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Abstract

In this paper, we prove two formulas involving Mertens and Chebyshev functions. The first formula was done by Mertens himself without a proof. The second formula is a new one. Using these formulas, we estimate the Mertens function in such manner that we obtain a sufficient condition to approve the Riemann hypothesis.
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1. Introduction

The Mertens function $M(n)$ is defined as

$$M(n) = \sum_{k \leq n} \mu(k)$$

(1)

where $\mu(k)$ is the Möbius function. The function was named in honor of F.C.J. Mertens. Franz Carol Joseph Mertens was born on March 20th, 1840 in Środa Prussia (now Środa Wielkopolska, Poland). He died on March 5th, 1927 in Vienna, Austria. The history of the attempts to prove the Riemann hypothesis started in 1885. Still in the records of the French Academy of Sciences, on July 13th, 1885, there is a note presented by Charles Hermite (the member of Academy) and written by a Dutch mathematician Thomas Stieltjes. He claims to have demonstrated the Riemann hypothesis on one small page! The proof appeared false and Hermite explained why [1]. Stieltjes never published the proof of the Riemann hypothesis. In 1897, Mertens based on empirical evidence claimed $|M(n)| \leq \sqrt{n}$, $n > 1$ named for him the “Mertens conjecture” [2]. In 1985, Mertens conjecture was disproved by te Riele and Odlyzko using a high-speed computer [2]. There are several popular books about the Riemann hypothesis. For the list of those books, we can find in the Google [3]. In [1], we have the history of the zeta function of Riemann. Throughout this article, we will
use letters: \( k, n \) for natural numbers, \( p \) for prime numbers and \( x \) for real numbers, and also we assume \( k \leq x \). The function \( \log(x) = \log x = \log_e x = \ln x \). We will use Mertens function also for real numbers \( x \geq 0 \). \( M(x) \) is defined by

\[
M(0) = 0, \quad M([x]) = \sum_{\mu(k) \leq x} \mu(k).
\]

The estimation of Mertens function is important for the number theory by the theorem proved in 1912 by J. E. Littlewood [4] (p. 261).

Theorem: The statement

\[
M(x) = O\left(\frac{1}{x^{1+\epsilon}}\right)
\]

for every \( \epsilon > 0 \) is equivalent to the Riemann hypothesis.

2. Some Properties of the Mertens Function

First we recall formula [5]

\[
\sum_{k \leq x} M\left(\frac{x}{k}\right) = 1.
\]

Next, we give the new formula involving Mertens function and Chebychev function

\[
\psi(x) = \sum_{p \leq x} \log(p).
\]

Proposition 1.

\[
M(x) \log(x) = \sum_{k \leq x} \mu(k) \left(\log\left(\frac{x}{k}\right) - \psi\left(\frac{x}{k}\right)\right).
\]

\[
\sum_{k \leq x} \mu(k) \left(\log\left(\frac{x}{k}\right) - \psi\left(\frac{x}{k}\right)\right) = \log(x) \sum_{k \leq x} \mu(k) - \sum_{k \leq x} \mu(k) \log(k)
\]

\[
- \sum_{k \leq x} \mu(k) \psi\left(\frac{x}{k}\right) = M(x) \log(x) - \sum_{k \leq x} \mu(k) \log(k) - \sum_{k \leq x} \mu(k) \psi\left(\frac{x}{k}\right)
\]

because

\[
\sum_{k \leq x} \mu(k) \log(k) = - \sum_{k \leq x} \mu(k) \psi\left(\frac{x}{k}\right).
\]


We shall prove the formula which was given by Mertens himself [7], without a proof, (proposition 2.)

Formula is of the form

Proposition 2.

\[
\psi(x) = \sum_{k \leq x} M\left(\frac{x}{k}\right) \log(k).
\]

We state one of generalized Möbius inversion formulas [8] (p. 405) in the
following form: let \( f(x) \) be a function for \( x \geq 1 \) and \[
g(x) = \sum_{k \leq x} f\left(\frac{x}{k}\right).	ag{13}
\]

Then for \( x \geq 1 \)
\[
f(x) = \sum_{k \leq x} \mu(k) g\left(\frac{x}{k}\right),	ag{14}
\]
and reciprocally (vice versa).

Applying the Möbius formula as above to proposition 1 we get
\[
\sum_{k \leq x} M\left(\frac{x}{k}\right) \log\left(\frac{x}{k}\right) = \log(x) - \psi(x).	ag{15}
\]

On the other hand we have
\[
\sum_{k \leq x} M\left(\frac{x}{k}\right) \log\left(\frac{x}{k}\right) = \log(x) \sum_{k \leq x} M\left(\frac{x}{k}\right) - \sum_{k \leq x} M\left(\frac{x}{k}\right) \log(k) \tag{16}
\]
\[
= \log(x) \sum_{k \leq x} M\left(\frac{x}{k}\right) - \sum_{k \leq x} M\left(\frac{x}{k}\right) \log(k) \tag{17}
\]
\[
= \log(x) - \sum_{k \leq x} M\left(\frac{x}{k}\right) \log(k). \tag{18}
\]

Finally we have
\[
\log(x) - \psi(x) = \log(x) - \sum_{k \leq x} M\left(\frac{x}{k}\right) \log(k), \tag{19}
\]
so
\[
\psi(x) = \sum_{k \leq x} M\left(\frac{x}{k}\right) \log(k). \tag{20}
\]

This completes the proof.

Notice. The formulas used in the paper are some kind of identities. They follow from the properties of Mertens and Chebyshev functions.

3. The Estimation of Mertens Function

From proposition 1 we have
\[
M(x) \log(x) = \sum_{k \leq x} \mu(k) \log\left(\frac{x}{k}\right) - \sum_{k \leq x} \mu(k) \psi\left(\frac{x}{k}\right) \tag{21}
\]
\[
\left| M(x) \log(x) \right| = \left| \sum_{k \leq x} \mu(k) \log\left(\frac{x}{k}\right) - \sum_{k \leq x} \mu(k) \psi\left(\frac{x}{k}\right) \right| \tag{22}
\]
\[
= \left| \sum_{k \leq x} \mu(k) \left( \log\left(\frac{x}{k}\right) - \psi\left(\frac{x}{k}\right) \right) \right| \tag{23}
\]
\[
\leq \sum_{k \leq x} \left| \log\left(\frac{x}{k}\right) - \psi\left(\frac{x}{k}\right) \right|.
\]

Because \( \log x \leq \theta(x) \) for all \( x \geq 1 \), where \[
\theta(x) = \sum_{p \leq x} \log(p) \tag{24}
\]
we replaced $\log\left(\frac{x}{k}\right)$ by something greater, i.e. by $\theta\left(\frac{x}{k}\right)$ and we get

$$
\sum_{k \leq x} \left|\log\left(\frac{x}{k}\right) - \psi\left(\frac{x}{k}\right)\right| \leq \sum_{k \leq x} \left|\theta\left(\frac{x}{k}\right) - \psi\left(\frac{x}{k}\right)\right|.
$$

We have

$$
\psi\left(\frac{x}{k}\right) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \theta\left(\frac{x}{m}\right)
$$

for all $x \geq 1$, [8] p. 318, and

$$
\psi\left(\frac{x}{k}\right) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \theta\left(\frac{x}{m}\right).
$$

Notice. We use the symbol of sigma from 1 to infinity but the number of summand different from zero is always finite.

Next note that on the right hand side of above formula if $\left(\frac{x}{k}\right)^{1/m} < 2$ then the corresponding summands

$$
\theta\left(\frac{x}{k}\right)^{1/m} = 0.
$$

Let

$$
m = \left(\log\left(\frac{x}{k}\right)\right) / \log(2) = \left(\log(x) - \log(k)\right) / \log(2) = \frac{\log(x)}{\log(2)} - \frac{\log(k)}{\log(2)}.
$$

If $m > \frac{\log x}{\log 2}$ then

$$
\theta\left(\frac{x}{k}\right)^{1/m} = 0.
$$

$$
\psi\left(\frac{x}{k}\right) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \theta\left(\frac{x}{m}\right).
$$

$$
\psi\left(\frac{x}{k}\right) - \theta\left(\frac{x}{k}\right) = \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \theta\left(\frac{x}{m}\right).
$$

We know [8], p. 319

$$
\theta(x) = O(x \log(x))
$$

and

$$
\psi\left(\frac{x}{k}\right) - \theta\left(\frac{x}{k}\right) = \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} O\left(\frac{x}{k}\right)^{1/m} \log\left(\frac{x}{k}\right)
$$

$$
= O\left(\frac{x}{k}\right)^{1/2} \log\left(\frac{x}{k}\right) + \sum_{3 \leq m \leq \log(x)/\log(2)} O\left(\frac{x}{k}\right)^{1/3} \log^2\left(\frac{x}{k}\right)
$$
\[= O\left(\left(\frac{x}{k}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \log\left(\frac{x}{k}\right)\right)\]. \quad (35)\]

(There are at most \(\log\left(\frac{x}{k}\right)\) nonzero terms in last sum).

### 4. Results

Finally, according to

\[
|M(x)|\log(x) \leq \sum_{\ell \leq x} \left|\theta\left(\frac{x}{\ell}\right) - \psi\left(\frac{x}{\ell}\right)\right| = \sum_{\ell \leq x} \left(\psi\left(\frac{x}{\ell}\right) - \theta\left(\frac{x}{\ell}\right)\right)
\]

\[
= \sum_{\ell \leq x} O\left(\left(\frac{x}{\ell}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \log\left(\frac{x}{\ell}\right)\right) = O\left(x^{\frac{1}{2}} \log(x)\right),
\]

we obtain

\[
|M(x)|\log(x) = O\left(x^{\frac{1}{2}} \log(x)\right). \quad (38)
\]

From the definition of big \(\mathcal{O}\) notation we have \(|M(x)|\log(x) \leq K\sqrt{x}^2 \log(x)\) for all \(x \geq 1\) where \(K > 0\).

Thus \(|M(x)| \leq K\sqrt{x}^2, \; x \geq 1\) i.e.

\[
M(x) = O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{x}^2}\right). \quad (39)
\]

The result

\[
M(x) = O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{x}^2}\right)
\]

is the sufficient condition for the approval of Riemann hypothesis.

In [4] chapter 12.1, we can find more about that.

### 5. Conclusion

The estimation of the Mertens function \(M(x)\) is in the form as in theorem of the Littlewood [4]. The result means the Riemann hypothesis is the theorem. The future problem is to find an exact formula for an imaginary part of the zeros of the Riemann zeta function.
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