$ Triton X-100 and $10 \%$ DMSO in 0.01 M Tris buffer with 1\% sodium lauroyl sarcosinate, 2.5 M NaCl , and 0.1 M EDTA at pH 10 and $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h in the dark. Slides were removed from the lysing solution and their surfaces washed slightly with Milli-Q water. They were then put on the anode side of the electrophoresis tank.

Electrophoresis buffer $(0.3 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaOH}$ and 1 mM $\mathrm{Na}_{2}$ EDTA, pH 13 ) was loaded up to 0.25 cm beyond the surface of the slides and left for about 20 min in the dark to unwind the DNA. Electrophoresis was started at a constant current of 300 mA and 25 V for 15 min . The surfaces of the slides were washed slightly with Milli-Q water, and after blotting the slides dry, drip neutralization buffer ( 0.4 M Tris- $\mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{pH} 7.5$ ) was applied to the whole surfaces of the slides and left for 15 min to dry the buffer. These neutralization steps were repeated 3 times. Buffers on the slides were removed by edge-blotting with absorbent paper. A $50 \mu \mathrm{l}$ staining solution ( $20 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ ethidium bromide) was dripped onto it and the slide was banked to spread it over the whole surface, and then covered with a cover glass. The cover glass was stable after 30 min . Flu-orescence-stained slides were then examined under a Zeiss-Axiovert 100 fluorescence microscope (Zwiss, Germany) at 400x VisCOMET (version 1.6, Impuls GmbH, Germany) was used to analyze the DNA damage by tail DNA\% ((total brightness of tail area/total brightness of total area) $\times 100 \%$ ) and moment (tail length $\times$ tail DNA\%). Twenty spots from each slide were read to get the value for each treatment. Inhibition percentage of tail DNA\% and moment were calculated relative to the 10 $\mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ treated group.

### 2.11. Statistical Analysis

Data were analyzed by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA), and the significance between means by the least significant difference (LSD) test. Pearson's linear correlation was carried for correlation analysis.

## 3. Results and Discussion

### 3.1. Antioxidant Composition and Antioxidant Activity

The results in Table 1 show that anthocyanidins were abundant in both Perilla and purple-leaved sweet potato. Flavonoids were abundant in purple-leaved sweet potato, purple-leaved perilla line 1, bicolored-leaved perilla line 2, and purple-leaved gynura lines 1 and 2. Myricetin was abundant in purple-leaved perilla line 1 and bicolored-

Table 1. The content of various antioxidative substances in acid hydrolysates of Taiwan-indigenous purple-leaved vegetables.

| Vegetables | Flavonoids <br> $\left(\mathrm{A}_{540} / \mathrm{g} \mathrm{DW}\right)$ | Anthocyanidins <br> $\left(\mathrm{A}_{530}-0.33 \mathrm{~A}_{657} / \mathrm{g} \mathrm{DW}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Green-leaved sweet potato <br> (as control) | $24.93 \pm 2.66^{\mathrm{b}}$ | $7.46 \pm 0.32^{\mathrm{e}}$ |
| Purple-leaved sweet potato | $60.96 \pm 2.07^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $257.85 \pm 34.92^{\mathrm{b}}$ |
| Heartleaf houttuynia | $38.31 \pm 8.35^{\mathrm{b}}$ | $31.46 \pm 2.38^{\mathrm{e}}$ |
| Purple-leaved perilla line 1 | $57.85 \pm 1.97^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $453.10 \pm 38.85^{\mathrm{a}}$ |
| Bicolored-leaved perilla line 2 | $58.71 \pm 4.04^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $220.64 \pm 32.18^{\mathrm{c}}$ |
| Purple-leaved gynura line 1 | $60.57 \pm 0.59^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $122.84 \pm 22.02^{\mathrm{d}}$ |
| Purple-leaved gynura line 2 | $59.95 \pm 1.90^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $127.11 \pm 5.36^{\mathrm{d}}$ |

All values are means $\pm$ S.D. $(\mathrm{n}=3)$. Means within a column with different superscripts ${ }^{\left({ }^{\sim}{ }^{\sim f}\right)}$ are significantly different, $p<0.05$.
leaved perilla line 2 at levels of $1253.33 \pm 83.27$ and $1213.33 \pm 61.10 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{g}$ DW, respectively (Table 2). In addition, quercetin was abundant in heartleaf houttuynia at a level of $2640.00 \pm 105.83 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{g}$ DW, while purpleleaved sweet potato followed at a level of $2440.00 \pm$ $320.00 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{g}$ DW. Morin was abundant in bicolored-leaved perilla line 2 . Kaempferol was only present in heartleaf houttuynia and bicolored-leaved perilla line 2. Table 3 documents cynidins being abundant in purple-leaved perilla line 1 at a level of $893.33 \pm 197.32 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{g} \mathrm{DW}$, and purple-leaved sweet potato followed at a level of 506.67 $\pm 100.66 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{g}$ DW. Malvidin was also abundant in pur-ple-leaved perilla line 1 at a level of $813.33 \pm 100.66 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{g}$ DW, while purple-leaved sweet potato and bicolored-leaved perilla line 2 followed behind that.
Flavonoid and anthocyanidin contents found in the extracted vegetables (Tables 1-3) were much lower than those in our previous study [29]. A possible reason is the use of a different extraction method. Likewise, inhibition of linoleic acid peroxidation activity was also lower compared to the previous study [29]. However, the relative order of antioxidant activity remained similar, where pur-ple-leaved sweet potato appeared to have the highest activity level. The tested vegetables showed $>73 \%$ inhibition of linoleic acid peroxidation at $625 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ of extracts (Table 4). Therefore, purple-leaved sweet potato, heartleaf houttuynia, and purple-leaved gynura line 1 were particularly effective inhibitors. Purple-leaved sweet potato, heartleaf houttuynia, purple-leaved perilla line 1, bi-colored-leaved perilla line 2, and green-leaved sweet potato exhibited better inhibition efficacy at lower concentrations. In general, purple-leaved sweet potato exhibited the highest inhibition of linoleic acid peroxidation, up to $91 \%$ (Table 4). The $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ of inhibition of linoleic acid peroxidation in purple-leaved sweet potato, purple-lea-
ved gynura line 1 , purple-leaved gynura line 2 , purpleleaved perilla line 1 , bicolored-leaved perilla line 2 , and heartleaf houttuynia were $120.15 \pm 49.86,322.51 \pm 14.43$, $239.81 \pm 23.80,135.74 \pm 51.58,277.98 \pm 6.68$, and $178.75 \pm 21.12 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$, respectively [30]. Compared to the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ of purple-leaved sweet potato, purple-leaved perilla line 1 , and heartleaf houttuynia exhibited significantly higher effectiveness than the green-leaved sweet potato control ( $303.91 \pm 49.86 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ ). The overall antioxidant activity in these purple-leaved vegetables was relatively high. Furuta et al. [31] indicated that, at $10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ of extracts, red sweet pepper, red cabbage, and red onion decreased lipid peroxidation by $65.8 \%, 41.5 \%$, and $30.7 \%$, respectively. However, the purple-leaved vegetables in our study showed greater than $73 \%$ inhibition of linoleic acid peroxidation at the much lower concentration of 625 $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml}$. Our previous study [29] also demonstrated that these purple-leaved vegetable extracts had much higher activity against LDL oxidation, while purple-leaved sweet potato exhibited stronger antioxidant activity compared to other vegetables.

The antioxidant activity of the tested vegetables was highly correlated with the content of cyanidin, malvidin (anthocyanidins), and quercetin (flavonol) [30]. Lee et al. [32] indicated that red peppers have high antioxidant activity due to high quercetin content. In the present study, purple-leaved sweet potato and heartleaf houttuynia contained abundant quercetin, while purple-leaved sweet potato and bicolored-leaved perilla line 2 were rich in malvidin and cynidin. Purple-leaved perilla line 1 and bico-lored-leaved perilla line 2 had significant levels of the flavenoid myricetin (Tables 1-4). These different pigments may exhibit effective antioxidant activity alone or synergistically, and are a likely cause of the cultivar differences.

### 3.2. DNA Single-Strand-Break Damage Estimation

The effects of purple-leaved vegetable extracts on cell cytotoxicity were determined by an MTT assay. Lymphocytes were exposed to each of seven different vegetable extracts at three concentrations ( 25,50 , and $100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ ) for 30 min at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. DNA damage was induced by exposing lymphocytes to $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(10 \mu \mathrm{M})$ for 5 min on ice. No vegetable extracts were cytotoxic at the concentrations used, with $>98 \%$ of cells remaining viable [30]. Therefore, concentrations of 25,50 , and $100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ were chosen to study the Comet assay.

The effects of pretreatment with purple-leaved vegetable extracts on $10 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$-induced DNA damage in human lymphocytes is presented in Figures 1 and 2. For each concentration of purple-leaved vegetable extractpretreated cells, a significantly reduced level of DNA sin-

Table 2. The content of flavonols in acid hydrolysates of Taiwan-indigenous purple-leaved vegetables.

| Flavonols ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{g}$ DW) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vegetables | Myricetin | Morin | Quercetin | Kaempferol |
| Green-leaved sweet potato (as control) | $120.00 \pm 69.28^{\text {c }}$ | $320.00 \pm 105.83{ }^{\text {c }}$ | $40.00 \pm 0.00^{\text {d }}$ | N.D. |
| Purple-leaved sweet potato | $93.33 \pm 61.10^{\text {c }}$ | $106.67 \pm 83.27^{\text {c }}$ | $2440.00 \pm 320.00^{\text {b }}$ | N.D. |
| Heartleaf houttuynia | $360.00 \pm 40.00^{\text {b }}$ | $53.33 \pm 46.19{ }^{\text {c }}$ | $2640.00 \pm 105.83{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $80.00 \pm 0.00^{\text {a }}$ |
| Purple-leaved perilla line 1 | $1253.33 \pm 83.27^{\text {a }}$ | $1120.00 \pm 591.95^{\text {b }}$ | $146.67 \pm 83.27^{\text {d }}$ | N.D. |
| Bicolored-leaved perilla | $1213.33 \pm 61.10^{\text {a }}$ | $1786.67 \pm 672.11^{\text {a }}$ | $186.67 \pm 83.27^{\text {d }}$ | $26.67 \pm 23.09^{\text {b }}$ |
| Purple-leaved gynura line 1 | $93.33 \pm 61.10^{\text {c }}$ | $146.67 \pm 83.27^{\text {c }}$ | $386.67 \pm 61.10^{\text {c }}$ | N.D. |
| Purple-leaved gynura line 2 | $53.33 \pm 23.09^{\text {c }}$ | $53.33 \pm 23.09^{\text {c }}$ | $40.00 \pm 0.00^{\text {d }}$ | N.D. |

All values are means $\pm$ S.D. $(\mathrm{n}=3)$. Means within a column with different superscripts $\left(^{\mathrm{a} \sim \mathrm{e}}\right)$ are significantly different, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$. N.D.: Not detectable.

Table 3. The content of anthocyanidins in acid hydrolysates of Taiwan-indigenous purple-leaved vegetables.

| Anthocyanidins $(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{g} \mathrm{DW})$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vegetables | Cynidin | Malvidin |
| Green-leaved sweet potato <br> (as control) | N.D. | N.D. |
| Purple-leaved sweet potato | $506.67 \pm 100.66^{\mathrm{b}}$ | $400.00 \pm 105.83^{\mathrm{b}}$ |
| Heartleaf houttuynia | $266.67 \pm 83.27^{\mathrm{c}}$ | $13.33 \pm 23.09^{\mathrm{c}}$ |
| Purple-leaved perilla line 1 | $893.33 \pm 197.32^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $813.33 \pm 100.66^{\mathrm{a}}$ |
| Bicolored-leaved |  |  |
| perilla line 2 | $306.67 \pm 100.66^{\mathrm{c}}$ | $333.33 \pm 83.27^{\mathrm{b}}$ |
| Purple-leaved gynura line 1 | $133.33 \pm 61.10^{\text {cd }}$ | N.D. |
| Purple-leaved gynura line 2 | $66.67 \pm 23.09^{\text {cd }}$ | N.D. |

All values are means $\pm$ S.D. $(\mathrm{n}=3)$. Means within a column with different superscripts ( $\left.{ }^{(a \sim d}\right)$ are significantly different, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$. N.D.: Not detectable.
gle-strand breaks following $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ exposure was observed ( $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ ). These results indicate a protective effect of pretreatment on lymphocytes with each of the purpleleaved vegetable extracts at the lower dose $(25 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml})$. Those lymphocytes pretreated with $25-100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ extracts of purple-leaved sweet potato, purple-leaved perilla line 1 , and bicolored-leaved perilla line 2 experienced a greater level of protection against $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ exposure than did lymphocytes exposed to other tested compounds, and in a dose-dependent manner per se (Figure 1). The maximum protective effect appeared in the pretreatment with $100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ purple-leaved sweet potato, purple-leaved perilla Line 1, and bicolored-leaved perilla line 2 at $83.35 \%$, $89.14 \%$, and $88.88 \%$ inhibition, respectively, compared to the $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ treated only (Table 5). The results of tail DNA\% showed slight differences in heartleaf houttuynia, and had a greater level of protection against $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ exposure than did lymphocytes that were exposed to other


Figure 1. Effects of pretreatment with various acid hydrolysates from indigenous purple-leaved vegetables on $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ hydrogen peroxide-induced DNA damage to isolated human lymphocytes. DNA oxidative damage was measured using the Comet assay. Results are the mean $\pm$ SD. [•, Greenleaved sweet potato (GLSP); $\circ$, Purple-leaved sweet potato (PLSP); V, Purple-leaved perilla line 1 (PLP 1); $\triangle$, Bicol-ored-leaved perilla line 2 (BLP 2); ■, Purple-leaved gynura line 1 (PLG 1); $\square$, Purple-leaved gynura line 1 (PLG 2); $\boldsymbol{\text { , }}$ Heartleaf houttuynia (HLH)]. Values with different letters differ significantly with regard to oxidative damage when comparing different purple-leaved vegetable extracts (ANOVA, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ ); $\mathbf{p}<\mathbf{0 . 0 5}$ refers to differences in oxidative damage as compared with the $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$-alone treatment.
tested compounds, and in a dose ( $25-50 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ )-dependent manner (Figure 2). The maximum protective effect of lymphocyte pretreatment was observed for pretreatment with $100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ purple-leaved perilla line 1 at $85.37 \%$ inhibition, compared to the $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ treated only (Table 6).

The tested vegetables showed at least a $73 \%$ inhibition of tail moment at the $100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ level, while purple-leaved perilla line 1 , bicolored-leaved perilla line 2 , and purpleleaved sweet potato had better inhibition efficacy compared to the rest of the tested sample extracts (Table 6).

Table 4. Inhibition percentages of conjugated diene formation in the linoleic acid emulsion autoxidation system treated with various concentrations of acid hydrolysates of Taiwan-indigenous purple-leaved vegetables.

| Concentration $(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml})$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vegetables | 78.125 | 156.25 | 312.5 | 625 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Green-leaved sweet potato (as control) | $32.44 \pm 1.74^{\mathrm{abc}}$ | $40.80 \pm 10.62^{\mathrm{bcd}}$ | $50.60 \pm 12.15^{\mathrm{de}}$ | $73.50 \pm 4.56^{\mathrm{d}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Purple-leaved sweet potato | $39.75 \pm 7.57^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $54.71 \pm 3.65^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $74.55 \pm 4.63^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $91.38 \pm 2.49^{\mathrm{a}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Heartleaf houttuynia | $31.05 \pm 8.24^{\mathrm{bcd}}$ | $49.40 \pm 8.50^{\mathrm{ab}}$ | $75.66 \pm 1.73^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $91.15 \pm 1.51^{\mathrm{a}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Purple-leaved perilla line 1 | $36.90 \pm 2.14^{\mathrm{ab}}$ | $56.68 \pm 8.07^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $69.61 \pm 1.46^{\mathrm{ab}}$ | $80.15 \pm 3.08^{\mathrm{bcd}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bicolored-leaved perilla line 2 | $20.22 \pm 1.20^{\mathrm{efg}}$ | $47.90 \pm 1.16^{\mathrm{ab}}$ | $61.68 \pm 4.45^{\mathrm{bcd}}$ | $75.32 \pm 2.52^{\mathrm{cd}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Purple-leaved gynura line 1 | $12.25 \pm 5.38^{\mathrm{g}}$ | $30.63 \pm 3.61^{\mathrm{d}}$ | $57.96 \pm 2.27^{\mathrm{cde}}$ | $84.02 \pm 2.60^{\mathrm{ab}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Purple-leaved gynura line 2 | $21.96 \pm 3.51^{\mathrm{ef}}$ | $30.56 \pm 3.80^{\mathrm{d}}$ | $48.00 \pm 4.59^{\mathrm{e}}$ | $81.52 \pm 2.00^{\mathrm{bcd}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

All values are means $\pm$ S.D. $(\mathrm{n}=3)$. Means within a column with different superscripts $\left({ }^{(a \sim g}\right)$ are significantly different, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

Table 5. Inhibition percentages of tail moment by treatment with various acid hydrolysates of Taiwan-indigenous purpleleaved vegetables in the $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$-induced lymphocyte system.

| Vegetables |  | Inhibition percentages |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $25 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ | $50 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ |
| Green-leaved sweet potato (as control) | $50.16 \pm 10.89^{\mathrm{b}}$ | $57.74 \pm 15.50^{\mathrm{b}}$ | $72.77 \pm 1.49^{\mathrm{b}}$ |
| Purple-leaved sweet potato | $67.16 \pm 7.64^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $85.32 \pm 5.21^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $83.35 \pm 1.73^{\mathrm{ab}}$ |
| Heartleaf houttuynia | $59.40 \pm 3.70^{\mathrm{ab}}$ | $84.86 \pm 4.81^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $75.77 \pm 3.37^{\mathrm{b}}$ |
| Purple-leaved perilla line 1 | $68.17 \pm 3.80^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $84.82 \pm 4.31^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $89.14 \pm 4.86^{\mathrm{a}}$ |
| Bicolored-leaved perilla line 2 | $67.97 \pm 4.18^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $84.59 \pm 4.43^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $88.88 \pm 4.82^{\mathrm{a}}$ |
| Purple-leaved gynura line 1 | $51.60 \pm 4.92^{\mathrm{b}}$ | $54.97 \pm 3.37^{\mathrm{b}}$ | $72.24 \pm 2.61^{\mathrm{b}}$ |
| Purple-leaved gynura line 2 | $49.29 \pm 4.28^{\mathrm{b}}$ | $53.30 \pm 5.65^{\mathrm{b}}$ | $77.84 \pm 5.63^{\mathrm{b}}$ |



Furthermore, at lower concentrations, purple-leaved perilla line 1, bicolored-leaved perilla line 2, heartleaf houttuynia, and purple-leaved sweet potato had better inhibition efficacy. The tested vegetables showed at least a $57 \%$ inhibition of tail DNA $\%$ at $100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$, with purpleleaved perilla line 1 having the best inhibition efficacy. At lower concentrations, purple-leaved perilla line 1 , heartleaf houttuynia, and purple-leaved sweet potato had better inhibition efficacies compared to the rest of the tested extracts. In general, purple-leaved perilla line 1 had the highest inhibition percentage of both tail DNA \% and tail moment up to $85 \%$ and $89 \%$, respectively. Both 7990.55 $\pm 236.36$ tail moment and $87.52 \pm 3.43$ tail DNA\% were exhibited when the percentage of inhibition was compared to the DNA damage caused by treatment with $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ (Figures 1 and 2). The inhibition percentage of tail DNA\% and tail moment were positively correlated with the content of cynidin ( $\mathrm{r}=0.59 \sim 0.83$ ) and malvidin $(r=0.53 \sim 0.82)$ among the tested vegetables [30].

The inhibition percentage of tail DNA $\%$ and tail moment were also positively correlated with the content of myricetin, with the exception of the inhibition percentage of tail DNA $\%$ at $50 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ of extract vegetables $(\mathrm{r}=0.47 \sim$ 0.74 ) [30]. The inhibition percentage of tail DNA $\% ~(r=$ $0.66, \mathrm{p}=0.0010$ ) and tail moment ( $\mathrm{r}=0.51, \mathrm{p}=0.0182$ ) were positively correlated with the content of quercetin at $50 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ of extract vegetables [30]. There was no correlation between kaempferol and the inhibition percentage of tail DNA\% or tail moment, while morin showed only a partial positive correlation with inhibition efficacy at 25 and $100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ of vegetable extracts [30].

Quercetin was found to protect against $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$-induced DNA damage in human lymphocytes at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ [7] and at 3.1 to $25 \mu \mathrm{M}$ [9]. Quercetin was also reported to induce DNA strand breaks in various cell types, but only at higher doses at $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ or above [7]. We noted there was less than $5 \%$ damage from quercetin when up to $50 \mu \mathrm{M}$ was incubated with normal lymphocytes [30]. Similarly,

Table 6. Inhibition percentages of tail DNA\% treatment with various acid hydrolysates of Taiwan-indigenous purple-leaved vegetables in the $\mathbf{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$-induced lymphocyte system.

| Vegetables | Inhibition percentages |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $25 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ | $50 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ |
| Green-leaved sweet potato (as control) | $37.01 \pm 4.88^{\text {de }}$ | $48.92 \pm 5.13^{\mathrm{b}}$ |
| Purple-leaved sweet potato | $55.67 \pm 5.23^{\mathrm{ab}}$ | $78.49 \pm 5.58^{\mathrm{a}}$ |
| Heartleaf houttuynia | $51.71 \pm 4.51^{\mathrm{abc}}$ | $77.28 \pm 4.40^{\mathrm{a}}$ |
| Purple-leaved perilla line 1 | $60.94 \pm 5.14^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $80.01 \pm 1.87^{\mathrm{a}}$ |
| Bicolored-leaved perilla line 2 | $47.72 \pm 6.48^{\mathrm{bc}}$ | $44.41 \pm 5.98^{\mathrm{b}}$ |
| Purple-leaved gynura line 1 | $32.36 \pm 6.28^{\mathrm{e}}$ | $47.46 \pm 5.58^{\mathrm{b}}$ |
| Purple-leaved gynura line 2 | $44.99 \pm 6.68^{\mathrm{cd}}$ | $40.24 \pm 5.76^{\mathrm{b}}$ |

All values are means $\pm$ S.D. $(\mathrm{n}=3)$. Means within a column with different superscripts $\left({ }^{\mathrm{a} \sim e}\right)$ are significantly different, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.


Figure 2. Effects of pretreatment with various acid hydrolysates of indigenous purple-leaved vegetables on $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ hydrogen peroxide-induced DNA damage on isolated human lymphocytes. DNA oxidative damage was measured using the Comet assay. Results are the mean $\pm$ SD. [ $\bullet$, Greenleaved sweet potato (GLSP); ○, Purple-leaved sweet potato (PLSP); $\nabla$, Purple-leaved perilla line 1 (PLP 1); $\triangle$, Bicol-ored-leaved perilla line 2 (BLP 2); $■$, Purple-leaved gynura line 1 (PLG 1); $\square$, Purple-leaved gynura line 1 (PLG 2); $>$, Heartleaf houttuynia (HLH)]. Values with different letters differ significantly with regard to oxidative damage when comparing different purple-leaved vegetable extracts (ANOVA, $p<0.05) ;{ }^{*} p<0.05$ refers to differences in oxidative damage as compared with the $\mathbf{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$-alone treatment.
myricetin was also found to decrease oxidant-induced DNA damage at $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$, although $\alpha$-tocopherol and $\beta$ carotene did not behave similarly [7]. Duthie et al. [7] proposed that the dihyroxy structure of quercetin and myricetin might be essential in protecting DNA against hydrogen peroxide. No such hydroxyl groups are present in the tocopherol molecule. This may reflect structure /activity relationships or the localization of the antioxidant
relative to free radical generation within the cells. Noroozi et al. [33] demonstrated that in addition to quercetin, kaempferol could also inhibit $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$-induced DNA strand breaks in human lymphocytes. However, in our study, no correlation was found between kaempferol and inhibition percentage of tail DNA $\%$ or tail moment in Comet assays, perhaps due to kaempferol variations in the tested genotypes. Zhu and Loft [34] reported that aqueous extracts of cooked and autolysed Brussels sprouts decreased DNA strand breaks in human lymphocytes, with the maximum inhibition being $38 \%$ and $39 \%$ at cooked and autolysed extract levels of $10 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ and $5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$, respectively, and the inhibition effect decreasing at increasing concentrations up to $100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$. In our study, the inhibition percentages of tested purple vegetables ranged from $32 \%$ to $61 \%$ with acidic hydrolysate extract concentrations at 25 $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml}$, and the inhibitory effect increasing at increasing concentrations up to $50 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ (Tables 1-4). The inhibition percentage of tail moment continued climbing up to $100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$, except for purple-leaved sweet potato and heartleaf houttuynia. The maximum inhibition percentage was $89 \%$ under $100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ extracts. Both purple-leaved perilla line 1 and bicolored-leaved perilla line 2 are rich in myricetin, cynidin, and malvidin. The inhibition percentage of tail DNA\% and tail moment were positively correlated with the content of cynidin and malvidin among the tested vegetables [30]. Cynidin is effective against cytotoxicity, DNA single strand breaks, and lipid peroxidation induced by tert-butyl-hydroperoxide in rat smooth and hepatoma cell lines [11]. In the study, pur-ple-leaved sweet potato, heartleaf houttuynia, purple-leaved perilla line 1 , and bicolored-leaved perilla line 2 showed $85 \%$ inhibition percentage of tail moment at $50 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ extracts. The results in inhibition percentage of tail DNA $\%$ were similar to the results in inhibition percentage of tail moment, except that bicolored-leaved perilla line 2 ex-
tracts had less inhibitory effect. Purple-leaved perilla line 1 extract was most effective against DNA single strand breaks and lipid peroxidation induced by hydroperoxide and air in human lyphocytes and the linoleic acid emulsion autoxidation system, indicating that it contains the hightest content of cynidin, malvidin, and myricetin. Pur-ple-leaved sweet potato has more quercetin, cynidin, and malvidin, and inhibits lipid peroxidation and DNA damage better than green-leaved sweet potato. These results demonstrate that quercetin and anthocyanidin are important for preventing peroxdatition and oxidative damage to DNA.

## 4. Conclusion

In conclusion, purple-leaved perilla line 1 and bicoloredleaved perilla line 2 were particularly rich in cynidin, malvidin and myricetin, and purple-leaved sweet potato and heartleaf houttuynia were rich in quercetin. Purpleleaved sweet potato, heartleaf houttuynia, purple-leaved perilla line 1 and bicolored-leaved perilla line 2 had better inhibition percentage of tail DNA \% and tail moment. The purple indigenous vegetables in the study have abundant antioxidants with strong antioxidant activity, and consequently can protect DNA in lymphocytes from oxidative damage.
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