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## Open Access


#### Abstract

Properties of eigenvalues of the $p$-Laplacian operator on a finite dimensional compact Riemannian manifold are studied for the case in which the metric of the manifold evolves under the Ricci-harmonic map flow. It will be shown that the first nonzero eigenvalue is monotonically nondecreasing along the flow and differentiable almost everywhere.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $(M, g)$ and $(N, h)$ be two compact Riemannian manifolds without boun- dary with dimensions $m$ and $n$, respectively. Let $\varphi: M \rightarrow N$ be a smooth map that is a critical point of the Dirichlet energy integral

$$
E(\varphi)=\int_{M}|\nabla \varphi|^{2} \mathrm{~d} \mu_{g}
$$

where $\mathrm{d} \mu_{g}$ is the integration measure on the manifold. Nash's embedding theorem implies $N$ is isometrically embedded in $\mathrm{R}^{d}$ for $d \geq n$. The configuration $(g(x, t), \varphi(x, t))$ for $t \in[0, T]$ of a one-parameter family of Riemannian metrics $g(x, t)$ and a family of smooth maps $\varphi(x, t)$ is defined to be a Ricci-harmonic map flow if it satisfies the coupled system of nonlinear parabolic equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial}{\partial t} g(x, t)=-2 \operatorname{Ric}(x, t)+2 \alpha \nabla \varphi(x, t) \otimes \nabla \varphi(x, t)  \tag{1.1}\\
& \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \varphi(x, t)=\tau_{g} \varphi(x, t)
\end{align*}
$$

where $(x, t) \in M \times[0, T), \otimes$ denotes tensor product, Ric is the Ricci curvature tensor corresponding to $g$ and $\alpha(t)>0$ is a parameter-dependent coupling constant such that $\tau_{g} \varphi$ is the intrinsic Laplacian of $\varphi$ [1] [2] [3].

The problem to be investigated here is the $p$-eigenvalue problem where $p \in[2, \infty)$ defined by the following nonlinear equation which is constructed from the $p$-Laplacian

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{p, g} w(x)=-\lambda_{p}|w(x)|^{p-2} w(x), \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $w(x) \neq 0$ for $x \in M$ and such that $w(x)=0$ on $\partial M$. In local coordinates, the $p$-Laplacian is given by [1] [2]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{p, g} w(x)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{|g(x)|}} \sum_{i, j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i}}\left(\sqrt{|g(x)|} g^{i j}(x)|\nabla w(x)|^{p-2} \frac{\partial w}{\partial x^{j}}\right) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $|g|=\operatorname{det}\left(g_{i j}\right)$ and inverse metric $g^{i j}$. When $p=2$, the operator $\Delta_{p, g}$ reduces to the usual Laplace-Beltrami operator $\Delta_{2, g} w=\operatorname{div} \operatorname{grad} w$ [4] [5]. It can be verified that the principal symbol of (1.2) is nonnegative everywhere and strictly positive on the neighborhood of a point at which $\nabla w \neq 0$. It is also known that (1.2) has weak solutions with only partial regularity: in general, they are of class $C^{1, \alpha}(0<\alpha<1)$. Notice that the least eigenvalue of a compact manifold without boundary or with Dirichlet boundary condition is zero with corresponding eigenfunction a constant. It is known that the first eigenvalue of $\Delta_{p, \text {, }}$ is obtained by means of the formula [2]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{p, 1}(M)=\inf _{0 \neq w \in W_{0}^{f} p(M)}\left\{\left.\frac{\left.\int_{M} \nabla \omega\right|_{g} ^{p} \mathrm{~d} \mu_{g}}{\int_{M}|w|_{g}^{p} \mathrm{~d} \mu_{g}} \right\rvert\, w \neq 0, w \in W_{0}^{1, p}(M)\right\}, \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

while satisfying the constraint $\int_{M} \mid w_{g}^{p-2} w \mathrm{~d} \mu_{g}=0$. The infimum does not h
a
n
g
e when $W_{0}^{1, p}(M)$ is replaced by $C_{0}^{\infty}(M)$. The corresponding eigenfunction $w_{1}$ is the energy minimizer of the $p$-Rayleigh quotient (1.4) such that the infimum runs over all $w \in W_{0}^{1, p}(M)$.
The objective is to present a new concise proof of the general evolution of the first eigenvalue as a function of $t$ under the Ricci flow (1.1). The proof is based on the work of Cao [6] [7] and Abolarinwa [8]. A monotonicity formula without differentiability assumption on the eigenfunction can also be obtained. The differentiability of a $p$-eigenvalue is a consequence of the monotonicity for- mula.

For the most part, a local coordinate system $\left\{x^{i}\right\}$ on $M$ is adopted. The Riemannian metric $g(x)$ at any point $x \in M$ is a bilinear symmetric positive definite matrix $g_{i j}(x)$ with inverse written $g^{i j}(x)$. This induces a norm, the metric norm

$$
|\nabla w|_{g}^{2}=g^{i j} \nabla_{i} w \nabla_{j} w=\nabla^{i} w \nabla_{i} w
$$

The Riemannian structure on the manifold $M$ allows a Riemannian volume measure $\mathrm{d} \mu_{g}(t)$ to be defined on $M$ by the expression

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d} \mu_{g}(t)=\sqrt{|g|} \mathrm{d} x^{1} \wedge \ldots \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{n} . \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The fact that the Riemannian metric is parallel, $\nabla g=0$, will be used frequently without further mention as well as integration by parts, which for example takes the form,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{M}\langle-\operatorname{div} X, w\rangle_{g} \mathrm{~d} \mu_{g} & =\int_{M}\langle X, \nabla w\rangle_{g} \mathrm{~d} \mu_{g} \\
& =-\int_{M} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\operatorname{det} g}} w \partial_{i}\left(X^{i} \sqrt{|g|}\right) \sqrt{|g|} \mathrm{d} x^{i},
\end{aligned}
$$

and for functions $u, w \in C^{2}(M)$,

$$
\int_{M} u \Delta w \mathrm{~d} \mu_{g}=-\int_{M}\langle\nabla u, \nabla w\rangle_{g} \mathrm{~d} \mu_{g}=\int_{M} \Delta_{g} u w \mathrm{~d} \mu_{g} .
$$

Also the following notations for the Ricci-harmonic map flow [1] will be used in the following form,

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=\operatorname{Ric}_{g}-\alpha \nabla \varphi \otimes \nabla \varphi, S_{i j}=R_{i j}-\alpha \nabla_{i} \varphi \nabla_{j} \varphi_{j} S_{g}=g^{i j} S \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 2. The Ricci Flow

All the geometric quantities associated with the manifold $M$ evolve as the Riemannian metric on $M$ evolves along the Ricci-harmonic map flow.

Lemma 1. Let a one-parameter family of smooth metrics $g(t)$ solve the Ricci-harmonic map flow (1.1). Then the following evolutions hold:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} g^{i j}=2 S^{i j},  \tag{2.1}\\
\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \nabla w\right|^{2}=2 S^{i j} \nabla_{i} w \nabla_{j} w+2 g^{i j} \nabla_{i} w \nabla_{j} w_{t},  \tag{2.2}\\
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathrm{~d} \mu_{g}=-S_{g} \mathrm{~d} \mu_{g} . \tag{2.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

Here $w$ is a smooth function defined on $M$ and $S_{g}$ the metric trace of the symmetric 2-tensor $S_{i j}$ as in (1.6).

Proof: To prove equation (2.1), recall the metric satisfies $g^{i j} g_{j l}=\delta_{l}^{i}$. Differentiating both sides of this with respect to $t$ and using (1.1), we have

$$
\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} g^{i j}\right)_{j l}=-g^{i j}\left(-2 R_{j l}+2 \alpha \nabla_{j} \varphi \nabla_{l} \varphi\right) .
$$

To obtain the second result (2.2), differentiate $|\nabla w|^{2}$ with respect to $t$ and substitute the first result,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial t}|\nabla w|^{2} & =\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(g^{i j} \partial_{i} w \partial_{j} w\right) \\
& =\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right) \partial_{i} w \partial_{j} w+2 g^{i j} \partial_{i} w \partial_{j} w_{t} \\
& =2 S^{i j} \partial_{i} w \partial_{j} w+2 g^{i j} \partial_{i} w \partial_{j} w_{t} .
\end{aligned}
$$

To obtain (2.3), differentiate both sides of the volume form on $M$ with respect to $t$ to obtain,

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathrm{~d} \mu_{g}(t)=\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\sqrt{g} \mathrm{~d} x^{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{n}\right) .
$$

By the chain rule, we get,

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \sqrt{g}=\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{g}} \frac{\partial|g|}{\partial g_{i j}} \frac{\partial g_{i j}}{\partial t}=\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{g}}\left(-2 S_{i j}\right) \frac{\partial|g|}{\partial g_{i j}}=-\sqrt{g} g^{i j} S_{i j}=-\sqrt{g} S_{g}
$$

Therefore, it follows that

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathrm{~d} \mu_{g}(t)=-S_{g} \mathrm{~d} \mu_{g}(t)
$$

To obtain the results for the $p$-Laplacian, the following Lemma will be very important.

Lemma 2. Suppose a one-parameter family of smooth metrics $g(t)$ solves Ricci-harmonic map flow (1.1). Then there are the following evolutions
(a) $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}|\nabla w|^{p}=p|\nabla w|^{p-2}\left[S^{i j} \nabla_{i} w \nabla_{j} w+g^{i j} \nabla_{i} w \nabla_{j} w_{t}\right]$
(b) $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}|\nabla w|^{p-2}=(p-2)|\nabla w|^{p-4}\left[S^{i j} \nabla_{i} w \nabla_{j} w+g^{i j} \nabla_{i} w \nabla_{j} w_{\iota}\right]$
(c)

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\Delta_{p, g} w\right) & =2 S^{i j} \nabla_{i}\left(\eta \nabla_{j} w\right)+g^{i j} \nabla_{i}\left(\eta_{t} \nabla_{j} w\right)+g^{i j} \nabla_{i}\left(\eta \nabla_{j} w_{t}\right)  \tag{2.6}\\
& +2 \eta\left[g^{k l} g^{i j} \nabla_{i} S_{j l}-\frac{1}{2} g k l \nabla_{l} S_{g}\right] \nabla_{k} w
\end{align*}
$$

where $\eta=|\nabla w|^{p-2}$ and $w$ is a smooth function on $M$.
Proof: (a) Using (2.1) from Lemma 1,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(|\nabla w|^{p}\right) & =\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(|\nabla w|^{2}\right)^{p / 2}=\frac{p}{2}\left(|\nabla w|^{2}\right)^{p / 2-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}|\nabla w|^{2} \\
& =\frac{p}{2}|\nabla w|^{p-2}\left[2 S^{i j} \nabla_{i} w \nabla_{j} w+2 g^{i j} \nabla_{i} w \nabla_{j} w_{t}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Replace $p$ by $p-2$ in (a) and the result in (b) follows immediately. (c)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Delta_{p, g} w= & \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(g^{i j} \nabla_{i}\left(\eta \nabla_{j} w\right)\right)=\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(g^{i j} \nabla_{i} \eta \nabla_{j} w+\eta g^{i j} \nabla_{i} \nabla_{j} w\right) \\
= & \left(\frac{\partial g^{i j}}{\partial t}\right) \nabla_{i} \eta \nabla_{j} w+g^{i j} \nabla_{i} \eta_{t} \nabla_{j} w+g^{i j} \nabla_{i} \eta \nabla_{j} w_{t}+\eta_{t} \Delta w+\eta(\Delta w)_{t} \\
= & 2 S^{i j} \nabla_{i} \eta \nabla_{j} w+g^{i j} \nabla_{i} \eta_{t} \nabla_{j} w+g^{i j} \nabla_{i} \eta \nabla_{j} w_{t}+\eta_{t} \Delta w \\
& +\eta\left[\Delta w_{t}+2 S^{i j} \nabla_{i} \nabla_{j} w+2 g^{k l} g^{i j} \nabla_{i} S_{j l} \nabla_{k} w-g^{k l} g^{i j} \nabla_{l} S_{i j} \nabla_{k} w\right] \\
= & 2 S^{i j} \nabla_{i} \eta \nabla_{j} w+2 S^{i j} \eta \nabla_{i} \nabla_{j} w+g^{i j} \nabla_{l} w_{t} \nabla_{j} w+w_{t} \Delta w_{t}+g^{i j} \nabla_{i} \eta \nabla_{j} w_{t} \\
& +\eta \Delta w_{t}+w\left[2 g^{k l} g^{i j} \nabla_{i} S_{j l} \nabla_{k} w-g^{k l} g^{i j} \nabla_{l} S_{i j} \nabla_{k} w\right] \\
= & 2 S^{i j} \nabla_{i}\left(\eta \nabla_{j} w\right)+g^{i j} \nabla_{i}\left(\eta_{t} \nabla_{j} w\right)+g^{i j}\left(\nabla_{i}\left(\eta \nabla_{j} w_{t}\right)\right) \\
& +2 \eta\left[g^{k l} g^{i j} \nabla_{i} S_{j l}-\frac{1}{2} g^{k l} \nabla_{l} S_{g}\right] \nabla_{k} w .
\end{aligned}
$$

## 3. Study of the Eigenvalue Problem

A nonlinear eigenvalue problem is introduced which involves the $p$ -Laplacian (1.3) and is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{p, g} u=-\lambda_{p}|u|^{p-2} u, \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $u \neq 0$ and subject to the normalization condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{M}|u|^{p} \mathrm{~d} \mu_{g}=1 . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

One of the main objectives is to derive a general evolution equation for the $p$-eigenvalues of the $p$-Laplacian. Out of this, it can be shown that $\lambda_{p, 1}$ is monotone on those metrics which evolve under the Ricci-harmonic map flow. The continuity and differentiability of $\lambda_{p, 1}$ can be derived from its evolution by using Cao's approach. To study this, begin by multiplying (3.1) by the function $u$ on both sides and then integrating over $M$ using (3.2) to obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{p}(t)=-\int_{M} u(x, t) \Delta_{p} u(x, t) \mathrm{d} \mu_{g} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating this by parts once, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{p}(t)=\int_{M}|\nabla u|^{2} \mathrm{~d} \mu_{g} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (3.4) implies that the eigenvalues from (3.1) are all positive. Suppose now that $u(x, t)$ is the eigenfunction that corresponds to the first $p$-eigenvalue $\lambda_{p, 1}(t)$ from (3.1). An equation which specifies the evolution of $\lambda_{p, 1}(t)$ can be obtained by differentiating (3.3),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \lambda_{p, 1}}{\partial t}=-\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_{M} u(x, t) \Delta_{p, g} u(x, t) \mathrm{d} \mu_{g} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The function $u$ will satisfy the following integrability condition

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_{M}|u|^{p} \mathrm{~d} \mu_{g}=0
$$

This can be developed by direct computation,

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\int_{M}|u|^{p-2} u^{2} \mathrm{~d} \mu_{g}\right)=(p-1) \int_{M}|u|^{p-2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} u^{2} \mathrm{~d} \mu_{g}+\int_{M}|u|^{p-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(u \mathrm{~d} \mu_{g}\right)
$$

so

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_{M}|u|^{p} \mathrm{~d} \mu_{g} & =\int_{M} p|u|^{p-1} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \mathrm{~d} \mu_{g}+\int_{M}|u|^{p-1} u \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\mathrm{~d} \mu_{g}\right) \\
& =\int_{M}|u|^{p-1}\left(p \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \mathrm{~d} \mu_{g}+\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\mathrm{~d} \mu_{g}\right)\right) \\
& =0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies the following constraint holds for $u \neq 0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
p \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \mathrm{~d} \mu_{g}+u \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\mathrm{~d} \mu_{g}\right)=0 . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

At this point, it is possible to prove a theorem with regard to the evolution, monotonicity and differentiability of the first eigenvalue of the $p$-Laplacian under the Ricci-harmonic map flow.

Theorem 1. Let $(M, g)$ be an $m$-dimensional, closed Riemannian manifold evolving by the Ricci-harmonic map flow. Let $\lambda_{p, 1}(t)$ be the first
eigenvalue of the $p$-Laplacian on $M$ corresponding to the eigenfunction $u$ at time $t \in[0, T]$. Then the evolution of $\lambda_{p, 1}(t)$ is governed by the expression
$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \lambda_{p, 1}(t)=\lambda_{p, 1}(t) \int_{M} S_{g}|u|^{p} \mathrm{~d} \mu_{g}-\int_{M} S_{g}|\nabla u|^{p} \mathrm{~d} \mu_{g}+p \int_{M}|\nabla u|^{p-2} S^{i j} \nabla_{i} u \nabla_{j} u \mathrm{~d} \mu_{g}$.
Moreover, if it is the case that

$$
S_{i j}-\beta S_{g} g_{i j} \geq 0, \quad \beta \in\left[\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{m}\right)
$$

then $\lambda_{p, 1}(t)$ is monotonically nondecreasing along the flow it is differentiable almost everywhere and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \lambda_{p, 1}(t) \geq \lambda_{p, 1}(t) \int_{M} S_{g}|u|^{p} \mathrm{~d} \mu_{g}+(\beta p-1) \int_{M} S_{g}|\nabla u|^{p} \mathrm{~d} \mu_{g} \geq 0 \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

provided that $S_{g}$ is nonnegative, that is, when $R_{g} \geq \alpha|\nabla \varphi|^{2}$.
Proof: Working in local coordinates and denoting $\eta=|\nabla u|^{p-2}$, it is the case that

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_{M} u \Delta_{p} u \mathrm{~d} \mu_{g} & \left.=\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_{M} g^{i j} \nabla_{i}\left[\eta \nabla_{j} u\right] u \mathrm{~d} \mu_{g}\right) \\
& =\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_{M}\left(g^{i j} \nabla_{i} \eta \nabla_{j} u+\eta \Delta_{p} u\right) u \mathrm{~d} \mu_{g}  \tag{3.9}\\
& =\int_{M} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(g^{i j} \nabla_{i} \eta \nabla_{j} u+\eta \Delta_{p} u\right) u \mathrm{~d} \mu_{g}+\int_{M} \Delta_{p} u \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(u \mathrm{~d} \mu_{g}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

By the third part of Lemma 2, by the evolution of $\Delta_{p, g}$, the first part of this takes the form,

$$
\begin{aligned}
I= & \int_{M}\left\{2 S^{i j} \nabla_{i}\left(\eta \nabla_{j} u\right)+g^{i j} \nabla_{i}\left(\eta_{t} \nabla_{j} u\right)+g^{i j} \nabla_{i}\left(\eta \nabla_{j} u_{t}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\eta\left[2 g^{k l} g^{i j} \nabla_{i} S_{j l} \nabla_{k} u-g^{k l} g^{i j} \nabla_{l} S_{i j} \nabla_{k} u\right]\right\} u \mathrm{~d} \mu_{g} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Integrating the second and third terms in $I$ by parts gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
I= & \int_{M}\left\{2 S^{i j} \nabla_{i}\left(\eta \nabla_{j} u\right) u-g^{i j}\left(\eta_{t} \nabla_{j} u\right) \nabla_{i} u-g^{i j}\left(\eta \nabla_{j} u_{t}\right) \nabla_{i} u\right. \\
& \left.+\eta\left[2 g^{k l} g^{i j} \nabla_{i} S_{j l} \nabla_{k} u-g^{k l} g^{i j} \nabla_{l} S_{i j} \nabla_{k} u\right] u\right\} \mathrm{d} \mu_{g} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, recall the fact that

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \eta=(p-2)|\nabla u|^{p-4}\left\{S^{i j} \nabla_{i} u \nabla_{j} u+g^{i j} \nabla_{i} u \nabla_{j} u\right\}
$$

so the integral $I$ takes the form,

$$
\begin{aligned}
I= & \int_{M}\left\{2 S^{i j} \nabla_{i}\left(\eta \nabla_{j} u\right) u-(p-2) \eta S^{i j} \nabla_{i} u \nabla_{j} u-(p-2) \eta g^{i j} \nabla_{i} u \nabla_{j} u_{t}-\eta g^{i j} \nabla_{i} u \nabla_{j} u_{t}\right. \\
& \left.+\eta\left[2 g^{k l} g^{i j} \nabla_{i} S_{j l} \nabla_{k} u-g^{k l} g^{i j} \nabla_{l} S_{i j} \nabla_{k} u\right] u\right\} \mathrm{d} \mu_{g} \\
= & \int_{M}\left\{2 S^{i j} \nabla_{i}\left(\eta \nabla_{j} u\right) u-(p-2) \eta S^{i j} \nabla_{i} u \nabla_{j} u-(p-1) \eta g^{i j} \nabla_{i} u \nabla_{j} u_{t}\right. \\
& \left.+\eta\left[2 g^{k l} g^{i j} \nabla_{i} S_{j l} \nabla_{k} u-g^{k l} g^{i j} \nabla_{l} S_{i j} \nabla_{k} u\right] u\right\} \mathrm{d} \mu_{g} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Computing the first term in $I$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{M} 2 S^{i j} \nabla_{i}\left(\eta \nabla_{j} u\right) u \mathrm{~d} \mu_{g} & =-2 \int_{M} \nabla_{i}\left(S^{i j} u\right) \eta \nabla_{j} u \mathrm{~d} \mu_{g} \\
& =-2 \int_{M} \eta \nabla_{i} S^{i j} \nabla_{j} u u d \mu_{g}-2 \int_{M} \eta S^{i j} \nabla_{i} u \nabla_{j} u \mathrm{~d} \mu_{g} \\
& =-2 \int_{M} \eta\langle\operatorname{div} S, \nabla u\rangle u d \mu_{g}-2 \int_{M} \eta S^{i j} \nabla_{i} u \nabla_{j} u \mathrm{~d} \mu_{g} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Computing the third term in $I$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
-(p-1) \int_{M} g^{i j}|\nabla u|^{p-1} \nabla_{i} u \nabla_{j} u_{t} \mathrm{~d} \mu_{g} & =(p-1) \int_{M} g^{i j} \nabla_{j}\left(\eta \nabla_{i} u\right) u_{t} \mathrm{~d} \mu_{g} \\
& =(p-1) \int_{M} \Delta_{p} u \cdot u_{t} \mathrm{~d} \mu_{g} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, putting all of these into (3.9) for the time derivative, it has been found that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_{M} u \Delta_{p} u \mathrm{~d} \mu_{g}= & -2 \int_{M} \eta \nabla_{i} S^{i j} \nabla_{j} u \cdot u \mathrm{~d} \mu_{g}-2 \int_{M} \eta S^{i j} \nabla_{i} u \nabla_{j} u \mathrm{~d} \mu_{g} \\
& -p \int_{M} \eta S^{i j} \nabla_{i} u \nabla_{j} u d \mu_{g}+2 \int_{M} \eta S^{i j} \nabla_{i} u \nabla_{j} u d \mu_{g}+(p-1) \int_{M} \Delta_{p} u u_{t} \mathrm{~d} \mu_{g} \\
& +\int_{M} \eta\left[2 g^{k l} g^{i j} \nabla_{i} S_{j l} \nabla_{k} u-g^{k l} g^{i j} \nabla_{l} S_{i j} \nabla_{k} u\right] u \mathrm{~d} \mu_{g}+\int_{M} \Delta_{p} u \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(u \mathrm{~d} \mu_{g}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using integrability condition (3.5),

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (p-1) \int_{M} \Delta_{p} u \cdot u_{t} \mathrm{~d} \mu_{g}+\int_{M} \Delta_{p} u \cdot u_{t} \mathrm{~d} \mu_{g}+\int_{M} \Delta_{p} u \cdot u \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathrm{~d} \mu_{g} \\
= & \int_{M}\left\{p \Delta_{p} u u_{t} \mathrm{~d} \mu_{g}+\Delta_{p} u u \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathrm{~d} \mu_{g}\right\} \\
= & \int_{M} \Delta_{p} u\left\{p \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \mathrm{~d} \mu_{g}+u \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathrm{~d} \mu_{g}\right\} \\
= & 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, the result simplifies considerably to the form,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_{M} u \Delta_{p} u \mathrm{~d} \mu_{g}= & -p \int_{M} \eta S^{i j} \nabla_{i} u \nabla_{j} u \mathrm{~d} \mu_{g}-2 \int_{M} \eta \nabla_{i} S^{i j} \nabla_{j} u \cdot u \mathrm{~d} \mu_{g} \\
& +\int_{M} \eta\left[2 g^{k l} g^{i j} \nabla_{i} S_{j l} \nabla_{k} u-g^{k l} g^{i j} \nabla_{l} S_{i j} \nabla_{k} u\right] u \mathrm{~d} \mu_{g} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The last pair of integrals can be simplified in the following way,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -2 \int_{M} \eta \nabla_{i} S^{i j} \nabla_{j} u u \mathrm{~d} \mu_{g}+\int_{M} \eta\left[2 g^{k l} g^{i j} \nabla_{i} S_{j l} \nabla_{k} u-g^{k l} g^{i j} \nabla_{l} S_{i j} \nabla_{k} u\right] u \mathrm{~d} \mu_{g} \\
= & -2 \int_{M} \nabla_{i} S^{i j} \nabla_{j} u \cdot u \mathrm{~d} \mu_{g}+2 \int_{M} \eta \nabla_{i} S^{i j} \nabla_{j} u \cdot u \mathrm{~d} \mu_{g}-\int_{M} g^{k l} \nabla_{l} S_{g} \eta \nabla_{k} u \cdot u \mathrm{~d} \mu_{g}
\end{aligned}
$$

The first two terms cancel out and so integrating the last term by parts using the definition $\Delta_{p} u=g^{k l} \nabla_{l}\left[\eta \nabla_{k} u\right]$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{M} g^{k l} S_{g} \nabla_{l}\left[\eta \nabla_{k} u \cdot u\right] \mathrm{d} \mu_{g} & =\int_{M} g^{k l} S_{g} \nabla_{l}\left[\eta \nabla_{k} u\right] u \mathrm{~d} \mu_{g}+\int_{M} g^{k l} S_{g} \eta \nabla_{k} u \nabla_{l} u \mathrm{~d} \mu_{g} \\
& =\int_{M} S_{g} \Delta_{p} u \cdot u \mathrm{~d} \mu_{g}+\int_{M} S_{g}|\nabla u|^{p-2} g^{k l} \nabla_{k} u \nabla_{l} u \mathrm{~d} \mu_{g} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Making use of eigenvalue Equation (3.1), this integral simplifies to the form,

$$
-\lambda_{p} \int_{M} S_{g}|u|^{p} d \mu_{g}+\int_{M} S_{g}|\nabla u|^{p} \mathrm{~d} \mu_{g} .
$$

Substituting this result into Equation (3.9) for the derivative, the final result becomes
$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_{M} u \Delta_{p} u \mathrm{~d} \mu_{g}=-p \int_{M}|\nabla u|^{p-2} S^{i j} \nabla_{i} u \nabla_{j} u \mathrm{~d} \mu_{g}-\lambda_{p} \int_{M} S_{g}|u|^{p} \mathrm{~d} \mu_{g}+\int_{M} S_{g}|\nabla u|^{p} \mathrm{~d} \mu_{g}$.
However, the eigenvalue Equation (3.1) using (3.2) implies that

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \lambda_{p}(t)=p \int_{M}|\nabla u|^{p-2} S^{i j} \nabla_{i} u \nabla_{j} u \mathrm{~d} \mu_{g}+\lambda_{p}(t) \int_{M} S_{g}|u|^{p} \mathrm{~d} \mu_{g}-\int_{M} S_{g}|\nabla u|^{p} \mathrm{~d} \mu_{g},
$$

for all $t \in[0, T]$.
Suppose the constraint with regard to $S_{i j}$ is satisfied, then from the first and third terms,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{M} p|\nabla u|^{p-2} S^{i j} \nabla_{i} u \nabla_{j} u \mathrm{~d} \mu_{g}-\int_{M} S_{g}|\nabla u|^{p-2} g^{i{ }_{j}} \nabla_{i} u \nabla_{j} u \mathrm{~d} \mu_{g} \\
& =\int_{M}|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla_{i} u \nabla_{j} u\left(p S^{i j}-S_{g} g^{i j}\right) \mathrm{d} \mu_{g} \\
& \geq \int_{M}|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla_{i} u \nabla_{j} u\left(p S_{g} g^{i j}-S_{g} g^{i j}\right) \mathrm{d} \mu_{g} \\
& =(p \beta-1) \int_{M}|\nabla u|^{p} S_{g} \mathrm{~d} \mu_{g} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, $\lambda_{p, 1}(t)$ is monotonically nondecreasing along the flow and differentiable almost everywhere, thus

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \lambda_{p, 1}(t) \geq \lambda_{p, 1}(t) \int_{M} S_{g}|u|^{p} \mathrm{~d} \mu_{g}+(p \beta-1) \int_{M} S_{g}|\nabla u|^{p} \mathrm{~d} \mu_{g} \geq 0
$$

provided that $S_{g}$ is nonnegative, or when $R_{g} \geq \alpha|\nabla \varphi|^{2}$.
In the case where $p=2, \Delta_{2, g} \equiv \Delta$, which is the usual Laplace-Beltrami operator. Thus this theorem implies that the first eigenvalue of $\Delta$ and the corresponding eigenfunction are smoothly differentiable for this operator as well.

## 4. Evolution of the First Eigenvalue

There are some important consequences of Theorem 1 with regard to the evolution of the first eigenvalue that will be discussed now.

Corollary 1. Under the conditions of Theorem 1, it is the case that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{p, 1}\left(t_{2}\right) \geq \lambda_{p, 1}\left(t_{1}\right)+\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} \Psi(g(t), u(x, t)) \mathrm{d} t \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Psi$ is defined to as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi(g(t), u(x, t))=\lambda_{p, 1}(t) \int_{M} S_{g}|u|^{p} \mathrm{~d} \mu_{g}+(p \beta-1) \int_{M} S_{g}|\nabla u|^{p} \mathrm{~d} \mu_{g} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, if $S_{g} \geq S_{\text {min }}>0$ and satisfies the governing inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{g(t)} \geq \theta(t)=\frac{S_{\min }(0)}{1-\frac{2}{m} S_{\min }(0) t}, \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

then it holds for all $t_{1}<t_{2}$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{p, 1}\left(t_{2}\right) \geq \lambda_{p, 1}\left(t_{1}\right) \exp \left(p \beta \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} \theta(s) \mathrm{d} s\right) . \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof: Integrating both sides of the inequality

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \lambda_{p, 1}(t) \geq \Psi(g(t), u(x, t))
$$

from $t_{1}$ to $t_{2}$ on a sufficiently small time interval, it follows that

$$
\lambda_{p, 1}\left(t_{2}\right)-\lambda_{p, 1}\left(t_{1}\right) \geq \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} \Psi(g(t), u) \mathrm{d} t
$$

as required. Now suppose that $S_{g(t)} \geq \theta(t)$ where $\theta(t)$ is independent of the manifold coordinates

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \lambda_{p, 1}(t) & \geq \lambda_{p, 1}(t) \theta(t) \int_{M}|u|^{p} \mathrm{~d} \mu_{g}+(p \beta-1) \theta(t) \int_{M}|\nabla u|^{p} \mathrm{~d} \mu_{g} \\
& =\lambda_{p, 1}(t) \theta(t) \int_{M}|u|^{p} d \mu_{g}+(p \beta-1) \theta(t) \int_{M}|\nabla u|^{p-2} g^{i j} \nabla_{i} u \nabla_{j} u \mathrm{~d} \mu_{g} \\
& =\lambda_{p, 1}(t) \theta(t) \int_{M}|u|^{p} \mathrm{~d} \mu_{g}-(p \beta-1) \theta(t) \int_{M} \Delta_{p} u \cdot u \mathrm{~d} \mu_{g}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, it follows that

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \lambda_{p, 1}(t) \geq \beta p \theta(t) \lambda_{p, 1}(t)
$$

which is equivalent to

$$
\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} \mathrm{~d} \log \left(\lambda_{p, 1}(t)\right) \geq \beta p \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} \theta(s) \mathrm{d} s
$$

Completing the integral on the left, this immediately gives (4.3).
Note that both $\lambda_{p, 1}(t)$ and $\theta(t)$ depend only on the parameter $t$, therefore, denoting

$$
\theta(0)=S_{\min }(0)=\theta_{0},
$$

the following integral can be evaluated

$$
\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} \theta(t) \mathrm{d} t=\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}}\left(\frac{\theta_{0}}{1-\frac{2}{m} \theta_{0} t}\right) \mathrm{d} t=\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}}\left(\frac{\mathrm{~d} t}{\theta_{0}^{-1}-\frac{2}{m} t}\right)=\log \left(\frac{\theta_{0}^{-1}-\frac{2}{m} t_{1}}{\theta_{0}^{-1}-\frac{2}{m} t_{2}}\right)
$$

Substituting this result into (4.3), it is found that

$$
\log \left(\frac{\lambda_{p, 1}\left(t_{2}\right)}{\lambda_{p, 1}\left(t_{1}\right)}\right)=\log \left(\frac{\theta_{0}^{-1}-\frac{2}{m} t_{1}}{\theta_{0}^{-1}-\frac{2}{m} t_{2}}\right)^{\frac{m}{2} p \beta}
$$

for $t_{1}<t_{2}$ and $t_{1}$ sufficiently close to $t_{2}$. However, this implies that

$$
\lambda_{p, 1}\left(t_{2}\right)\left(\theta_{0}^{-1}-\frac{2}{m} t_{2}\right)^{\frac{m}{2} p \beta}=\lambda_{p, 1}\left(t_{1}\right)\left(\theta_{0}^{-1}-\frac{2}{m} t_{1}\right)^{\frac{m}{2} p \beta}
$$

This has the implication that the function $\lambda_{p, 1}(t)\left(\theta_{0}^{-1}-\frac{2}{m} t\right)^{\frac{m}{2} p \beta}$ is nondecreasing along the Ricci-harmonic map flow, and this is important enough to be summarized in the form of Theorem 2.

Theorem 2. Under the assumptions of Theorem 1, the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{p, 1}(t) \cdot\left(\theta_{0}^{-1}-\frac{2}{m} t\right)^{\frac{m}{2} \beta p} \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

is nondecreasing and $\lambda_{p, 1}(t)$ is differentiable almost everywhere along the flow.
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