

Comparative Morphology of the Leaf Epidermis in *Ligusticum* (Apiaceae) from China

Jing Zhou^{1*}, Zhenwen Liu²

¹School of Pharmaceutical Sciences & Yunnan Key Laboratory of Pharmacology for Nature Products, Kunming Medical University, Kunming, China ²Key Laboratory for Plant Diversity and Biogeography of East Asia, Kunming Institute of Botany, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Kunming, China

Email: *zhoujing_apiaceae@163.com

How to cite this paper: Zhou, J. and Liu, Z.W. (2018) Comparative Morphology of the Leaf Epidermis in *Ligusticum* (Apiaceae) from China. *American Journal of Plant Sciences*, 9, 1105-1123. https://doi.org/10.4236/ajps.2018.96084

Received: February 19, 2018 **Accepted:** May 14, 2018 **Published:** May 17, 2018

Copyright © 2018 by authors and Scientific Research Publishing Inc. This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution International License (CC BY 4.0).

http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

Abstract

To investigate the taxonomic value of leaf epidermal characters in developing a classification for *Ligusticum* (Apiaceae), thirty-eight species (including a cultivar) of the genus were examined using both light and scanning electron microscopy. Our results show that the infrageneric classification of *Ligusticum* based on the characters of involucel bracteoles, mericarps, and pollen grains was not supported as few epidermal characters were relatively constant at section level. Evidence from leaf epidermis, similar to that from molecular and morphological data, supports the separation of *Tilingia* (*i.e. L. ajanense* and *L. tachiroei*) from *Ligusticum*. *Ligusticopsis* was found to be indistinguishable from *Ligusticum* in epidermal characters. Furthermore, results from epidermal analysis, together with that from cytological evidence, fruit morphology as well as molecular phylogenetics suggest that *L. delavayi* should be transferred out of *Ligusticum*.

Keywords

Leaf Epidermis, Ligusticum, Taxonomy, Umbelliferae (Apiaceae)

1. Introduction

The genus *Ligusticum* L., referred to the family Apiaceae, comprises 60 species widely distributed throughout Eurasia and North America. Of them, 40 species are known from China (of which 35 are being endemic), and most of these are distributed throughout the alpine belt of southwestern China (sub-alpine coniferous forests and forest edges, alpine scrub or meadows), with only a few species extending into northern China [1] [2]. *Ligusticum* exhibits a great diversity in

the macro-morphological characters of leaf blades, bracteoles and mericarps, and is therefore one of the most difficult genera in the family Apiaceae for species delimitation, and the circumscription of *Ligusticum* has varied considerably [3] [4].

Drude [3] established three subgenera of Haloscia, Euligusticum and Pachyp*leurum* in *Ligusticum*; Subsequently, Thellung [5], Schischkin [6] and Leute [7] added subgenus Mutellina, Pachypleuroides and Coristospermum successively. Even now, its relationships with putatively allied genera Cnidium Cuss., Hymenidium DC., Pachypleurum Ledeb., Paraligusticum Tichom., Rupiphila Pimenov & Lavrova, Selinum L., Tilingia Regel & Tiling, and Ligusticopsis Leute are still not fully resolved [2]. The inclusion of *Tilingia* and *Ligusticopsis* within Ligusticum is particularly controversial [8] [9] [10]. Pu [1] arranged the species of Chinese Ligusticum into two sections: L. section Ligusticum L. and L. section Pinnatibracteola Pu based on the characters of involucel bracteoles, mericarps, the shape and aperture types of pollen grains. Doubt has already been cast on the rationality of this sectional system by our previous cytological study [11]. Many phylogenetic analyses on the Apiaceae family level have suggested that Ligusticum is a heterogeneous group as currently circumscribed [12]-[17], and that the Chinese Ligusticum species fall into three distant clades [18] [19]. Therefore, more morphological evidence is necessary before there can be further taxonomical revision of Ligusticum.

Leaf epidermal characteristics are of potential taxonomical importance [20] [21], of which features such as epidermal cells, types and arrangement of stomata, and surface ornamentation have been repeatedly proved well for taxonomy [22] [23] [24]. Sun *et al.* [25] have investigated the leaf epidermis morphology of Chinese species of *Ligusticum*, but this investigation was not comprehensive, with only 15 species examined. Thus, a more detailed observation of leaf epidermal morphology in the Chinese species of *Ligusticum*, through extensive sampling of taxa, is necessary. As part of a systemic study of this genus in China, we aim to investigate the epidermal features of 38 Chinese *Ligusticum* species, assess whether these epidermal features can provide information of taxonomic value and determine whether such information supports a sectional subdivision system in Chinese *Ligusticum*.

2. Materials and Methods

Plant Materials

For this study, thirty-six species of *Ligusticum* recognized in Flora of China (FOC) were investigated. Furthermore, two formally published species, *L. moniliforme* Peng & Zhang and *L. pseudodaucoides* Peng & Yin, which are not included by FOC were also considered to see if their epidermal characters are consistent with the genus (**Table 1**). Except fresh material collected from the field, other samples were taken from herbarium specimens in the Kunming Institute of Botany (KUN) and the Chengdu Institute of Biology (CDBI) (**Table 1**). All

Taxon	Locality	Voucher							
Sect. Ligusticum									
* <i>L. acuminatum</i> Franch.	Maerkang, Sichuan	LZ1141							
L. ajanense (Regel & Tiling) Koso-Poljansky	Mt. Shirane, Japan	Miyoshi Fususe 45568							
*L. angelicifolium Franch.	Bomi, Xizang	Z15120							
* <i>L. brachylobum</i> Franch.	Lijiang, Yunnan	Z1526							
<i>L. delavayi</i> Franch.	Heqing, Yunnan	LZ151006							
<i>L. discolor</i> Ledeb.	Yili, Xinjiang	Zhangdy371							
L. elatum (Edgew.) C. B. Clarke	Zuogong, Xizang	SunH-07ZX-1135							
L. jeholense (Nakai & Kitagawa) Nakai & Kitagawa	Wanping, Hebei	YangCG 1691							
<i>L. kingdon-wardii</i> H. Wolff	Xiangcheng, Sichuan	Group of Qingzang 4022 (CDBI)							
<i>L. litangense</i> Pu	Litang, Sichuan	Z15157							
<i>L. littledalei</i> Fedde ex H. Wolff	Chayu, Xizang	Z15109							
L. nematophyllum (Pimenov & Kljuykov) Pu & Watson	Songpan, Sichuan	LZ1196							
* <i>L. pteridophyllum</i> Franch.	Xiangcheng, Sichuan	Z15177							
<i>L. reptans</i> (Diels) H. Wolff	Luding, Sichuan	XuGH 26178 (CDBI)							
L. sinense Oliv.	Maerkang, Sichuan	LZ1169							
<i>L. sinense</i> cv. <i>Chuanxiong</i> Qiu <i>et al.</i>	Guangnan, Yunnan	LZ20160607							
L. tachiroei (Franch. & Savat.) Hiroe & Constance	Mt. Baihua, Beijing	L04							
* <i>L. tenuisectum</i> H. de Boissieu	Mt. Jinfo, Chongqing	ZW20090917							
L. tenuissimum H. de Boissieu	Neiqiu, Hebei	LiuXY 747							
L. thomsonii C. B. Clarke	Yushu, Qinghai	Liujq-QLS-TXM-089							
<i>L. yanyuanense</i> Pu	Yanyuan, Sichuan	Group of Qingzang 12179							
Sect. Pinn	natibracteola								
* <i>L. capillaceum</i> H. Wolff	Xiangcheng, Sichuan	Z15182							
* <i>L. daucoides</i> (Franch.) Franch.	Shangrila,Yunnan	Z15194							
* <i>L. franchetii</i> H. de Boissieu	Muli, Sichuan	CaoYL & YaoJ 284 (CDBI)							
* <i>L. hispidum</i> (Franch.) H. Wolff	Lijiang, Yunnan	Z1508							
L. involucratum Franch.	Lijiang, Yunnan	Z1509							
*L. likiangense (H. Wolff) Pu & Watson	Heqing, Yunnan	LZ151005							
* <i>L. multivittatum</i> Franch.	Xiangcheng, Sichuan	Z15172							
* <i>L. oliverianum</i> (H. de Boissieu) Shan	Kangding, Sichuan	LZ1107							
* <i>L. rechingerianum</i> (Leute) Shan & Pu	Deqin, Yunnan	ZhouZK-07ZX-0424							
* <i>L. scapiforme</i> H. Wolff	Muli, Sichuan	LZ1036							
L. sikiangense Hiroe	Lugu Lake, Yunnan	LZ1022							
Species not included in Pu's division									
<i>L. mairei</i> Hiroe	Qiaojia, Yunnan	HP5121							
L. moniliforme Peng & Zhang	Gannan, Gansu	LiuJQ-GN-2011-269							
L. mucronatum (Schrenk) Leute	Zhaosu, Xinjiang	Shengtian 957							
L. nullivittatum (Fu) Pu & Watson	Zhouzhi, Shanxi	ZhangXM 135							
L. pseudodaucoides Peng & Yin	Jingdong, Yunnan	HP2579							
<i>L. weberbauerianum</i> Fedde ex H. Wolff	Yajiang, Sichuan	FeiY & LiLQ 2969							

 Table 1. Species, localities and vouchers for the leaf epidermal studies of *Ligusticum* (All specimens are deposited at KUN except for those labeled with CDBI).

materials were identified by the first author using published keys. Mature leaves were investigated under light microscopy (LM) and scanning electron microscopy (SEM). To check the constancy of epidermal structure, at least five slides were made from different parts of a single leaf for each species. Materials for LM study were boiled in distilled water for 20 minutes before being macerated in 75% NaClO. Pieces of leaf epidermis were stained with Safranin solution (1%), and then dehydrated in an ethanol series (from 75% to 100%) before being mounted in Canada balsam. Materials for SEM were directly attached to stubs without any treatment. After gold sputtering, the specimens were examined and photographed under a Hitachi S-4800. Stomatal terminology was based on the classification proposed by Baranova [21] [26] and Wilkinson [27].

3. Results

Leaf epidermal features under LM and SEM listed in **Table 2 & Table 3**, corresponding to **Figures 1-6**. Other figures are provided as Supplementary material (**Figures S1-S3**).



Figure 1. Characteristics of epidermal cells from *Ligusticum* species (light microscopy), all abaxial. (a) *L. daucoides*; (b) *L. pteridophyllum*; (c) *L. litangense*; (d) *L. tachiroei*; (e) *L. hispidum*; (f) *L. nematophyllum*; (g) *L. sinense*; (h) *L. involucratum*; (i) *L. delavayi*; (j) *L. oliverianum*; (k) *L. likiangense*; (l) *L. littledalei*; (m) *L. acuminatum*; (n) *L. angelicifolium*; (o) *L. scapiforme*. Scale bar 50 μm.

Table 2. Leaf epidermal characters of *Ligusticum* under LM (surface view).

	Adaxial	Epidermis		Aba	xial Epidermis				
Taxon	Stomatal apparatus	Pattern of anticlinal walls	Shape of ordinary cells	Pattern of anticlinal walls	Stomatotype	Stomata index (%)	Size of stomata (µm) ²	Figure	
Sect. Ligusticum									
L. acuminatum	А	Sinuous	Irr	Sinuous	Ano	18.6	13.37×7.47	1(m), S1(m)	
L. ajanense	А	Str-Arc	Irr	Repand	Ani	25.8	24.18×12.39	2(o), S2(o)	
L. angelicifolium	А	Repand	Irr	Repand	Ani	24.4	22.96×11.69	1(n), S1(n)	
L. brachylobum	Р	Repand	Irr	Repand	Ani	28.6	21.49×10.95	2(f), S2(f)	
L. delavayi	Р	Repand	Irr + rectangular	Repand	Ani	18.0	15.37×9.26	1(i), S1(i)	
L. discolor	Р	Sinuous	Irr	Sinuous	Ano	23.1	21.19×10.00	2(l), S2(l)	
L. elatum	Р	Str-Arc	Pol	Str-Arc	Ani	17.9	16.13×10.67	3(b), S3(b)	
L. jeholense	А	Sinuous	Irr	Sinuous	Ano	20.6	17.29×9.65	2(m), S2(m)	
L. kingdon-wardii	А	Str-Arc	Irr	Sinuous	Ano	18.2	25.09×14.15	3(d), S3(d)	
L. litangense	Р	Str-Arc	Pol	Str-Arc	Ani	23.6	19.85×10.15	1(c), S1(c)	
L. littledalei	А	Repand	Irr	Sinuous	Ano	25.0	16.82×10.71	1(l), S1(l)	
L. nematophyllum	А	Repand	Irr	Repand	Ani	21.1	24.00×12.91	1(f), S1(f)	
L. pteridophyllum	А	Sinuous	Irr	Sinuous	Ano	23.5	18.46×12.69	1(b), S1(b)	
L. reptans	А	Str-Arc	Pol	Str-Arc	Ani	30.1	18.90×9.59	3(c), \$3(c)	
L. sinense	А	Sinuous	Irr	Sinuous	Ano	28.4	15.24×5.95	1(g), S1(g)	
L. sinense cv. Chuanxiong	А	Repand	Irr	Sinuous	Ano	19.3	23.35×14.65	3(h), S3(h)	
L. tachiroei	Α	Str-Arc	Irr	Repand	Ani	15.4	15.92×7.50	1(d), S1(d)	
L. tenuisectum	А	Repand	Irr	Sinuous	Ani	18.9	29.09×9.48	2(e), S2(e)	
L. tenuissimum	А	Str-Arc	Pol	Str-Arc	Ani	15.9	18.82×8.97	2(g), S2(g)	
L. thomsonii	Р	Str-Arc	Irr	Repand	Ani	19.8	17.05×10.21	2(j), S2(j)	
L. yanyuanense	Р	Str-Arc	Pol	Str-Arc	Ani	23.4	22.46 × 13.28	2(h), S2(h)	
Sect. Pinnatibracteola									
L. capillaceum	Р	Repand	Irr	Repand	Ani	20.6	14.25×10.38	2(c), S2(c)	
L. daucoides	Р	Repand	Irr	Repand	Ani	17.6	17.41×11.53	1(a), S1(a)	
L. franchetii	А	Repand	Irr	Sinuous	Ano	25.2	15.51 × 9.31	3(e), S3(e)	
L. hispidum	Р	Repand	Irr	Repand	Ani	11.0	13.81×8.21	1(e), S1(e)	
I. involucratum	p	Str-Arc	Irr	Repand	Ani	17.7	20 76 × 13 03	1(h) S1(h)	
L. likiongoneo	T D	Str Arc	III	Dopond	Ani	10.0	20.70×10.00	$1(l_r), S1(l_r)$	
	r	D l	111	c:	AIII	19.0	21.22 × 12.44	1(K), 51(K)	
	Р –	Repand	Irr	Sinuous	Ano	17.0	13.25 × 9.6/	2(d), S2(d)	
L. oliverianum	Р	Sinuous	Irr	Sinuous	Ani	17.3	15.90 × 10.86	1(j), S1(j)	
L. rechingerianum	Р	Str-Arc	Pol	Str-Arc	Ani	12.8	13.91 × 10.14	3(g), S3(g)	
L. scapiforme	Р	Repand	Irr	Repand	Ani	24.4	14.59×9.42	1(o), S1(o)	
L. sikiangense	Р	Repand	Irr	Repand	Ani	18.5	19.74×10.81	2(a), S2(a)	
Species not included in Pu's division									
L. mairei	Р	Str-Arc	Pol	Str-Arc	Ani	19.4	25.17 × 15.67	2(b), S2(b)	
L. moniliforme	А	Sinuous	Irr	Sinuous	Ano	28.3	41.22×20.49	3(f), S3(f)	
L. mucronatum	Р	Str-Arc	Irr	Repand	Ani	14.9	18.82 × 13.16	2(i), S2(i)	
L. nullivittatum	А	Sinuous	Irr	Sinuous	Ano	27.4	19.75 × 9.88	2(k), S2(k)	
L. pseudodaucoides	А	Str-Arc	Pol	Str-Arc	Ani	25.6	21.32 × 13.09	3(a), S3(a)	
L. weberbauerianum	А	Str-Arc	Pol	Str-Arc	Ani	11.7	18.89 × 12.36	2(n), S2(n)	

A: Absent; P: Present; Pol: Polygonal; Irr: Irregular; Str-Arc: Straight to arched; Ani: Anisocytic; Ano: Anomocytic.

Table 3. Leaf epidermal characters of Ligusticum under SEM (surface view).

	Abaxial epidermis					
Taxon	Outline of the pair	Inner margin of	Cuticular membrane	Wax	Figure	
	of guard cells	outer stomatal rim	ornamentation	ornamentation		
Sect. Ligusticum						
L. acuminatum	NE	Smooth	Striate	Invisible	4(m)	
L. ajanense	Ell	Smooth	Wrinkled & Striate	Scales	5(o)	
L. angelicifolium	Ell	Smooth	Striate	Invisible	4(n)	
L. brachylobum	NE	Smooth	Striate	Invisible	5(f)	
L. delavayi	Ell	Smooth	Smooth	Invisible	4(i)	
L. discolor	Ell	Erose	Striate	Flackes	5(l)	
L. elatum	Ell	Smooth	Striate	Invisible	6(b)	
L. jeholense	Ell	Smooth	Sparse radiating Striate	Invisible	5(m)	
L. kingdon-wardii	NE	Smooth	Striate	Invisible	6(d)	
L. litangense	Ell	Smooth	Striate	Invisible	4(c)	
L. littledalei	Ell	Smooth	Striate	Invisible	4(l)	
L. nematophyllum	Ell	Smooth	Striate	Invisible	4(f)	
L. pteridophyllum	WE	Nearly Smooth	Striate	Invisible	4(b)	
L. reptans	NE	Smooth	Striate	Invisible	6(c)	
L. sinense	NE	Erose	Striate	Invisible	4(g)	
L. sinense cv. Chuanxiong	NE	Smooth	Sparse radiating Striate	Invisible	6(h)	
L. tachiroei	NE	Erose	Striate	Invisible	4(d)	
L. tenuisectum	Ell	Smooth	Striate	Invisible	5(e)	
L. tenuissimum	NE	Erose	Striate	Invisible	5(g)	
L. thomsonii	Ell	Erose	Striate	Invisible	5(j)	
L. yanyuanense	Ell	Erose	Sparse radiating Striate	Parcels	5(h)	
Sect. Pinnatibracteola						
L. capillaceum	Ell	Smooth	Striate	Invisible	5(c)	
L. daucoides	Ell	Erose	Wrinkled	Scales	4(a)	
L. franchetii	NE	Erose	Striate	Invisible	6(e)	
L. hispidum	Ell	Smooth	Wrinkled	Flacks	4(e)	
L. involucratum	Ell	Smooth	Radiating Striate	Invisible	4(h)	
L. likiangense	Ell	Smooth	Sparse radiating Striate	Invisible	4(k)	
L. multivittatum	Ell	Smooth	Striate	Invisible	5(d)	
L. oliverianum	Ell	Erose	Striate	Invisible	4(j)	
L. rechingerianum	Rou	Erose	Smooth	Scales	6(g)	
L. scapiforme	Ell	Erose	Wrinked & Striate	Invisible	4(o)	
L. sikiangense	Ell	Smooth	Striate	Invisible	5(a)	
Species not included in Pu's division						
L. mairei	Ell	Smooth	Striate	Invisible	5(b)	
L. moniliforme	Ell	Smooth	Striate	Invisible	6(f)	
L. mucronatum	Ell	Erose	Striate	Invisible	5(i)	
L. nullivittatum	Ell	Smooth	Concentric Striate	Invisible	5(k)	
L. pseudodaucoides	Ell	Smooth	Wrinkled & Striate	Invisible	6(a)	
L. weberbauerianum	Ell	Smooth	Concentric Striate	Invisible	5(n)	

Ell: Elliptical; NE: Narrowly Elliptical; WE: Widely Elliptical; Rou: Roundish.



Figure 2. Characteristics of epidermal cells from *Ligusticum* species (light microscopy), all abaxial. (a) *L. sikiangense*, (b) *L. mairei*, (c) *L. capillaceum*; (d) *L. multivittatum*; (e) *L. tenuisectum*; (f) *L. brachylobum*; (g) *L. tenuissimum*; (h) *L. yanyuanense*, (i) *L. mucronatum*; (j) *L. thomsonii*; (k) *L. nullivittatum*; (l) *L. discolor*; (m) *L. jeholense*; (n) *L. weberbauerianum*; (o) *L. ajanense*. Scale bar 50 µm.



Figure 3. Characteristics of epidermal cells from *Ligusticum* species (light microscopy), all abaxial. (a) *L. pseudodaucoides*; (b) *L. elatum*; (c) *L. reptans*; (d) *L. kingdon-wardii*; (e) *L. franchetii*; (f) *L. moniliforme*; (g) *L. rechingerianum*; (h) *L. sinense* cv. *Chuanxiong.* Scale bar 50 µm.



Figure 4. Characteristics of epidermal cells from *Ligusticum* species (scanning electron microscopy), all abaxial. (a) *L. daucoides*, (b) *L. pteridophyllum*; (c) *L. litangense*; (d) *L. tachiroei*; (e) *L. hispidum*; (*f*) *L. nematophyllum*; (g) *L. sinense*; (h) *L. involucratum*; (i) *L. delavayi*; (j) *L. oliverianum*; (k) *L. likiangense*; (l) *L. littledalei*; (m) *L. acuminatum*; (n) *L. angelicifolium*; (o) *L. scapiforme*. Scale bar 20 µm.



Figure 5. Characteristics of epidermal cells from *Ligusticum* species (scanning electron microscopy), all abaxial. (a) *L. sikiangense*, (b) *L. mairei*; (c) *L. capillaceum*; (d) *L. multivittatum*; (e) *L. tenuisectum*; (f) *L. brachylobum*; (g) *L. tenuissimum*; (h) *L. yanyuanense*; (i) *L. mucronatum*; (j) *L. thomsonii*; (k) *L. nullivittatum*; (l) *L. discolor*; (m) *L. jeholense*; (n) *L. weberbauerianum*; (o) *L. ajanense*. Scale bar 20 µm.



Figure 6. Characteristics of epidermal cells from *Ligusticum* species (scanning electron microscopy), all abaxial. (a) *L. pseudodaucoides*; (b) *L. elatum*; (c) *L. reptans*; (d) *L. kingdon-wardii*; (e) *L. franchetii*; (f) *L. moniliforme*; (g) *L. rechingerianum*; (h) *L. sinense* cv. *Chuanxiong*. Scale bar 20 µm.

3.1. Characteristics of the Leaf Epidermis under LM

The form of epidermal cells in *Ligusticum* as seen under LM was usually irregular or polygonal (rarely rectangular in *L. delavayi*, Figure 1(i) and Figure S1(i)), with anticlinal walls straight to arched, repand or sinuous (Table 2). The pattern of anticlinal cells may vary between different species and also between the adaxial and abaxial epidermis of a given species. In about 2/3 of the species studied, the anticlinal cells of both sides were consistent with straight to arched walls or repand and sinuous walls, while in the other 12 species, the anticlinal walls varied between the adaxial and abaxial surfaces (Table 2). Stomata were present on both surfaces in 19 of the observed species (Table 2). The patters of the stomata were anisocytic or anomocytic, inconsistent with Sun *et al.* [25] in which four types of stomata were found. The stomatal index ranged from 11.0% in *L. hispidum* (Figure 1(e) and Figure S1(e)), up to 30.1% in *L. reptans* (Figure 3(c) and Figure S3(c)). Particularly, stomatas observed were usually closed, indicating that *Ligusticum* is relatively suitable to arid habitats.

3.2. Characteristics of the Leaf Epidermis under SEM

The outlines of the pair of guard cells were elliptical, narrowly elliptical, and roundish. The inner margins of the outer stomatal rim were observed to be of two types of smooth or nearly smooth, and erose (Table 3). The cuticular membrane ornamentations were striate, wrinkled and smooth. The striate type was found in most species investigated, with some variations discovered in a few taxa: a sparse radiating striate cuticular membrane ocurred in L. jeholense (Figure 5(m)), L. sinense cv. Chuanxiong (Figure 6(h)), L. yanyuanense (Figure 5(h)) and *L. likiangense* (Figure 4(k)); the membrane of *L. involucra*tum (Figure 4(h)) was of a radiating striate type; and a concentric striate membrane was found in both *L. weberbauerianum* (Figure 5(n)) and *L. nullivittatum* (Figure 5(k)). A wrinkled type was present in both *L. daucoides* (Figure 4(a)) and L. hispidum (Figure 4(e)). L. delavayi (Figure 4(i)) and L. rechingerianum (Figure 6(g)) had smooth ornamentations. The cuticular membranes of the remaining species were of a mixture of two types. Both wrinkled and striate membranes were present in *L. ajanense* (Figure 5(0)), *L. scapiforme* (Figure 4(0)) and *L. pseudodaucoides* (Figure 6(a)).

Wax ornamentation was absent in 32 species, while the remaining six had wax scales or other ornamentations. Scales occurred in three species: *Ligusticum ajanense* (Figure 5(o)), *L. daucoides* (Figure 4(a)) and *L. rechingerianum* (Figure 6(g)). *L. discolor* (Figure 5(l)) and *L. hispidum* (Figure 4(e)) had flacks, while parcels appeared in *L. yanyuanense* (Figure 5(h)).

4. Discussion

It was observed that most species has its own unique combination of leaf epidermal features, making the characters taxonomically useful. In our analysis, epidermal characters with potential systematic values are compared with previous phylogenetic results, and morphological traits such as fruit are also considered. Pu [1] (1991) arranged the species of Chinese Ligusticum into two sections: section Ligusticum with bracteoles linear or lanceolate, entire, and section Pinnatibracteola with bracteoles l - 3-pinnatisect or 2 - 3-lobed at the apex. In our study, 21 species of sect. Ligusticum and 11 species of sect. Pinnatibracteola were included. As indicated in Table 2 & Table 3, no differences were detected in epidermal characters under LM and SEM between these two sections, both sections having irregular and polygonal epidermal cells, straight to arched, sinuous and repand anticlinal walls, anisocytic and anomocytic stomata, elliptical guard cells, and smooth or erose stomatal rims. This was in consensus with a previous karyological study on Ligusticum, in which that no chromosomal differences detected between the two sections [11]. Furthermore, previous molecular analysis also showed that the species with entire bracteoles fell into two distant clades, and those with pinnate ones did not constitute a monophyletic group [18] [19]. Thus, this infrageneric subdivision provides a useful key for species identification, but is not a natural classification and is in need of further revision. Previous studies indicated that the pattern of anticlinal walls was associated with environmental factors [22] [28] [29] [30]. In our analysis, anticlinal walls in *Ligusticum* seems to be not related with their geographic distribution and environmental conditions where the species grow. For example, *L. tenuissimum* from northern China (growing on with rocky slopes) have straight to arched walls on both surfaces, while *L. rechingerianum* from southwest (on alpine meadows) also possess the same type.

4.1. Relationships with *Tilingia* and *Ligusticopisis*

The genus *Ligusticopsis* Leute has 14 species confined to China, all of which have been included in present study (note with * in **Table 1**). *Ligusticopsis* was separated from *Ligusticum* based on the prominent calyx teeth of the former [4]. However, these prominent calyx teeth, actually occur in certain species of *Ligusticum*, e.g. *L. involucratum* Franch., which has conspicuous, triangular calyx teeth. Molecular analysis indicated that *Ligusticopsis* is not monophyletic, as species referred to *Ligusticopsis* fell into two distant clades, Selineae and *Sinodielsia* [18] [19]. In present study, epidermal characters including stomatal types, anticlinal walls and the cuticular membrane, could not differentiate *Ligusticopsis* from *Ligusticum*, as well as karyological evidence [11] and pollen morphology [31].

Tilingia Regel & Tiling was established by Regel and Tiling [8], on the basis of distinct calyx teeth and the carpels bearing a solitary vitta in each furrow (T. ajanensis Regel & Tiling is the type species). However, this treatment was not accepted by Kozo-Poljansky [9] and Hiroe & Constance [10], also following the pollen morphology experiments of Wang et al. [31]. In the present study, three species previously referred to Tilingia, L. ajanense (Regel & Tiling) Koso-Poljansky, L. tachiroei (Franch. & Savat.) Hiroe & Constance and L. jeholense (Nakai & Kitagawa) Nakai & Kitagawa were included. L. ajanense and L. tachiroei are characterized by their anticlinal walls of adaxial and abaxial epidermis straight to arched and repand respectively, and the adaxial sides with no stomata. Morphologically, ribs on mericarps of these two species are prominent and subequal, which is differred from other species of the genus with winged lateral ribs. Previous molecular analysis showed that T. ajanensis allied with Pleurospermum hookeri C.B. Clarke, P. yunnanense Franch. and L. delavayi Franch. of the Acronema Clade, distantly related to other Ligusticum species [19]. Therefore, in accordance with the molecular and morphological results, epidermal evidence also supported the segregation of L. ajanense and L. tachiroei from Ligustium.

4.2. The relationship between *Hymenidium* and *Ligusticum* delavayi

As mentioned above, *L. delavayi* comprises a well-supported clade with *Pleurospermum hookeri* and *P. yunnanense* of the *Acronema* Clade. These taxa have similar fruit structures and membranous-margined bracts and all have been referred to genus *Hymenidium* Lindl. [32]. However, the other species referred to

Hymenidium fell within tribe Pleurospermeae near the base of the trees, distant from *Acronema* Clade [19]. Therefore, *Hymendium* as currently circumscribed is not monophyletic. In this study, *L. delavayi* was quite different from other *Ligusticum* species. For example, its epidermal cells were both irregular and rectangular, while cuticular membrane ornamentation was smooth. Furthermore, according to a previous study, the karyotype asymmetry of *L. delavayi* was the largest among species examined (T.C. % = 58.53%) [11]. In fact, with ultimate segments setuliform and membranous margined bracts, *L. delavayi* is distinct and easily differentiated from other *Ligusticum* species. Therefore, epidermal evidence, combined with molecular and karyological results, support that *L. delavayi* should be separated from *Ligusticum*.

4.3. The Placement of L. peudodaucoides and L. moniliforme

Ligusticum moniliforme and L. pseudodaucoides are two recently published taxa, which are not included by FOC. L. peudodaucoides was recorded only from the type locality, with its trinacriform bracteoles as the diagnostic character, and it most closely resembles L. daucoides (Franch.) Franch. [33]. However, its epidermal characters were different from that of L. daucoides (Table 2 & Table 3). L. peudodaucoides distributed in Wuliangshan range of Yunnan Province, the southernmost geographical limit for the genus in China, while L. daucoides occurred in northern Yunnan and other provinces [2] [33]. L. moniliforme was described as a new species for its moniliform rhizome [34], however, L. nematophyllum (Pimenov & Kljuykov) Pu & Watson also has clustered fusiform or tuberous roots. Other characeters, e.g. leaf blade, bracteoles and petals are also very similar between the two species, as is the epidermal analysis under SEM. L. nematophyllum and L. moniliforme occur in western Sichuan and Gansu Province, respectively. Although our present analyses supply some leaf features for these two poorly known species (L. peudodaucoides and L. moniliforme), their relationships with each morphologically similar L. daucodes and L. nematophyllum needs more evidence.

5. Conclusion

In this study, a detailed observation of epidermal characters from across the genus *Ligusticum* is presented. Although not all species can be distinguished from each other by its own combinations of epidermal features, many characters seem to be important for distinguishing some closely related taxa. Furthermore, the previous infrageneric classification of Pu [1] is not supported by our present study, but the data do provide evidences for taxonomic treatment of some controversial taxa. In general, the results presented here will promote the future revisionary studies of this poorly known genus.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank two anonymous reviewers for helpful comments on the

manuscript. This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 31460052) and the United Research Foundation of Yunnan Science and Technology Department-Kunming Medical University (No. 2015FB014).

References

- Pu, F.D. (1991) A Revision of the Genus *Ligusticum* L. (Umbelliferae) in China. *Acta Phytotaxonomica Sinica*, 29, 385-393.
- [2] Pu, F.D. and Watson, M.F. (2005) *Ligusticum* L. In: Wu, Z.Y. and Raven, P.H., Eds., *Flora of China*, Vol. 14, Missouri Botanical Garden Press, St. Louis, 140-151.
- [3] Drude, C.G.O. (1898) Umbelliferae. In: Engler, A. and Prantl, K., Eds., Die natürlichen Pflanzenfamilien, Vol. 3, Wilhelm Engelmann, Leipzig, Germany, 63-250.
- [4] Leute, G.H. (1969) Untersuchungen über den Verwandtschaftskreis der Gattung Ligusticum L. (Umbelliferae). Teil I. Annalen des Naturhistorischen Museums in Wien, 73, 55-98.
- [5] Thellung, A. (1926) Umbelliferae In: Hegi, G., Ed., Illustrierte Flora von Mitteleuropa, Vol. 5, Carl Hanser Verlag, München, 1415-1457.
- [6] Schischkin, B.K. (1950) Flora URSS. Vol. 7, Akademii Nauk SSSR, Moscow.
- [7] Leute, G.H. (1970) Untersuchungen über den Verwandtschaftskreis der Gattung Ligusticum L. (Umbelliferae). Teil II. Annalen des Naturhistorischen Museums in Wien, 74, 457-519.
- [8] Regel, E. and Tiling, H. (1858) Florula ajanensis. Nouveaux Mémoires de la Société Impériale des Naturalistes de Moscou, 1, 97.
- [9] Kozo-Poljansky, B.M. (1916) Sciadophytorum systematis lineamenta. *Bulletin of Moscow Society of Naturalists*, 29, 93-222.
- [10] Hiroe, M. and Constance, L. (1958) Umbelliferae of Japan. University of California Publications in Botany, 30, 1-444.
- [11] Zhou, J., Pu, F.D., Peng, H., Pan, Y.Z. and Gong, X. (2008) Karyological Studies of ten *Ligusticum* Species (Apiaceae) from the Hengduan Mountains Region of China. *Caryologia*, **61**, 333-341. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/00087114.2008.10589644</u>
- [12] Downie, S.R. and Katz-Downie, D.S. (1996) A Molecular Phylogeny of Apiaceae Subfamily Apioideae: Evidence from Nuclear Ribosomal DNA Internal Transcribed Spacer Sequences. *American Journal of Botany*, 83, 234-251. https://doi.org/10.1002/j.1537-2197.1996.tb12701.x
- Plunkett, G.M., Soltis, D.E. and Soltis, P.S. (1996) Evolutionary Patterns in *Apiaceae*. Inferences Based on matK Sequence Data. *Systematic Botany*, 21, 477-495. https://doi.org/10.2307/2419610
- [14] Katz-Downie, D.S., Valiejo-Roman, C.M., Terentieva, E.I., Troitsky, A.V., Pimenov, M.G., Lee, B. and Downie, S.R. (1999) Towards a Molecular Phylogeny of *Apiaceae* Subfamily *Apioideae*. Additional Information from Nuclear Ribosomal DNA ITS Sequences. *Plant Systematics and Evolution*, **216**, 167-195. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01084397
- [15] Downie, S.R., Ramanath, S., Katz-Downie, D.S. and Llanas, E. (1998) Molecular Systematics of Apiaceae Subfamily Apioideae: Phylogenetic Analyses of Nuclear Ribosomal DNA Internal Transcribed Spacer and Plastid *rpoC*1 Intron Sequences. *American Journal of Botany*, **85**, 563-591. <u>https://doi.org/10.2307/2446441</u>
- [16] Downie, S.R., Katz-Downie, D.S. and Watson, M.F. (2000) A Phylogeny of the

Flowering Plant Family Apiaceae Based on Chloroplast DNA *rpl*16 and *rpoC*1 Intron Sequences: Towards a Suprageneric Classification of Subfamily Apioideae. *American Journal of Botany*, **87**, 273-292. <u>https://doi.org/10.2307/2656915</u>

- [17] Valiejo-Roman, C.M., Shneyer, V.S., Samigullin, T.H., Terentieva, E.I. and Pimenov, M.G. (2006) An Attempt to Clarify Taxonomic Relationships in "Verwandtschaftskreis der Gattung *Ligusticum*" (Umbelliferae-Apioideae) by Molecular Analysis. *Plant Systematics and Evolution*, **257**, 25-43. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00606-005-0383-8
- [18] Zhou, J., Peng, H., Downie, S.R., Liu, Z.-W. and Gong, X. (2008b) A Molecular Phylogeny of Chinese Apiaceae Subfamily Apioideae Inferred from Nuclear Ribosomal DNA Internal Transcribed Spacer Sequences. *Taxon*, 57, 402-416.
- [19] Zhou, J., Gong, X., Downie, S.R. and Peng, H. (2009) Towards a More Robust Molecular Phylogeny of Chinese Apiaceae Subfamily Apioideae: Additional Evidence from nrDNA ITS and cpDNA Intron (*rpl*16 and *rps*16) Sequences. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution*, **53**, 56-68. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2009.05.029
- [20] Jones, J.H. (1986) Evolution of the Fagaceae: The Implications of Foliar Features. Annals of the Missouri Botanical Garden, 73, 228-275. https://doi.org/10.2307/2399112
- [21] Baranova, M.A. (1992) Principles of Comparative Stomatographic Studies of Flowering Plants. *The Botanical Review*, 58, 49-99. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02858543</u>
- [22] Stace, C.A. (1965). Cuticular Studies as an Aid to Plant Taxonomy. Bulletin of the British Museum (Natural History) Botany, 4, 1-78.
- [23] Sharma, G.K. and Dunn, D.B. (1969) Environmental Modifications of Leaf Surface Traits in *Datura stramonium. Canadian Journal of Botany*, **47**, 1211-1216. <u>https://doi.org/10.1139/b69-171</u>
- [24] Dilcher, D. L. (1974) Approaches to the Identification of Angiosperm Leaf Remains. *The Botanical Review*, **40**, 1-157. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02860067</u>
- [25] Sun, N., He, X.-J. and Zhou, S.-D. (2010) Epidermal Characters of *Ligusticum* (Apiaceae) from China. *Annales Botanici Fennici*, **47**, 261-279. https://doi.org/10.5735/085.047.0403
- [26] Baranova, M.A. (1987) Historical Development of the Present Classification of Morphological Types of Stomates. *The Botanical Review*, 53, 53-79. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02858182</u>
- [27] Wilkinson, H.P. (1979) The Plant Surface (Mainly Leaf). In: Metcalfe, C.R. and Chalk, L., Eds., Anatomy of the Dicotyledons, 2nd Edition, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 97-167.
- [28] Watson, R.W. (1942) The Effect of Cuticular Hardening on the Form of Epidermal Cells. New Phytologist, 41, 223-229. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.1942.tb07075.x</u>
- [29] Stace, C.A. (1984) The Taxonomic Importance of the Leaf Surface. In: Heywood, V.H. and Moore, D.M., Eds., *Current Concepts in Plant Taxonomy*, Academic Press, London, 67-94.
- [30] Panteris, E., Apostolakos, P. and Galatis, B. (1994) Sinuous Ordinary Epidermal Cells: Behind Several Patterns of Waviness, a Common Morphogenetic Mechanism. *New Phytologist*, **127**, 771-780. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.1994.tb02981.x</u>
- [31] Wang, P.L., Pu, F.D. and Ma, J.S. (1991) Pollen Morphology of the Genus *Ligusti-cum* from China and Its Systematic Significance. *Acta Phytotaxonomica Sinica*, 29, 235-245.

- [32] Pimenov, M.G. and Kljuykov, E.V. (2000) Taxonomic Revision of *Pleurospermum* Hoffm. and Related Genera of Umbelliferae III. The genera *Physospemopsis* and *Hymenidium. Feddes Repertorium*, **111**, 535-552. https://doi.org/10.1002/fedr.20001110719
- [33] Peng, H. and Wang, Y.Z. (1998) A New Species of *Ligusticum* L. (Apiaceae) from Yunnan Province, China. *Novon*, 8, 50-52. <u>https://doi.org/10.2307/3391892</u>
- [34] Peng, Z.X. and Zhang, B.Y. (1995) A New Species of *Ligusticum* L. (Umbelliferae) from Gansu, China. *Acta Phytotaxonomica Sinica*, **33**, 302-305.

Supplements



Figure S1. Characteristics of epidermal cells from *Ligusticum* species (light microscopy), all adaxial. (a) *L. daucoides*; (b) *L. pteridophyllum*; (c) *L. litangense*; (d) *L. tachiroei*; (e) *L. hispidum. (f) L. nematophyllum*; (g) *L. sinense*; (h) *L. involucratum*; (i) *L. delavayi*; (j) *L. oliverianum*; (k) *L. likiangense*; (l) *L. littledalei*; (m) *L. acuminatum*; (n) *L. angelicifolium*; (o) *L. scapiforme*. Scale bar 50 µm.



Figure S2. Characteristics of epidermal cells from *Ligusticum* species (light microscopy), all adaxial. (a) *L. sikiangense*; (b) *L. mairei*; (c) *L. capillaceum*; (d) *L. multivittatum*; (e) *L. tenuisectum*; (f) *L. brachylobum*; (g) *L. tenuissimum*; (h) *L. yanyuanense*. I. *L. mucronatum*; (j) *L. thomsonii*; (k) *L. nullivittatum*; (l) *L. discolor*; (m) *L. jeholense*; (n) *L. weberbauerianum*; (o) *L. ajanense*. Scale bar 50 µm.



Figure S3. Characteristics of epidermal cells from *Ligusticum* species (light microscopy), all adaxial. (a) *L. pseudodaucoides*, (b) *L. elatum*; (c) *L. reptans*; (d) *L. kingdon-wardii*; (e) *L. franchetii*; (f) *L. moniliforme*; (g) *L. rechingerianum*; (h) *L. sinense* cv. *Chuan-xiong.* Scale bar 50 µm.