

Anderson Heteropolymolybdates Cluster Loaded on Zeolite Materials: Preparation and Characterization

Mohamed S. Thabet^{1,2}

¹Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Al-Azhar University, Nasr City, Cairo, Egypt ²Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Jazan University, Jazan, KSA Email: thabet1972@yahoo.com

How to cite this paper: Thabet, M.S. (2019) Anderson Heteropolymolybdates Cluster Loaded on Zeolite Materials: Preparation and Characterization. *Journal of Encapsulation and Adsorption Sciences*, **9**, 1-12. https://doi.org/10.4236/jeas.2019.91001

Received: January 16, 2019 Accepted: March 5, 2019 Published: March 8, 2019

Copyright © 2019 by author(s) and Scientific Research Publishing Inc. This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution International License (CC BY 4.0).

http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

Abstract

Polyoxometalate (POM) catalysts with different trivalent hetero ions ($M^{n+} = Fe^{3+}$, Al^{3+} , and Cr^{3+}) were prepared by incipient wetness impregnation method and supported on different zeolites namely, NaY, ZSM-5 and Mordenite. The intended catalysts samples were distinguished by X-ray diffraction, FTIR and surface texture measurements. The data given disintegration in the crystallinity of zeolite structure, enlarge in particle size and new phases of metal oxides $Al_2(MoO_4)_3$ and $Fe_2(MoO_4)_3$ were exposed by XRD and FTIR techniques. These phases caused widening of pores of the employed zeolites and change of the surface texture. The physical changes indicate the considerable interaction of polyoxomolybdate with the zeolite structure. The assessment of the catalytic activity was thorough by applied the photocatalytic degradation of direct blue 1 dye (DB1) in existence of H_2O_2 as a green oxidant. The catalytic activity of $M^{n+}Mo-ZSM-5$ sample is higher than that of $M^{n+}Mo-Y$ or $M^{n+}Mo-Mord$.

Keywords

Polyoxometalate, Zeolites, Anderson, Cluster

1. Introduction

Polyoxometalates (POMs) is one of the most remarkable chemicals and physical properties materials, and because its structure can be considered as a new multifunctional material, it can be used in different applications [1] [2] [3] [4]. Polyoxometalates has a huge function group of oligomeric cluster anions, which are used in catalyst design because of their acidity and capability of oxidation [5]. Until now the ultimate serious application of POMs is the scope of catalyst,

specially the selective oxidation of industrial materials and products [6] [7]. Newly, POMs have also been utilized as environment catalysts for the decay of organic pollutants [8] [9] [10]. The structure of POMs and additional elements with different coordination number surrounding by MoO_6 has oxygen atoms making oxyanions structure with high reactivity and is called Anderson structure, and the most important application of POM anions is a solution of different environmental problems due to the reactivity of the free hydroxyl radicals, OH^- [10] [11]. Thus, transition metal ions have been used to promote advantage oxidation process and help in visible light adsorption [12]. Hydrothermal oxidation processes (HOP) have been inclusively studied for their application to a diversity of rebellious pollutants and actual wastewater [13]. A free radical chain auto-oxidation process was explained based on the rapid oxidation of different type of radicals accountable for the autocatalytic decomposition of the original substrate [14] [15].

Zeolite has been inspected as potential supports for the hybrid photocatalytic system [16] [17]. Due to the various distinguishing advantages of zeolite such as cages, channels and electrons transfer that could facilitate the adsorption of organic species as preliminary stage for the photocatalytic degradation, transition metals modified zeolite can be used for the removal of organic compound. The transition metal combination may be probably behaving as an electron acceptor and thus supports a critical role in incorporation with the zeolite framework to make a possible retard in the recombination process [18].

In current work, I have attempted to join the above properties of zeolites in a suitable manner to prepare novel catalytic materials. This involves a combination of POMs loaded transition metal supported into different zeolites. This appears to have resulted in synergistic enhancement of the catalytic activity for the degradation of direct blue 1 dye as a test reaction. The behavior of the investigated diazo dyes is likely to be that of their mono-azo counterparts.

2. Experimental

2.1. Preparation of M³⁺/Anderson Phase

The Anderson phase with the general formula $(NH_4)_2$ [X(III)Mo₆O₂₄H₆]7H₂O, (X = Cr³⁺, Al³⁺, or Fe³⁺) were gained by precipitation method from an aqueous solution as described elsewhere [19]. Briefly, an aqueous solution of metal sulphates (3.1 mmol) in 20 ml H₂O is added to a boiling solution of ammonium molybdate (4.2 mmol) dissolved in 80 ml H₂O. Furthermore, evaporation this solution until a precipitate is completely formed, filtering the hot solution and cooling. The acquired past was recrystallized twice with water to obtain purified solids.

2.2. Preparation of M³⁺/Anderson Phase Supported on Different Zeolites

The Anderson phase containing trivalent cations (Al³⁺, Fe³⁺, and Cr³⁺) loaded on NaY, ZSM-5 and Mordenite zeolites were prepared by incipient wetness im-

pregnation method in which a known weight of zeolite powder was immersed in a solution of the Anderson molybdo-polyanions. The mixture was kept under constant stirring for 5 hours at 80°C. The samples obtained was dried at 120°C then calcined for 3 h at 400°C, then the samples will be referred as xM^{3+} Mo-Z, where x, M^{3+} , and Z are donated to the Anderson ratio (5%), the ion of the heteroatom in Anderson structure and zeolite type respectively.

2.3. Characterization of M³⁺/Anderson Phase Supported on Different Zeolites

Crystallinity and new phases were determined by X-ray diffraction using instrument diffractometer (DMX 111-VC, Rigaku Denki Co.) with CuK radiation. The powder diffractograms of various samples were recorded from $2\theta = 5^{\circ}$ to 60° with a scanning rate of 4° min⁻¹. The Scherrer equation [20] employing to calculate the mean particle size, surface characteristics of various zeolitic solids were determined from N₂ adsorption isotherm conducted at -196°C under reduced pressure as low as 10⁻⁵ torr by conventional volumetric apparatus. Out gassed the sample at 200°C for 3 hrs to remove moisture. The specific surface area (S_{BET}) were estimated using the BET method [21], while the statistical surface area (S_t) , micropores surface area (S_{mic}) , wide pore surface (S_{wide}) , total pore volume (V_p) and mean pore radius (\overline{r}) were obtained from the t-plot method which developed by de Boer et al. [22]. The Fourier Transform Infrared (FTIR) spectra were measured using a Bruker (Vector 22), single beam spectrometer with a resolution of 2 cm^{-1} , make a tablet by grinding the samples with KBr (1: 100 ratio), the recorded spectral changes were revealed in two regions at 4000 -3000 and 1200 - 400 cm⁻¹. Particle size was evaluated by a particle size analyzer (LB-500 HORIBA, Dynamic Light Scattering). The samples were stirred in 90 ml of distilled water and 10 ml of sodium hexametaphosphate for 10 min., then with ultrasonic for 10-minute insert 3 ml of sample in the unit cell to be measured. The photo reactivity experimental was executed in a cylindrical Pyrex glass reactor containing 0.1 g of catalyst and 100 ml of a solution of direct blue 1 dye. The concentration of the dye was 50 ppm. A 6 W medium pressure Hg lamp (256 nm) was used. The oxidant H₂O₂ concentration (61 mmol) was fixed throughout the experimental test and performed at 25°C, withdrawn the sample at time intervals for analysis by (HASCO V-570-unit, serial No. 29635) UV-Vis spectrophotometer over the 190 - 800 nm range. The decolonization was determined at the maximum wavelength at 618 nm, removal efficiency percentage of DB1 dye was calculated by applying the following equation

Efficiency% =
$$\frac{(C-C_0)}{C_0} \times 100$$
, (1)

where C_0 and C are the original and retained DB1 dye in solution, respectively.

3. Results and Discussion

The XRD patterns of CrMo-, FeMo- and AlMo-Y samples show that the fau-

jasite structure was kept intact but a decrease in the intensity of its diffraction lines was observed Figure 1, which might indicate that molybdenum species were introduced and interacted with the internal framework of NaY zeolite. This result was evidenced by the existence of $M_2(MoO_4)_3$ composite (where M = Cr³⁺, Fe³⁺, and Al³⁺) at $2\theta = 23.4^{\circ}$ which appeared in all prepared samples except CrMo-Y, another phase was detected for MoO₃ at $2\theta = 12.6^{\circ}$, 22.8° , 25.5° and 27.3°. The least stability regarding the intensity of zeolite characteristic peaks was observed in FeMo-Y sample. The sample contains Cr³⁺ showed neither new phases nor crystallinity changes indicating that the NaY zeolite had the highest stability in the presence of Cr³⁺ containing heteropolyacids. The data of particle size, crystal size, crystallinity and cell volume of M³⁺Mo-Y catalysts are recorded in **Table 1**. The crystallinity decreased especially in FeMo-Y where it goes down to 21% with respect to the parent NaY zeolite whereas it did not change in a sample containing Cr³⁺. Once more, this indicated that zeolite preserves its structure in the state of Cr-containing catalyst more than that of Fe-containing one. Whereas, the cell volume, Table 1, decreases in whole samples but slightly increased in case of Al-containing material this may indicate that decrease of the extent of dealumination attributed to the addition of Al³⁺ ions.

The X-ray diffraction pattern of $M^{3+}Mo$ -ZSM-5 catalysts and parent zeolite are shown in **Figure 1**. The peak intensity of $M^{3+}Mo$ -ZSM-5 decreased even out of the crystal structure of ZSM-5 was kept unaffected after loading of $M^{3+}Mo$ -Anderson. Such loading brought about the appearance of MoO_3 phase at $2\theta = 23.1^{\circ}$, 27.2° and 33.7°. Metal molybdate was detected on the surface of the Fe-containing sample only in view of the fact that the characteristic line of Fe₂(MoO₄)₃ phase at 39.0° has been detected [PDF # 33-0661]. In addition, it appears together in the



Figure 1. XRD patterns of parent zeolites and M³⁺Mo-zeolite solids with different M³⁺Mo-Anderson.

Sample	Crystal Size, nm	Particle Size, μm	Crystallinity, %	Cell Constant, (Å)	Cell Volume, (Å)³
NaY	64.5	3.73	100	24.52	14,749
CrMo-Y	126	3.93	100	24.43	14,389
FeMo-Y	127.2	3.85	21.3	24.42	14,562
AlMo-Y	64.5	3.93	46.1	24.58	14,849

Table 1. Variation of cell parameters after supporting M³⁺Mo-Anderson on NaY zeolite.

same position of MoO₃ lines at $2\theta = 23.1^\circ$, 27.2° and 33.7°. The evaluation of the spectra of M³⁺Mo-Y and M³⁺ZSM-5 informs that ZSM-5 is more stable than NaY when being used as a support for M³⁺Mo-HPA, that may be referred to the lower alumina content in ZSM-5 than NaY. The data recorded in Table 2 point to the enlargement occurring in the particle size and crystal size. In the same manner, it tells about the decrease in crystallinity and cell parameters of the crystal structure after supporting M³⁺Mo on ZSM-5 zeolite. On the other hand, the X-ray diffraction pattern of M³⁺Mo-Mordenite and parent mordenite catalyst are exhibited in Figure 1. The crystal structure of mordenite was kept unchanged but a decrease in its peak intensity was noticed after the impregnation with M³⁺Mo. No new peaks were observed expect of $Fe_2(MoO_4)_3$ ($2\theta = 22.8^\circ$, 27.3° and 33.7° and 39.0°) in case of Fe-Containing sample. The other sample displayed a decrease in crystallinity accompanied by an increase in particle size and crystal size, Table 2, Figure 1. It is clear that MoO₃ has not been observed in the case of M³⁺Mo-mordenite. This may be due to the facile dispersion of the M³⁺Mo moieties on the mordenite surface.

The surface characteristics of M³⁺Mo-Anderson supported on different zeolite materials were conducted via N₂ adsorption isotherms (Table 3). All the obtained isotherms belong to type II approbate to Brunauer classification [23]. Table 3, Figure 2 revealed that: the values of S_{BET} and St are closed to each other for all samples investigated, indicates the correct choice of the standard *t*-curves. The computed values of mean pore radii of samples showed higher values than those of the parent zeolites. For instance, \overline{r} of NaY is 16.3 Å whereas those of supported samples ranged between 19 - 23 Å similarly \overline{r} of ZSM-5 zeolite is equal to 18.7 Å and those of supported materials have a value of 22 Å. These higher value of \overline{r} may be due to the imposed position of metal oxides (M₂O₃, where M = Mo^{6+} , Al^{3+} , Cr^{3+} , Fe^{3+}) or new phases such as $Al_2(MoO_4)_3$, $Fe(MoO_4)_3$ which were detected by XRD and FTIR techniques, into the pores of zeolites leading to an effecting pore widening. The V_{1-t} plots of samples, Figure 3 indicates mainly the existence of micropores (downward deviation) with a small margin of wide pores that indicated by deviations in the t rang of 6 - 8 Å. The Fe-Y sample measured the minimum value of Vp and medium \overline{r} value (19.2 Å) referring to the forced location of Fe species in pores of this particular sample leading to slight pore widening this reflects the presence of major of Fe cations as oxides outside the pores of the zeolite.

C	Crystal	Particle Size, µm	Crystallinity, %	Cell parameters, (Å)			Cell	
Sample	Size, nm			a	b	с	(Å) ³	
ZSM-5	67.2	3.94	100	19.76	20.02	13.52	5348	
CrMo-ZSM-5	88.3	4.01	82.5	19.90	19.52	13.45	5224.6	
FeMo-ZSM-5	127.2	4.15	74.5	19.94	20.01	13.43	5358.6	
AlMo-ZSM-5	135.2	4.06	62.0	19.90	19.99	13.42	5338.4	
Mordenite	76.9	4.31	100	18.14	20.32	7.46	2749.7	
CrMo-Mord.	126.0	4.61	58	18.09	20.45	7.47	2763.4	
FeMo-Mord.	102.0	4.01	46.2	18.14	19.72	7.49	2679.3	
AlMo-Mord.	89.7	4.88	38.2	18.02	20.27	7.45	2721.2	

Table 2. Variation of cell parameters after supporting M³⁺Mo-Anderson on ZSM-5 and mordenite zeolite.

Table 3. Surface characteristic of	f different investigated M ³⁺ Mo-Anderson	supported on
different zeolites, preheated at 300) °C under a reduced pressure of 10^{-5} torr.	

Sample	S _{BET} (m²/g)	S _t (m²/g)	V_p^{total} (cm ³ /g)	V^{μ}_{p} (cm ³ /g)	V_p^{wid} (cm ³ /g)	S ^{ext} (m²/g)	S ^µ (m²/g)	S ^{wid} (m²/g)	r (Å)	С
NaY	908	825	0.668	0.592	0.076	50.50	226.7	681.2	16.30	481
AlMo-Y	428	475	0.433	0.404	0.029	19.74	105.6	322.8	23.58	17
CrMo-Y	491	447	0.454	0.410	0.047	32.43	107	384.0	20.7	89
FeMo-Y	441	424	0.381	0.340	0.040	29.92	74.87	366.5	19.2	5
HZSM-5	575	512	0.522	0.431	0.091	45.72	119.9	455.1	18.72	8
AlMo-ZSM-5	408	420	0.414	0.357	0.057	41.48	82.47	325.9	21.86	13
CrMo-ZSM-5	390	365	0.388	0.344	0.044	31.17	76.36	313.8	22.02	19
FeMo-ZSM-5	424	481	0.436	0.387	0.048	34.39	97.02	327.2	22.82	34
Mord.	583	434	0.339	0.333	0.006	14.55	71.61	511.0	14.28	38
AlMo-Mord.	520	538	0.529	0.475	0.054	37.16	145.8	374.1	22.82	28
CrMo-Mord.	496	429	0.465	0.421	0.044	29.98	114.4	382.2	21.17	29
FeMo-Mord.	491	417	0.400	0.361	0.038	27.51	84.46	406.5	18.40	45

Figure 4 shows the FTIR spectra of Al, Cr, and FeMo-Anderson supported on different zeolite samples as well as the spectra of parent zeolites. The spectra presented bands of varying intensity and width are susceptible to the framework structure typical for NaY [24] [25]. The intensity of the characteristic bands of NaY decreased after supporting the $M^{3+}Mo$ -Andersone. Another band at 915 cm⁻¹ was observed. Since the IR spectra in the 950 - 900 cm⁻¹ region become independent of the sort of heteroatom, it can be attributed to the common pattern of Anderson molybdopolyanions [19]. The band at 481 cm⁻¹ is assigned to the structure-insensitive T-O bending modes for tetrahedral TO₄ units (T = Si or Al), while the band at 580 cm⁻¹ is associated with the FUA structure [19] [26]. On the other hand, the characteristic bands of ZSM-5 at 452, 798 and 1100 cm⁻¹



Figure 2. N₂ adsorption-desorption isotherms of parent zeolites and M³⁺Mo-zeolite solids with different M³⁺Mo-Anderson.



Figure 3. V_{1-t} plots of parent zeolites and M³⁺Mo-zeolite solids with different M³⁺Mo-Anderson.

were detected in the spectra and they are due to the vibration of SiO_4 tetrahedra in ZSM-5 framework. Additionally, the vibration at 452 cm⁻¹ was attributed to the five-membered rings in the pentasil structure [27] [28]. Another band at 1226 cm⁻¹ was also observed and may be assigned to SiO_2 and T-O tetrahedral (T = Si or Al). These data refer to the structure of ZSM-5 is remaining intact as confirmed by XRD results. The change in the spectra is independent of the type



Figure 4. FTIR spectra of parent zeolites and M³⁺Mo-zeolite solids with different M³⁺Mo-Anderson.

of the heteroatom. The spectra show a new band at 992 cm⁻¹ and it was attributed to the heteropolymolybdate structure. Typical vibrations belonging to mordenite are observed at 1230as, 1092as, 822s cm⁻¹, double ring at 594, 568 cm⁻¹ and finally T-O bending at 653 and 458 cm⁻¹ [29]. Three bands appear at 594 and 653 cm⁻¹. Such bands may be attributed to the frequency of a chain of alternating TO₄ in the crystal lattice [30]. The spectra showed a new band around 994 cm⁻¹ and were attributed to the heteropolymolybdate structure since it was observed in the bulk Anderson material.

The solution with initial pH value 6.4 was used without controlling the pH value during the catalytic process. Degradation of Direct Blue 1 dye over M³⁺Mo-zeolite catalysts enhanced the activity towards the degradation of dye in both cases of the presence and absence of UV irradiation. It is clear from **Table 4** and **Figure 5** that the catalytic activity depended on both the heteroatom in the Anderson type structure and the type of support. The rate of degradation of dye using M³⁺Mo-Anderson supported on ZSM-5 and mordenite is higher than that supported on NaY. A result was explained on the basis that the incorporation of HPA in ZSM-5 and mordenite leads to 20% reduction of their surface area whereas; the surface area reduction was around 50% in case of NaY zeolite. This refers to that HPA is mostly located on the external surface and not in the pores of ZSM-5 and mordenite zeolites. While the Anderson types were mostly incorporated in the pores of NaY zeolite. The degradation efficiency of DB1 dye on the samples was 43% and 28% after one min. of reaction time then reached to



Figure 5. Effect of different Anderson-M³⁺/zeolites on degradation of DB1 dye in (a) absence and (b) presence of UV irradiation.

Sample	k, min ⁻¹	Sample	k, min ⁻¹	Sample	k, min ⁻¹
AlMo-Mord	0.11653	AlMo-Y	0.03521	AlMo-ZSM-5	0.08389
CrMo-Mord.	0.0509	CrMo-Y	0.01295	CrMo-ZSM-5	0.05356
FeMo-Mord	0.24055	FeMo-Y	0.06461	FeMo-ZSM-5	0.13955
AlMo-Mord	0.13707	AlMo-Y	0.28090	AlMo-ZSM-5	0.10406
CrMo-Mord.	0.06011	CrMo-Y	0.0384	CrMo-ZSM-5	0.06331
FeMo-Mord	0.25507	FeMo-Y	0.0725	FeMo-ZSM-5	0.16213
	Sample AlMo-Mord CrMo-Mord. FeMo-Mord AlMo-Mord CrMo-Mord. FeMo-Mord	Sample k, min ⁻¹ AlMo-Mord 0.11653 CrMo-Mord. 0.0509 FeMo-Mord 0.24055 AlMo-Mord 0.13707 CrMo-Mord. 0.06011 FeMo-Mord 0.25507	Samplek, min ⁻¹ SampleAlMo-Mord0.11653AlMo-YCrMo-Mord.0.0509CrMo-YFeMo-Mord0.24055FeMo-YAlMo-Mord0.13707AlMo-YCrMo-Mord.0.06011CrMo-YFeMo-Mord0.25507FeMo-Y	Sample k, min ⁻¹ Sample k, min ⁻¹ AlMo-Mord 0.11653 AlMo-Y 0.03521 CrMo-Mord. 0.0509 CrMo-Y 0.01295 FeMo-Mord 0.24055 FeMo-Y 0.06461 AlMo-Mord 0.13707 AlMo-Y 0.28090 CrMo-Mord. 0.06011 CrMo-Y 0.0384 FeMo-Mord 0.25507 FeMo-Y 0.0725	Sample k, min ⁻¹ Sample k, min ⁻¹ Sample AlMo-Mord 0.11653 AlMo-Y 0.03521 AlMo-ZSM-5 CrMo-Mord 0.0509 CrMo-Y 0.01295 CrMo-ZSM-5 FeMo-Mord 0.24055 FeMo-Y 0.06461 FeMo-ZSM-5 AlMo-Mord 0.13707 AlMo-Y 0.28090 AlMo-ZSM-5 CrMo-Mord 0.06011 CrMo-Y 0.0384 CrMo-ZSM-5 FeMo-Mord 0.25507 FeMo-Y 0.0725 FeMo-ZSM-5

Table 4. Kinetics rate of the dye removal using the catalytic oxidation in the absence and presence of UV irradiation.

80% and 41% after 15 minutes in absence of UV irradiation, respectively. However, in the presence of UV irradiation over FeMo-Y and CrMo-Y, the degradation efficiency increased to 13% after one minute, since it was 56% and 40.5% after one minute and reached to 92% and 81% after 30 minutes, respectively. The observed decrease in pH from 6.4 to 3 after the mixing of a dye solution with M^{n+} Mo-zeolite catalysts may be due to the formation of M-O bond results from the coordination combination of dissolved metal ions with water molecules. Furthermore, the dye molecule releases four sulphonate groups which lead to a decrease of pH value in the reaction course [31] [32].

4. Conclusion

The present work comprises the preparation of a trivalent heteropolyacids supported on NaY, ZSM-5 and mordenite zeolites. The $M^{n+}Mo$ -zeolite samples have a similar structure to that of parent zeolites with a decrease of the crystallinity and intensities. The formed new phases for MoO₃, Fe₂(MoO₃)₃ and Al₂(MoO₄)₃

that have been shown in XRD were confirmed by FTIR spectra. Some differences in surface texture properties are shown in sample zeolites lowering in surface area, pore widening values as a result of the enforced location of metal oxides in the pores of supported samples, thus, increasing the calculated values of mean pore radii than those of the parent zeolites. The degradation of DB1 dye was slightly higher than in the dark due to the fact that the catalysts have relatively high energy gab. The marked decrease in pH during the process of the degradation was expected to be due to the interaction among M-O and OH that release hydrogen ions. Furthermore, the ionization of dye sulphonic acid groups also contributes to the pH drop. On the other hand, it's clear that the modified Y zeolite exhibits the lowest efficiency which might be due to the lowering of surface area following the modification process. The degradation efficiency of DB1 dye was in the range of 28% - 43% after one minute of the reaction and reached to 80% after 15 minutes in absence of UV, while it was 56% and 40% after one minute, and then reached to 92% and 81% after 30 minutes in presence of UV irradiation.

Conflicts of Interest

The author declares no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

References

- Wang, E.B., Hu, C.W. and Xu, L. (1998) Concise of Polyoxometalate Chemistry. Chemical Industry Press, Beijing.
- [2] Boussema, F., et al. (2018) Dawson-Type Polyoxometalate Nanoclusters Confined in a Carbon Nanotube Matrix as Efficient Redox Mediators for Enzymatic Glucose Biofuel Cell Anodes and Glucose Biosensors. Biosensors and Bioelectronics, 109, 20-26. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bios.2018.02.060</u>
- [3] Zhou, L., Wang, L., Cao, Y., Diao, Y., Yan, R. and Zhang, S. (2017) The States and Effects of Copper in Keggin-Type Heteropolyoxometalate Catalysts on Oxidation of Methacrolein to Methacrylic Acid. *Molecular Catalysis*, 438, 47-54. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mcat.2017.04.031</u>
- [4] Song, Y.-F. and Tsunashima, R. (2012) Recent Advances on Polyoxometalate-Based Molecular and Composite Materials. *Chemical Society Reviews*, **41**, 7384-7402. <u>https://doi.org/10.1039/c2cs35143a</u>
- [5] Pope, M.T. and Müller, A. (1991) Polyoxometalate Chemistry: An Old Field with New Dimensions in Several Disciplines. *Angewandte Chemie International Edition in English*, **30**, 34-48. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.199100341</u>
- [6] Katsoulis, D.E. (1998) A Survey of Applications of Polyoxometalates. *Chemical Reviews*, 98, 359-388. <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/cr960398a</u>
- Kozhevnikov, I.V. (1998) Catalysis by Heteropoly Acids and Multicomponent Polyoxometalates in Liquid-Phase Reactions. *Chemical Reviews*, 98, 171-198. <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/cr960400y</u>
- [8] Lü, H., et al. (2017) Synthesis of a Hybrid Anderson-Type Polyoxometalate in Deep Eutectic Solvents (DESs) for Deep Desulphurization of Model Diesel in Ionic Liquids (ILs). Chemical Engineering Journal, 313, 1004-1009.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2016.10.140

- [9] Omwoma, S., Gore, C.T., Ji, Y., Hu, C. and Song, Y.-F. (2015) Environmentally Benign Polyoxometalate Materials. *Coordination Chemistry Reviews*, 286, 17-29. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ccr.2014.11.013
- [10] Carey, J.H. (1992) An Introduction to Advanced Oxidation Processes (AOP) for Destruction of Organics in Wastewater. *Water Pollution Research Journal of Canada*, 27, 1-22.
- [11] Legrini, O., Oliveros, E. and Braun, A.M. (1993) Photochemical Processes for Water Treatment. *Chemical Reviews*, 93, 671-698. <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/cr00018a003</u>
- [12] Wang, S.-S. and Yang, G.-Y. (2015) Recent Advances in Polyoxometalate-Catalyzed Reactions. *Chemical Reviews*, **115**, 4893-4962. <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/cr500390v</u>
- Foussard, J.-N., Debellefontaine, H. and Besombes-Vailhe, J. (1989) Efficient Elimination of Organic Liquid Wastes: Wet Air Oxidation. *Journal of Environmental Engineering*, 115, 367-385. https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)0733-9372(1989)115:2(367)
- [14] Pintar, A. and Levec, J. (1992) Catalytic Oxidation of Organics in Aqueous Solutions: I. Kinetics of Phenol Oxidation. *Journal of Catalysis*, 135, 345-357. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/0021-9517(92)90038-J</u>
- [15] Mantzavinos, D., Hellenbrand, R., Livingston, A.G. and Metcalfe, I.S. (1997) Reaction Mechanisms and Kinetics of Chemical Pretreatment of Bioresistant Organic Molecules by Wet Air Oxidation. *Water Science & Technology*, **35**, 119-127. https://doi.org/10.2166/wst.1997.0100
- [16] Anandan, S., Ryu, S.Y., Cho, W. and Yoon, M. (2003) Heteropolytungstic Acid (H3PW12O40)—Encapsulated into the Titanium-Exchanged HY (TiHY) Zeolite: A Novel Photocatalyst for Photoreduction of Methyl Orange. *Journal of Molecular Catalysis A: Chemical*, **195**, 201-208. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1381-1169(02)00571-X
- [17] Dutta, P.K. and Kim, Y. (2003) Photochemical Processes in Zeolites: New Developments. *Current Opinion in Solid State & Materials Science*, 7, 483-490. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cossms.2004.02.004</u>
- [18] Dubey, N., Rayalu, S.S., Labhsetwar, N.K., Naidu, R.R., Chatti, R.V. and Devotta, S. (2006) Photocatalytic Properties of Zeolite-Based Materials for the Photoreduction of Methyl Orange. *Applied Catalysis A: General*, **303**, 152-157.
- [19] Nomiya, K., Takahashi, T., Shirai, T. and Miwa, M. (1987) Anderson-Type Heteropolyanions of Molybdenum (VI) and Tungsten (VI). *Polyhedron*, 6, 213-218. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0277-5387(00)80791-3
- [20] Scherrer, P. (1918) Estimation of the Size and Internal Structure of Colloidal Particles by Means of Röntgen. Nachrichten von der Gesellschaft der Wissenschaften zu Göttingen, 2, 96-100.
- [21] Brunauer, S., Emmett, P.H. and Teller, E. (1938) Adsorption of Gases in Multimolecular Layers. *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, **60**, 309-319. https://doi.org/10.1021/ja01269a023
- [22] De Boer, J.H., Linsen, B.G. and Osinga, T.J. (1965) Studies on Pore Systems in Catalysts: VI. The Universal T Curve. *Journal of Catalysis*, 4, 643-648. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/0021-9517(65)90263-0</u>
- Brunauer, S., Emmett, P.H. and Teller, E. (1938) Adsorption of Gases in Multimolecular Layers. *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, **60**, 309-319. <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/ja01269a023</u>

- [24] Hadjiivanov, K. and Knözinger, H. (1999) FTIR Study of the Low-Temperature Adsorption and Co-Adsorption of CO and N₂ on NaY Zeolite: Evidence of Simultaneous Coordination of Two Molecules to One Na⁺ Site. *Chemical Physics Letters*, **303**, 513-520. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0009-2614(99)00229-8</u>
- [25] Rao, L.F., Fukuoka, A., Kosugi, N., Kuroda, H. and Ichikawa, M. (1990) Characterization of NaY-Entrapped Hexadecacarbonylhexarhodium Cluster by FTIR and EXAFS Spectroscopies and the Catalytic Behavior in Carbon-13 Monoxide Isotopic Exchange Reaction. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry*, **94**, 5317-5327. https://doi.org/10.1021/j100376a029
- [26] Madsen, C., Madsen, C. and Jacobsen, C.J.H. (1999) Nanosized Zeolite Crystals—Convenient Control of Crystal Size Distribution by Confined Space Synthesis. *Chemical Communications*, 673-674. <u>https://doi.org/10.1039/a901228a</u>
- [27] Flanigen, M. and Sand, L.B. (1971) Molecular Sieve Zeolites I. Vol. 101. <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/ba-1971-0102</u>
- [28] Zecchina, A., et al. (1996) FTIR Investigation of the Formation of Neutral and Ionic Hydrogen-Bonded Complexes by Interaction of H-ZSM-5 and H-Mordenite with CH₃CN and H₂O: Comparison with the H-NAFION Superacidic System. The Journal of Physical Chemistry, **100**, 16584-16599. <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/jp960433h</u>
- [29] Korkuna, O., Leboda, R., Skubiszewska-Zie, J., Vrublevs'Ka, T., Gun'Ko, V.M. and Ryczkowski, J. (2006) Structural and Physicochemical Properties of Natural Zeolites: Clinoptilolite and Mordenite. *Microporous and Mesoporous Materials*, 87, 243-254. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micromeso.2005.08.002</u>
- [30] Bevilacqua, M., Alejandre, A.G., Resini, C., Casagrande, M. and Ramirez, J. (2002) An FTIR Study of the Accessibility of the Protonic Sites of H-Mordenites. *Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics*, 4, 4575-4583. <u>https://doi.org/10.1039/b201886a</u>
- [31] Cavani, F. (1998) Heteropolycompound-Based Catalysts: A Blend of Acid and Oxidizing Properties. *Catalysis Today*, **41**, 73-86. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0920-5861(98)00039-X
- [32] Chen, Y., Sun, Z., Yang, Y. and Ke, Q. (2001) Heterogeneous Photocatalytic Oxidation of Polyvinyl Alcohol in Water. *Journal of Photochemistry and Photobiology A: Chemistry*, 142, 85-89. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S1010-6030(01)00477-4</u>