

# Application of Tikhonov Regularization Technique to the Kinetic Data of an Autocatalytic Reaction: Pyrolysis of N-Eicosane

Sunday C. Omowunmi, Alfred A. Susu<sup>\*</sup>

Department of Chemical Engineering, University of Lagos, Lagos, Nigeria E-mail: \*alfredasusu22@hotmail.com Received February 12, 2011; revised March 12, 2011; accepted March 22, 2011

#### Abstract

A new technique based on Tikhonov regularization, for converting time-concentration data into concentration-reaction rate data, was applied to a novel pyrolysis investigation carried out by Susu and Kunugi [1]. The reaction which involves the thermal decomposition of *n*-eicosane using synthesis gas for  $K_2CO_3$ -catalyzed shift reaction was reported to be autocatalytic. This result was confirmed by applying Tikhonov regularization to the experimental data (conversion vs. time) presented by Susu and Kunugi [1]. Due to the ill-posed nature of the problem of obtaining reaction rates from experimental data, conventional methods will lead to noise amplification of the experimental data. Hence, Tikhonov regularization is preferably employed because it is entirely independent of reaction rate model and it also manages to keep noise amplification under control, thus, leading to more reliable results. This is shown by the agreement of the kinetic parameters obtained using the resulting conversion-reaction rate profile, with the Ostwald-type process for autocatalysis suggested by Susu and Kunugi [1].

Keywords: Tikhonov Regularization, Thermal Decomposition, Concentration-Reaction Rate

### 1. Introduction

In the investigation of the kinetics of chemically reacting systems, it is often necessary to convert experimental time-concentration data into concentration-reaction rate data in order to determine the kinetic parameters of postulated reaction rate models. This has been described as an ill-posed problem in the sense that if inappropriate methods are used, the noise in the original data will be amplified leading to unreliable results. One of such procedures commonly used is that of direct numerical differentiation of the experimental data which amplifies the unavoidable noise in the experimental data [2]. Another technique attempts to integrate the rate equations in order to obtain concentration in terms of time and the unknown parameters. The unknown parameters are then determined by matching the resulting time-concentration profile with the experimental data. Unfortunately, this technique usually results in complex time-concentration profiles in which the unknown parameters are so lumped up to the extent that they cannot be determined to a reasonable degree of accuracy.

Recently, Yeow *et al.* [2] showed that Tikhonov regularization is a reliable procedure for converting experimental time-concentration data into concentration-reaction rate data. This procedure has been successful in keeping noise amplification under control and it also has the added advantage in that it does not require an assumed model for the reaction of interest. Having obtained the concentration-reaction rate data by Tikhonov regularization, the kinetic parameters in the rate equations are readily obtained by directly fitting these equations into the concentration-reaction rate curves. Thus, the kinetic parameters are thereby determined with relative ease and reliability.

Curve-fitting techniques are employed to estimate the kinetic parameters in the rate equations. These are numerical optimization techniques which are usually implemented by suitable commercial software such as Mathematica. The optimization technique used in the present investigation is the flexible tolerance search method of Palviani and Himmelblau [3] which was implemented by a computer programme.

In this paper, Tikhonov regularization is applied to the

kinetic data reported by Susu and Kunugi [1], in which *n*-eicosane was thermally decomposed using synthesis gas with  $K_2CO_2$ -catalyzed shift reaction in a stainless steel batch reactor. Since Tikhonov regularization technique is entirely independent of reaction rate models (or reaction mechanism), it can be used as a check on the applicability of the postulated reaction mechanism for the initial decomposition reaction and the autocatalytic reaction. The experimental data of the reported pyrolysis investigation were presented in form of conversion of *n*-eicosane vs. time at a total initial pressure of  $3.9 \times 10^3$ kPa and at three different temperatures of 425°C, 440°C and 450°C. Total n-eicosane conversion was found to be autocatalytic, a phenomenon which was attributed to the enhancement of the decomposition reaction due to the participation of allyl radicals in a new chain mechanism. Susu [4] further proposed free radical mechanism for the primary decomposition reaction and the autocatalytic reaction. The primary reaction was suggested to be first order and the activation energy of the autocatalytic reac-

tion was found to 105 kJ/mol, much lower than the activation energy of the initial decomposition reaction which would be of the order of C-C bond energy. If indeed these arguments are supported by the kinetic parameters obtained through the use of Tikhonov regularization technique, it could be used to validate the proposed reaction mechanism for the autocatalytic reaction.

#### 2. The Governing Equation

Generally, reaction rate r(t) can be expressed in terms of concentration c(t) as:

$$r(t) = \frac{\mathrm{d}c(t)}{\mathrm{d}t} \tag{1}$$

which can be rewritten as:

$$c(t) = \int_{t'=0}^{t} r(t') dt' + c_0$$
 (2)

where  $c_0$  is the initial concentration. Equation (2) is a Volterra integral equation for the unknown reaction rate r(t) and initial concentration  $c_0$  if this quantity is not measured directly or if the experimental measurement is considered to be unreliable. This is an integral equation of the first kind. The mathematical nature of this equation shows that the problem of obtaining r(t) is an illposed problem in the sense that if inappropriate methods are used, the noise in the experimentally measured time-concentration data will be amplified leading to inaccurate results [5].

Instead of solving Equation (2) directly for r(t), this equation, can be integrated by part as follows:

Given a function f(t) such that

$$f(t) = \frac{\mathrm{d}r(t)}{\mathrm{d}t} \tag{3}$$

Integrating the RHS of Equation (2) by parts gives

$$\int_{t'=0}^{t} r(t') dt' = t' r(t') \Big|_{t'=0}^{t} - \int_{t'=0}^{t} t' dr(t')$$
(4)

Substituting for dr(t') from Equations (3), we have

$$\int_{t'=0}^{t} r(t') dt' = t'r(t')\Big|_{t'=0}^{t} - \int_{t'=0}^{t} t'f(t') dt' \quad (5)$$

Combining Equations (2) and (5), we have

$$c^{C}(t) = t'r(t')\Big|_{t'=0}^{t} - \int_{t'=0}^{t} t'f(t')dt' + c_{0}$$
(6)

where the superscripts C and M are used to distinguish between the computed concentration  $c^{C}$  and the experimentally measured concentration  $c^{M}$ .

$$c^{C}(t) = tr(t) - \int_{t'=0}^{t} t' f(t') dt' + c_{0}$$
(7)

From Equation (3)

$$r(t) = \int_{t'=0}^{t} f(t') dt' + r_0$$
(8)

where  $r_0$  is the initial rate of reaction.

Combining Equations (7) and (8), we have

$$c^{C}(t) = t \left( \int_{t'=0}^{t} f(t') dt' + r_{0} \right) - \int_{t'=0}^{t} t' f(t') dt' + c_{0}$$
(9)

$$c^{C}(t) = \int_{t'=0}^{t} (t-t') f(t') dt' + c_{0} + tr_{0}$$
(10)

Equation (10) is the governing equation and the starting point of this investigation. It can be regarded as the Volterra integral equation of the first kind to be solved for the unknown function f(t) and the constants  $c_0$ and  $r_0$ . From the way this equation was obtained it is clear that it is independent of reaction mechanism.

Given the values of f(t),  $c_0$  and  $r_0$ , r(t) and c(t) can be computed by direct numerical integration. Since numerical integration does not suffer from noise amplification, the r(t) thus obtained is expected to be relatively free from the influence of experimental noise [2].

# **3.** Discretization of the Volterra Integral Equation

In discretized form Equation (10) becomes

$$c_i^C(t) = c_0 + t_i r_0 + \sum_{t_j=0}^{t_j=t_i} \alpha_{ij} \left( t_i - t_j' \right) f_j \Delta t'$$
(11)

where,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, N_D$ , and  $j = 1, 2, \dots, N_K$ .

 $N_D$  is the number of data points, and  $N_K$  is the number of discretization points.  $f_1, f_2, f_3, \dots, f_{N_K}$  are the discretized f(t). The independent variable  $0 \le t'$ 

1163

 $\leq t_{\text{max}}$  is divided into  $N_K$  uniformly spaced discretization points with step size  $\Delta t' = t_{\text{max}} / (N_K - 1))$ , where  $t_{\text{max}} = t_{N_D}$  is the largest  $t_i$  in the data set.  $\alpha_{ii}$  is the coefficient arising from the numerical scheme used to approximate the integral in Equation (10). For Simpson's 1/3 rule, used throughout this investigation,  $\alpha_{ii} = 2/3$ for odd *j* (except  $\alpha_{i1} = 1/3$ ), and  $\alpha_{ij} = 4/3$  for even *j*. The deviation of  $c^{C}$  from  $c^{M}$  is given by

$$\delta_{i} = c_{i}^{M} - \left(c_{0} + t_{i}r_{0} + \sum_{i'_{j}=0}^{i'_{j}=i_{i}} \alpha_{ij}(t_{i} - t'_{j})f_{j}\Delta t'\right)$$
(13)

$$= c_i^M - C_i c_0 - B_i r_0 - \sum_{i'_j=0}^{i'_j=i_j} A_{ij} f_j$$
(14)

where  $C_i = 1$  and  $B_i = t_i$  and,

$$A_{ij} = \alpha_{ij} \left( t_i - t'_j \right) \Delta t'$$
(15)

$$= 0 \text{ for } t_i \le t'_i \tag{16}$$

 $t_i, i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, N_D$  are the times at which the concentration is measured and  $t'_i$ ,  $j = 1, 2, 3, \dots, N_K$  are the uniformly spaced discretized time  $0 \le t' \le t_{\text{max}}$ .

In matrix notation Equation (14) can be rewritten as

$$\delta = \mathbf{c}^{M} - Cc_{0} - Br_{0} - A\mathbf{f}$$
(17)

where,

$$A = \sum_{t'_{i}=0}^{t'_{j}=t_{i}} \alpha_{ij}(t_{i} - t'_{j})\Delta t'$$
(18)

C and B are  $N_D \times 1$  column vectors,

A is a  $N_D \times N_K$  matrix of coefficient of the unknown column vector  $\mathbf{f} = \begin{bmatrix} f_1, f_2, f_3, \cdots, f_{N_K} \end{bmatrix}^T$ . Since  $N_{\kappa}$  generally exceeds the number of data points  $N_{D}$ , A is not a square matrix and Equation (14) cannot be inverted to give a unique **f**,  $c_0$  and  $r_0$ . Instead, these unknowns are selected to minimize the sum of squares of  $\delta_i$ ,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N_D} \delta_i^2 = \delta^T \delta = \left( \mathbf{c}^M - Cc_0 - Br_0 - A\mathbf{f} \right)^T \times \left( \mathbf{c}^M - Cc_0 - Br_0 - A\mathbf{f} \right)$$
(19)

However, because of the noise in the experimental data, minimizing  $\delta^T \delta$  will not in general result in a smooth f(t). Hence, to ensure smoothness, additional conditions have to be imposed, which is the minimizetion of the sum of squares of the second derivative  $d^{2}f/dt^{\prime 2}$  at the internal discretization points. In terms of the column vector f, this condition takes on the form of minimizing

$$\sum_{j=2}^{N_k-1} \left( \frac{\mathrm{d}^2 f}{\mathrm{d}t^2} \right)_j^2 = \left( \boldsymbol{\beta} \mathbf{f} \right)^T \left( \boldsymbol{\beta} \mathbf{f} \right) = \mathbf{f}^T \boldsymbol{\beta}^T \boldsymbol{\beta} \mathbf{f} \qquad (20)$$

where  $\boldsymbol{\beta}$  is the tri-diagonal matrix of coefficients arising from the finite difference approximation of  $d^2 f/dt'^2$  [2] and is given by

#### 4. Tikhonov Regularization

In Tikhonov regularization [5] instead of minimizing  $\delta^T \delta$  and  $\mathbf{f}^T \boldsymbol{\beta}^T \boldsymbol{\beta} \mathbf{f}$  separately, a linear combination of these two quantities  $\mathbf{R} = \delta^T \delta + \lambda \mathbf{f}^T \beta^T \beta \mathbf{f}$  is minimized.  $\lambda$  is an adjustable weighting/regularization factor that controls the extent to which the noise in the kinetic data is being filtered out. Minimizing **R** requires the following conditions to hold:

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{R}}{\partial f_j} = 0 , \quad j = 1, 2, 3, \cdots, N_K \tag{22}$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{R}}{\partial c_0} = 0 \tag{23}$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{R}}{\partial r_0} = 0 \tag{24}$$

These give rise to a set of linear algebraic equations for **f**,  $c_0$  and  $r_0$  (assuming that both initial conditions are known). It can be shown [6] that the  $\mathbf{f}$ ,  $c_0$ and  $r_0$  that satisfy Equations (22)-(24) are given by:

$$\mathbf{f}' = \left( \mathbf{A}'^T \mathbf{A}' + \lambda \boldsymbol{\beta}'^T \boldsymbol{\beta}' \right)^{-1} \mathbf{A}'^T \mathbf{c}^M$$
(25)

where  $\mathbf{f}'$  denotes the column vector

 $\begin{bmatrix} f_1, f_2, f_3, \dots, f_{N_K}, c_0, r_0 \end{bmatrix}^{l}$  incorporating  $c_0$  and  $r_0$  into **f**. **A'** is the composite matrix (A, C, B) derived from Equations (15), (16) and (18) to reflect the inclusion of  $c_0$  and  $r_0$  in f'. Similarly,  $\beta'$  is the composite matrix  $(\beta, 0, 0)$ , where 0 is a  $(N_{\kappa} - 2) \times 1$ column vector of 0 to allow for the fact that  $c_0$  and  $r_0$ play no part in the smoothness condition in Equation (20) (Yeow et al., 2003). Equation (25) is the operating equation of Tihkonov regularization computation.

#### 5. Implementation of the Computational Steps

The implementation of this procedure based on Tikhonov regularization involves the generation of large matrices

Copyright © 2011 SciRes.

and thus requires the use of computer programmes or suitable commercial software. In the present investigation, FORTRAN programmes were used to generate the matrices arising from Tikhonov regularization and MAT-LAB was used to perform the matrix operations.

Given any experimental time-concentration data, the first step is to divide the independent variable  $0 \le t' \le t_{max}$ into uniformly spaced discretization points  $N_K$  with step size  $\Delta t' = t_{max}/(N_K - 1)$ . Then, matrix  $A (= A_{ii})$ is determined from Equations (16) and (18) and combined with the column matrices B and C to give the composite matrix A' in Equation (25). Similarly, the composite matrix  $\boldsymbol{\beta}'$  is obtained by combining matrix  $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ , given by Equation (21), with the column vector  $\mathbf{0}$ . In order to simplify these computations, FORTRAN programmes were developed to generate matrices A' and  $\beta$  directly from experimental data. The experimental time-concentration data were inputs for these programmes and the outputs were matrices A' and  $\beta$ . These matrices are usually large in size depending on the values of  $N_D$  and  $N_K$ . In the present investigation,  $N_D$  is 6 and  $N_K$  was chosen to be 21. However, larger values of  $N_{K}$  may be used for better behaved smooth functions for r(t) and  $c^{C}(t)$ .

The next step is the solution of Equation (25) for values of  $\mathbf{f}'$  by simple matrix operations using MATLAB or any other commercial software. These values of  $\mathbf{f}'$  are then substituted into the governing Equation (10) to give the concentrations  $c^{C}(t)$  and also into the Equation (8) to the give the reaction rates r(t). Since f(t) is known at a large number of closely spaced discretization points, the integration for r(t) and  $c^{C}(t)$  is carried out numerically to give well-behaved smooth functions. FORTRAN programmes were generated from Simpson's algorithm for performing this task. The values of  $\mathbf{f}'$  were inputs for these programmes while r(t) and  $c^{C}(t)$  were the outputs. These computational steps are summarized in **Figure 1**.

#### 6. Choice of Regularization Parameter $(\lambda)$

The regularization parameter  $\lambda$  is an adjustable weighting /regularization factor that controls the extent to which the noise in the kinetic data is being filtered out. It balances the two requirements on f(t):

1) Fitting the experimental data.

2) Remaining as smooth as possible.

A large  $\lambda$  will give a smooth f(t) but at the expense of the goodness of fit of the kinetic data and vice versa. The most appropriate value of  $\lambda$  depends on factors such as:

1) The noise level in the experimental data.

2) The number of data points  $N_D$ .

3) The number of discretization points  $N_{\kappa}$ .

4) The numerical schemes used to approximate the integral in Equation (10) and the second derivative in Equation (20).

 $\lambda$  is neither a property of the reaction under consideration nor a constant determined by the concentration measurement technique or instrument employed [3]. A suitable choice of the regularization parameter  $\lambda$  has to be provided by the user in order to apply Tikhonov regularization. In the present investigation, this choice has been guided by the simple expectation that the average and maximum deviation between  $c^{C}$  and  $c^{M}$  must be physically realistic *i.e.* they must be comparable with the estimated magnitude of the error bars of the kinetic data while ensuring that the resulting reaction rate curve is sufficiently smooth [3]. This is otherwise referred to as the Morozov Principle [5]. This principle was applied throughout this investigation for locating the appropriate  $\lambda$ . The value of  $\lambda$  that gave the minimum deviation between  $c^{C}$  and  $c^{M}$  was chosen. It was also observed that as long as  $\lambda$  is of the appropriate order of magnitude, changes of  $\lambda$  within this range do not greatly affect the final results. There are alternative methods for selecting  $\lambda$  such as the practical *L*-curve method [7] or the statistically rigorous method of Generalized Cross Validation [8].

#### 7. Parameter Estimation and Optimization Technique

Estimating the kinetic parameters of a rate model is a very important aspect of any kinetic investigation. This can be accomplished by least-square fitting of the rate equation into the concentration-reaction rate curve. Several numerical minimization techniques have been developed to perform this task, including, simulated annealing, random search, Nelder-Mead simplex method and differentiation evolution [9]. All these minimization computations entail the assumption of initial guesses and can be performed using the commercial software Mathematica.

The general objective in optimization is to choose a set of values of variables (or parameters) subject to the various constraints that produce the desired optimum response for the chosen objective function [5]. For the flexible tolerance method [3] used in this investigation, the objective function is the sum of squares of residuals between experimental and predicted rates of reaction.

$$S = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( r_i^{calc} - r_i^{obs} \right)^2$$
(26)



Figure 1. Schematic diagram for Tikhonov regularization solution technique.

The smaller the value of S, the better the model and the more reliable the values of the kinetic parameters thus obtained. This method incorporates portions of the polyhedron method with the additional advantage of not restricting intermediate iteration to the feasible region. The method used here is the unweighted least square method [10] which is based on the polyhedron method of Nelder and Mead. This method alters the shape of the simplex to suit local topology. The tolerance criterion is reduced within the region of an optimum till it reaches a preset small value. This flexible tolerance method was implemented by a FORTRAN programme. The programme uses initial guesses to compute the reaction rates and then minimizes the sum of squares of errors (Equation (26)) between observed and calculated rates.

## 8. Reaction Mechanism and Rate Models

For the initial first-order chain sequence the following free radical mechanism was proposed for the decomposition of *n*-eicosane with a C-C bond scission at the  $\alpha$ -isomer of iso-eicosane as the initiation step [4].

Initiation

$$(CH_3)_2 CH(CH_2)_{16} CH_3$$
  
$$\xrightarrow{1} \dot{C}H_3 + H_3 C\dot{C}H(CH_2)_{16} CH_3$$
(27)

Propagation

$$CH_{3}+CH_{3}(CH_{2})_{18}CH_{3}$$

$$\xrightarrow{2} CH_{4}+H_{3}C\dot{C}H(CH_{2})_{17}CH_{3}$$
(28)

$$H_{3}CCH(CH_{2})_{17}CH_{3}$$

$$\xrightarrow{3}H_{3}CCH=CH_{2}+\dot{C}H_{2}(CH_{2})_{15}CH_{3}$$
(29)

$$CH_{4}+CH_{2}(CH_{2})_{15}CH_{3}$$

$$\xrightarrow{4} \dot{C}H_{3}+H_{3}C(CH_{2})_{15}CH_{3}$$
(30)

Termination

$$2\dot{C}H_3 \xrightarrow{5} C_2 H_6 \tag{31}$$
$$\dot{C}H_3 + H_3 C\dot{C}H (CH_3) CH_3$$

$$\xrightarrow{6} (CH_3)_2 CH (CH_2)_{16} CH_3$$
(32)

Based on this mechanism the overall reaction rate was given as:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}[nC_{20}]}{\mathrm{d}t} = -k_2 \left(\frac{k_1}{k_5}\right)^{1/2} \left[iC_{20}\right]^{1/2} \left[nC_{20}\right]$$
(33)

This rate expression is considered as first order because the concentration of iso-eicosane  $[iC_{20}]$  was constant throughout the decomposition reaction. The *k*'s are the rate constants in hr<sup>-1</sup>.

For the new second-order chain sequence resulting from the production of allyl radicals from propylene the following mechanism was proposed by Susu [4].

Initiation

$$C_{3}H_{6} + \dot{C}H_{3} \xrightarrow{9} \dot{C}_{3}H_{5} + CH_{4}$$
(34)

Propagation

$$\dot{C}_{3}H_{5}+CH_{3}(CH_{2})_{18}CH_{3}$$
  
 $\xrightarrow{10}$   $C_{3}H_{6}+H_{3}C\dot{C}H(CH_{2})_{17}CH_{3}$  (35)

$$\begin{array}{c} H_{3}C\dot{C}H(CH_{2})_{17}CH_{3} \\ \xrightarrow{11} \dot{C}_{3}H_{5}+CH_{3}(CH_{2})_{15}CH_{3} \end{array}$$
(36)

Termination

$$\dot{C}H_3 + \dot{C}_3H_5 \xrightarrow{12} C_4H_8$$
 (37)

The overall rate expression for this new mechanism was given as:

$$\frac{d[nC_{20}]}{dt} = -\frac{k_{10}k_{12}}{k_9} [C_3H_6][nC_{20}]$$
(38)

This is a second-order rate model where the k's are the rate constants in  $\text{cm}^3 \cdot \text{gmol}^{-1} \cdot \text{hr}^{-1}$ . In the manner of

Ostwald, the maximum rate at the inflection point was equated to  $k''/4(1+\rho)^2$  where  $\rho = k'/k''$ . k' is the first-order rate constant of the decomposition reaction and k'' is the bimolecular rate constant of the second-order reaction. For autocatalysis to occur,  $\rho \ll 1$  and the second-order rate constant is obtained from the maximum rate. Therefore, a change in reaction rate from first to second order such that  $k'' \gg k'$  should explain the autocatalytic decomposition reaction in an Ostwald-type process [11].

It is necessary to express the rate models in terms of conversion X(t) of *n*-eicosane since the experimental data is presented in form of X(t) vs. time. X(t) is related to the instantaneous concentration  $[nC_{20}]$  and initial concentration  $[nC_{20}]_0$  by  $X(t) = [iC_{20}]/[iC_{20}]_0$ . Therefore, in terms of conversion X(t), the first-order

Therefore, in terms of conversion X(t), the first-order rate expression in Equation (33) becomes

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}X}{\mathrm{d}t} = -k_2 \left(\frac{k_1}{k_5}\right)^{1/2} \left[i\mathrm{C}_{20}\right]^{1/2} X \tag{39}$$

Since  $[iC_{20}]^{1/2}$  is a constant this expression can be rewritten as

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}X}{\mathrm{d}t} = -\left(\frac{k_1}{k_5}\right)^{1/2} K_2 X \tag{40}$$

where  $K_2 = k_2 \times [iC_{20}]^{1/2}$  and  $k_1$ ,  $k_2$  and  $k_5$  are in  $hr^{-1}$ .

Similarly, in terms of conversion X(t) the secondorder rate expression in Equation (38) becomes

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}X}{\mathrm{d}t} = -\frac{k_{10}k_{12}}{k_9} \left[ C_3 H_6 \right] X \tag{41}$$

In this case, the data to be used for  $[C_3H_6]$  is given in terms of moles of  $C_3H_6$  per mole of  $nC_{20}$  (dimensionless), as a result the units of  $k_9$ ,  $k_{10}$  and  $k_{12}$  will

be  $\frac{\text{gmol}(nC_{20})}{\text{gmol}(C_3H_6)}$ hr<sup>-1</sup>. These k's can easily be con-

verted into their physical equivalents in  $\text{cm}^3 \cdot \text{gmol}^{-1} \cdot \text{hr}^{-1}$ by dividing by the initial concentration of *n*-eicosane  $[nC_{20}]_0$ .

#### 9. Results and Discussion

Tikhonov regularization computation has been applied to the experimental time-conversion data of the pyrolysis of *n*-eicosane with synthesis gas in  $K_2CO_3$ -catalyzed shift reaction. Susu and Kunugi [1] reported that total *n*-eicosane conversion was autocatalytic; a phenomenon which was attributed to the enhancement of the decomposition reaction due to the participation of allyl radicals in a new chain mechanism. For comparison, the time-conversion data obtained from Tikhonov regularization computation for  $T = 425^{\circ}$ C, 440°C and 450°C are plotted together with the experimental data in **Figures 2(a)**, **3(a)** and **4(a)** respectively. The continuous curve represents the computed conversion while the dotted curve represents the experimental data. At  $T = 425^{\circ}$ C the computed conversions agree well with the experimental data and also traced out the characteristic *S*-shape of time-conversion curves for autocatalytic reactions [11]. At  $T = 440^{\circ}$ C and



Figure 2. (a) A plot of conversion vs. time for *n*-eicosane pyrolysis at 425°C. (b) Reaction rate vs. conversion curve obtained by Tikhonov regularization for *n*-eicosane pyrolysis at 425°C.

Copyright © 2011 SciRes.



Figure 3. (a) A plot of conversion vs time for *n*-eicosane pyrolysis at 440°C; (b) Reaction rate vs conversion curve obtained by Tikhonov regularization for *n*-eicosane pyrolysis at 440°C.

450°C the computed conversions also agree with the experimental data but do not trace out the *S*-shape well enough. This resulted from the fact that the experimental data points provided at these temperatures are not sufficient (see **Table 1**). Thus, the experimental data for  $T = 440^{\circ}$ C and 450°C were first interpolated to generate moredata points before applying Tikhonov regularization. Since interpolation will introduce some error, the conversions computed by Tikhonov regularizetion are expected to show some deviations from the original experimental data.



Figure 4. (a) A plot of conversion vs time for *n*-eicosane pyrolysis at 450°C; (b) Reaction rate vs conversion curve obtained by Tikhonov regularization for *n*-eicosane pyrolysis at 450°C.

**Figures 2(b)**, **3(b)** and **4(b)** are plots of reaction rate vs. conversion obtained by Tikhonov regularization for T = 425°C, 440°C and 450°C respectively. Smoothness of these curves was achieved by setting the regularizetion parameter  $\lambda$  to the most appropriate value which was found to be 0.015. These graphs were used to estimate the first and second order rate constants in Equations (40) and (41) respectively by least square curvefitting. As shown in **Tables 2** and **3**, the second-order rate constants k'' are much larger than the first-order rate constants k' with  $\rho = k'/k''$  in the order of  $10^{-1}$  to  $10^{-2}$ . Therefore, the values of these rate constants are in agreement with the Ostwald-type process for autocatalytic reactions ( $\rho \ll 1$ ) as suggested by Susu and Kunugi [1]. The plots of rate constant vs. temperature for the first and second order reaction schemes are shown in **Figures 5** and **6** respectively. The rate constants increased with temperature.

The Arrhenius plots for the first and second order kinetics are shown in **Figures 7** and **8**, and the activation energies computed from these plots are shown in **Tables 1** and **2**. These activation energies are generally of the order of the C-C bond energy which agrees with that the scission of C-C bond is rate-determining in the initial decomposition reaction. Susu and Kunugi [1] reported activation energy of 105 kJ/gmol for the bimolecular (second-order) rate constant which is also of the order of the C-C bond energy.

Table 1. Experimental data for the Pyrolysis of *n*-Eicosane.

T (*C)	Time (hr)	Conversion of <i>n</i> -Eicosane	Yield of C <sub>3</sub> H <sub>6</sub> (mol C <sub>3</sub> H <sub>6</sub> /mol n-C <sub>20</sub> )
425	0.50	0.06	0.078
	0.75	0.14	0.088
	1.00	0.20	0.118
	1.25	0.32	0.046
	1.50	0.42	0.050
	1.75	0.45	0.047
440	0.25	0.08	0.044
	0.50	0.34	0.049
	0.75	0.40	0.093
	1.00	0.53	0.139
450	0.50	0.29	0.056
	0.75	0.58	0.063
	1.00	0.72	0.086

 Table 2. Rate constants and objective functions for *n*-eico-sane kinetics.

Rate constant	TEM	Activation Energy		
$\left(hr^{-1}\right)$	425	440	450	(kJ/gmol)
$k_{_1}$	4.091	5.486	6.490	77.09
$k_{2}$	18.045	46.763	48.301	171.63
$k_{_5}$	4.773	7.782	9.278	112.48
OBJ. F.	0.1471	0.5935	0.6149	-

Rate constant	TEM	TEMPERATURE (*C)		
cm <sup>3</sup> ·gmol <sup>-1</sup> ·hr <sup>-1</sup>	425	440	450	(kJ/gmol)
$k_{_9}$	55.648	59.910	104.946	98.79
$k_{_{10}}$	138.795	145.432	241.187	85.65
<i>k</i> <sub>12</sub>	138.184	144.838	261.691	98.83
OBJ. F.	0.0678	1.8792	1.6055	-

Table 3. Rate constants and objective functions for *n*-eico-

sane kinetics (Seond-order).



Figure 5. First-order rate constant vs. temperature for n-eicosane pyrolysis.



Figure 6. Second-order rate constant vs. temperature for neicosane pyrolysis.



Figure 7. Arrhenius plot for *n*-eicosane pyrolysis (First-order).



Figure 8. Arrhenius plot for *n*-eicosane pyrolysis (Second-order).

#### **10.** Conclusions

Tikhonov regularization computation was successfully applied to the kinetic data of an autocatalytic reaction reported by Susu and Kunugi [1]. This method of converting time-concentration data into concentration-reaction rate data is superior to the common procedure of direct numerical differentiation of the experimental data, in the sense that it manages to keep noise amplification under control [2]. It is also independent of reaction mechanism or reaction rate model and thus provides a good check on such models accompanying any kinetic 1170

data.

In agreement with the findings of Susu and Kunugi [1], the rate constants obtained by fitting the rate equations into the conversion-reaction rate curves generated by Tikhonov regularization, were in accordance with the Ostwald-type process for autocatalysis. The values of the computed activation energies (which was in the order of the C-C bond energy) also conformed to the assumption made in the reaction mechanism that the scission of C-C bond is rate-determining in the initial decomposition reaction. Thus, these results further establish the findings of Susu and Kunugi (1980) and also show that Tikhonov regularization is a reliable technique for converting time-concentration data into concentration-reaction rate data.

#### 11. References

- A. A. Susu and T. Kunugi, "Novel Pyrolytic Decomposition of *n*-Eicosane with Synthesis Gas and K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> Catalyzed Shift Reaction," *I & EC Process Design & Development*, Vol. 19, 1980, pp. 693-699.
- [2] Y. L. Yeow, S. R. Wickramasinghe, H. Binbing and Y. Leong, "A New Method of Processing the Time-Concentration Data of Reaction Kinetics," *Chemical Engineering Science*, Vol. 58, No. 16, 2003, pp. 3601-3610. doi:10.1016/S0009-2509(03)00263-X

- [3] G. Paviani and D. M. Himmelblau, "Constrained Nonlinear Optimization by Heuristic Programming," *Operations Research*, Vol.17, No. 5, 1969, pp. 872-882. doi:10.1287/opre.17.5.872
- [4] A. A. Susu, "Autocatalytic Decomposition of *n*-Eicosane in Alkali-Catalyzed CO-H<sub>2</sub>O Systems," *NJET*, Vol. 5, No. 1, 1982, pp. 11-19.
- [5] H. W. Engl, M. Hanke and A. Neubauer, "Regularization of Inverse Problems," Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1996. <u>doi:10.1007/978-94-009-1740-8</u>
- [6] W. T. Shaw and J. Tigg, "Applied Mathematics: Getting Started, Getting It Done," Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., Reading, 1994.
- [7] P. C. Hansen, "Analysis of Discrete III-Posed Problems by Means of the L-Curve," *SIAM Reviews*, Vol. 34, No. 4, 1992, pp. 561-580. <u>doi:10.1137/1034115</u>
- [8] G. Wahba, "Spline Models for Observational Data," CBMS-NSF Regional Conference Series in Applied Mathematics, SIAM, Philadelphia, 1990, p. 169.
- [9] W. H. Press, S. A. Teukolsky, W. T. Vetterling and B. P. Flannery, "Numerical Recipes," 2nd Edition, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1992.
- [10] M. Aoki, "Introduction to Optimization Techniques," Macmillan, New York, 1971.
- [11] M. Boudart, "Kinetics of Chemical Processes," Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, 1968.