

Mitigating Time Interval Error (TIE) in High-Speed Baseband Digital Transports: Design for Delay Compensation at Baseband Infrastructure of Smart-Phones Using Fractal Dispersive Delay-Lines

Perambur S. Neelakanta, Aziz U. Noori

Department of Computer and Electrical Engineering and Computer Science, Florida Atlantic University, Boca Raton, USA

Email: neelakan@fau.edu

Received 8 February 2014; revised 8 March 2014; accepted 15 March 2014

Copyright © 2014 by authors and Scientific Research Publishing Inc. This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution International License (CC BY). http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

Abstract

A major concern in modern smart-phones and hand-held devices is a way of mitigating the time interval error (TIE) perceived at high-speed digital transits along the traces of the circuit-board (rigid and or flexible) used in baseband infrastructures. Indicated here is a way of adopting a planar fractal inductor configuration to improvise the necessary time-delay in the transits of digital signal phase jitter and reduce the TIE. This paper addresses systematic design considerations on fractal inductor geometry commensurate with practical aspects of its implementation as delay-lines in the high-speed digital transports at the baseband operations of smart-phone infrastructures. Experimental results obtained from a test module are presented to illustrate the efficacy of the design and acceptable delay performance of the test structure commensurate with the digital transports of interest.

Keywords

Time-Interval Error, Smart-Phones, Fractal Inductors, Delay-Lines Insert

1. Introduction

In the context of the state-of-the-art smart-phones and other mobile/hand-held devices, the data bit-rates adopted

How to cite this paper: Neelakanta, P.S. and Noori, A.U. (2014) Mitigating Time Interval Error (TIE) in High-Speed Baseband Digital Transports: Design for Delay Compensation at Baseband Infrastructure of Smart-Phones Using Fractal Dispersive Delay-Lines. *Circuits and Systems*, **5**, 115-123. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.4236/cs.2014.55013</u>

at baseband levels could be significantly high (~500 Mbps); and, such streams of high-speed bits are often transferred between chips and/or various circuit nodes during baseband signal-processing, for example, between an image-sensor and an image sensor processor (ISP). To negotiate such transfers, numerous copper-traces are envisaged at the board-level formed either on a single surface or in multiple board/flex stacks. Normally, such trace-lines are of different lengths so as to accommodate *ad hoc* interconnections and digital transits between the pins across any two devices placed at distinct locations on the board. Further, several of these lines may run almost parallel to each other with jagged tracks. An example of such a track is illustrated in Figure 1.

As a result of varying physical lengths of the traces, the digital bits transported from one end on any given trace will arrive at the pin of signal destination (terminated on the chips in question) with a specific extent of transmission-line dependent delay. However, this delay could be distinctly variable in each of the traces due to varying path-lengths involved and varying bit-rates of information transmitted. That is, the observed delay is specific to each line-length as defined by the associated line-parameters namely, the inductance and the capacitance per unit length and the digital signature of the information communicated. The resulting data dependent jitter (DDJ) [1] introduces time interval error (TIE) (also known as phase jitter) perceived invariably on the bits. Given the spatial features (length etc.) of the high-speed line (transmission topology) and the associated data transceived, the DDJ and the associated TIE can be viewed as a class of deterministic timing jitter. It is an undesired artifact and may cause flicker in displays (of the camera etc.). It would also affect the performance of the digital processors at large.

Hence, the data pulses received with varying delays traversing different parallel lines may become unusable for applications and digital-processing efforts at the receiving devices due to the associated randomness of delay variations tied to the TIE. Therefore in practice, delay-lines are introduced in each trace to compensate for the transit-delay so that the digital data supported are displaced in time on *ad hoc* basis and get synchronized at the receiving end as needed minimizing the influence of TIE.

Traditionally, at circuit-board level, physical inductors in solenoidal forms are designed, trimmed and incorporated on transmission-lines so as to offer a desired inductance (especially at RF sections) [2]. However, such a conventional (solenoidal) inductor element on a circuit-board implies a complex component-accommodation task due to the bulky, three-dimensional geometry of the solenoid designed for baseband applications. Therefore, relevant option is not conducive for applications in systems like smart-phones and other handheld devices encountering restricted space. Alternative to bulk solenoidal inductors, advocated in practice for space-constrained circuit-board applications is planar geometry of copper-traces (such as spiral traces) designed to emulate the desired inductance values [3] [4].

Yet, considering the specific needs of modern smart-phones or similar handheld gizmos *vis-à-vis* emulating tailor-made extents of delays compatible for high-density traces (at baseband levels), a novel design is proposed in this paper toward synthesizing a new class of planar inductors using fractal geometry. Shown in Figure 2 is the geometry of a conceivable module of distributed delay-line (DDL) based on spiral circular or square-loop geometry conforming to a fractal structure. Shown in Figure 3 is the photograph of the circular-spiral fractal inductance used in the present study.



Figure 1. Example of copper-trace route on a typical printed circuit-board layout.



Figure 2. A set of spiral inductors configured as a planar fractal delay-line: (a) with circular-spirals and (b) square-spirals.



Figure 3. Photograph of the distributed delay-line (DDL) on a PCB made based on circular spiral fractal geometry: Test module studied conforming to **Figure 2(a)**.

It is surmised in this study that the fractal planar inductors can be designed to facilitate a desired delay-time in a constrained on-board area. Apart from spiral, other options such as meander line, Hilbert structure, Minkowski curve, Koch's curve etc. can be adopted in making fractal geometry. Conceived thereof in vogue, are structures to optimize the delay-line performance *versus* space-filling considerations as warranted in baseband, high-speed operations of smart-phones and/or similar devices. Associated higher order fractal curves enable design options with good space-filling properties. Most of such fractal structures have been conceived in the contexts of antenna designs and related RF units as reported in [3]-[11]. The underlying considerations are however, can be borrowed in conceiving fractal inductance as time-delay lines.

This paper is organized to describe a test study on a proto-type of fractal inductor geometry illustrated in **Figure 2(a)** and **Figure 3**, which is commensurate with practical aspects of its implementation as a delay-line in high-speed digital transports at baseband operations at the infrastructure of smart-phones (and/or similar hand-held devices). Experimental results obtained from the test module are presented to illustrate the efficacy of the

module and realizable delay performance *vis-à-vis* the digital transports of interest. Thus, the scope of this paper in essence is evolved to provide an overview of general details on digital information transmission/ processing at baseband levels of modern smart-phones and similar gadgets of different technologies; and, indicated the conceived fractal geometry as a plausible delay-line structure for combating the TIE.

2. Digital Data Format Seen at Baseband in Smart-Phones or Similar Devices

The physically transmitted baseband signal represents a "digital-over-digital" transmission of pulse trains. In modern context of 3G through 4G considerations and associated LTE implications, the baseband data handled in the infrastructures of smart-phones (and similar devices) is used at specific versions of processors with chip-designs and system-on-chips (SoCs) that accommodate generous audio, and video digital signal processing. Such processors are required to meet multi-standard integration, reduced power dissipation and facilitate extra key functions for next-generation smart, handheld devices. Relevantly, baseband processors provide efficient operations with cost-effective multimedia application-specific processing for entry-level 3G as well as next-generation/evolving 4G systems. Further, in such complex baseband chip-specific operations, the underlying applications invariably dictate the use of high-speed bit rates.

For example, considering video-processing support for 10/12 Mpixels imaging and 720 p video-playback plus accelerated 2D/3D graphics, operational needs push the processor speeds up to 1GHz or even higher. Concurrently, related transmissions point out the gravity of multiple high-speed transports on the limited-space circuit-board (and/or flexible-board) layouts. Together with the packaging designs of the components and chips on the board, the interconnections that support the said digital transports are crowded and routed haphazardly. Hence, the transmission-lines (traces) envisaged thereof are high in density (per unit area) and of varying lengths. Therefore, the digital transports end up in TIE-related issues that call for effective mitigations.

3. Architectural Layouts of Baseband Infrastructures

Commensurate with the types of digital processors and interconnections mandated at the baseband infrastructure, the circuit-board and/or flex-boards have to be carefully designed in practice and traces should be laid out with minimized lengths and routing to avoid not only the TIE, but also crosstalk effects. Specifically, the items of planar structure needed for TIE mitigation *via* inductor emulations as delay-lines are described below with relevant basics.

Planar Inductors: An Overview

Variety lies in conceiving delay-line topologies and basically, planar delay-lines are made using meander-lines or spiral-line configurations. Functionally, a delay-line offers a desired extent of time-delay between circuit components. In digital domain such delay requisites have been achieved classically with phase-shifting electronic switches [12]. However, considering component reduction efforts and miniaturization warranted in systems like mobile devices, planar passive delay-lines are more congenial and are often resorted to. Typically, the meander-line that has a serpentine form of a closely-packed transmission-line structure can be designed with high density per square mm of circuit-board space.

However, such serpentine delay structures may introduce spurious dispersions that signal appear along with the signal as if, it is arriving earlier than would be expected, as decided by the total electrical length of the line. This is typical with the disposition of two or more adjacent lines closely spaced; further, such proximal layout would lead to electromagnetic (EM) coupling as well and related crosstalk effects [13] [14]. The adjacent line interaction and related skew on the digital waveform is also decided by the loss-tangent of the circuit-board material implicating the associated mutual capacitive and inductive effects on the signal. In order to avoid such laddering effects [4], a tangible pursuit is to have some planar geometry laid out in sections over a permissible area. For example, using typical circular and square spiral-lines, a few of such geometries can be interconnected into a fractal forms as illustrated earlier in Figure 2. Hence, proposed in the present study is a novel technique to realize a class of inductors based on a distributed set of spiral inductors framed in fractal geometry. Such a line is studied to emulate delay-line corrections in timing sensitive circuits of the baseband infrastructure in smart hand-held devices.

Traditionally, as mentioned earlier, fractal structures on printed circuit-boards have been advocated in practice

toward emulating fractal antennas, fractal capacitors and fractal inductors [3]-[11]. All such efforts aim at downscaling/sizing the passive planar component dimensions for applications on the printed circuit-boards. In contrast with inductors of solenoidal form (of three-dimensional structure requiring large space), the fractal curve geometries are evolved in 2D with a potential solution toward compact inductance realization.

Higher order fractal curves are characterized with good space-filling properties and tend to show better efficiency than standard inductance structures having the same overall dimensions. As such, fractal-based RF structures have emerged successfully in making of capacitors, antennas and related resonating components. Fractals are a class of non-Euclidean geometries with unique attributes. A fractal design conforms to a self-similar geometry that maximizes the length or increases the parameter of a unit in emulating a desired EM characteristic within a given total surface area or volume. Fractal structures, in general, are referred to as multilevel and space-filling curves with the repetition of a motif over two or more scale sizes. With the iteration of the motif thereof, fractal structures are compactly formed. For example, as proposed in the present study, a fractal inductance can be formed as a space-filling curve in the shape of a shrunken fractal helix (**Figure 2**). And, the resulting structure is studied of its delay-line characteristics compatible for use in the baseband infrastructure of smart-phone etc. Described below are some fundamental considerations in designing simple fractal delay-line structures.

Basically planar components like capacitors and inductors can be fabricated as printed elements with small tolerances using the modern artwork of photolithographic process relevant to printed-circuit boards [2]. Traditional, stand-alone meander and spiral structures conceived are illustrated with descriptive notes in **Table 1** with typical design (empirical) parameters concerning various inductor geometries illustrated. Using such basic 2D geometries, their fractal forms can be obtained with necessary analogies and tests. The fractal version of using the stand-alone units, for example, relevant to a circular spiral loop as adopted in the present study is shown in **Figure 2(a)** and **Figure 3**. It is essentially a planar single-layer two-port structure. It can be equivalently modeled by a standard π -network to include any associated parasitic and conductive (resistive) properties such as, the board-leakage and series self-resistance of the copper traces. The overall equivalent circuit of inductance emulation with the associated parasitics is shown in **Figure 4** with reference to a single spiral.

Figure 5 are typical planar geometries adopted in practice to emulate inductances on PCB that can also be used as compatible delay-lines. Indicated in **Table 1** are pertinent details of the geometries in **Figure 5**. Relevant formulations are mostly empirical but, are very useful in practical designs.

4. Test Inductance/Delay-Line: Description and Measurements

The test inductor for the intended delay-line application is fabricated as a single-layer copper trace structure on the top of a standard printed circuit-board using conventional photolithographic process. As illustrated earlier in **Figure 2** and **Figure 3**, the test unit has eleven circular spirals with overall dimensions and details as given in **Table 2**.

Measurement studies on the test fractal inductor are performed to estimate the time-delay on a signal at a given frequency that can be achieved by considering two or more spirals at a time. Relevant circuit used for testing is shown in **Figure 6** and the corresponding time-delay data measured is presented in **Figure 7** as a function of the test frequency. This delay is obtained in terms of the phase-shift observed relevant to the input and



Figure 4. Equivalent circuit representation of a planar inductor conceived with a spiral (L: Inductance, R_s : Inherent series resistance, R_p : Leakage resistance, and C_p : Parasitic capacitance).

r

and it Typical planal geometries (of Figure 3) that can be used to emulate inductance and related delay-lines.					
Planar geometry (Figure 5)	Inductance value	Remarks			
А	$L/p = 2 \times \ln\left[\frac{5p}{(w+t)}\right] nH/cm$ (for p/(w+t) \ge 5)	p: mean perimeter of the loopw: width of the conductor tracet: thickness of the conductor trace on PCB			
В	$\label{eq:L/p} \begin{split} L/p = 0.0215 \times N^{5/3} \times ln \left[\frac{8a}{d} \right] \ \mu H/cm \\ for \ p/(w+t) \geq 5 \end{split}$	$a = (R_1 + R_2)/2$ $c = (R_2 - R_1)/2$ a: mean radius N: number of turns d: radial depth of winding			
С	This geometry offers slightly higher value of inducta	ance (about 10%) more than the circular-loop or spiral			
D	$\begin{split} L &= \ell \times L' \\ L' &= Z_W \! / v \end{split}$	Z _w : characteristic impedance of the line v: transmission velocity on the line L': typically has a value in the order of 10 nH/cm			

Table 1.	Typical planar	geometries (a	of Figure 5) that can	be used to	emulate i	nductance and	related o	lelav-lines.
----------	----------------	---------------	-------------	------------	------------	-----------	---------------	-----------	--------------

Table 2. Dimensional details of the test fractal inductor (Figure 2 and Figure 3).

Units of the test structure	Details				
Single spiral structure	Width of the trace: 1 mm Number of turns: 3 Distance between the center and the extreme trace: 10 mm				
Total elements of the fractal inductor	Number of spirals: 11 Distance between the center-to-center of the spirals: 35 mm				
PCB substrate	Material: Fiber-glass FR 408 Thickness: 1 mm Dielectric constant: 3.75 Loss-tangent: 0.012 at GHz spectrum				



Figure 5. (a) Single circular loop; (b) Multiple circular-loop spiral; (c) Multiple square-loop spiral and (d) Meanderline.



Figure 7. Measured delay (δ) normalized with respect to the signal period (T), versus signal frequency (f) in MHz, (L₁ to L₁₁ etc.: Number of spirals in the fractal geometry cascaded and tested).

output waveforms of the test circuit. Typical scope-plots are shown in **Figure 8(a)** and **Figure 8(b)** at two exemplar measurement frequencies of 40 MHz and 80 MHz (relevant to series-connected 5 and 10 spirals in the test fractal geometry respectively).

The time-delay (δ) is obtained from the measured phase shift (ϕ) across the series-connected L-structures used in the test. It is presented in normalized values with respect to the signal period (T) in **Figure 7** as a function of frequency. As indicated, the set of graphs furnished corresponds to measured values of the normalized delay offered by two or more spirals taken in succession. Hence, the top-most curve conforms to the case with the largest inductance due to all the eleven spirals cascaded; and, the bottom-most curve corresponds to the last-two



Figure 8. (a) Waveforms measured at the input and output at 40 MHz for 5 spirals (L_1 through L_5 serially connected in the fractal structure); (b) Waveforms measured at the input and output at 80 MHz for 5 spirals (L_1 through L_{10} serially connected in the fractal structure).

spirals (L_{10} and L_{11}) of the fractal geometry. It can be consistently observed in Figure 7 that the variation of time-delay (or implicitly, the phase-shift) increases monotonically with signal frequency. However, the test curves also show peaks and valleys at certain frequencies implying possible resonances due to the associated parasitic capacitances of the system and related Q factors.

Based on the novel concept structure and achievable delay demonstrated in the prototype model studied, more work can be exercised as regard to other fractal structures such as square-loop version, Koch fractal geometry, Hilbert fractal curve etc. Fabrication issues like ground-plane/ground counterpoise effects and circuit-board layer considerations need more careful studies. The proposed strategy may however, pose fabrication and/or manufacture difficulties at present. But, the technology details as in [6] [15]-[18] show lead pursuits on relevant realizations for practical considerations in view of evolving miniaturized scaled-down designs.

References

- [1] Oh, K.S. and Yuan, X. (2012) High-Speed Signaling: Jitter Modeling, Analysis, and Budgeting. Pearson Education, Inc., Boston.
- [2] Bosshart, W.C. (1984) Printed Circuit Boards—Design and Technology. Tata-McGraw-Hill Publishing Co., New Delhi.
- [3] Maric, A., Radosavljevic, G., Zivanov, M. and Zivanov, L. (2008) Modeling and Characterization of Fractal Based RF Inductors on Silicon Substrate. *Proceedings of the 7th International Conference on Advanced Semiconductor Devices* and Microsystems, Smolenice Castle, 12-16 October, 191-194.
- [4] Kabiri, A., Bait-Suwailam, M., Kermani, M.H. and Ramahi, O.M. (2009) The Effect of Loss-Tangent on Laddering Behavior in Delay Lines. *Progress in Electromagnetic Research Letters*, **12**, 161-170. http://dx.doi.org/10.2528/PIERL09102503
- [5] Cohen, N. (2005) Fractals' New Era in Military Antenna Design. RF Design, 12-17.
- [6] Jahanbakht, M. and Neyestanak, A.A.L. (2011) Coplanar MEMS Phased Array Antenna Using Koch Fractal Geometry. *Progress in Electromagnetics Research M*, **17**, 29-42. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.2528/PIERM11010301</u>
- [7] Ogorzalek, M.J. (2013) Fractal Capacitors. http://www.eie.polyu.edu.hk/~maciej/pdf/Fractal_capacitors.pdf
- [8] Baliarda, C.P., Romeu, J. and Cardama, A. (2000) The Koch Monopole: A Small Fractal Antenna. *IEEE Transactions on Antennas and Propagation*, 48, 1773-1781. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/8.900236</u>
- [9] (2013) Fractal Miniaturization in RF and Microwave Networks. http://www.fractal.org/Fractal-Research-and-Products/Fractal-waves.pdf
- [10] Kumar, P., Sharma, M.L., Chawla, P., Khanna, R. and Arora, D. (2011) Introduction of Multiband Fractal Antenna and RF MEMS Switches and Reconfigurable RF Front End Section of Mobile. *International Journal of Communication Engineering Applications*, 2, 243-248.
- [11] Abuzeid, O.M., Al-Rabadi, A.N. and Alkhaldi, H.S. (2010) Fractal Geometry-Based Hypergeometric Time Series Solution to the Hereditary Thermal Creep Model for the Contact of Rough Surfaces Using the Kelvin-Voigt Medium. *Mathematical Problems in Engineering*, 2010, Article ID: 652306. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2010/652306</u>
- [12] Blazek, V., Neelakantaswamy, P.S. and Reddy, V.C.V.P. (1975) Generation of Complex Waveforms for Biomedical Applications. *IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering*, **BME-22**, 535-536. http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/TBME.1975.324478
- [13] Neelakanta, P.S. and Preechayasomboon, A. (1999) Mitigating EMI in High-Speed Digital Transmission Networks: Part I. *Compliance Engineering*, **16**, 36-47.
- [14] Neelakanta, P.S. and Sivaraks, J. (2000) Mitigating EMI in High-Speed Digital Transmission Networks: Part II. *Com pliance Engineering*, **17**, 34-47.
- [15] Hizon, J.R.E., et al. (2007) A Study of Layout Strategies in RF CMOS Design. Proceedings of the Progress in Electromagnetics Research Symposium, Prague, 27-30 August, 497-502.
- [16] Burghartz, J.N., Ruehli, A.E., Jenkins, K.A., Soyuer, M. and Nguyen-Ngoc, D. (1997) Novel Substrate Contact Structure for High Q Silicon Integrated Spiral Inductors. *Technical Digest International Electron Devices Meeting*, Washington DC, 10 December, 55-58.
- [17] Soyuer, M., Burghartz, M., Jenkins, J.N., Ponnampalli, K.A., Ewen, J.R. and Pence, W.E. (1995) Multi-Level Monolithic Inductors in Silicon Technology. *Electronics Letters*, **31**, 359-360. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1049/el:19950241</u>
- [18] Rosales, M.D., Hizon, J.R.E., Alarcon, L.P. and Sabido, D.J. (2005) Monolithic Spiral Inductors for a 0.25 μm Digital CMOS Process. *Proceedings of IEEE Region* 10 *Conference*, Melbourne, 21-24 November, 1-6.