

# Multilocus Sequence Analysis of Root Nodule Bacteria Associated with *Lupinus* spp. and *Glycine max*

## Dilshan H. Beligala, Helen J. Michaels, Michael Devries, Vipaporn Phuntumart\*

Department of Biological Sciences, Bowling Green State University, Bowling Green, OH, USA Email: \*vphuntu@bgsu.edu

How to cite this paper: Beligala, D.H., Michaels, H.J., Devries, M. and Phuntumart, V. (2017) Multilocus Sequence Analysis of Root Nodule Bacteria Associated with *Lupinus* spp. and *Glycine max. Advances in Microbiology*, **7**, 790-812. https://doi.org/10.4236/aim.2017.711063

Received: October 27, 2017 Accepted: November 27, 2017 Published: November 30, 2017

Copyright © 2017 by authors and Scientific Research Publishing Inc. This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution International License (CC BY 4.0). http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

CC ① Open Access

## Abstract

Lupinus is known to form endophytic associations with both nodulating and non-nodulating bacteria. In this study, multilocus sequence analysis (MLSA) was used to analyze phylogenetic relationships among root nodule bacteria associated with Lupinus and soybean. Out of 17bacterial strains analyzed, 13 strains isolated from root nodules of Lupinus spp. were obtained from the National Rhizobium Germplasm Resource Collection, USDA. Additionally, two strains of root-nodule bacteria isolated each from native Lupinus and domestic soybean were examined. Sequences of the 16S rRNA gene and three housekeeping genes (atpD, dnaK and glnII) were used. All the reference genes were retrieved from the existing complete genome sequences only. The clustering of 12 of the strains was consistent among single and concatenated gene trees, but not USDA strains 3044, 3048, 3504, 3715, and 3060. According to the concatenated phylogeny, we suggest that USDA 3040, 3042, 3044, 3048, 3051, 3060, 3504, 3709 and 3715 are Bradyrhizobium, USDA 3063 and 3717 are Mesorhizobium, USDA 3043 is Burkholderia and USDA 3057a is Microvirga. The two strains isolated from native lupines in this study are Burkholderia and Rhizobium, whereas the two from domestic soybean are Bradyrhizobium. This study emphasizes the robustness of MLSA, the diversity of bacterial species that are capable of nodulating lupine and the substantial capability of Burkholderia spp. to colonize lupine root nodules.

## **Keywords**

Multilocus Sequence Analysis, Nodule Bacteria, Phylogeny, Lupinus, Burkholderia

## **1. Introduction**

The plant family Fabaceae or Leguminosae is considered the third largest family

of flowering plants and is well known for its important ecological function in fixing atmospheric nitrogen. It is comprised of three subfamilies Caesalpinioideae, Mimosoideae and Faboideae. Within the Genisteae tribe of the legume subfamily Faboideae, the genus Lupinus or lupine encompasses more than 280 species of annual herbs and perennial herbaceous and woody shrubs distributed mainly in South and Western North America, the Andes, the Mediterranean regions and Africa [1]. Because of this ability to establish symbiotic associations with bacteria that can fix atmospheric nitrogen in root nodules, members of the genus Lupinus thrive in nutrient poor soils. The rhizobial requirement of Lupinus has been thought to be somewhat specific, with literature indicating that lupines are mainly nodulated by soil bacteria classified in the genus Bradyrhizobium, although some other rhizobial and endophytic genera nodulating lupines have been identified as Mesorhizobium, Rhizobium, Microvirga, Paenibacillus, Micromonospora, Bosea, Ochrobactrum and Cohnella [2]-[14]. In addition, members of the genus Burkholderia (in class Betaproteobacteria) are known as endophytic bacteria in lupine [15] and considered the major inhabitants of white lupine cluster roots [16].

Previously, the 16S rRNA gene sequence was most commonly used in bacterial phylogenetic studies because of its slow mutation rate due to functional importance. The 16S rRNA sequence is genus specific and hence provides genus identification in more than 90% of the cases [17] [18]. However, there are some drawbacks associated with the use of 16S rRNA gene sequences such as the presence of mosaicism in the 16S rRNA gene due to horizontal gene transfer and recombination events, the presence of multiple copies of the rRNA operon and the low resolution of closely related species [2]. Multilocus sequence analysis (MLSA), which combines analysis of several conserved housekeeping genes [19], provides improved discriminatory power over the use of single locus sequence and thus, it has been increasingly used in phylogenetic analysis of prokaryotes [20] [21] [22] [23] [24]. For the genus *Bradyrhizobium*, a database for the taxonomic and phylogenetic identification using MLSA is available online at http://mlsa.cnpso.embrapa.br [25].

In this study, we analyzed 17 bacterial strains, of which 13 had been isolated from root nodules of *Lupinus* spp. from different geographic locations at various times (kindly provided by the National Rhizobium Germplasm Resource Collection, USDA). Additionally, two samples each from root nodules of native Sundial lupine (*Lupinus perennis*) and domestic soybean (*Glycine max*) were isolated from plants grown in OH, USA. We applied MLSA to assess the genetic diversity and phylogenetic relationships of these strains using sequence analysis of the 16S rRNA gene and three conserved housekeeping genes (*atpD*, *dnaK* and *glnII*). These housekeeping genes have been widely used in phylogenetic analyses due to their sequence and functional conservation. Additionally, there are a large number of sequences available in the databases. The *atpD* gene encodes the beta subunit of ATP synthase that produces ATP from ADP in the presence of a pro-

ton gradient across the membrane [26] [27]. The *dnaK* gene encodes the DnaK protein, which functions as a molecular chaperone responsible for various cellular processes, such as folding of nascent polypeptides, assembly and disassembly of protein complexes, protein degradation and membrane translocation of secreted proteins [2] [4]. The *glnII* gene encodes glutamine synthetase II which catalyzes the condensation of glutamate and ammonia to form glutamine [21] [28] [29] [30]. Our study is distinct because all the reference gene sequences were extracted from the existing complete genomes that are available via the Integrated Microbial Genomes database [31]. The phylogenetic tree based on the concatenated sequences comparing the 17 strains with 30 reference strains suggests that MLSA provides improved taxonomic relationships of these bacterial strains.

## 2. Materials and Methods

## 2.1. Bacterial Strains

A total of 17 strains from at least ten geographic locations were examined in this study (**Table 1**), including USA, Yugoslavia and Brazil; 13 strains were obtained from the National Rhizobium Germplasm Resource Collection, USDA. Two strains each were isolated from nodules of locally grown (Bowling Green, OH,

Table 1. Bacterial strains.
-----------------------------

Strain	Host	Origin, collected time	Suggested identification	
USDA 3040	L. albus	FL, USA, 1940	Bradyrhizobium	
USDA 3042	L. albus	Yugoslavia, 1955	Bradyrhizobium	
USDA 3051	L. angustifolius	GA, USA, 1946	Bradyrhizobium	
USDA 3063	L. densiflorus	CA, USA, 1962	<sup>b</sup> Mesorhizobium	
USDA 3044	L. luteus	FL, USA, 1946	Bradyrhizobium	
USDA 3048	L. luteus	Brazil, 1959	Bradyrhizobium	
USDA 3504	L. mutabilis	Unknown	Bradyrhizobium	
USDA 3715	L. nanus	CA, USA, 1922	Bradyrhizobium	
USDA 3043	L. perennis	MD, USA, 1941	<sup>b</sup> Burkholderia	
USDA 3709	L. polyphyllus	<sup>a</sup> Nitragin, unknown location, 1945	Bradyrhizobium	
USDA 3057a	L. subcarnosus	<sup>a</sup> Nitragin, FL, USA, 1946	<sup>b</sup> Microvirga	
USDA 3717	L. succulentus	CA, USA, 1973	Mesorhizobium	
USDA 3060	L. spp	<sup>a</sup> Nitragin, unknown	Bradyrhizobium	
L_OO	L. perennis	Kitty Todd Nature Preserve, Swanton, OH, 2014 (this study)	Burkholderia	
L_3D52	L. perennis	Bowling Green, OH, 2014 (this study)	Rhizobium	
SB_J	Glycine max	Bowling Green, OH, 2014 (this study)	Bradyrhizobium	
SB_5	Glycine max	Bowling Green, OH, 2014 (this study)	Bradyrhizobium	

<sup>a</sup>Seed company; <sup>b</sup>Previously reported as *Bradyrhizobium*.

USA) *Lupinus perennis* and *Glycine max*. The USDA strains had been collected between 1922-73, while the nodules from native lupine and domestic soybean were collected in October 2014 and July 2014, respectively. To isolate bacteria from root nodules, the collected nodules were surface sterilized by the method described by Deng [32], with some modifications. Briefly, the nodules were washed with sterile distilled water three times for 30 sec, soaked in 10% Clorox for 30 sec, followed by rinsing with distilled water for 30 sec, then with 70% ethanol for 10 min, after which they were rinsed three times with sterile distilled water. A sterile glass rod was used to crush root nodules from each sample followed by streaking the suspension onto modified arabinose gluconate medium [33] with a sterile inoculating loop. The cultures were incubated at  $30^{\circ}$ C. Initial verification was conducted by colony morphology and Gram stain. All the strains were maintained at  $4^{\circ}$ C for temporary storage and in 20% glycerol at  $-80^{\circ}$ C for long term storage.

## 2.2. DNA Extraction, PCR and Sequencing

Total genomic DNA of bacterial strains was extracted using ZR Fungal/Bacterial DNA MiniPrep<sup>™</sup> kit (Zymo research, CA) following the manufacturer's recommendations. The 16S rRNA gene and housekeeping genes (*atpD*, *dnaK* and *glnII*) were amplified using primers and PCR conditions [2] [3] [9] [21] [34] [35] listed in **Table 2**. The PCR products were purified using the Qiagen MinE-lute PCR Purification kit (QIAGEN, Germany) and were quantified using a NanoDrop 2000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, PA). Sequencing of the PCR amplicons was conducted by DNA Analysis LLC (Cincinnati, OH).

## 2.3. Phylogenetic Analyses

Multiple nucleotide sequence alignments were generated using MUSCLE version 3.5 [36]. The sequences of three housekeeping genes *atpD*, *dnaK* and *glnII* were concatenated using Sequence Matrix version 1.0 [37]. The phylogenies of each gene and the concatenated sequences were constructed by the maximum-likelihood method using MEGA version 6.06 [38], with default parameters. Statistical support for tree nodes was determined by bootstrap analysis of 1000 replicates. We used MEGA version 6.06 for identification of conserved, variable and parsimony informative regions of consensus sequences (Table 3).

For phylogenetic analyses, the sequences encoding 16S rRNA and three housekeeping genes of 30 reference strains representing *Bradyrhizobium*, *Burkholderia*, *Mesorhizobium*, *Microvirga*, *Rhizobium* and *Rhodopseudomonas* genera were extracted from the existing complete genomes available via the Integrated Microbial Genomes database of the Joint Genome Institute (<u>http://img.jgi.doe.gov</u>) [31] and the GenBank database of the NCBI (<u>http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov</u>) [39]. Sequences of *Campylobacter jejuni* NCTC 11168 and *Helicobacter pylori* OK113 were used as outgroups. Accession information of all the sequences used in this study is listed in **Table 4**.

Gene	Primer	Sequence (5'-3')	PCR annealing temperature (°C)	Length of PCR amplicon (bp)	Reference
16S rRNA	fD1	AGAGTTTGATCCTGGCTCAG	55	1485	[3]
	rD1	CTTAAGGAGGTGATCCAGCC			
atpD	TSatpDf	TCTGGTCCGYGGCCAGGAAG	58	595	[3]
	TSatpDr	CGACACTTCCGARCCSGCCTG			
	MVatpDf <sup>a</sup>	GGCCGCATYATGAACGTSAT	52	526	This study
	MVatpDr <sup>a</sup>	GGGTGAAGCGGAAGATGTTG			
	atpD-F <sup>b</sup>	TCGGCGCCGTCGTSGAC	54	1315	[35]
	atpD-R <sup>b</sup>	RGCTTCCGGCAGRTKRTCGTA			
dnaK	BRdnaKf	TTCGACATCGACGCSAACGG	58	474	[21]
	BRdnaKr	GCCTGCTGCKTGTACATGGC			
	BUdnaKf <sup>d</sup>	GTSTAYGAYCTSGGCGGCGG	58	900	[34]
	BUdnaKr <sup>d</sup>	GAASGTSACYTCGATCTGCGG			
	dnaK forward <sup>a</sup>	GAGATCGGCGACGGCGTGTTC	56	746	[9]
	<i>dnaK</i> reverse <sup>a</sup>	GATGCGGATCTGSTGCTCCTTG			
	TSdnaK3 <sup>e</sup>	AAGGAGCAGCAGATCCGCATCCA	58	330	[2]
	TSdnaK2 <sup>e</sup>	GTACATGGCCTCGCCGAGCTTCA			
	dnaK-RS-F <sup>c</sup>	CACSACGATCCCGACSAA	54	650	[35]
	dnaK-RS-R <sup>c</sup>	TCGTAGTCGGCRTCGACSAC			
glnII	TSglnIIf	AAGCTCGAGTACATCTGGCTCGACGG	57	647	[3]
	TSglnIIr	SGAGCCGTTCCAGTCGGTGTCG			
	MVglnIIfª	GGAYTCCAACGATCAGCTYT	52	528	This study
	MVglnIIr <sup>a</sup>	TGBGCGAGRATCTTGGTGTA			

#### Table 2. Primers and PCR conditions.

Primers used for: <sup>a</sup>USDA 3057a, <sup>b</sup>L\_3D52, <sup>c</sup>USDA 3043 and L\_3D52, <sup>d</sup>L\_OO, <sup>e</sup>USDA 3063, USDA 3715 and USDA 3717.

Table 3. Sequence information. 17 (16S rRNA, *dnaK*), 15 (*glnII*) and 14 (*atpD*) strains were analyzed, together with 30 reference strains.

Logue	Strains analyzed (n)	Nucleotides (%)			Totalt	Enclose as $T/C/A/C$ (0/)	
Locus		Conserved	Variable	Parsimony-informative	TOtal	Frequency 1/C/A/G (%)	
16S rRNA	47	688 (39.6)	918 (52.9)	612 (35.2)	1289.1/1734	19.9/23.6/24.5/32	
atpD	44	676 (41.5)	939 (57.7)	704 (43.2)	1175.5/1627	16.9/33.1/19.4/30.6	
dnaK	47	836 (39.8)	1165 (55.5)	935 (44.5)	1372/2099	14.4/30.8/23.3/31.5	
glnII	45	401 (24)	1190 (71.3)	1031 (61.8)	957.1/1667	17.9/32.3/20.5/29.3	
Concatenated housekeeping	47	1913 (35.4)	3294 (61)	2670 (49.5)	3388.8/5393	16.2/32/21.3/30.6	

\*Mean number of nucleotides amplified/number of sites analyzed, including gaps.

Table 4. Accession numbers of sequences of the reference strains.

Currai un	Strain -	Accession no./Integrated Microbial Genomes database Gene ID			
Species		16S rRNA	atpD	glnII	dnaK
Bradyrhizobium diazoefficiens	USDA 110	NR_074322.1ª	NC_004463.1ª	NC_004463.1ª	NC_004463.1ª
Bradyrhizobium daqingense	CGMCC 1.10947	2596849087 <sup>b</sup>	2596843584 <sup>b</sup>	2596844579 <sup>b</sup>	2596843831 <sup>b</sup>
Bradyrhizobium elkanii	WSM1741	2513694649 <sup>b</sup>	2513695301 <sup>b</sup>	2513692556 <sup>b</sup>	2513695064 <sup>b</sup>
Bradyrhizobium yuanmingense	CGMCC 1.3531	2596918164 <sup>b</sup>	2596919055 <sup>b</sup>	2596920471 <sup>b</sup>	2596918860 <sup>b</sup>
Bradyrhizobium huanghuaihaiense	CGMCC 1.10948	2596982279 <sup>b</sup>	2596975684 <sup>b</sup>	2596979525 <sup>b</sup>	2596975428 <sup>b</sup>
Bradyrhizobium japonicum	USDA 6	2511997030 <sup>b</sup>	2511995921 <sup>b</sup>	2513662812 <sup>b</sup>	2511996162 <sup>b</sup>
Mesorhizobium loti	MAFF303099	2596918164 <sup>b</sup>	637076488 <sup>b</sup>	637073590 <sup>b</sup>	NC_002678.2 <sup>a</sup>
Mesorhizobium ciceri	WSM1271	NC_014923 <sup>a</sup>	649871049 <sup>b</sup>	649873526 <sup>b</sup>	649870594 <sup>b</sup>
Mesorhizobium australicum	WSM2073	2509394613 <sup>b</sup>	2509394154 <sup>b</sup>	2509397135 <sup>b</sup>	2509393630 <sup>b</sup>
Mesorhizobium metallidurans	STM 2683	2601505225 <sup>b</sup>	2601504724 <sup>b</sup>	2601506641 <sup>b</sup>	2601504159 <sup>b</sup>
Mesorhizobium opportunistum	WSM2075	2503199683 <sup>b</sup>	2503199217 <sup>b</sup>	2503202630 <sup>b</sup>	2503198743 <sup>b</sup>
Rhodopseudomonas palustris	DX-1	649839171 <sup>b</sup>	649837789 <sup>b</sup>	649841704 <sup>b</sup>	649837619 <sup>b</sup>
Rhizobium tropici	CIAT_899	NR_102511.1 <sup>a</sup>	2524421805 <sup>b</sup>	2524419063 <sup>b</sup>	2524418614 <sup>b</sup>
Rhizobium multihospitium	HAMBI 2975	2616551835 <sup>b</sup>	2616557675 <sup>b</sup>	2616553289 <sup>b</sup>	2616551680 <sup>b</sup>
Rhizobium giardinii	H152T	2514002513 <sup>b</sup>	2513998581 <sup>b</sup>	2513996665 <sup>b</sup>	2514001597 <sup>b</sup>
Rhizobium alamii	YR540	2585227592 <sup>b</sup>	2585223835 <sup>b</sup>	2585221953 <sup>b</sup>	2585223201 <sup>b</sup>
Rhizobium loessense	CGMCC 1.3401	2596901882 <sup>b</sup>	2596899519 <sup>b</sup>	2596896711 <sup>b</sup>	2596896745 <sup>b</sup>
Rhizobium mongolense	USDA 1844	2513930752 <sup>b</sup>	2513928522 <sup>b</sup>	2513924297 <sup>b</sup>	2513924262 <sup>b</sup>
Rhizobium mesoamericanum	STM3625	2537420238 <sup>b</sup>	2537420370 <sup>b</sup>	2537421941 <sup>b</sup>	2537416751 <sup>b</sup>
Rhizobium etli	CFN 42	640437097 <sup>b</sup>	640440878 <sup>b</sup>	640440115 <sup>b</sup>	640437182 <sup>b</sup>
Rhizobium leguminosarum	CCGE 510	2530650189 <sup>b</sup>	2530647440 <sup>b</sup>	2530646549 <sup>b</sup>	2530648392 <sup>b</sup>
Rhizobium rhizogenes	CF262	2587978724 <sup>b</sup>	2530647440 <sup>b</sup>	2587979059 <sup>b</sup>	2587978481 <sup>b</sup>
Burkholderia phymatum	STM815	NR_074668.1ª	642594831 <sup>b</sup>	642593265 <sup>b</sup>	642594287 <sup>b</sup>
Burkholderia mimosarum	NBRC 106338	2600796967 <sup>b</sup>	2600790698 <sup>b</sup>	2600791842 <sup>b</sup>	2600789814 <sup>b</sup>
Burkholderia tuberum	STM678	2512348064 <sup>b</sup>	2512346960 <sup>b</sup>	2512345484 <sup>b</sup>	2512347522 <sup>b</sup>
Burkholderia xenovorans	LB400	2607186426 <sup>b</sup>	637949601 <sup>b</sup>	2607184451 <sup>b</sup>	637945690 <sup>b</sup>
Burkholderia pseudomallei	K96243	640705518 <sup>b</sup>	637569407 <sup>b</sup>	637568300 <sup>b</sup>	637568821 <sup>b</sup>
Microvirga lupini	Lut6	2508725373 <sup>b</sup>	2508734351 <sup>b</sup>	2508728833 <sup>b</sup>	2508727620 <sup>b</sup>
Microvirga lotononidis	WSM3557	2509076004 <sup>b</sup>	2509079259 <sup>b</sup>	2509075706 <sup>b</sup>	2509077502 <sup>b</sup>
Microvirga guangxiensis	CGMCC 1.7666	2596960782 <sup>b</sup>	2596957129 <sup>b</sup>	2596959864 <sup>b</sup>	2596957490 <sup>b</sup>
Campylobacter jejuni	NCTC 11168	2608345134 <sup>b</sup>	2608345210 <sup>b</sup>	2608345793 <sup>b</sup>	2608345849 <sup>b</sup>
Helicobacter pylori	OK113	2598008753 <sup>b</sup>	2598009033 <sup>b</sup>	2598009653 <sup>b</sup>	2598010026 <sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Gene sequences from NCBI GenBank database. <sup>b</sup>Gene sequences from Integrated Microbial Genomes database, United States Department of Energy.

## 3. Results

## 3.1. Phylogeny Based on the 16S rRNA Sequence

PCR amplification of the 16S rRNA gene yielded a single amplicon for each strain ranging in size from 880 bp to 1600 bp. The estimated mean frequencies of T, C, A, and G nucleotides within this region were 19.9%, 23.6%, 24.5%, and 32%, respectively, as shown in **Table 3**. The consensus 16S rRNA sequence of all 47 strains spanned 1734 positions, out of which 688 were conserved, 918 were variable and 612 were parsimony-informative. A position was considered parsimony-informative if it contained at least two types of nucleotides, and at least two of them occurred with a minimum frequency of two.

The phylogenetic tree constructed with 16S rRNA gene sequences was fairly well resolved and split the strains into two clades: one large group and one relatively smaller group (Groups I and II, **Figure 1**). Group I, with a bootstrap support of 98%, was further divided into two subgroups that clustered strains primarily belonging to the genera of *Bradyrhizobium* and *Microvirga* (clades 1 and 2), or *Mesorhizobium* and *Rhizobium* genera (clades 3 and 4) with 96%, 38%, 87%, and 94% bootstrap support, respectively. USDA strains 3040, 3709, 3042 and 3051 together with SB\_J (soybean) and SB\_5 (soybean) were clustered with *Bradyrhizobium*, whereas USDA strains 3057a, 3048, 3060, 3715 and 3044 were placed with *Microvirga*. USDA strains 3717 and 3063 were grouped with *Mesorhizobium* whereas L\_3D52 (lupine) was grouped with *Rhizobium*. The second smaller group of the 16S rRNA gene tree contained a subgroup with 99% bootstrap support, comprised of five reference strains of *Burkholderia*, USDA 3504, USDA 3043 and L\_OO (lupine).

## 3.2. Phylogenies Based on Housekeeping Gene Sequences

The three housekeeping genes selected for this study are highly conserved among bacteria of the order Rhizobiales. The mean lengths of the fragments of *atpD*, *dnaK*, and *glnII* genes used in phylogenetic analysis were 1175 bp, 1372 bp, and 957 bp, respectively (**Table 3**). When sequence conservation at the DNA level was considered, the lowest level of conservation (24%) was observed with *glnII*, while sequence conservation of *atpD* and *dnaK* genes was 41.5% and 39.8%, respectively.

In general, the maximum-likelihood phylogenetic trees of the housekeeping genes (Figures 2-4) were similar to that of the 16S rRNA gene. While most of the clustering pattern was consistent among the single gene trees, there were some exceptions. These exceptions include USDA strains 3044, 3048, 3715 and 3060, which grouped with *Microvirga* in the 16S rRNA gene tree, but clustered with *Bradyrhizobium* in all three housekeeping gene trees as shown in Figures 2-4. Furthermore, USDA strain 3504, which was grouped closer to *Burkholderia* in the 16S rRNA gene tree, was clustered with *Rhizobium* in the *glnII* gene tree (Figure 4) but with *Bradyrhizobium* in the *atpD* and *dnaK* gene trees (Figure 2 and Figure 3).



**Figure 1.** Maximum-likelihood phylogenetic tree showing the relationships of 49 strains based on the 16S rRNA gene sequences. Strains examined in the present study are shown in boldface. Clades I and II correspond to the two main groups identified and Arabic numbers represent the subclades. Bootstrap values  $\geq$  50% (1000 replicates) are given at the branching points. The scale bar indicates the number of substitutions per site. *Campylobacter jejuni* NCTC 11168 and *Helicobacter pylori* OK 113 were used as outgroups. Phylogenetic analyses were conducted using MEGA version 6.06.



0.05

**Figure 2.** Maximum-likelihood phylogenetic trees showing the relationships of 46 strains based on the *atpD* gene sequences. Strains examined in the present study are shown in boldface. Clades I and II correspond to the two main groups identified and Arabic numbers represent the subclades. Bootstrap values  $\geq$  50% (1000 replicates) are given at the branching points. The scale bar indicates the number of substitutions per site. *Campylobacter jejuni* NCTC 11168 and *Helicobacter pylori* OK 113 were used as outgroups. Phylogenetic analyses were conducted using MEGA version 6.06.



**Figure 3.** Maximum-likelihood phylogenetic trees showing the relationships of 49 strains based on the *dnaK* gene sequences. Strains examined in the present study are shown in boldface. Clades I and II correspond to the two main groups identified and Arabic numbers represent the subclades. Bootstrap values  $\geq$  50% (1000 replicates) are given at the branching points. The scale bar indicates the number of substitutions per site. *Campylobacter jejuni* NCTC 11168 and *Helicobacter pylori* OK 113 were used as outgroups. Phylogenetic analyses were conducted using MEGA version 6.06.



**Figure 4.** Maximum-likelihood phylogenetic trees showing the relationships of 47 strains based on the *glnII* gene sequences. Strains examined in the present study are shown in boldface. Clades I and II correspond to the two main groups identified and Arabic numbers represent the subclades. Bootstrap values  $\geq$  50% (1000 replicates) are given at the branching points. The scale bar indicates the number of substitutions per site. *Campylobacter jejuni* NCTC 11168 and *Helicobacter pylori* OK 113 were used as outgroups. Phylogenetic analyses were conducted using MEGA version 6.06.

## 3.3. Phylogeny Based on Concatenated *atpD*, *dnaK* and *glnII* Sequences

When the three housekeeping genes, *atpD*, *dnaK* and *glnII*, were used to generate a concatenated phylogenetic tree, a consensus sequence of 3388 bp was produced; of the 5393 positions analyzed, 35.4% was conserved, 61% variable and 49.5% parsimony-informative. The calculated mean frequencies of T, C, A, and G nucleotides were 16.2%, 32%, 21.3%, and 30.6%, respectively (**Table 3**).

Two groups were resolved in the concatenated tree; one large group and one relatively smaller group (**Figure 5**) as found in the 16s rRNA tree. Both groups had a bootstrap support of 84%. The larger group contained four subgroups, each of which included reference strains of *Bradyrhizobium*, *Microvirga*, *Mesorhizobium*, and *Rhizobium* genera with 87%, 100%, 97%, and 100% bootstrap support, respectively. USDA strains 3040, 3709, 3042, 3044, 3048, 3060, 3504, 3715 and 3051 from *Lupinus* together with SB\_J and SB\_5 from *Glycine max* were placed with *Bradyrhizobium*, whereas only USDA strain 3057a grouped with *Microvirga*. USDA strains 3717 and 3063 were placed with *Mesorhizobium* whereas L\_3D52 was included in the subgroup containing *Rhizobium*. The second smaller group of the concatenated gene tree comprised five reference strains of *Burkholderia*, USDA 3043 and L\_OO (**Figure 5**).

When the grouping patterns were compared, the concatenated gene tree was more like the trees generated from each of the single housekeeping genes (Figures 2-4) than to the one generated from 16S rRNA gene (Figure 1). The clustering of most strains was consistent with the single gene trees and the concatenated gene tree with few exceptions. For example, the USDA 3504 strain grouped with *Burkholderia* when only the 16S rRNA gene was used, and with *Rhizobium* in *glnII* gene analyses; however, it was classified with *Bradyrhizobium* both when *atpD* alone and concatenated sequences were used. Other exceptions include strains USDA 3044, 3048, 3715 and 3060 that were grouped with *Microvirga* in the 16S rRNA gene tree, but with *Rhizobium* when *glnII* was analyzed. These strains clustered with *Bradyrhizobium* in the *atpD*, *dnaK* and concatenated trees.

## 4. Discussion

DNA sequence analysis of evolutionarily stable marker genes is commonly used for the identification and classification of bacterial species [40]. The past two decades, microbiologists have primarily relied on 16S rRNA gene sequences for bacterial classification because of conservation of its ribosomal operon size, nucleotide sequence and secondary structure within a bacterial species [41]. However, drawbacks associated with the use of 16S rRNA gene sequences have limited its applicability for bacterial phylogenetic analyses [23]. Recent studies suggest the possibility of occurrence of horizontal gene transfer and recombination events within the 16S rRNA gene [42] [43], including reports of horizontal gene transfers and mosaic-like structures within the 16S rRNA gene in bacterial



**Figure 5.** Maximum-likelihood phylogenetic tree showing the relationships of 49 strains based on the concatenated sequences of *atpD*, *dnaK* and *glnII* genes. Strains examined in the present study are shown in boldface. Clades I and II correspond to the two main groups identified and Arabic numbers represent the subclades. Bootstrap values  $\geq$  50% (1000 replicates) are given at the branching points. The scale bar indicates the number of substitutions per site. *Campylobacter jejuni* NCTC 11168 and *Helicobacter pylori* OK 113 were used as outgroups. Phylogenetic analyses were conducted using MEGA version 6.06.

genera such as *Rhizobium, Aeromonas, Bradyrhizobium, Streptococcus* and *Actinomycetes* [44] [45] [46] [47]. It has been also reported that the polymorphic nucleotide distribution pattern within the 16S rRNA sequence is highly variable among different species. This could lead to phylogenies that are inconsistent with other genes as evident in causing uncertain phylogenetic placement of *Rhizobium galegae* [48]. Therefore, phylogenetic analysis of rhizobial species based on the analysis of partial 16S rRNA gene sequences may lead to distorted phylogenies and misidentification, because it may represent only a part of the mosaiclike structure [22] [23].

The presence of multiple copies of the rRNA operon and intra-genomic heterogeneity is another factor that makes 16S rRNA gene an imperfect choice for phylogenetic analysis. The copy number of the rRNA genes can vary from one to 15 within a single bacterial genome [49]. Although these multiple copies are mostly identical or nearly identical, there are reports of divergent copies within a single genome [50]. When Rhizobia are considered, the slow growing *Bradyrhizobium* strains have only a single copy of 16S rRNA gene, whereas the faster growing *Rhizobium* spp. possess three copies [51]. Copy number variations and potential of horizontal gene transfer events limit the use of 16S rRNA gene sequence in taxonomy as well as in MLSA [52]. In addition, high degree of conservation and sequence similarity among the species of the genus *Bradyrhizobium* have been reported [53]. All these facts signify that the 16S rRNA sequence is an inferior candidate for phylogenetic inference of rhizobia and emphasize the importance of employing alternative, multi-locus strategies.

For MLSA, we selected a set of housekeeping genes based on the sequence variability among the particular species of bacteria. The housekeeping genes *atpD*, *dnaJ*, *dnaK*, *gap*, *glnA*, *glnII*, *gltA*, *gyrB*, *pnp*, *recA*, *rpoA*, *rpoB* and *thrC* have been used in MLSA of rhizobial species [52]. It is also important to determine the number of housekeeping genes that should be used for MLSA. In general, three or more housekeeping genes have been commonly used in MLSA approach for the inference of phylogenetic relationships of rhizobia [2] [3] [20] [54]-[64]. In this study, we used three housekeeping genes, *atpD*, *dnaK* and *glnII*, to achieve a good resolution. Since concatenated sequences can yield more accurate phylogenetic trees than consensus of separate gene phylogenies even for sequences with different substitution patterns [65], concatenated sequences of the three housekeeping genes were used in phylogenetic analysis.

Out of the 13 USDA strains, 10 strains (USDA 3040, 3042, 3043, 3044, 3048, 3051, 3057a, 3060, 3063 and 3709) have been previously reported as *Bradyrhizo-bium* [66] [67] [68], USDA 3717 has been classified as *Mesorhizobium* [2], while USDA 3504 and 3715 have not been previously studied. Our MLSA research showed that seven strains (USDA 3040, 3042, 3044, 3048, 3051, 3060, and 3709) are *Bradyrhizobium*, confirming the previous reports. The strain USDA 3051, previously identified as *Rhizobium lupini*, was recently reclassified by Peix [69] as *Bradyrhizobium lupini* based on MLSA of 16S rRNA, *recA* and *glnII* gene se-

quences. Consistent with the latter report, we identified USDA 3051 as *Bradyrhizobium*. We used the same approach to analyze two of the same marker genes (16S rRNA and *glnII*) with two additional housekeeping genes (*dnaK* and *atpD*) to support this classification. According to the topologies of both 16S rRNA and concatenated gene phylogenies (**Figure 1** and **Figure 5**), the strains USDA 3043, 3057a, and 3063 grouped with *Burkholderia, Microvirga*, and *Mesorhizobium*, respectively. Thus, we recommend to reclassify these three strains, which were previously reported as *Bradyrhizobium* [68]. The USDA 3717 was classified as *Mesorhizobium* in this study, in agreement with previous identification [2]. To our knowledge, this is the first study to identify USDA 3504 and 3715 and we propose to classify them as *Bradyrhizobium* (**Table 1**).

Of the strains obtained from locally grown plants (OH, USA), the two soybean strains (SB\_J and SB\_5), were identified as *Bradyrhizobium*, whereas the new lupine strains, L\_OO and L\_3D52 were identified as *Burkholderia* and *Rhizobium*, respectively. These identifications are consistent among all trees obtained from 16S rRNA, single housekeeping and concatenated gene phylogenies. No relationships between the geographical origins and the patterns of gene sequences were apparent in this study, most likely due to this small sample and its limited geographic origins.

Although members of the genus *Burkholderia* are reported as endophytes of lupines [15] [16], there is a lack of evidence about them forming nodules. However, some species of *Burkholderia* such as *B. phymatum*, *B. tuberum*, *B. vietnamiensis* and *B. cepacia* are known to effectively nodulate certain other important legumes including common bean and fix nitrogen [6] [15] [70]-[75]. These nodulating *Burkholderia* species contain *nod* and *nif* genes that are very similar to those of alphaproteobacteria, suggesting a common origin [76]. In this MLSA study, the two strains USDA 3043 and L\_OO, both of which were isolated from *L. perennis*, were identified as *Burkholderia*.

The sequences of *atpD* and *glnII* genes of USDA 3043 and L\_OO could not be amplified after several attempts using primers specific for rhizobial species listed in **Table 2**. Since both these strains were identified as *Burkholderia* with the 16S rRNA and *dnaK* gene phylogenies, we developed *Burkholderia*-specific primers for the *glnII* gene. For the *atpD* gene, primers specific for *Burkholderia* by Estrada-De Los Santos [77] were employed. In addition, the *atpD* gene of the strain SB\_J, which was identified as *Bradyrhizobium* in all the other trees, could not be amplified. There is compelling evidence of intragenic recombination in the *atpD* gene, which might be the underlying reason behind the inability to amplify this gene in USDA 3043, L\_OO and SB\_J even when using genus-specific primers [54] [78] [79] [80]. Furthermore, it has been recommended that the *atpD* gene should be used with caution in studying the phylogeny of bacteria belonging to the genus *Bradyrhizobium* [21].

Among the single housekeeping gene trees and the concatenated gene tree, the evidence producing a phylogeny that was incongruent with others was the *glnII* 

gene tree, in which the sequences from USDA 3504 clustered with *Rhizobium*. The *glnII* gene sequence also harbored the greatest variability (71.3%, **Table 3**). This high genetic heterogeneity could be attributed to genetic recombination within the *glnII* gene [79]. In addition, the two outgroups used in this study placed close to the cluster of *Burkholderia* in both 16S rRNA and the *glnII* gene trees, further suggesting the possibility of genetic recombination (**Figure 1** and **Figure 4**).

## **5.** Conclusion

In conclusion, this study employed MLSA of concatenated housekeeping genes are further authentication for this approach as a more robust method for phylogenetic analysis of rhizobia over the analysis of 16S rRNA gene sequences alone [19] [52]. According to the phylogeny of the concatenated dataset, we propose that USDA strains 3063, 3717, 3043 and 3057a should be considered for reclassification. Also, despite evidence that lupines are mainly nodulated by members of the genus *Bradyrhizobium* [3], the two strains isolated from lupines in this study were shown to be *Burkholderia* sp. and *Rhizobium* sp. However, additional studies are required to confirm symbiotic nodulation, especially of *Burkholderia* spp., by 1) re-inoculation on lupine, 2) sequencing of symbiosis-essential genes such as *nod* and *nif*, and 3) testing nitrogen fixation ability by culturing on a N-free semisolid medium such as BAz medium and an acetylene reduction activity assay [70] [72].

## Acknowledgements

This research was funded in part by the Center for Undergraduate Research Scholarship at Bowling Green State University and by the United States Department of Agriculture National Institute of Food and Agriculture (USDA-NIFA) Agriculture and Food Research Initiative Oomycete-Soybean CAP (award 2011-68004-30104). We thank Patrick Elia, the National Rhizobium Germplasm Resource Collection, USDA, for providing bacterial strains and a protocol for growing and maintaining the cultures. We are also grateful to Jacob Sublett for assistance with sample collections, Gayathri Beligala for help with maintaining cultures, Madushanka Manathunga for computer technical support, and Drs. Michael E. Geusz and Paul F. Morris for comments that greatly improved the manuscript.

## References

- [1] Duran, D., Rey, L., Sanchez-Canizares, C., Navarro, A., Imperial, J. and Ruiz-Argueso, T. (2013) Genetic Diversity of Indigenous Rhizobial Symbionts of the *Lupinus mariae-josephae* Endemism from Alkaline-Limed Soils within Its Area of Distribution in Eastern Spain. *Systematic and Applied Microbiology*, **36**, 128-136. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.syapm.2012.10.008
- [2] Stępkowski, T., Czaplińska, M., Miedzinska, K. and Moulin, L. (2003) The Variable Part of the *dnaK* Gene as an Alternative Marker for Phylogenetic Studies of Rhizo-

bia and Related Alpha Proteobacteria. *Systematic and Applied Microbiology*, **26**, 483-494. https://doi.org/10.1078/072320203770865765

- [3] Stepkowski, T., Moulin, L., Krzyzanska, A., McInnes, A., Law, I.J. and Howieson, J. (2005) European Origin of *Bradyrhizobium* Populations Infecting Lupins and Serradella in Soils of Western Australia and South Africa. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, **71**, 7041-7052. <u>https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.71.11.7041-7052.2005</u>
- [4] Stepkowski, T., Hughes, C.E., Law, I.J., Markiewicz, L., Gurda, D., Chlebicka, A., et al. (2007) Diversification of Lupine Bradyrhizobium Strains: Evidence from Nodulation Gene Trees. Applied and Environmental Microbiology, 73, 3254-3264. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.02125-06
- [5] Stępkowski, T., Żak, M., Moulin, L., Króliczak, J., Golińska, B., Narożna, D., et al. (2011) Bradyrhizobium canariense and Bradyrhizobium japonicum Are the Two Dominant Rhizobium Species in Root Nodules of Lupin and Serradella Plants Growing in Europe. Systematic and Applied Microbiology, 34, 368-375. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.syapm.2011.03.002
- Trujillo, M.E., Willems, A., Abril, A., Planchuelo, A.M., Rivas, R., Ludena, D., *et al.* (2005) Nodulation of *Lupinus albus* by Strains of *Ochrobactrum lupini* sp. nov. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, **71**, 1318-1327. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.71.3.1318-1327.2005
- [7] Trujillo, M.E., Kroppenstedt, R.M., Fernández-Molinero, C., Schumann, P. and Martínez-Molina, E. (2007) *Micromonospora lupini* sp. nov. and *Micromonospora saelicesensis* sp. nov., Isolated from Root Nodules of *Lupinus angustifolius*. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology*, **57**, 2799-2804. <u>https://doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0.65192-0</u>
- [8] Ardley, J.K., Parker, M.A., De Meyer, S., O'Hara, G.W., Reeve, W.G., Yates, R.J., et al. (2010) Species of *Microvirga* Are Novel Alpha-Proteobacterial Root Nodule Bacteria That Specifically Nodulate *Lotononis angolensis* and *Lupinus texensis*.
- [9] Ardley, J.K., Parker, M.A., De Meyer, S.E., Trengove, R.D., O'Hara, G.W., Reeve, W.G., et al. (2012) Microvirga lupini sp. nov., Microvirga lotononidis sp. nov. and Microvirga zambiensis sp. nov. Are Alphaproteobacterial Root-Nodule Bacteria That Specifically Nodulate and Fix Nitrogen with Geographically and Taxonomically Separate Legume Hosts. International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology, 62, 2579-2588. https://doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0.035097-0
- [10] De Meyer, S.E. and Willems, A. (2012) Multilocus Sequence Analysis of Bosea Species and Description of Bosea lupini sp. nov., Bosea lathyri sp. nov. and Bosea robiniae sp. nov., Isolated from Legumes. International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology, 62, 2505-2510. https://doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0.035477-0
- [11] Flores-Félix, J.D., Carro, L., Ramírez-Bahena, M.-H., Tejedor, C., Igual, J.M., Peix, A., et al. (2014) Cohnella lupini sp. nov., an Endophytic Bacterium Isolated from Root Nodules of Lupinus albus. International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology, 64, 83-87. <u>https://doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0.050849-0</u>
- [12] Reeve, W., Parker, M., Tian, R., Goodwin, L., Teshima, H., Tapia, R., et al. (2014) Genome Sequence of Microvirga lupini Strain LUT6T, a Novel Lupinus Alphaproteobacterial Microsymbiont from Texas. Standards in Genomic Sciences, 9, 1159. https://doi.org/10.4056/sigs.5249382
- [13] Msaddak, A., Rejili, M., Durán, D., Rey, L., Imperial, J., Palacios, J.M., et al. (2017) Members of *Microvirga* and *Bradyrhizobium* Genera Are Native Endosymbiotic Bacteria Nodulating *Lupinus luteus* in Northern Tunisian Soils. *FEMS Microbiology Ecology*, 93, fix068. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/femsec/fix068</u>

- [14] Carro, L., Flores-Félix, J.D., Ramírez-Bahena, M.-H., García-Fraile, P., Martínez-Hidalgo, P., Igual, J.M., et al. (2014) Paenibacillus lupini sp. nov., Isolated from Nodules of Lupinus albus. International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology, 64, 3028-3033. https://doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0.060830-0
- [15] Compant, S., Nowak, J., Coenye, T., Clément, C. and Ait Barka, E. (2008) Diversity and Occurrence of *Burkholderia* spp. in the Natural Environment. *FEMS Microbiology Reviews*, **32**, 607-626. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6976.2008.00113.x</u>
- [16] Weisskopf, L., Heller, S. and Eberl, L. (2011) *Burkholderia* Species Are Major Inhabitants of White Lupin Cluster Roots. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 77, 7715-7720. <u>https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.05845-11</u>
- [17] Willems, A. and Collins, M.D. (1993) Phylogenetic Analysis of Rhizobia and Agrobacteria Based on 16S rRNA Gene Sequences. *International Journal of Systematic Bacteriology*, **43**, 305-313. <u>https://doi.org/10.1099/00207713-43-2-305</u>
- [18] Janda, J.M. and Abbott, S.L. (2007) 16S rRNA Gene Sequencing for Bacterial Identification in the Diagnostic Laboratory: Pluses, Perils, and Pitfalls. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*, 45, 2761-2764. <u>https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.01228-07</u>
- [19] Gevers, D., Cohan, F.M., Lawrence, J.G., Spratt, B.G., Coenye, T., Feil, E.J., *et al.* (2005) Re-Evaluating Prokaryotic Species. *Nature Reviews Microbiology*, **3**, 733-739. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro1236</u>
- [20] Martens, M., Dawyndt, P., Coopman, R., Gillis, M., De Vos, P. and Willems, A. (2008) Advantages of Multilocus Sequence Analysis for Taxonomic Studies: A Case Study using 10 Housekeeping Genes in the Genus *Ensifer* (Including Former *Sinorhizobium*). *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology*, 58, 200-214. <u>https://doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0.65392-0</u>
- [21] Menna, P., Barcellos, F.G. and Hungria, M. (2009) Phylogeny and Taxonomy of a Diverse Collection of *Bradyrhizobium* Strains Based on Multilocus Sequence Analysis of the 16S rRNA Gene, ITS Region and *glnII*, *recA*, *atpD* and *dnaK* Genes. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology*, **59**, 2934-2950. https://doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0.009779-0
- [22] Rivas, R., Martens, M., De Lajudie, P. and Willems, A. (2009) Multilocus Sequence Analysis of the Genus *Bradyrhizobium*. *Systematic and Applied Microbiology*, **32**, 101-110. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.syapm.2008.12.005</u>
- [23] Rajendhran, J. and Gunasekaran, P. (2011) Microbial Phylogeny and Diversity: Small Subunit Ribosomal RNA Sequence Analysis and Beyond. *Microbiological Re*search, 166, 99-110. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micres.2010.02.003</u>
- [24] Granada, C.E., Beneduzi, A., Lisboa, B.B., Turchetto-Zolet, A.C., Vargas, L.K. and Passaglia, L.M.P. (2015) Multilocus Sequence Analysis Reveals Taxonomic Differences among *Bradyrhizobium* sp. Symbionts of *Lupinus albescens* Plants Growing in Arenized and Non-Arenized Areas. *Systematic and Applied Microbiology*, 38, 323-329. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.syapm.2015.03.009</u>
- [25] Azevedo, H., Lopes, F.M., Silla, P.R. and Hungria, M. (2015) A Database for the Taxonomic and Phylogenetic Identification of the Genus *Bradyrhizobium* using Multilocus Sequence Analysis. *BMC Genomics*, 16, S10. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2164-16-S5-S10
- [26] Gaunt, M.W., Turner, S.L., Rigottier-Gois, L., Lloyd-Macgilp, S.A. and Young, J.P. (2001) Phylogenies of *atpD* and *recA* Support the Small Subunit rRNA-Based Classification of Rhizobia. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology*, **51**, 2037-2048. <u>https://doi.org/10.1099/00207713-51-6-2037</u>
- [27] Christensen, H., Kuhnert, P., Olsen, J.E. and Bisgaard, M. (2004) Comparative Phy-

logenies of the Housekeeping Genes *atpD*, *infB* and *rpoB* and the 16S rRNA Gene within the Pasteurellaceae. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology*, **54**, 1601-1609. <u>https://doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0.03018-0</u>

- [28] Batista, L., Tomasco, I., Lorite, M.J., Sanjuán, J. and Monza, J. (2013) Diversity and Phylogeny of Rhizobial Strains Isolated from *Lotus uliginosus* Grown in Uruguayan Soils. *Applied Soil Ecology*, 66, 19-28. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2013.01.009</u>
- [29] Chahboune, R., Carro, L., Peix, A., Ramirez-Bahena, M.H., Barrijal, S., Velazquez, E., et al. (2012) Bradyrhizobium rifense sp. nov. Isolated from Effective Nodules of Cytisus villosus Grown in the Moroccan Rif. Systematic and Applied Microbiology, 35, 302-305. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.syapm.2012.06.001
- [30] Behrmann, I., Hillemann, D., Puhler, A., Strauch, E. and Wohlleben, W. (1990) Overexpression of a *Streptomyces viridochromogenes* Gene (*glnIl*) Encoding a Glutamine Synthetase Similar to Those of Eucaryotes Confers Resistance against the Antibiotic Phosphinothricyl-Alanyl-Alanine. *Journal of Bacteriology*, **172**, 5326-5334. <u>https://doi.org/10.1128/jb.172.9.5326-5334.1990</u>
- [31] Markowitz, V.M., Chen, I.M., Palaniappan, K., Chu, K., Szeto, E., Grechkin, Y., et al. (2012) IMG: The Integrated Microbial Genomes Database and Comparative Analysis System. Nucleic Acids Research, 40, D115-D122. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkr1044
- [32] Deng, Z.S., Zhao, L.F., Kong, Z.Y., Yang, W.Q., Lindstrom, K., Wang, E.T., et al. (2011) Diversity of Endophytic Bacteria within Nodules of the Sphaerophysa salsula in Different Regions of Loess Plateau in China. FEMS Microbiology Ecology, 76, 463-475. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6941.2011.01063.x</u>
- [33] Van Berkum, P. (1990) Evidence for a Third Uptake Hydrogenase Phenotype among the Soybean Bradyrhizobia. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 56, 3835-3841.
- [34] Nagata, Y., Matsuda, M., Komatsu, H., Imura, Y., Sawada, H., Ohtsubo, Y., et al. (2005) Organization and Localization of the *dnaA* and *dnaK* Gene Regions on the Multichromosomal Genome of *Burkholderia multivorans* ATCC 17616. *Journal of Bioscience and Bioengineering*, 99, 603-610. <u>https://doi.org/10.1263/jbb.99.603</u>
- [35] López-López, A., Rogel, M.A., Ormeno-Orrillo, E., Martínez-Romero, J. and Martínez-Romero, E. (2010) *Phaseolus vulgaris* Seed-Borne Endophytic Community with Novel Bacterial Species such as *Rhizobium endophyticum* sp. nov. *Systematic and Applied Microbiology*, **33**, 322-327. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.syapm.2010.07.005
- [36] Edgar, R.C. (2004) MUSCLE: Multiple Sequence Alignment with High Accuracy and High Throughput. *Nucleic Acids Research*, **32**, 1792-1797. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkh340</u>
- [37] Vaidya, G., Lohman, D.J. and Meier, R. (2011) Sequence Matrix: Concatenation Software for the Fast Assembly of Multi-Gene Datasets with Character Set and Codon Information. *Cladistics*, 27, 171-180. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1096-0031.2010.00329.x
- [38] Tamura, K., Stecher, G., Peterson, D., Filipski, A. and Kumar, S. (2013) MEGA6: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis Version 6.0. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 30, 2725-2729. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/mst197</u>
- [39] Benson, D.A., Cavanaugh, M., Clark, K., Karsch-Mizrachi, I., Lipman, D.J., Ostell, J., et al. (2013) GenBank. Nucleic Acids Research, 41, D36-D42. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gks1195
- [40] Tringe, S.G. and Hugenholtz, P. (2008) A Renaissance for the Pioneering 16S rRNA

Gene. *Current Opinion in Microbiology*, **11**, 442-446. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mib.2008.09.011

- [41] Maidak, B.L., Olsen, G.J., Larsen, N., Overbeek, R., McCaughey, M.J. and Woese, C.R. (1997) The RDP (Ribosomal Database Project). *Nucleic Acids Research*, 25, 109-111. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/25.1.109</u>
- [42] Teyssier, C., Marchandin, H., Simeon De Buochberg, M., Ramuz, M. and Jumas-Bilak, E. (2003) Atypical 16S rRNA Gene Copies in *Ochrobactrum intermedium* Strains Reveal a Large Genomic Rearrangement by Recombination between *rrn* Copies. *Journal of Bacteriology*, **185**, 2901-2909. https://doi.org/10.1128/IB.185.9.2901-2909.2003
- [43] Kitahara, K. and Miyazaki, K. (2013) Revisiting Bacterial Phylogeny: Natural and Experimental Evidence for Horizontal Gene Transfer of 16S rRNA. *Mobile Genetic Elements*, 3, e24210. <u>https://doi.org/10.4161/mge.24210</u>
- [44] Eardly, B.D., Wang, F.-S. and Van Berkum, P. (1996) Corresponding 16S rRNA Gene Segments in Rhizobiaceae and *Aeromonas* Yield Discordant Phylogenies. *Plant and Soil*, 186, 69-74. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00035057
- [45] Schouls, L.M., Schot, C.S. and Jacobs, J.A. (2003) Horizontal Transfer of Segments of the 16S rRNA Genes between Species of the *Streptococcus anginosus* Group. *Journal of Bacteriology*, 185, 7241-7246. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.185.24.7241-7246.2003
- [46] Van Berkum, P., Terefework, Z., Paulin, L., Suomalainen, S., Lindstrom, K. and Eardly, B.D. (2003) Discordant Phylogenies within the *rrn* Loci of Rhizobia. *Journal* of *Bacteriology*, 185, 2988-2998. <u>https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.185.10.2988-2998.2003</u>
- [47] Lemaire, B., Van Cauwenberghe, J., Chimphango, S., Stirton, C., Honnay, O., Smets, E., et al. (2015) Recombination and Horizontal Transfer of Nodulation and ACC Deaminase (acdS) Genes within Alpha-and Betaproteobacteria Nodulating Legumes of the Cape Fynbos Biome. FEMS Microbiology Ecology, 91.
- [48] Eardly, B.D., Nour, S.M., van Berkum, P. and Selander, R.K. (2005) Rhizobial 16S rRNA and *dnaK* Genes: Mosaicism and the Uncertain Phylogenetic Placement of *Rhizobium galegae*. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, **71**, 1328-1335. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.71.3.1328-1335.2005
- [49] Klappenbach, J.A., Saxman, P.R., Cole, J.R. and Schmidt, T.M. (2001) rrndb: The Ribosomal RNA Operon Copy Number Database. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 29, 181-184. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/29.1.181</u>
- [50] Wang, Y., Zhang, Z. and Ramanan, N. (1997) The Actinomycete *Thermobispora* bispora Contains Two Distinct Types of Transcriptionally Active 16S rRNA Genes. *Journal of Bacteriology*, **179**, 3270-3276. https://doi.org/10.1128/jb.179.10.3270-3276.1997
- [51] Kundig, C., Beck, C., Hennecke, H. and Gottfert, M. (1995) A Single rRNA Gene Region in *Bradyrhizobium japonicum. Journal of Bacteriology*, **177**, 5151-5154. https://doi.org/10.1128/jb.177.17.5151-5154.1995
- [52] De Bruijn, F.J. (2015) Biological Nitrogen Fixation. In: *Principles of Plant-Microbe Interactions*, Springer International Publishing, 215-224.
- [53] Willems, A., Coopman, R. and Gillis, M. (2001) Phylogenetic and DNA-DNA Hybridization Analyses of *Bradyrhizobium* Species. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology*, **51**, 111-117. https://doi.org/10.1099/00207713-51-1-111
- [54] Vinuesa, P., Silva, C., Werner, D. and Martínez-Romero, E. (2005) Population Ge-

netics and Phylogenetic Inference in Bacterial Molecular Systematics: The Roles of Migration and Recombination in *Bradyrhizobium* Species Cohesion and Delineation. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution*, **34**, 29-54. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2004.08.020

- [55] Vinuesa, P., León-Barrios, M., Silva, C., Willems, A., Jarabo-Lorenzo, A., Pérez-Galdona, R., et al. (2005) Bradyrhizobium canariense sp. nov., an Acid-Tolerant Endosymbiont That Nodulates Endemic Genistoid Legumes (Papilionoideae: Genisteae) from the Canary Islands, along with Bradyrhizobium japonicum bv. genistearum, Bradyrhizobium genospecies alpha and Bradyrhizobium genospecies Beta. International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology, 55, 569-575. https://doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0.63292-0
- [56] Chahboune, R., Carro, L., Peix, A., Barrijal, S., Velázquez, E. and Bedmar, E.J. (2011) *Bradyrhizobium cytisi* sp. nov., Isolated from Effective Nodules of *Cytisus villosus. International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology*, **61**, 2922-2927. <u>https://doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0.027649-0</u>
- [57] Kawaguchi, A. (2011) Genetic Diversity of *Rhizobium vitis* Strains in Japan Based on Multilocus Sequence Analysis of *pyrG*, *recA* and *rpoD*. *Journal of General Plant Pathology*, **77**, 299-303. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10327-011-0333-y
- [58] Lu, J., Kang, L., He, X. and Xu, D. (2011) Multilocus Sequence Analysis of the Rhizobia from Five Woody Legumes in Southern China. *African Journal of Microbiol*ogy Research, 5, 5343-5353.
- [59] Zhang, Y.M., Li Jr, Y., Chen, W.F., Wang, E.T., Sui, X.H., Li, Q.Q., et al. (2012) Bradyrhizobium huanghuaihaiense sp. nov., an Effective Symbiotic Bacterium Isolated from Soybean (Glycine max L.) Nodules. International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology, 62, 1951-1957. https://doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0.034546-0
- [60] Degefu, T., Wolde-Meskel, E., Liu, B., Cleenwerck, I., Willems, A. and Frostegard, A. (2013) *Mesorhizobium shonense* sp. nov., *Mesorhizobium hawassense* sp. nov. and *Mesorhizobium abyssinicae* sp. nov., Isolated from Root Nodules of Different Agroforestry Legume Trees. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology*, **63**, 1746-1753. <u>https://doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0.044032-0</u>
- [61] Wang, J.Y., Wang, R., Zhang, Y.M., Liu, H.C., Chen, W.F., Wang, E.T., et al. (2013) Bradyrhizobium dagingense sp. nov., Isolated from Soybean Nodules. International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology, 63, 616-624. https://doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0.034280-0
- [62] Xu, K.W., Penttinen, P., Chen, Y.X., Zou, L., Zhou, T., Zhang, X., et al. (2013) Polyphasic Characterization of Rhizobia Isolated from *Leucaena leucocephala* from Panxi, China. World Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology, 29, 2303-2315. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11274-013-1396-z
- [63] Delamuta, J.R.M., Ribeiro, R.A., Ormeño-Orrillo, E., Melo, I.S., Martínez-Romero, E. and Hungria, M. (2013) Polyphasic Evidence Supporting the Reclassification of *Bradyrhizobium japonicum* Group Ia Strains as *Bradyrhizobium diazoefficiens* sp. nov. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology*, 63, 3342-3351. https://doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0.049130-0
- [64] Peeters, C., Zlosnik, J.E.A., Spilker, T., Hird, T.J., LiPuma, J.J. and Vandamme, P. (2013) Burkholderia pseudomultivorans sp. nov., a Novel Burkholderia cepacia Complex Species from Human Respiratory Samples and the Rhizosphere. Systematic and Applied Microbiology, 36, 483-489. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.syapm.2013.06.003

- [65] Gadagkar, S.R., Rosenberg, M.S. and Kumar, S. (2005) Inferring Species Phylogenies from Multiple Genes: Concatenated Sequence Tree versus Consensus Gene Tree. *Journal of Experimental Zoology Part B: Molecular and Developmental Evolution*, **304**, 64-74. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/jez.b.21026</u>
- [66] Abd-Alla, M.H. (1998) Growth and Siderophore Production *in Vitro* of *Bradyrhi-zobium* (Lupin) Strains under Iron Limitation. *European Journal of Soil Biology*, 34, 99-104. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S1164-5563(99)80007-7</u>
- [67] Abd-Alla, M.H. (1999) Nodulation and Nitrogen Fixation of *Lupinus* Species with *Bradyrhizobium* (lupin) Strains in Iron-Deficient Soil. *Biology and Fertility of Soils*, 28, 407-415. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s003740050513</u>
- [68] Robinson, K.O., Beyene, D.A., van Berkum, P., Knight-Mason, R. and Bhardwaj, H.L. (2000) Variability in Plant-Microbe Interaction between *Lupinus* Lines and *Bradyrhizobium* Strains. *Plant Science*, **159**, 257-264. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9452(00)00345-9
- [69] Peix, A., Ramirez-Bahena, M.H., Flores-Felix, J.D., Alonso de la Vega, P., Rivas, R., Mateos, P.F., et al. (2015) Revision of the Taxonomic Status of the Species *Rhizobium lupini* and Reclassification as *Bradyrhizobium lupini* comb. nov. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology*, 65, 1213-1219. <u>https://doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0.000082</u>
- [70] Estrada-De Los Santos, P., Bustillos-Cristales, R. and Caballero-Mellado, J. (2001) Burkholderia, a Genus Rich in Plant-Associated Nitrogen Fixers with Wide Environmental and Geographic Distribution. Applied and Environmental Microbiology, 67, 2790-2798. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.67.6.2790-2798.2001
- [71] Vandamme, P., Goris, J., Chen, W.-M., De Vos, P. and Willems, A. (2002) Burkholderia tuberum sp. nov. and Burkholderia phymatum sp. nov., Nodulate the Roots of Tropical Legumes. Systematic and Applied Microbiology, 25, 507-512. https://doi.org/10.1078/07232020260517634
- [72] Elliott, G.N., Chen, W., Chou, J., Wang, H., Sheu, S., Perin, L., *et al.* (2007) *Burkholderia phymatum* Is a Highly Effective Nitrogen-Fixing Symbiont of *Mimosa* spp. and Fixes Nitrogen ex Planta. *New Phytologist*, **173**, 168-180. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2006.01894.x
- [73] Gyaneshwar, P., Hirsch, A.M., Moulin, L., Chen, W.-M., Elliott, G.N., Bontemps, C., et al. (2011) Legume-Nodulating Betaproteobacteria: Diversity, Host Range, and Future Prospects. *Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions*, 24, 1276-1288. <u>https://doi.org/10.1094/MPMI-06-11-0172</u>
- [74] Martínez-Aguilar, L., Salazar-Salazar, C., Méndez, R.D., Caballero-Mellado, J., Hirsch, A.M., Vásquez-Murrieta, M.S., *et al.* (2013) *Burkholderia caballeronis* sp. nov., a Nitrogen Fixing Species Isolated from Tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum*) with the Ability to Effectively Nodulate *Phaseolus vulgaris. Antonie van Leeuwenhoek*, **104**, 1063-1071. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10482-013-0028-9</u>
- [75] Lemaire, B., Van Cauwenberghe, J., Verstraete, B., Chimphango, S., Stirton, C., Honnay, O., et al. (2016) Characterization of the Papilionoid-Burkholderia Interaction in the Fynbos Biome: The Diversity and Distribution of Beta-Rhizobia Nodulating Podalyria calyptrata (Fabaceae, Podalyrieae). Systematic and Applied Microbiology, **39**, 41-48. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.syapm.2015.09.006
- [76] Chen, W.M., Moulin, L., Bontemps, C., Vandamme, P., Bena, G. and Boivin-Masson, C. (2003) Legume Symbiotic Nitrogen Fixation by Beta-Proteobacteria Is Widespread in Nature. *Journal of Bacteriology*, 185, 7266-7272. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.185.24.7266-7272.2003

- [77] Estrada-De Los Santos, P., Vinuesa, P., Martínez-Aguilar, L., Hirsch, A.M. and Caballero-Mellado, J. (2013) Phylogenetic Analysis of *Burkholderia* Species by Multilocus Sequence Analysis. *Current Microbiology*, **67**, 51-60. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00284-013-0330-9
- [78] Solca, N.M., Bernasconi, M.V., Valsangiacomo, C., Van Doorn, L.-J. and Piffaretti, J.-C. (2001) Population Genetics of *Helicobacter pylori* in the Southern Part of Switzerland Analysed by Sequencing of Four Housekeeping Genes (*atpD*, *glnA*, *scoB* and *recA*), and by *vacA*, *cagA*, *iceA* and IS605 Genotyping. *Microbiology*, 147, 1693-1707. https://doi.org/10.1099/00221287-147-6-1693
- [79] Tian, C.F., Young, J.P.W., Wang, E.T., Tamimi, S.M. and Chen, W.X. (2010) Population Mixing of *Rhizobium leguminosarum* bv. *viciae* Nodulating *Vicia faba*: The Role of Recombination and Lateral Gene Transfer. *FEMS Microbiology Ecology*, 73, 563-576.
- [80] Ridderberg, W., Wang, M. and Norskov-Lauritsen, N. (2012) Multilocus Sequence Analysis of Isolates of *Achromobacter* from Patients with Cystic Fibrosis Reveals Infecting Species Other than *Achromobacter xylosoxidans. Journal of Clinical Microbiology*, **50**, 2688-2694. <u>https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.00728-12</u>