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**Abstract**

Foodborne illness is an escalating concern upon public health. The prevalence of *Yersinia enterocolitica* was assessed in chitterlings, raw milk and swine fecal from North Carolina. Uncleaned thirty chitterling samples procured from a local grocery store, forty-five swine fecal samples, and forty unpasteurized cow milk samples supplied by the University farm were evaluated for the presence of *Y. enterocolitica*. Isolates identified as presumptive positive were characterized as colonies with a pink or deep-red center on MacConkey and CIN agar, and verified further through polymerase chain reaction (PCR) for the presence of 16S rRNA gene for the *Yersinia* genera. Results showed that 4.4% swine fecal samples, 7.5% milk samples and 11.3% chitterling samples were presumptive positive for *Y. enterocolitica* by the direct plating method on selective agars. Of the thirty-chitterling samples examined by PCR for the 16S rRNA gene, 26% samples contained the identification gene for the bacteria of interest. After conducting virulence tests, the fecal samples were revealed as non-pathogenic. Only one of the milk samples were considered pathogenic and consisted of the following virulent genes: *Yersinia* heat-stable toxin (*yst*), invasion (*inv*), attachment invasion locus (*ail*), virulence regulon transcriptional activator (*virF*), *Yersinia* adehesin A (*yadA*), and the O:3 antigen gene (*rfbC*). Seven out of the eight (87.5%) chitterling samples were shown to be pathogenic. Disc diffusion was conducted to determine the antimicrobial susceptibility of the isolates. Over half (55.5%) of the antimicrobial agents were found effective, with isolates being completely susceptible to ciprofloxacin, kanamycin, trimethoprim, cefotaxime, and gentamycin. Amoxicillin was determined to be least effective, where 84.6% of the samples presented resistance to the drug. Random amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD) analysis and ERIC-PCR techniques were used to evaluate genetic similarity among the *Yersinia* isolates. There was approximately 85% similarity between
two chitterlings and a fecal isolate during RAPD testing. With ERIC-PCR the largest similarity among all samples was at 95%, which was found between isolates from a chitterling and milk sample. Chitterling samples showed the highest prevalence of *Y. enterocolitica* compared to the other samples. Cross contamination at the farm level could be the root cause of this pathogen being prevalent in farm animal and food sources, which does pose a risk to public human health when food is improperly prepared.
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1. Introduction

Foodborne illness is a growing public health concern. The main source of acquiring *Yersinia enterocolitica* infection is through the consumption of the contaminated food or beverage. The CDC approximates that each year *Y. enterocolitica* is the cause of 117,000 illnesses, 640 hospitalizations, and 35 deaths [1]. *Yersinia enterocolitica* is a type of bacteria that primarily resides in the intestines of pigs and causes Yersiniosis diseases to human being after consuming the contaminated foods. The symptoms are noticeable 4 - 7 days after infection. The main symptoms of this disease are watery diarrhea, abdominal pain, headache, vomiting, and fever. Pseudo-appendicitis is also a symptom of infection because the abdominal pain is sometimes mistaken for appendicitis. Immune-compromised individuals may experience arthritis, meningitis, and inflammation of the skin [2]. Symptoms of Yersiniosis vary in age of infected persons, but are more common in young children, typically, young children with yersiniosis will produce bloody diarrhea [1]. Yersiniosis at the enteric site typically goes away on its own in healthy people. In recent years, approximately 17% of patients in Germany were hospitalized for 4 days, with a few deaths accounted for individuals older than 60 years of age [3].

Pigs have been identified as a major reservoir for *Y. enterocolitica*. Strains of the biotype 4 and serotype O:3 have been isolated from humans infected in European countries. The amount of *Y. enterocolitica* was found to be greater in the tonsils and tongues of pigs when compared to the amounts that were measured from the cecal and fecal materials of pigs. Additionally, biotypes 2 and 3 and serotypes O:5,27, O:8, and O:9 have been detected from slaughter pigs. The reservoir of these strains has not been clearly identified; however, it was suspected that contamination occurs during the processing of the pigs after slaughtered [4]. Virulent *Y. enterocolitica* strains have been detected in raw pork samples including loin, fillet, chops, ham, minced meat, and ready to eat pork products [4].

Rahman *et al.* [4] reported that dairy products, vegetables, other types of raw meats, and sewage/drinking water have also revealed the presence of *Y. enterocolitica*. It is also reported that *Y. enterocolitica* could replicate in deli meats, as
well as unpasteurized milk products. The bacteria amplified in foods that were stored at typical refrigerator temperatures [5]. Fruits and vegetables can become contaminated when the water used to irrigate the fresh produce has been contaminated with human or animal fecal matter. If cooking utensils or kitchen countertops have not been properly cleaned they pose the risk of transmitting *Y. enterocolitica*, which leads to foodborne illness [5].

Foodborne Diseases Active Surveillance Network (FoodNet) reported that *Y. enterocolitica* infections were greatest in young black children in the United States from 1996-2009 [6]. A peak of incidents was observed during winter months, which are the holiday seasons. An association was made between chitterlings and *Y. enterocolitica* infections in young black children. Chitterlings, which are the intestines of pigs is an African-American cultural dish that is often prepared in the home and served during the holidays. This study suggested that chitterlings were the source of infection [7]. While pigs are a known reservoir for *Y. enterocolitica*, other animals have also been known to be a host to this bacterium. Fresh produce has also been known to be a source of *Y. enterocolitica*. There was an outbreak in Norway in 2011 with 21 confirmed cases of infection by *Y. enterocolitica* O:9. None of the individuals died, however; 17 of them became sick with symptoms of yersiniosis. *Y. enterocolitica* was isolated from 11 pre-packaged salad products but none of them contained the serotype O:9 that was detected in the sick individuals [8]. Contamination of fruits and vegetables can occur at any level of the production process. *Y. enterocolitica* has been found present in fruits [9]. Enteric pathogens such as *Y. enterocolitica* have been shown to multiply on the surface of cut melons [10].

Based on biochemical and serological tests, *Y. enterocolitica* is divided into six biotypes and distinct serotypes. The biotypes 1B, 2, 3, 4 and 5 are grouped as pathogenic, whereas the biotype 1A strain is non-pathogenic to humans and animals [11], where as strains of bio-serotypes 4/O:3 and 2/O:9 are commonly associated with human yersiniosis. Varieties of animals have been studied for isolation of *Y. enterocolitica*. There was a difference between the biochemical and serological traits of strains taken from animals when compared to strains taken from humans. Strains that cause disease in humans have often been isolated from the tonsil and fecal samples of butchered pigs. Pigs were reported as the major reservoir for human infection of *Y. enterocolitica*. Sows could pass pathogenic *Yersinia* to their weaning piglets. In Great Britain, pigs and sheep were shown to be a source for *Y. enterocolitica* 4/O:3 and 3/O:9, leading to infection in humans. The bio-serotype 4/O:3 has been sampled from dogs and cats. Therefore, pets within the home are suggested to be a risk for infection to humans [12]. Farm animals (pigs, cattle, sheep, goats, and chicken), mammals (rodents, hares, foxes), pet animals (dogs, cats), environment (water, sewage) and foods (meat, dairy goods, seafood, veggies) were all identified as natural reservoirs of *Y. enterocolitica* [13] (**Table 1**).

In current situation, volume of the research works related to *Yersinia enterocolitica* has been carried out in European and Asian countries, not in the US,
Table 1. Primers used to distinguish the virulence genes in *Y. enterocolitica*.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Primer Name</th>
<th>Sequence (5'→3')</th>
<th>Amplicon Length (BP)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>yst</td>
<td>ystF</td>
<td>GTT AAT GCT GTC TTC ATT TGG AGC</td>
<td>145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ystR</td>
<td>GAC ATC CCA ATC ACT ACT GAC TTC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>inv</td>
<td>invF</td>
<td>CTG TGG GGA GAG TGG GGA AGT TGG</td>
<td>570</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>invR</td>
<td>GAA CTT GAA TCC TGG AAA ACC G</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ail</td>
<td>ailF</td>
<td>ACT CGA TGA TAA CTA GGG AGG</td>
<td>170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ailR</td>
<td>CCC CCA GTA CAT CAT AAA AGG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>virF</td>
<td>virF-F</td>
<td>TCA TGG GAG AAG AGT CAG</td>
<td>590</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>virF-R</td>
<td>ACT CAT CTT ACC ATT AAG AAG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ystB</td>
<td>ystB-F</td>
<td>AAC TTT TTG GAC ACC GCA CAG</td>
<td>208</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ystB-R</td>
<td>GTC TGA GTA TCG CAC GCT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yadA</td>
<td>yadA-F</td>
<td>CTT CAG ATA CTG CTG CTG TGG</td>
<td>849</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>yadA-R</td>
<td>ATG CCT GAC TAG AGC GAT ATC C</td>
<td>759</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rfbC</td>
<td>rfbC-F</td>
<td>CGC ATC TGG GAC ACT AAT TCG</td>
<td>405</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>rfbC-R</td>
<td>CCA GAG ATT CCA TCA AAA CCA CC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ystC</td>
<td>ystC-F</td>
<td>GAG GCT GAG TGC GG</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ystC-R</td>
<td>GCA GGA TTG CAA CA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

thus the objectives of this study were to identify, evaluate and access the antimi-
crobial activities of *Yersinia enterocolitica* isolates present in Chitterlings, Raw milk and Swine fecal samples.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Samples Collection

A total of 115 samples were collected for this study, of which 45 swine fecal and 40 cattle raw milk were aseptically collected from the North Carolina A&T State University farm in Greensboro, North Carolina. Unclean Chitterling samples (30) were purchased from local grocery store. Generally, samples were collected during the cold months of (November and December) the year of 2016. The samples were transported in the cooler containing ice, and sampled immediately upon arrival to the laboratory.

2.2. Isolation of *Y. enterocolitica*

With minor modifications, isolation and recognition of presumptive positive strains of *Yersinia enterocolitica* were performed according to the Bacteriological Analytical Manual [14]. **Raw Milk:** One milliliter of each sample was added to 9 mL of irgasan-ticarcillin-potassium chlorate (ITC) broth and were selectively enriched for 7 days at 25°C. **Fecal:** Five milliliters of ITC broth were placed in each swab container and enriched for 7 days at 25°C. **Chitterlings:** Twenty-five grams of each sample was compositely weighed and placed in a stomacher bag with 225 mL of ITC broth. The samples were homogenized for 30 seconds and then directly plated. The enrichment phase was not applied to the chitterlings due to anticipation of high levels of *Y. enterocolitica*. At the end of the enrichment phase for fecal and milk, and after homogenization of the chit-
terling samples, 100 µL of each sample was transferred to 900 µL of 0.5% NaCl in efforts to suppress the growth of unwanted microorganisms. One loopful was then streaked onto cefsulodin-irgasan-novobiocin (CIN) agar, as well as MacConkey agar (MCA). ATCC 9610 was used as a positive control. The agar plates were incubated at 30°C for 48 hour. Either presenting a pale-pink or a deep-red “bull’s eye” center formation in the agar identified as presumptive positive sample. The colonies were stored in tryptic soy agar slants for future use.

2.3. Identification of 16S rRNA and Virulence Genes by Polymerase Chain Reaction

The DNA was extracted by way of the boiling method. The PCR procedure used to identify the 16S rRNA gene was followed according to Neubauer et al. (2000). The primers chosen and amplicon values are shown in Table 2. The PCR conditions for distinguishing virulence genes were obtained from the following: for yst, Gomez-Durate et al. [15]; for inv, Rasmussen et al. [16]; for ail, Nakajima et al. [17]; for virF, Amin Askr et al. [18]; for ysfB, Garzetti et al. [19]; for yadA, Wang et al. [20]; for rtBC, Weynants et al. [21]; and for ystC, Bhagat and Virdi [22].

Briefly, a master mix containing 24.25 µL of autoclaved water, 10 µL of 5X flexi buffer, 1.0 µL of 2 mM deoxynucleotide triphosphates (DNTP), 7.5 µL of 3.75 mM MgCl₂, 2 µL of 10 pM stock of each primer, 2.5 µL of Thermus aquaticus (Taq) polymerase from PCR core kit (Promega Madison, WI). Three microliters of template DNA was pipetted into 0.5 mL thin-walled PCR tube followed by the addition of 47 µL of the master mix, to total 50 µL for the final volume in each tube. The reaction tube was pulse centrifuged for 5 s. PCR cycling parameters were as follows: for the 16S rRNA gene 94°C for 10 min (initial denaturation), 94°C for 1 min (denaturation), 68°C for 25 sec (annealing), and 72°C for 30 sec (extension). There were 30 cycles in steps 2 through 4 and final extension at 72°C for 10 min. For the yst gene initial denaturation at 94°C for 2 min, 92°C at 30 sec (denaturation), 59°C for 30 sec (annealing), and 72°C at 30 sec (extension). There were 40 cycles in steps 2 through 4 with the final extension at 72°C for 5 min. For the inv gene initial denaturation at 95°C for 1 min, 94°C at 15 sec (denaturation), 72°C for 30 sec (annealing), and 72°C for 30 sec (extension). There were 40 cycles in steps 2 through 4 with the final extension for 72°C at 10 min. For the ail gene initial denaturation at 94°C for 1 min, 94°C for 30 sec (denaturation), 55°C for 1 min (annealing), and 70°C for 2 min

Table 2. Presumptive positives for Yersinia on MAC and CIN agar, and 16S rRNA positive.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample Type</th>
<th>MAC</th>
<th>CIN</th>
<th>16S rRNA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Milk</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>3 (2.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fecal</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>2 (1.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chitterlings</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>8 (6.9%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
(extension). There were 25 cycles in steps 2 through 4 with a final extension of 70°C for 5 min. For the vir F gene initial denaturation was 94°C for 1 min, 94°C for 45 sec (denaturation), 57°C for 45 sec (annealing), and 72°C for 45 sec (extension). There were 30 cycles in steps 2 through 4 with a final extension of 72°C for 4 min.

For the ysbB gene initial denaturation was 95°C for 5 min, 95°C for 40 sec (denaturation), 58°C for 40 sec (annealing), and 72°C for 60 sec (extension). There were 30 cycles in steps 2 through 4 with a final extension of 72°C for 8 min. For the yadA gene, initial denaturation was 94°C for 5 min, 94°C for 15 sec (denaturation), 60°C for 30 sec (annealing), and 72°C for 30 sec (extension). There were 25 cycles in steps 2 through 4 with a final extension at 72°C for 10 min. For the rfbC gene, initial denaturation was 95°C for 10 min, 95°C for 30 sec (denaturation), 55°C for 1 min (annealing), and 72°C for 1 min (extension). There were 30 cycles in steps 2 through 4 with a final extension at 72°C for 10 min. For the ystC gene, initial denaturation was 94°C for 10 min, 94°C for 60 sec (denaturation), 38°C for 60 sec (annealing), and 72°C for 60 sec (extension). There were 30 cycles in steps 2 through 4 with a final extension of 7°C for 10 min. Positive and negative controls were included in all the PCR reactions.

The agarose gels went through gel electrophoresis on a voltage range of 68 - 75, and for 45 - 60 minutes. Gels were stained in ethidium bromide for one hour. Images from the gels were analyzed with Foto/analyst Luminary FX, an Electrophoresis Documentation and Analysis System (Fotodyne Inc., Rochester, NY).

**2.4. Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing Using the Disc Diffusion Method**

Bacterial isolates were grown in Mueller-Hinton broth (MHB) for 24 h and swabbed evenly onto the surface of Mueller-Hinton agar (MHA) using sterile cotton swabs. The bacterium concentration was determined according to the 0.5 McFarland standard. The isolates were spiral-plated onto MHA and allow drying for 30 minutes. The following antimicrobial drugs and doses were used to test for drug resistance: ampicillin (AMP) 10 µg, amoxicillin-clavulanic acid (AMC) 30 µg, ciprofloxacin (CIP) 5 µg, kanamycin (KAN) 30 µg, streptomycin (S) 10 µg, tetracycline (TE) 30 µg, trimethoprim (W) 5 µg, cefotaxime (CTX) 30 µg, and gentamicin (GN) 10 µg.

Antibiotic impregnated filter paper discs (Difco) at different concentrations were placed on the surface of each plate for each isolate, for a total of 9 discs using a Dispens-O-Disc dispenser (Difco) and incubated at 37°C for 16 - 18 h. Inhibition zones were measured to the nearest millimeter [23]. Inhibition zones were indicated by a lack of microbial growth due to inhibitory concentrations of antibiotic diffused into semisolid culture media (agar) beneath the antibiotic-impregnated disc. Characterizations of strains based on the size of the inhibition zones around each disc were determined by measuring to the nearest millimeter (mm). The zones of inhibitions (ZOI) were compared to control isolates through this study.
2.5. Enterobacterial Repetitive Intergenic Consensus (ERIC)-PCR

DNA extractions were performed by the following way: the master mix contained 2 µL of DNA template, 8.2 µL of dH2O, 10 µL of Syber Green, and 0.8 µL of primer Eric2 to total the volume to 20 µL. The primer used was ERIC2 (5’-AAGTAAGTGACTGGGGTGAGCG-3’). Real-time PCR was used and the conditions were as follows: initial denaturation of 7 min at 94˚C, 94˚C for 30 sec (denaturation), 52˚C for 1 min (annealing), and 65˚C for 8 min (extension). There were 30 cycles during steps 2 through 4, with a final extension of 65˚C at 10 min. This process was completed twice [24]. The 1.6% agarose gels went through gel electrophoresis for 1 - 1.5 hours and stained in ethidium bromide. Images from the gels were analyzed with Foto/analyst Luminary FX, an Electrophoresis Documentation and Analysis System (Fotodyne Inc.). The data were analyzed with the GelCompar 2.0 for Windows program. The distance between clusters and similarity were calculated using the unweighted pair group method with arithmetic averages (UPGMA). A cut-off ≥ 85% was used to determine groups that were identified as genetically related.

2.6. Random Amplified Polymorphic DNA

A combination of 15 µL of sterile, distilled water; 5 µL of primer; and 5 µL of DNA were prepared in a sterile environment. A total of 4 primers were utilized from the Ready-To-Go RAPD Analysis beads kit (GE Healthcare Life Sciences). The thermo-cycler parameters were 95˚C for one minute of denaturation, 36˚C for one minute of annealing, and 72˚C for two minutes of extension. There was a total of forty-five cycles. RAPD analysis beads were placed into each tube. A 1.6% agarose gel underwent gel electrophoresis at a voltage of 75 for one-half hours. The gel was stained in ethidium bromide for one hour, and viewed by UV trans illumination and photographed. The gels were stained with ethidium bromide and documented and analyzed with Foto/analyst Luminary FX, an Electrophoresis Documentation and Analysis System (Fotodyne Inc.) The distances between clusters were calculated using the unweighted pair group method with arithmetic averages (UPGMA). A cut-off ≥ 85% was used to determine groups that were identified as genetically related.

2.7. Data Analysis

Statistical analysis of the data pertaining to antimicrobial susceptibility was performed with SAS. A P value < 0.05 was used to determine statistical significance.

3. Results

3.1. Isolation of Samples

From a total of 40 milk samples, 70% were presumptive positive for MacConkey agar while 52.5% were presumptive positive for CIN agar Figure 1 and Figure 2. After isolation of the 45 fecal samples all samples were presumptive positive on MacConkey agar, yet 73% of the samples were presented on the CIN agar. The
Figure 1. (a) Presumptive positive *Yersinia* colonies, pink colonies grown on CIN agar; (b) Presumptive positive *Yersinia* colonies, red colonies grown on CIN agar.
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Figure 2. (a) Illustrates *Yersinia* isolates identified with various virulence genes; (b) Detection of *Y. enterocolitica* virulence gene (*inv*) by PCR. Lane M: 100 bp DNA Marker, 1—ATCC 9610, 2—ATCC 29743, 3—SM 157, 4—SM 160, 5—SC 47, 6—SF 80, 7—SC 33, 8—SM 19, 9—SC 49, 10—SC 57, 11—SF 6, 12—SC 43, 13—SC 59, 14—SC 37; (c) Detection of *Y. enterocolitica* virulence gene (*virF*) by PCR. Lane M: 100 bp DNA Marker, 1—ATCC 29743, 2—ATCC 9610, 3—SM 19, 4—SM 157, 5—SC 57, 6—SC 55, 7—SF 6, 8—SC 43, 9—SC 33, 10—SF 80, 11—SC 59, 12—SC 37, 13—SC 49, 14—SM 160, 15—SC 47, M: 100 bp DNA Marker.
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Chitterling samples revealed 90% to be presumptive positive for MacConkey agar, with 67% of the samples presumptive positive for CIN agar as described in Table 3. Colonies that were isolated from the samples are shown in Figure 3. CIN agar was the source of 84.6% of *Yersinia* isolates. Only two positive samples (15%) were retrieved from the MAC agar.

3.2. Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR)

11.3% (13/115) of all samples contained the 16s rRNA gene, identifying them as *Yersinia enterocolitica*. Of the 105 presumptive positives, 12.4% (13/105) were *Y. enterocolitica*. From the milk samples, 7.5% presented the 16S rRNA gene.
Table 3. Drug resistance and virulence genes present in Y. enterocolitica samples.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Drug Resistance</th>
<th>Virulence Genes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SM-19</td>
<td>Milk</td>
<td>AMC, S, AMP</td>
<td>yst, inv, ail, virF, yadA, rbBC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SM-157</td>
<td>Milk</td>
<td>AMC, S, AMP</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SM-160</td>
<td>Milk</td>
<td>AMC, AMP</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC-33</td>
<td>Chitterlings</td>
<td>AMP</td>
<td>yst, inv, ail, virF, rbBC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC 37</td>
<td>Chitterlings</td>
<td>S, AMP, TE</td>
<td>yst, inv, ail, rbBC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC 43</td>
<td>Chitterlings</td>
<td>S, AMP</td>
<td>yst, inv, ail, rbBC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC 47</td>
<td>Chitterlings</td>
<td>S, AMP</td>
<td>yst, inv, ail, virF, rbBC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC 49</td>
<td>Chitterlings</td>
<td>AMC, S, AMP</td>
<td>yst, inv, ail, virF, rbBC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC 55</td>
<td>Chitterlings</td>
<td>AMC, AMP</td>
<td>yadA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC 57</td>
<td>Chitterlings</td>
<td>S, AMP, TE</td>
<td>yst, inv, ail, virF, rbBC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC 59</td>
<td>Chitterlings</td>
<td>S, AMP, TE</td>
<td>yst, inv, ail, rbBC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SF 6</td>
<td>Fecal</td>
<td>TE</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SF 80</td>
<td>Fecal</td>
<td>TE</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y. enterocolitica ATCC 9610</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Yst</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y. ruckeri ATCC 29743</td>
<td>AMC, CIP, S, AMP, TE, W, CTX</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Figure 3. (a) Disc diffusion with Mueller-Hinton agar after isolation from milk source; (b) Disc diffusion with Mueller-Hinton agar after isolation from chitterlings source.

When compared to all 115 samples, 2.6% of Y. enterocolitica isolates were derived from the milk. Additionally, 4.4% of the fecal samples were identified with the 16S rRNA gene. When the fecal was compared to all samples, 1.7% of Y. enterocolitica samples came from fecal. Lastly, 26% of the chitterling samples revealed the 16S rRNA gene as defined in Table 3. In contrast to all samples, 7% of chitterling samples contained the 16S rRNA gene.
After evaluating the 13 confirmed *Y. enterocolitica* samples for traits of pathogenicity, 61.5% (8/13) of the *Yersinia* isolates carried the *yst*, *inv*, *ail*, and *rtbc* gene. 38.5% (5/13) samples carried the *virF* gene; in conjunction with the *yst*, *inv*, *ail*, and *rtbc* genes. 15% (2/13) carried the *yadA* gene, while 7.7% (1/13) isolates carried the *yadA* in combination with the *yst*, *inv*, *ail*, and *rtbc* genes. 69.2% (9/13) of the *Yersinia* isolates were pathogenic, while 30.8% (4/13) were non-pathogenic. As shown in Table 3, 1 milk sample was virulent (7.7%), 7 chitterling samples were virulent (53.8%), and the fecal samples were shown to be non-pathogenic.

### 3.3. Disc Diffusion

All 13 *Yersinia* isolates were susceptible to ciprofloxacin, kanamycin, trimethoprim, cefotaxime, and gentamycin. Therefore, 55.5% of the antimicrobial agents were effective against the samples. Resistance to amoxicillin-clavulanic acid was found in 38.5% of samples, 53.8% were susceptible, and 7.7% were suggested to be intermediate. Streptomycin was ineffective to over half of the samples, with 61.5% being resistant. 30.8% of isolates demonstrated susceptibility, and 7.7% were intermediate. Ampicillin appeared to present the least amount of influence, with 84.6% of the samples being resistant to the antibiotic. While only 15% of samples were susceptible to ampicillin, there were no intermediate findings. Results were roughly equal with Tetracycline; where 38.5% of isolates were resistant, 30.8% showed susceptibility, and 30.8% left intermediate effects.

Table 3 is a representation of all antibiotics that resulted in drug resistance to the various sample isolates. Figure 3(a) and Figure 3(b) represents antimicrobial testing and zones of inhibition from milk and chitterling samples due to testing with the various antimicrobials. Figure 4 is a summary of the influences of each antimicrobial drug. The positive control ATCC 9610 displayed a trend of being susceptible to all antibiotics that were tested. The negative control ATCC 29743 left an oppositional impact, where it was resistant to all except two of the antimicrobial agents. Treatment with gentamycin resulted in susceptibility, while the negative control was intermediate to kanamycin.

### 3.4. ERIC-PCR

There was 75% similarity among the two fecal isolates, one milk isolate, one chitterling isolate, and control *Yersinia ruckeri* (SF-80, SM-160, SF-6, SC-55, ATCC 29743). SF-6 and SM-160 presented a similarity of 90%. There was approximately an 85% similarity between the remaining two *Y. enterocolitica* milk isolates, 6 chitterling isolates, and control ATCC 9610 *Y. enterocolitica*. There was a 95% similarity between SM-19 and SC-49, which was the largest similarity among all samples. When comparing the two major clusters, there was an overall similarity of 65%. The final chitterling isolate (SC-59) showed the least amount of similarity of 50% when compared to all the other samples. ATCC 9610 and SC-47 had a similarity of 90%.
3.5. Random Amplified Polymorphic DNA

Primer 3 presented 30% similarity between a chitterling and milk sample. There was 40% similarity among one chitterling and one fecal sample. When ATCC 9610 Y. enterocolitica was compared to four chitterlings and one milk sample 30% similarity was found. There was one chitterling sample that had no similarity with any of the other samples that were tested. The two major clusters had an overall similarity of 5%. There were two chitterling samples that were 65% similar, as well as one milk and one chitterling. Primer 4 showed higher rates of similarity, which consisted of a chitterling and milk sample with 97% similarity. Two other chitterlings and milk sample had similarity at 95%. When comparing the two fecal isolates with two chitterlings and two milks, there was 60% similarity. Two other chitterlings were 85% similar and two different chitterling samples were 90% similar.

Primer 5 showed a 75% similarity between a fecal and chitterling sample. 65% similarity was seen in the two fecal samples with a chitterling sample. There was 85% similarity between a milk and chitterlings samples. Six chitterlings were 80% similar with a milk sample. When all samples were compared, there was 35% similarity. Primer 6 had 90% similarity in two chitterling samples. 97% similarity was seen in two milk samples, and 85% between ATCC 9610 and a chitterling. There was approximately 85% similarity between two chitterlings and one fecal sample.

4. Discussion

Y. enterocolitica infection is a wide spread cause of foodborne illness, with signs of infection being fever, diarrhea, nausea, stomach pain, and gastroenteritis [18]. The primary reservoir for pathogenic forms of Y. enterocolitica is pigs, although they do not present any physical symptoms [25]. Outcomes of this study demonstrated that chitterling source had the highest rate of virulent genes, totaling 53.8%. There is a risk of contamination with pathogenic Y. enterocolitica transferred from the intestinal contents of pigs [26]. With the curren
higher incidence during winter among black children younger than 5 years old, it is suggested that chitterlings might still be a cause of infection [7].

Neither of the Yersinia isolates from swine fecal in the current study were identified as pathogenic. The majority (85%) of rectal swabs evaluated were non-pathogenic and grouped as biotype 1A. Similarly, ITC broth (warm culture) was used to isolate the strains from wild boar, with a larger rate of isolation obtained from PSBB (cold culture) [27]. Cold enrichment was most effective for retrieving Yersinia enterocolitica. Pig tonsils are considered the best choice of tissue to identify the presence of pathogens. They tend to have greater amounts of contamination [28]. Samples from the present study were enriched with ITC broth at 25°C for 7 days then streaked onto agar plates to incubate at 30°C for 48 h, and resulted in 11.3% of the isolates identified as part of the Yersinia species carrying the 16S rRNA gene. Of these isolates, 23% came from cow milk, 15% from swine fecal, and 61.5% from chitterlings. While examining pig tonsils, samples were enriched and streaked under the same parameters. From the ITC enrichment, 38.4% Yersinia enterocolitica isolates were recovered. CIN and SSDC agar were used to obtain the colonies, but all the positives coming from CIN [29]. The present study used CIN and MCA agar, with 84.6% (11/13) of Yersinia isolates coming from CIN.

The raw cattle milk from the present study resulted in 7.7% being pathogenic in comparison to all samples. The isolate carried the following genes: yst, inv, ail, virF, yadA, and rfbC. When different types of raw milk sources were tested, the largest percentage of Y. enterocolitica was observed in 5.8% of cattle milk. A total of 240 cow milk samples were evaluated [30]. The present study was much smaller, while analyzing only 40 milk samples. A strain of Y. enterocolitica obtained from milk was shown to convey four virulence genes (inv, ail, ystA and virF). Virulence was presented from all the phenotypic tests. It was concluded that this strain that was classified as biotype 2 had the possibility to cause disease [24].

The positive control ATCC 9610 did not show up in all the PCR virulence tests. It was not observed for ail, yadA, rfbC, virF, ystB, inv, and ystC. The only gene where the positive control was recognized was with yst. Samples were identified with the appropriate gene by measuring the predetermined base pair length. The ail gene was not identified in the two biotype 1A isolates evaluated, however; the gene was observed in all pathogenic biotypes (1B, 2, 3, 4) excluding the 1B/O:8 strain which was ATCC 9610. The results found for uncovering the yadA gene in Y. enterocolitica was alike, where the gene was not noticed in two of the 1B strains [31]. There was no success in amplifying the reference strain ATCC 9610, which was obtained by ATCC in the year 1939. This may have been caused by absence of the virulence trait following several passages [32]. ATCC 9610 was determined to be avirulent [33]. Each sample was testing three times during disc diffusion. All samples including controls were susceptible to ciprofloxacin, kanamycin, trimethoprim, cefotaxime, and gentamycin. Similarly, when the MIC method was used to measure antimicrobial resistance in Y. enterocoli-
tica isolates, no resistance was seen in ciprofloxacin, gentamycin, kanamycin, and cefotaxime [34].

Major errors occurred during disc diffusion on Y. enterococolitica isolates, suggesting that the results from that tool of research are not credible in determining the rate of resisting antimicrobials [35]. Slightly less than half of the antimicrobial drugs were resisted by Y. enterococolitica isolates during this experiment. In the present study, 61.5% of the samples were resistant to streptomycin, 84.6% to ampicillin, and 38.5% to tetracycline. This compares to 11.9% of Y. enterocolitica O:3 strains that were resistant to streptomycin, 6.8% of multiresistance samples that including ampicillin and streptomycin, and tetracycline being susceptible [34]. Y. enterocolitica isolates tested 100% resistant to ampicillin. A total of 8% were resistant to trimethoprim, and 12% resisted tetracycline [36]. From the 115 samples, Yersinia was detected at a rate of 11.3% in the current study. FoodNet 2016, preliminary data reported a steady increase in Yersinia diagnoses. It was suggested that the bacterium was under detected prior to changes recently made in testing procedures. In 2016 Yersinia infection reports were measured at 32%, with a total of 302 confirmed infections [37].

From this study, the chitterling samples showed the most genetic similarity, as bands from the ERIC-PCR gels had similar patterns. The virulent strains were similar at 85%, as were the non-virulent strains at 80%. It was observed that virulent Yersinia enterocolitica strains isolated from food sources presented more similarity at 70% than strains isolated from other types of food sources, which fell below 50% (Falcao et al., 2006). From pork tonsil samples, a cluster pattern was not observed due to the 90% similarity cut-off point. Four different ERIC-PCR patterns were identified in Y. enterocolitica isolates [38]. From RAPD, there were multiple genetic similarities associated with each primer that was used. Nine of the 13 Yersinia isolates had similar profiles. However; all the bands did not show up on the gel. From the 16 serotype O:3 Y. enterocolitica strains that were isolated from pigs, 15 of them had a similar profile. There were bands seen on the gel that were not noticeable on the picture [39]. Although different primers were used, a large genetic diversity was found in the strains that were tested [40].

Further research is recommended on pork, particularly chitterling samples being enriched in PSBB at cold temperatures to compare the rate of isolation to the present study. Also, these samples should be collected from a recently slaughtered pig, instead of purchasing chitterlings from a store as freezing may have influenced the viability of the bacteria. Pig’s milk should also be evaluated to clarify speculations of Y. enterocolitica being transferred to piglets during the nursing phase. It is recommended that piglet fecal also be tested for pathogens. The results from using ATCC 9610 were not as expected. It is recommended that a different positive control be used in future research.

5. Conclusion

In conclusion, foodborne illness is an issue that consumers continue to face.
Young children and the elderly tend to be more impacted by sickness from *Y. enterocolitica* than other age groups. The results from this study suggest that the pathogen is present in uncleaned, uncooked chitterlings and raw dairy milk in the state of North Carolina. Genetic testing also suggests that some of the *Yersinia* isolates may be generated from an unidentified, common source. Precautions should be taken especially during the colder months of the year on farm animals, as well as hygiene of farmers to minimize the risk of spreading infection.
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