

The Ricci Operator and Shape Operator of Real Hypersurfaces in a Non-Flat 2-Dimensional Complex Space Form

Dong Ho Lim¹, Woon Ha Sohn², Hyunjung Song¹

¹Department of Mathematics, Hankuk University of Foreign Studies, Seoul, Republic of Korea ²Department of Mathematics, Yeungnam University, Kyongbuk, Republic of Korea Email: dhlnys@hufs.ac.kr, mathsohn@ynu.ac.kr, hsong@hufs.ac.kr

Received November 8, 2012; revised December 15, 2012; accepted January 2, 2013

ABSTRACT

In this paper, we study a real hypersurface M in a non-at 2-dimensional complex space form $M_2(c)$ with η -parallel Ricci and shape operators. The characterizations of these real hypersurfaces are obtained.

Keywords: Real Hypersurface; η-Parallel Shape Operator; η-Parallel Ricci Operator; Hopf Hypersurface; Ruled Real Hypersurfaces

1. Introduction

A complex *n*-dimensional Kaeherian manifold of constant holomorphic sectional curvature *c* is called a *complex space form*, which is denoted by $M_n(c)$. As is well-known, a complete and simply connected complex space form is complex analytically isometric to a complex projective space $P_n(\mathbb{C})$, a complex Euclidean space \mathbb{C}^n or a complex hyperbolic space $H_n(\mathbb{C})$, according to c > 0, c = 0 or c < 0.

In this paper we consider a real hypersurface M in a complex space form $M_2(c), c \neq 0$. Then M has an almost contact metric structure (ϕ, g, ξ, η) induced from the Kaehler metric and complex structure J on $M_n(c)$. The structure vector field ξ is said to be *principal* if $A\xi = \alpha\xi$ is satisfied, where A is the shape operator of M and $\alpha = \eta(A\xi)$. In this case, it is known that α is locally constant ([1]) and that M is called a *Hopf hypersurface*.

Typical examples of Hopf hypersurfaces in $P_n(\mathbb{C})$ are homogeneous ones, R. Takagi [2] and M. Kimura [3] completely classified such hypersurfaces as six model spaces which are said to be A_1, A_2, B, C, D and E. On the other hand, real hypersurfaces in $H_n(\mathbb{C})$ have been investigated by J. Berndt [4], S. Montiel and A. Romero [5] and so on. J. Berndt [4] classified all homogeneous Hopf hyersurfaces in $H_n(\mathbb{C})$ as four model spaces which are said to be A_0, A_1, A_2 and B. Further, Hopf hypersurfaces with constant principal curvatures in a complex space form have been completely classified as follows:

Theorem 1.1. ([2]) Let M be a homogeneous real hypersurface of $P_n(\mathbb{C})$. Then M is tube of radius r over one of the following Kaeherian submanifolds:

(A₁) a hyperplane $P_{n-1}(\mathbb{C})$, where $0 < r < \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{c}}$;

(A₂) a totally geodesic $P_k(\mathbb{C})(1 \le k \le n-2)$, where

$$0 < r < \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{c}};$$

(B) a complex quadric \mathbb{Q}_{n-1} , where $0 < r < \frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{c}}$;

(C)
$$P_1(C) \times P_{\frac{n-1}{2}}(C)$$
, where $0 < r < \frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{c}}$ and

 $n \ge 5$ is odd; (D) a complex Grassmann $G_{2.5}C$, where

$$0 < r < \frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{c}}$$
 and $n = 9$;

(E) a Hermitian symmetric space SO(10)/U(5),

where $0 < r < \frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{c}}$ and n = 15.

Theorem 1.2. ([4]) Let M be a real hypersurface in $H_n(\mathbb{C})$. Then M has constant principal curvatures and ξ is principal if and only if M is locally congruent to one of the followings:

 (A_0) a self-tube, that is, a horosphere;

(A₁) a geodesic hypersphere;

 (A_2) a tube over a totally geodesic

 $H_k(\mathbb{C})(1 \le k \le n-1);$

(B) a tube over a totally real hyperbolic space $H_n(\mathbb{R})$.

A real hypersurface of type A_1 or A_2 in $P_n(\mathbb{C})$ or type A_0, A_1 or A_2 in $H_n(\mathbb{C})$, then M is said to be of type A for simplicity. As a typical characterization of real hypersurfaces of type A, in a complex space form $M_n(c)$ was given under the condition

$$g((A\phi - A\phi)X, Y) = 0, \qquad (1.1)$$

for any tangent vector fields X and Y on M by M. Okumura [5] for c > 0 and S. Montiel and A. Romero [6] for c < 0. Namely

Theorem 1.3. ([5,6]) Let M be a real hypersurface in $M_n(c), c \neq 0, n \geq 2$. It satisfies (1.1) on M if and only if M is locally congruent to one of the model spaces of type A.

The holomorphic distribution T_0 of a real hypersurface M in $M_n(c)$ is defined by

$$T_0(p) = \left\{ X \in T_p(M) \middle| g(X,\xi)_p = 0 \right\}.$$
(1.2)

The following theorem characterizes ruled real hypersurfaces in $M_n(c)$.

Theorem 1.4. ([7]) Let M be a real hypersurface in $M_n(c), c \neq 0, n \ge 2$. Then M is a ruled real hypersurfaces if and only if $\phi A \phi = 0$, or equivalently g(AX, Y) = 0, for any $X, Y \in T_0$.

A (1,1) type tensor field T of M is said to be η -parallel if

$$g((\nabla_X T)Y, Z) = 0 \tag{1.3}$$

for any vector fields X, Y and Z in T_0 . Real hypersurfaces with η -parallel shape operator or Ricci operator have been studied by many authors (see [13]). Nevertheless, the classification of real hypersurfaces with η -parallel shape operator or Ricci operator in $M_n(c)$ remains open up to this point. Recently, S.H. Kon and T.H. Loo ([9]) investigated the conditions η -parallel shape operator.

Theorem 1.5. ([9]) Let M be a real hypersurface of $M_n(c), c \neq 0, n \ge 3$. Then the shape operator A is η -parallel if and only if M is locally congruent to a ruled real hypersurface, or a real hypersurface of type A or B.

Also, M. Kimura and S. Maeda ([10]) and Y.J. Suh ([11]) investigated the conditions η -parallel Ricci operator.

Theorem 1.6. ([10,11]) Let M be a real hypersurface in a complex space form $M_n(c), c \neq 0$. Then the Ricci operator of M is η -parallel and the structure vector field ξ is princial if and only if M is locally congruent to one of the model spaces of type A or type B.

As for the structure tensor field ϕ , shape operator A

and η -parallel, I.-B. Kim, K. H. Kim and one of the present authors ([12]) have proved the following.

Theorem 1.7. ([12]) Let M be a real hypersurface in a complex space form $M_n(c), c \neq 0, n \geq 3$. If M has the cyclic η -parallel shape operator (resp. Ricci operator) and satisfies

$$g((A\phi - \phi A)X, Y) = 0 \tag{1.4}$$

for any X and Y in T_0 , then M is locally congruent to either a real hypersurface of type A or a ruled real hypersurface (resp. M is locally congruent to a real hypersurface of type A).

The purpose of this paper is to give some characterizations of real hypersurface satisfying (1.4) and having the η -parallel shape operator or Ricci operator in $M_2(c)$. We shall prove the following.

Theorem 1.8. Let M be a real hypersurface in a complex space form $M_2(c)$, $c \neq 0$. If M has the η -parallel shape operator and satisfies (1.4), then M is locally congruent a ruled real hypersurface.

Theorem 1.9. Let M be a real hypersurface in a complex space form $M_2(c)$, $c \neq 0$. If M has the η -parallel Ricci operator and satisfies (1.4), then M is locally congruent to a real hypersurface of type A.

All manifolds in the present paper are assumed to be connected and of class C^{∞} and the real hypersurfaces are supposed to be orientable.

2. Preliminaries

Let M be a real hypersurface immersed in a complex space form $M_2(c)$, and N be a unit normal vector field of M. By $\tilde{\nabla}$ we denote the Levi-Civita connection with respect to the Fubini-Study metric tensor \tilde{g} of $M_2(c)$. Then the Gauss and Weingarten formulas are given respectively by

$$\tilde{\nabla}_{X}Y = \nabla_{X}Y + g(AX,Y)N, \tilde{\nabla}_{X}N = -AX$$

for any vector fields X and Y tangent to M, where g denotes the Riemannian metric tensor of M induced from \tilde{g} , and A is the shape operator of M in $M_2(c)$. For any vector field X on M we put

$$JX = \phi X + \eta (X) N, JN = -\xi,$$

where J is the almost complex structure of $M_2(c)$. Then we see that M induces an almost contact metric structure (ϕ, g, ξ, η) , that is,

$$\phi^{2}X = -X + \eta(X)\xi, \phi\xi = 0, \eta(\xi) = 1,$$

$$g(\phi X, \phi Y) = g(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y), \eta(X) = g(X, \xi)$$
(2.1)

for any vector fields X and Y on M. Since the almost complex structure J is parallel, we can verify from the Gauss and Weingarten formulas the followings:

$$\nabla_X \xi = \phi A X, \tag{2.2}$$

$$\left(\nabla_{X}\phi\right)Y = \eta\left(Y\right)AX - g\left(AX,Y\right)\xi.$$
 (2.3)

Since the ambient manifold is of constant holomorphic sectional curvature c, we have the following Gauss and Codazzi equations respectively:

$$R(X,Y)Z$$

$$= \frac{c}{4} \{g(Y,Z)X - g(X,Z)Y + g(\phi Y,Z)\phi X$$

$$-g(\phi X,Z)\phi Y - 2g(\phi X,Y)\phi Z\}$$

$$+g(AY,Z)AX - g(AX,Z)AY,$$

$$(\nabla_X A)Y - (\nabla_Y A)X$$

$$= \frac{c}{4} \{\eta(X)\phi Y - \eta(Y)\phi X - 2g(\phi X,Y)\xi\}$$
(2.5)

for any vector fields X, Y and Z on M, where R denotes the Riemannian curvature tensor of M. From (1.3), the Ricci operator S of M is expressed by

$$SX = \frac{c}{4} \{ (2n+1)X - 3\eta(X)\xi \} + mAX - A^2X, \quad (2.6)$$

where m = traceA is the mean curvature of M, and the covariant derivative of (2.5) is given by

$$(\nabla_{X}S)Y$$

= $-\frac{3c}{4} \{g(\phi AX, Y)\xi + \eta(Y)\phi AX\} + (Xm)AY$ (2.7)
+ $m(\nabla_{X}A)Y - (\nabla_{X}A)AY - A(\nabla_{X}A)Y.$

Let U be a unit vector field on M with the same direction of the vector field $-\phi \nabla_{\xi} \xi$, and let μ be the length of the vector field $-\phi \nabla_{\xi} \xi$ if it does not vanish, and zero (constant function) if it vanishes. Then it is easily seen from (1.1) that

$$A\xi = \alpha\xi + \beta U, \qquad (2.8)$$

where $\alpha = \eta(A\xi)$. We notice here that U is orthogonal to ξ . We put

$$\Omega = \left\{ p \in M \,\middle|\, \beta(p) \neq 0 \right\}. \tag{2.9}$$

Then Ω is an open subset of M.

3. Some Lemmas

In this section, we assume that Ω is not empty, then there are sclar fields γ, ε and δ and a unit vector field U and ϕU orthogonal to ξ such that

$$AU = \beta \xi + \gamma U + \varepsilon \phi U, A\phi U = \varepsilon U + \delta \phi U \qquad (3.1)$$

and

$$m = \text{trace}A = \alpha + \gamma + \delta \tag{3.2}$$

in $M_2(c)$. We shall prove the following Lemmas.

Copyright © 2013 SciRes.

Lemma 3.1. Let M be a real hypersurface in a complex space form $M_2(c), c \neq 0$. If M satisfies (1.4), then we have $AU = \beta \xi + \gamma U$, $A\phi U = \delta \phi U$ and $\delta = \gamma$.

Proof. If we put X = Y = U, or X = U and $Y = \phi U$ into (1.4) and make use of (3.1), then we have

$$\varepsilon = 0 \text{ and } \delta = \gamma.$$
 (3.3)

Therefore, it follows that AU is expressed in terms of ξ and U only and $A\phi U$ given by ϕU . \Box

It follows from (2.6), (2.8) and Lemma 3.1 that

$$S\xi = \left(\frac{c}{2} + 2\alpha\gamma - \beta^{2}\right)\xi + \beta\gamma U,$$

$$SU = \beta\gamma\xi + \left(\frac{5c}{4} + \alpha\gamma - \beta^{2} + \gamma^{2}\right)U,$$
 (3.4)

$$S\phi U = \left(\frac{5c}{4} + \alpha\gamma + \gamma^{2}\right)\phi U.$$

Lemma 3.2. Under the assumptions of Lemma 3.1. If *M* has the η -parallel Ricci operator *S* then we have $U\beta = 0$ and $(\phi U)\beta = -\gamma^2$.

Proof. Differentiating the second of (3.4) covariantly along vector field X in T_0 , we obtain

$$(\nabla_{X}S)U = \left\{ \left(\frac{5c}{4} + \alpha\gamma - \beta^{2} + \gamma^{2} \right) I - S \right\} \nabla_{X}U + \beta\gamma\phi AX \quad (3.5) + X(\beta\gamma)\xi + X\left(\frac{5c}{4} + \alpha\gamma - \beta^{2} + \gamma^{2} \right) U.$$

Taking inner product of (3.5) with U and ϕU and making use of (3.5) and Lemma 3.1, we have

$$2\beta\gamma^2 g(\phi U, X) = X\left(\frac{5c}{4} + \alpha\gamma - \beta^2 + \gamma^2\right) \qquad (3.6)$$

and

$$\beta g\left(\nabla_{X} U, \phi U\right) = \gamma^{2} g\left(U, X\right). \tag{3.7}$$

If we put X = U and $Y = \phi U$ into (3.6) then we have

$$(\alpha + 2\gamma)U\gamma + \gamma U\alpha - 2\beta U\beta = 0$$
(3.8)

and

$$2\beta\gamma^{2} = (\alpha + 2\gamma)(\phi U)\gamma + \gamma(\phi U)\alpha - 2\beta(\phi U)\beta. \quad (3.9)$$

Putting X = U and $Y = \phi U$ into (3.7), then we obtain

$$\beta g \left(\nabla_U U, \phi U \right) = \gamma^2 \text{ and } \beta g \left(\nabla_{\phi U} U, \phi U \right) = 0.$$
 (3.10)

If we differentiate the third of (3.4) covariantly along vector field X in T_0 , we obtain

APM

$$(\nabla_{X}S)\phi U = \left\{ \left(\frac{5c}{4} + \alpha\gamma + \gamma^{2} \right) I - S \right\} \nabla_{X}\phi U$$

$$+ \left\{ X \left(\frac{5c}{4} + \alpha\gamma + \gamma^{2} \right) \right\} \phi U.$$

$$(3.11)$$

If we take inner product of ϕU and using (3.4), then we have

$$X\left(\frac{5c}{4} + \alpha\gamma + \gamma^2\right)\phi U = 0.$$
 (3.12)

Substituting X = U and ϕU into (3.12), we obtain

$$(\alpha + 2\gamma)U\gamma + \gamma U\alpha = 0 \text{ and} (\alpha + 2\gamma)(\phi U)\gamma + \gamma(\phi U)\alpha = 0.$$
(3.13)

By comparing (3.8) and (3.9) with (3.13), we have $U\beta = 0$ and $(\phi U)\beta = -\gamma^2$. \Box

Lemma 3.3. Under the assumptions of Lemma 3.2, we v^2

have
$$\nabla_X U = \gamma g(\phi U, X) \xi + \frac{\gamma}{\beta} g(U, X) \phi U$$
.

Proof. Since we have $A\phi U = \gamma \phi U$ and using (3.7), we get

$$a(X) = g(\nabla_X U, \xi) = \gamma(\phi U, X) \text{ and}$$

$$c(X) = g(\nabla_X U, \phi U) = \frac{\gamma^2}{\beta} g(U, X).$$
(3.14)

Thus, it follows from (3.14) that

$$\nabla_{X} U = \gamma g (\phi U, X) \xi + \frac{\gamma^{2}}{\beta} g (U, X) \phi U. \quad \Box$$

Lemma 3.4. Under the assumptions of Lemma 3.2, we have $\xi \alpha = \xi \beta = \xi \gamma = 0$ and $U \alpha = U \gamma = 0$.

Proof. Differentiating the smooth function

 $\alpha = g(A\xi,\xi)$ along any vector field X on Ω and using (2.2) and (2.5) and Lemma 3.1, we have

$$X\alpha = g\left(\left(\nabla_{\xi}A\right)\xi - 2\beta\gamma\phi U, X\right). \tag{3.15}$$

Since we have $(\nabla_{\xi} A)\xi = \nabla_{\xi} (\alpha\xi + \beta U) - A\nabla_{\xi}\xi$, we see from this equation above that the gradient vector field $\nabla \alpha$ of α is given by

$$\nabla \alpha = \beta \nabla_{\xi} U + (\xi \alpha) \xi + (\xi \beta) U + (\alpha \beta - 3\beta \gamma) \phi U.$$

If we put $X = \xi$ into Lemma 3.3, then we have

$$\nabla_{\mathcal{E}} U = 0. \tag{3.16}$$

Thus, the above equation is reduced to

$$\nabla \alpha = (\xi \alpha) \xi + (\xi \beta) U + (\alpha \beta - 3\beta \gamma) \phi U. \quad (3.17)$$

Taking inner product of this equation with U and ϕU respectively, we obtain

$$U\alpha = \xi\beta \text{ and } (\phi U)\alpha = \alpha\beta - 3\beta\gamma.$$
 (3.18)

If we differentiate the smooth function $\beta = g(AU, \xi)$

Copyright © 2013 SciRes.

along any vector field X on M and using (2.2), (2.5) and (2.8) and Lemma 3.2, we have

$$\nabla \beta = \beta \nabla_U U + (U\alpha) \xi + (U\beta) U + \left(\frac{c}{2} + 2(\alpha \gamma - \gamma^2)\right) \phi U.$$
(3.19)

Putting X = U into Lemma 3.3, then we have

$$\nabla_U U = \frac{\gamma^2}{\beta} \phi U. \tag{3.20}$$

If we substitute (3.20) into (3.19), then we obtain

$$\nabla \beta = (U\alpha)\xi + (U\beta)U + \left(\frac{c}{2} + 2\alpha\gamma - \gamma^2\right)\phi U. \quad (3.21)$$

If we take inner product of this equation with ϕU and using $(\phi U)\beta = -\gamma^2$ in Lemma 3.2, then we have

$$\alpha\gamma + \frac{c}{4} = 0. \tag{3.22}$$

As a similar argument as the above, we can verify that the gradient vector fields of the smooth function $\gamma = g(AU, U) = g(A\phi U, \phi U)$ is given respectively by

$$\nabla \gamma = -(A - \gamma I)\nabla_{U}U + (U\beta)\xi + (U\gamma)U + 3\beta\gamma\phi U$$
(3.23)

and

$$\nabla \gamma = \left(\left(\phi U \right) \gamma \right) \phi U \tag{3.24}$$

by virtue of (2.3) and Lemma 3.2.

If we substitute (3.24) into (3.23) and make use of (3.20) and Lemma 3.1, then we obtain

$$(U\beta)\xi + (U\gamma)U - ((\phi U)\gamma - 3\beta\gamma)\phi U = 0. \quad (3.25)$$

If we take inner product of this equation with U and ϕU respectively, then we have

$$U\gamma = 0 \text{ and } (\phi U)\gamma = 3\beta\gamma.$$
 (3.26)

If we substitute (3.26) into (3.14) and take account of (3.21), then we have $U\alpha = 0$. Also, if we differentiate (3.21) along any vector field ξ , then we have

$$\alpha\xi\gamma + \gamma\xi\alpha = 0. \tag{3.27}$$

Taking inner product of (3.23) with ξ and using (3.18), we get $\xi\gamma = U\beta$. Since $U\alpha = 0$, we see from (3.27) and the first of (3.18) that $\xi\gamma = 0, \xi\alpha = 0$ and $\xi\beta = 0. \Box$

4. Proofs of Theorems

Proof Theorem 1.8. If (1.4) is given in M, then we see that Lemma 3.1 holds on M. If we differentiate (1.3) along any vector field X in T_0 and using (2.3) and (2.8), then we have

(4.1)

(4.2)

then we have
$$\beta\gamma=0.$$

 $g((A\phi - \phi A)Z, \nabla_X Y) + g((A\phi - \phi A)Y, \nabla_X Z)$

 $=\beta(g(U,Z)g(AX,Y)+g(U,Y)g(AX,Z))$

for any vector fields X, Y and Z on T_0 . Putting

X = Y = Z = U into (4.1) and using Lemma 3.1 and 3.3,

Since Ω is not empty, we have $\gamma = 0$ hold on Ω . It follows from (2.8) and Lemma 3.1 that

$$A\xi = \alpha\xi + \beta U, AU = \beta\xi$$
 and $A\phi U = 0$.

Thus M is locally congruent to ruled real hypersurface (see [7]). \Box

Proof Theorem 1.9. Assume that the open set $\Omega = \{p \in M | \beta(p) \neq 0\}$ is not empty. Then we consider from Lemma 3.2 and 3.3 that $(\phi U)\beta = -\gamma^2$ and

 $c(U) = \frac{\gamma^2}{\beta}$. If we differentiate the smooth function $\beta = g(A\xi, U)$ along vector field X on M and (2.2), (2.5) and (2.8), we have

$$X\beta = g\left(\left(\nabla_{\xi}A\right)U + \left(\frac{c}{4\alpha} + \alpha\gamma - \gamma^{2}\right)\phi U, X\right). \quad (4.3)$$

Since we have $(\nabla_{\xi} A)U = \nabla_{\xi} (\beta\xi + \gamma U) - A\nabla_{\xi}U$, we see from this equation above that gradient vector field $\nabla\beta$ of β is given by

$$\nabla \beta = -(A - \gamma I) \nabla_{\xi} U + (\xi \beta) \xi + (\xi \gamma) U + \left(\beta^{2} + \frac{c}{4} + \alpha \gamma - \gamma^{2} \right) \phi U, \qquad (4.4)$$

where I indicates the identity transformation on M. If we substitute (3.16) into (4.4) and using Lemma 3.4, then we obtain

$$\nabla \beta = \left(\beta^2 + \frac{c}{4} + \alpha \gamma - \gamma^2\right) \phi U. \tag{4.5}$$

Since we have $(\phi U)\beta = -\gamma^2$, we get

$$\beta^2 + \frac{c}{4} + \alpha \gamma = 0. \tag{4.6}$$

By (4.6) and (3.22), we have $\beta = 0$ and hence it is a contradiction. Thus the set $\Omega = \{p \in M | \beta(p) \neq 0\}$ is empty, and hence M is a Hopf hypersurface. Since M is a Hopf hypersurface, we see from (2.1) and (2.8) that $(A\phi - \phi A)\xi = 0$, which together with our assumption (1.4) implies (1.1), that is $A\phi = \phi A$ on M. Thus, Theorem 1.9 shows that M is locally congruent to a real hypersurface of type $A . \Box$

5. Acknowledgements

The authors would like to express their sincere gratitude to the refree who gave them valuable suggestions and comments.

REFERENCES

- U.-H. Ki and Y. J. Suh, "On Real Hypersurfaces of a Complex Space Form," *Mathematical Journal of Oka*yama University, Vol. 32, 1990, pp. 207-221.
- [2] R. Takagi, "On Homogeneous Real Hypersurfaces in a Complex Projective Space," Osaka Journal of Mathematics, Vol. 10, 1973, pp. 495-506.
- [3] M. Kimura, "Real Hypersurfaces and Complex Submanifolds in Complex Projective Space," *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, Vol. 296, 1986, pp. 137-149. doi:10.1090/S0002-9947-1986-0837803-2
- [4] J. Berndt, "Real Hypersurfaces with Constant Principal Curvatures in Complex Hyperbolic Space," *Journal Für Die Reine und Angewandte Mathematik*, Vol. 1989, No. 395, 1989, pp. 132-141.
- [5] M. Okumura, "On Some Real Hypersurfaces of a Complex Projective Space," *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, Vol. 212, 1975, pp. 355-364. doi:10.1090/S0002-9947-1975-0377787-X
- [6] S. Montiel and A. Romero, "On Some Real Hypersurfaces of a Complex Hyperbolic Space," *Geometriae Dedicata*, Vol. 20, No. 2, 1986, pp. 245-261. doi:10.1007/BF00164402
- [7] S. Maeda and T. Adachi, "Integral Curves of Characteristic Vector Fields of Real Hypersurfaces in Nonflat Complex Space Forms," *Geometriae Dedicata*, Vol. 123, No. 1, 2006, pp. 65-72. <u>doi:10.1007/s10711-006-9100-1</u>
- [8] W. H. Sohn, "Characterizations of Real Hypersurfaces of Complex Space Forms in Terms of Ricci Operators," *Bulletin of the Korean Mathematical Society*, Vol. 44, No. 2007, pp. 195-202. <u>doi:10.4134/BKMS.2007.44.1.195</u>
- [9] S. H. Kon and T. H. Loo, "Real Hypersurfaces of a Complex Space Form with η-Parallel Shape Operator," *Mathematische Zeitschrift*, Vol. 269, No. 1-2, 2011, pp. 47-58. doi:10.1007/s00209-010-0715-4
- [10] M. Kimura and S. Maeda, "On Real Hypersurfaces of a Complex Projective Space," *Mathematische Zeitschrift*, Vol. 202, No. 3, 1989, pp. 299-311. doi:10.1007/BF01159962
- [11] Y. J. Suh, "On Real Hypersurfaces of a Complex Space Form with η-Parallel Ricci Tensor," *Tsukuba Journal of Mathematics*, Vol. 14, 1990, pp. 27-37.
- [12] I.-B. Kim, K. H. Kim and W. H. Sohn, "Characterizations of Real Hypersurfaces in a Complex Space Form," *Canadian Mathematical Bulletin*, Vol. 50, 2007, pp. 97-104. <u>doi:10.4153/CMB-2007-009-5</u>