Uniqueness of Meromorphic Functions with Their Nonlinear Differential Polynomials Share a Small Function

Harina P. Waghamore, Tanuja Adaviswamy

Department of Mathematics, Bangalore University, Bangalore, India E-mail: pree.tam@rediffmail.com, a.tanuja1@gmail.com Received August 22, 2011; revised September 27, 2011; accepted October 2, 2011

Abstract

In this paper we deal with the uniqueness of meromorphic functions when two nonlinear differential polynomials generated by two meromorphic functions share a small function. We consider the case for some general differential polynomials $[f^n P(f) f']$ where P(f) is a polynomial which generalize some result due to Abhijit Banerjee and Sonali Mukherjee [1].

Keywords: Entire Functions, Meromorphic Functions, Nonlinear Differential Polynomials, Uniqueness

1. Introduction

In this paper, we use the standard notations and terms in the value distribution theory [2]. For any nonconstant meromorphic function f(z) on the complex plane C, we denote by S(r, f) any quantity satisfying S(r, f)= $o\{T(r, f)\}$, as $r \to +\infty$, except possibly for a set of r of finite linear measures. A meromorphic function a(z) is called a small function with respect to f(z) if

T(r,a) = S(r, f). Let S(f) be the set of meromorphic function in the complex plane C which are small functions with respect to *f*. Set

 $E(a(z), f) = \{z : f(z) - a(z) = 0\}, a(z) \in S(f)$, where a zero point with multiplicity m is counted m times in the set. If these zero points are only counted once, then we denote the set by $\overline{E}(a, f)$. Let k be a(z) a positive integer. Set

$$E_{k}(a(z), f) = \{z: f(z) - a(z) = 0, \exists i, 1 \le i \le k, st., f^{(i)}(z) - a^{(i)}(z) \ne 0\}$$

where a zero point with multiplicity m is counted m times in the set.

Let f(z) and g(z) be two transcendental meromorphic functions, $a(z) \in S(f) \cap S(g)$. If E(a(z), f) = E(a(z), g), then we say that f(z) and g(z) share the value a(z)CM, especially, we say that f(z) and g(z) have the same fixed points when a(z) = z. If $\overline{E}(a, f) = \overline{E}(a, g)$, then we say that f(z) and g(z) share the a(z) IM. If

 $E_{k}(a(z), f) = E_{k}(a(z), g)$, we say that f(z) - a and g(z) - a have same zeros with the same multiplicities

 $\leq k$.

Moreover, we also use the following notations.

We denote by $N_{k}(r, f)$ the counting function for poles of f(z) with multiplicities $\leq k$, and by $\overline{N}_{k}(r, f)$ the corresponding one for which the multiplicity is not counted. Let $N_{(k}(r, f)$ be the counting function for poles of f(z) with multiplicities $\geq k$, and let $\overline{N}_{(k}(r, f)$ be the corresponding one for which the multiplicity is not counted. Set

$$N_{k}(r, f) = \overline{N}(r, f) + \overline{N}_{2}(r, f) + \dots + \overline{N}_{k}(r, f).$$

Similarly, we have the notations

$$N_{k}\left(r,\frac{1}{f}\right),\overline{N}_{k}\left(r,\frac{1}{f}\right),N_{k}\left(r,\frac{1}{f}\right),\overline{N}_{k}\left(r,\frac{1}{f}\right),\overline{N}_{k}\left(r,\frac{1}{f}\right),N_{k}\left(r,\frac{1}{f}\right).$$

Let f(z) and g(z) be two nonconstant meromorphic functions and $\overline{E}(1, f) = \overline{E}(1, g)$. We denote by

 $\overline{N}_L\left(r,\frac{1}{f-1}\right)$ the counting function for 1-points of both f(z) and g(z) about which f(z) has larger multiplicity than g(z), with multiplicity is not being counted, and denote by $N_{11}\left(r,\frac{1}{f-1}\right)$ the counting function for common simple 1-points of both f(z) and g(z) where

multiplicity is not counted. Similarly, we have the nota-

tion
$$\overline{N}_L\left(r,\frac{1}{\left(g-1\right)}\right)$$

In 2002 Fang and Fang [3] and in 2004 Lin-Yi [4] in-



4) If

dependently proved the following result.

Theorem A ([3,4]). Let *f* and *g* be two nonconstant meromorphic functions and $n \ge 13$ be an integer. If $f^n (f-1)^2 f'$ and $g^n (g-1)^2 g'$ share 1 CM, then $f \equiv g$.

In 2004 Lin-Yi [5] improved Theorem A by generalizing it in view of fixed point. Lin-Yi [5] proved the following result.

Theorem B ([5]). Let *f* and *g* be two transcendental meromorphic functions and $n(\ge 13)$ be an integer. If $f^n(f-1)^2 f'$ and $g^n(g-1)^2 g'$ share *z* CM, then $f \equiv g$.

With the notion of weighted sharing of value recently the first author [6] improved Theorem A as follows.

Theorem C ([6]). Let f and g be two nonconstant meromorphic functions and n > p

 $\begin{bmatrix} 12 - 2\Theta(\infty; f) - 2\Theta(\infty; g) - \min\{\Theta(\infty; f), \Theta(\infty; g)\} \end{bmatrix},$ is an integer. If $f^n (f-1)^2 f'$ and $g^n (g-1)^2 g'$ share "(1,2)" then $f \equiv g$.

In the mean time Lahiri and Sarkar [7] also studied the uniqueness of meromorphic functions corresponding to nonlinear differential polynomials which are different from that of previously mentioned and proved the following.

Theorem D ([7]). Let f and g be two nonconstant meromorphic functions such that $f^n(f-1)^2 f'$ and $g^n(g-1)^2 g'$ share "(1,2)", where $n(\ge 13)$ is an integer then either $f \equiv g$ or $f \equiv -g$. If n is an even integer then the possibility of $f \equiv -g$ does not arise.

In 2008, Banerjee and Murkherjee [1] proved the following theorem.

Theorem E ([1]). Let *f* and *g* be two transcendental meromorphic functions such that $f^n(af^2 + bf + c)f'$ and $g^n(ag^2 + bg + c)g'$ where $a \neq 0$ and $|b| + |c| \neq 0$ share " $(\alpha, 2)$ ". Then the following holds:

1) If $b \neq 0, c = 0$ and $n > \max\left[12-2\Theta(\infty; f)-2\Theta(\infty; g)-\min\left\{\Theta(\infty; f), \Theta(\infty; g)\right\}, \frac{4}{\Theta(\infty; f)+\Theta(\infty; g)}-2\right]$ be an integer, where $\Theta(\infty; f)+\Theta(\infty; g)>0$, then $f \equiv g$. 2) If $b \neq 0, c \neq 0$ and $n > \max\left[12-2\Theta(\infty; f)-2\Theta(\infty; g)\right]$

$$> \max \left[12 - 2\Theta(\infty; f) - 2\Theta(\infty; g) \right]$$
$$- \min \left\{ \Theta(\infty; f), \Theta(\infty; g) \right\}$$

the roots of the equation $az^2 + bz + c = 0$ are distinct and one of f and g is nonentire meromorphic function having only multiple poles, then $f \equiv g$.

3) If
$$b \neq 0, c \neq 0$$
 and
 $n > \max \left[12 - 2\Theta(\infty; f) - 2\Theta(\infty; g) - \min \left\{ \Theta(\infty; f), \Theta(\infty; g) \right\} \right]$

and the roots of the equation $az^2 + bz + c = 0$ coincides, then $f \equiv g$.

$$b = 0, \ c \neq 0 \text{ and}$$
$$n > \max \left[12 - 2\Theta(\infty; f) - 2\Theta(\infty; g) - \min \left\{ \Theta(\infty; f), \Theta(\infty; g) \right\} \right]$$

then either $f \equiv g$ or $f \equiv -g$. If *n* is an even integer then the possibility $f \equiv -g$ does not arise.

Here, we obtain unicity theorem when $[f^n P(f)f']$ and $[g^n P(g)g']$ share a small function.

Theorem 1. Let f and g be two transcendental meromorphic functions. Let

 $P(f) = a_m f^m + a_{m-1} f^{m-1} + \ldots + a_1 f + a_0, (a_m \neq 0)$, and $a_i (i = 0, 1, \cdots, m)$ is the first nonzero coefficient from the right, and n, m, k be a positive integer with

$$n > \lfloor m+10-2\Theta(\infty; f) - 2\Theta(\infty; g) -\min \{\Theta(\infty; f); \Theta(\infty; g)\}].$$

If $[f^n P(f)f']$ and $[g^n P(g)g']$ share " $(\alpha, 2)$ " then $f = g.$

2. Lemmas

In this section we present some lemmas which will be needed in the sequel. Let f, g, F_1 , G_1 be four nonconstant meromorphic functions. Henceforth we shall denote by h and H the following two functions.

$$h = \left(\frac{f''}{f'} - \frac{2f'}{f-1}\right) - \left(\frac{g''}{g'} - \frac{2g'}{g-1}\right)$$

and
$$H = \left(\frac{F_1''}{F_1'} - \frac{2F_1'}{F_1-1}\right) - \left(\frac{G_1''}{G_1'} - \frac{2G_1'}{G_1-1}\right)$$

Lemma 2.1. ([1]) If for a positive integer *k*,

 $N_k(r,0;f'|f \neq 0)$ denotes the counting function of those zeros of f' which are not the zeros of f, where a zero of f' with multiplicity m is counted m times if $m \leq k$ and k times if m > k then

$$N_{k}\left(r,0;f'\big|f\neq0\right) \leq \overline{N}\left(r,0;f\right) + \overline{N}\left(r,\infty;f\right)$$
$$-\sum_{p=k+1}^{\infty} \overline{N}\left(r,0;\frac{f'}{f}\big|\geq p\right) + S\left(r,f\right)$$

Lemma 2.2. ([1]) Let f, g be share "(1, 2)" and $h \neq 0$. Then

$$T(r, f) \le N_{2}(r, 0; f) + N_{2}(r, \infty; f) + N_{2}(r, 0; g) + N_{2}(r, \infty; g) - \sum_{3}^{\infty} \overline{N}\left(r, 0; \frac{g'}{g} \ge p\right) + S(r, f) + S(r, g).$$

Copyright © 2011 SciRes.

Lemma 2.3. ([8]) Let *f* be a nonconstant meromorphic function and $P(f) = a_0 + a_1 f + \dots + a_n f^n$, where a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n are constants and $a_n \neq 0$. Then

$$T(r,P(f)) = nT(r,f) + S(r,f).$$

Lemma 2.4. Let $F_1 = \frac{f^n P(f) f'}{\alpha}$ and $G_1 = \frac{g^n P(g) g'}{\alpha}$,

where $\alpha (\neq 0, \infty)$ is a small function of f and g. Then $S(r, F_1) = S(r, f)$ and $S(r, G_1) = S(r, g)$.

Proof. Using Lemma 2.3 we see that

$$T(r, F_1) \le (n+m)T(r, f) + T(r, f') + S(r, f)$$

= (n+m+2)T(r, f) + S(r, f).

And

$$(n+m)T(r,f) = T(r,f^{n}P(f)) + O(1)$$

$$\leq T(r,F_{1}) + T(r,f') + S(r,f),$$

that is, $T(r, F_1) \ge (n + m - 2)T(r, f) + S(r, f)$. Hence $S(r, F_1) = S(r, f)$.

In the same way we can prove $S(r,G_1) = S(r,g)$. This proves the Lemma.

Lemma 2.5. ([9]) If $h \equiv 0$ and

$$\lim_{r\to\infty}\sup\frac{\bar{N}(r,0;f)+\bar{N}(r,\infty;f)+\bar{N}(r,0;g)+\bar{N}(r,\infty;g)}{T(r)} < 1,$$

 $r \in I$ then $f \equiv g$ or $fg \equiv 1$.

Lemma 2.6. Let f, g be two nonconstant meromorphic functions. Then

$$f^{n}P(f)f'g^{n}P(g)g'\neq\alpha^{2},$$

where $n + m (\geq 6)$ is an integer.

Proof. Let

$$f^{n}P(f)f'g^{n}P(g)g' \equiv \alpha^{2}.$$
 (2.1)

Let z_0 be a 1-point of f with multiplicity $p(\ge 1)$. Then z_0 is a pole of g with multiplicity $q(\ge 1)$ such that np + p - 1 = nq + q + mq + 1, *i.e.*,

$$mq + 2 = (n+1)(p-q)$$
 (2.2)

From (2.2) we get $q \ge \frac{n-1}{m}$ and again from (2.2) we

obtain

$$p \ge \frac{1}{n+1} \left[\frac{(n+m+1)(n-1)}{m} + 2 \right] = \frac{n+m-1}{m}.$$

Let z_1 be a zero of P(f) with multiplicity $p_1(\ge 1)$. Then z_1 is a pole of g with multiplicity $q_1(\ge 1)$, say. So from (2.1) we get

$$2p_1 - 1 = (n + m + 1)q + 1 \ge (n + m + 2)$$

Copyright © 2011 SciRes.

i.e.,
$$p_1 \ge \frac{(n+m+3)}{2}$$
.

Since a pole of f is either a zero of $g^n P(g)$ or a zero of g', we have

$$N(r,\infty;f) \le N(r,0;g) + N(r,0;g^{m}) + N_{0}(r,0;g') + S(r,f) + S(r,g) \overline{N}(r,\infty;f) \le \frac{m}{n+m-1} N(r,0;g) + \frac{2}{n+m+3} N(r,0;g^{m}) + \overline{N}_{0}(r,0;g') + S(r,f) + S(r,g) \le \left(\frac{m}{n+m-1} + \frac{2m}{n+m+3}\right) T(r,g) + \overline{N}_{0}(r,0;g') + S(r,f) + S(r,g),$$

where $\overline{N}_0(r, 0; g')$ denotes the reduced counting function of those zeros of g' which are not the zeros of g P(g).

As $P(f) = a_m f^m + a_{m-1} f^{m-1} + \dots + a_1 f + a_0$ where a_m, a_{m-1}, \dots, a_0 are *m* distinct complex numbers. Then by second fundamental theorem of Nevanlinna we get

$$mT(r,f) \leq \overline{N}(r,\infty;f) + \overline{N}(r,0;f) - \overline{N}_{0}(r,0;f') + \sum_{j=1}^{m} \overline{N}(r,a_{j};f) + S(r,f) \leq \overline{N}(r,0;f) + \overline{N}(r,\infty;f) + \overline{N}(r,a;f^{m}) - \overline{N}_{0}(r,0;f') + S(r,f) \qquad (2.3)$$
$$\leq \left(\frac{m}{n+m-1} + \frac{2m}{n+m+3}\right) \{T(r,g) + T(r,f)\} + \overline{N}(r,0;g') - \overline{N}_{0}(r,0;f') + S(r,g).$$

Similarly, we have

$$mT(r,g) \leq \left(\frac{m}{n+m-1} + \frac{2m}{n+m+3}\right) \{T(r,g) + T(r,f)\} + \overline{N}_0(r,0;f') - \overline{N}_0(r,0;g') + S(r,f) + S(r,g).$$
(2.4)

Adding (2.3) and (2.4) we obtain

$$\left(1 - \frac{2}{n+m-1} - \frac{4}{n+m+3}\right) \{T(r,g) + T(r,f)\}$$

 $\leq S(r,f) + S(r,g)$

which is a contradiction. This proves the Lemma.

Lemma 2.7. Let f and g be two transcendental meromorphic function and

$$F = f^{n+1} \left[\frac{a_m}{m+n+1} f^m + \frac{a_{m-1}}{m+n} f^{m-1} + \dots + \frac{a_0}{n+1} \right]$$
$$G = g^{n+1} \left[\frac{a_m}{m+n+1} g^m + \frac{a_{m-1}}{m+n} g^{m-1} + \dots + \frac{a_0}{n+1} \right]$$

AM

where n(>m+2) is an integer. Then $F \equiv G'$ implies that $F \equiv G$.

Proof. Let $F \equiv G'$, then $F \equiv G + c$ where c is a constant. Let $c \neq 0$. Then by second fundamental theorem we get

$$T(r,F) \leq \overline{N}(r,\infty;F) + \overline{N}(r,0;F) + \overline{N}(r,c;F) + S(r,F)$$

$$\leq \overline{N}(r,\infty;f) + \overline{N}(r,0;f) + \overline{N}\left(r,\frac{a_m}{m+n+1};f^m\right)$$

$$+\overline{N}(r,0;g) + \overline{N}\left(r,\frac{a_m}{m+n+1};g^m\right) + S(r,f)$$

$$\leq 2T(r,f) + mT(r,f) + T(r,g) + mT(r,g) + S(r,f).$$
Hence we get
$$(m+n+1)T(r,f) \leq (2+m)T(r,f)$$
(2.5)

$$(2.5) + (m+1)T(r,f) \le (2+m)T(r,f) + (m+1)T(r,g) + S(r,f).$$

Similarly, we have

$$(m+n+1)T(r,g) \le (2+m)T(r,g) +(m+1)T(r,f) + S(r,g).$$
 (2.6)

Adding (2.5) and (2.6) we obtain

$$(m+n+1)\{T(r,f)+T(r,g)\} \le (3+2m)T(r,f) +(3+2m)T(r,g)+S(r,f)+S(r,g)$$

i.e., $(n-m-2)\{T(r, f)+T(r, g)\} \le S(r, f)+S(r, g)$. which is a contradiction. So c = 0 and the Lemma is proved.

Lemma 2.8. ([10]) Let f be a nonconstant meromorphic function. Then

$$N(r,0;f^k) \leq k\overline{N}(r,\infty;f) + N(r,0;f) + S(r,f).$$

Lemma 2.9. Let F and G be given as in Lemma 2.7 and F_1 , G_1 be given by Lemma 2.4. Then

1)
$$T(r,F) \le T(r,F_1) + N(r,0;f) + N(r,b_1;f) + \cdots$$

+ $N(r,b_m;f) - N(r,c_1;f) - \cdots$
- $N(r,c_m;f) - N(r,0;f') + S(r,f)$
2) $T(r,G) \le T(r,G_1) + N(r,0;g) + N(r,b_1;g) + \cdots$
+ $N(r,b_m;g) - N(r,c_1;g) - \cdots$
- $N(r,c_m;g) - N(r,0;g') + S(r,g)$

where b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m are roots of the algebraic equation

$$\frac{a_m}{m+n+1} z^m + \frac{a_{m-1}}{m+n} z^{m-1} + \dots + \frac{a_0}{n+1} = 0$$

and c_1, c_2, \dots, c_m are roots of the algebraic equation

$$a_m z^m + a_{m-1} z^{m-1} + \dots + a_0 = 0.$$

Proof. By the Nevanlinna's first fundamental theorem and Lemmas 2.3 we obtain

$$T(r,F) = T\left(r,\frac{1}{F}\right) + O(1)$$

= $N(r,0;F) + m\left(r,\frac{1}{F}\right) + O(1)$
 $\leq N(r,0;F) + m\left(r,\frac{F'}{F}\right) + m(r,0;F') + O(1)$
= $T(r,F') + N(r,0;F) - N(r,0;F') + S(r,F)$
 $\leq T(r,F_1) + N(r,0;f) + N(r,b_1;f) + \dots + N(r,b_m;f)$
 $-N(r,c_1;f) - \dots - N(r,c_m;f) - N(r,0;f') + S(r,f).$
Similarly, we have
 $T(r,G) \leq T(r,G_1) + N(r,0;g) + N(r,b_1;g) + \dots$
 $+ N(r,b_m;g) - N(r,c_1;g) - N(r,c_2;g) - \dots$
 $-N(r,c_m;g) - N(r,0;g') + S(r,g)$

where b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m are roots of the algebraic equation

$$\frac{a_m}{m+n+1}z^m + \frac{a_{m-1}}{m+n}z^{m-1} + \dots + \frac{a_0}{n+1} = 0$$

and c_1, c_2, \dots, c_m are roots of the algebraic equation

$$a_m z^m + a_{m-1} z^{m-1} + \dots + a_0 = 0.$$

This proves the Lemma.

3. Proofs of the Theorems

Proof of Theorem 1. Let F, G be defined as in Lemma 2.7 and F_1 and G_1 be defined as in Lemma 2.4. Then it follows that F' and G' share " $(\alpha, 2)$ " and hence F_1 and G_1 share " $(\alpha, 2)$ ". Suppose $H \neq 0$. Then by Lemma 2.2, 2.4 and (2.3) we get

$$T(r, F_{1}) \leq N_{2}(r, 0; F_{1}) + N_{2}(r, \infty; F_{1}) + N_{2}(r, 0; G_{1}) + N_{2}(r, \infty; G_{1}) + S(r, f) + S(r, g) \leq 2\overline{N}(r, 0; f) + 2\overline{N}(r, \infty; f) + N(r, c_{1}; f) + \cdots + N(r, c_{m}; f) + N(r, 0; f') + 2\overline{N}(r, 0; g) + 2\overline{N}(r, \infty; g) + N(r, c_{1}; g) + \cdots + N(r, c_{m}; g) + N(r, 0; g') + S(r, f) + S(r, g).$$
(3.1)

Now from Lemma 2.3, 2.8 and 2.9 we can obtain from (3.1) for $\varepsilon(>0)$

$$\begin{aligned} &(m+n+1)T(r,f) \\ &\leq 2\bar{N}(r,0;f) + 2\bar{N}(r,\infty;f) + mT(r,f) \\ &+ N(r,0;f) + 2\bar{N}(r,0;g) + 2\bar{N}(r,\infty;g) \\ &+ mT(r,g) + N(r,0;g') \\ &\leq (m+3) [T(r,f) + T(r,g)] + 2\bar{N}(r,\infty;f) \\ &+ 3\bar{N}(r,0;g) + S(r,f) + S(r,g). \end{aligned}$$

$$(3.2)$$

Copyright © 2011 SciRes.

(3.5)

$$(m+n+1)T(r,f) \le (2m+11-3\Theta(\infty;g)-2\Theta(\infty;f)+2\varepsilon)T(r)+S(r)$$

In a similar manner we can obtain

$$(m+n+1)T(r,g) \le (2m+11-3\Theta(\infty;f)-2\Theta(\infty;g)+2\varepsilon)T(r)+S(r).$$
(3.3)

From (3.2) and (3.3) we get

$$\begin{bmatrix} n - m - 10 + 2\Theta(\infty; f) + 2\Theta(\infty; g) \\ + \min \{\Theta(\infty; f); \Theta(\infty; g)\} - 2\varepsilon \end{bmatrix} T(r)$$
(3.4)
 $\leq S(r).$

Since $\varepsilon(>0)$ is arbitrary, (3.4) implies a contradiction. Hence $H \equiv 0$. Since for $\varepsilon(>0)$ we have

$$N(r,0;f') \leq T(r,f') - m(r,1/f')$$

$$\leq m(r,f) + N(r,\infty;f) + \overline{N}(r,\infty;f) - m(r,1/f')$$

$$+S(r,f)$$

$$\leq (2 - \Theta(\infty;f) + \varepsilon)T(r,f) - m(r,1/f') + S(r,f).$$

We note that

$$\begin{split} &\bar{N}(r,\infty;F_{1}) + \bar{N}(r,0;F_{1}) + \bar{N}(r,\infty;G_{1}) + \bar{N}(r,0;G_{1}) \\ &\leq \bar{N}(r,0;f) + \bar{N}(r,c_{1};f) + \dots + \bar{N}(r,c_{m};f) \\ &+ \bar{N}(r,\infty;f) + \bar{N}(r,0;f') + \bar{N}(r,0;g) \\ &+ \bar{N}(r,c_{1};g) + \dots + \bar{N}(r,c_{m};g) + \bar{N}(r,\infty;g) + \bar{N}(r,0;g'). \\ &\leq (2m+8-2\Theta(\infty;f)-2\Theta(\infty;g)+2\varepsilon)T(r) \\ &- m(r,0;f') - m(r,0;g') + S(r). \end{split}$$

Also using Lemma 2.3 we get

$$T(r,F')+m(r,1/f') = m(r,f^{n}P(f)f')+m(r,1/f')$$

+N(r,\infty; f^{n}P(f)f')
$$\geq m(r,f^{n}P(f))+N(r,\infty; f^{n}P(f))$$

=T(r,f^{n}P(f))=(n+m)T(r,f)+O(1).
(3.6)

Similarly

$$T(r,G') + m(r,1/g') \ge (n+m)T(r,g) + O(1).$$
 (3.7)

From (3.6) and (3.7) we get

$$\max\{T(r, F_1), T(r, G_1)\} \ge (n+m)T(r) - m(r, 1/f'). (3.8)$$

By (3.5) and (3.8) applying Lemma 2.5 we get either $F_1 \equiv G_1$ or $F_1G_1 \equiv 1$.

Now from Lemma 2.6 it follows that $F_1G_1 \neq 1$. Again $F_1 \equiv G_1$ implies $F' \equiv G'$. So from Lemma 2.7 the theorem follows.

4. Acknowledgements

Research work of the first author is supported by Bangalore University, Bangalore under the project U.O. No.: DEV:D2:YRB-BUIRF:2010-11.

5. References

- A. Banerjee and S. Mukherjee, "Nonlinear Differential Polynomials Sharing a Small Function," *Archivum Mathematicum*, Vol. 44, No. 1, 2008, pp. 41-56.
- [2] L. Yang, "Value Distribution Theory," Springer, Berlin, Germany, 1993.
- [3] C.-Y. Fang and M.-L. Fang, "Uniqueness of Meromor-Phic Functions and Differential Polynomials," *Computers* and Mathematics with Applications, Vol. 44, No. 5-6, 2002, pp. 607-617. <u>doi:10.1016/S0898-1221(02)00175-X</u>
- [4] W.-C. Lin and H.-X. Yi, "Uniqueness Theorems for Meromorphic Functions," *Indian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, Vol. 35, No. 2, 2004, pp. 121-132.
- [5] W.-C. Lin and H.-X. Yi, "Uniqueness Theorems for Meromorphic Functions Concerning Fixed Points," *Complex Variables: Theory and Applications*, Vol. 49, No. 11, 2004, pp. 793-806.
- [6] A. Banerjee, "On Uniqueness for Nonlinear Differential Polynomials Sharing the Same 1-Point," *Annales Polonici Mathematici*, Vol. 89, No. 3, 2006, pp. 259-272. doi:10.4064/ap89-3-3
- [7] I. Lahiri and A. Sarkar, "Nonlinear Differential Polyno-Mials Sharing 1-Points with Weight Two," *Chinese Journal Contemporary Mathematics*, Vol. 25, No. 3, 2004, pp. 325-334.
- [8] C.-C. Yang, "On Deficiences of Differential Polynomials II," *Mathematische Zeitschrift*, Vol. 125, No. 2, 1972, pp. 107-112. doi:10.1007/BF01110921
- [9] H.-X. Yi, "Meromorphic Functions that Share One or Two Values," *Complex Variables: Theory and Applications*, Vol. 28, No. 1. 1995, pp. 1-11.
- [10] H.-X. Yi, "Uniqueness of Meromorphic Functions and a Question of C. C. Yang," *Complex Variables: Theory and Applications*, Vol. 14, No. 14, 1990, pp. 169-176.