

No Degeneracy of the Ground State for the Impact Parameter Model

Héctor C. Merino¹, Juan Héctor Arredondo²

¹Universidad Autónoma de Guerrero, Unidad Académica de Matemáticas,
Chilpancingo, México

²Departamento de Matemáticas, Universidad Autónoma Metropolitana-Iztapalapa,
Distrito Federal, México

E-mail: mech@xanum.uam.mx, iva@xanum.uam.mx

Received May 25, 2011; revised July 6, 2011; accepted July 13, 2011

Abstract

A time dependent Hamiltonian associated to the impact parameter model for the scattering of a light particle and two heavy ones is considered. Existence and non degeneracy of the ground state is shown.

Keywords: Impact Parameter Model, Non Degeneracy of the Ground State

1. Introduction

In [1,2], the impact parameter model for the scattering of two heavy particles and a light one is studied, where it is assumed that the heavy particles are infinitely massive and that their motion along a classical trajectory is not affected by the light particle. Also, rigorous proof from first principles of the validity of Massey's criterion is given [1,3].

The above mentioned results were proved for a simple Hamiltonian, by means of an adiabatic argumentation. Now we study a more complicated one than in [1], where a precise knowledge of the discrete spectrum of the corresponding Hamiltonian was needed.

A physical ground state is a state of minimal energy, and therefore it has a relevant role in quantum theories. See for instance [4-17].

In this work we prove non degeneracy of the ground state for the Hamiltonian

$$H(t) = -\frac{1}{2}\Delta - \lambda_1 V_1 - \mu_1 V_2 - \lambda_2 V_{1,\rho} - \mu_2 V_{2,\rho}, \quad (1)$$

defined as an operator in the Hilbert space $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ of all complex valued Lebesgue measurable square integrable functions on \mathbb{R}^n , with domain $H^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the Sobolev space of order two [18]. Δ is the Laplace operator [11].

$$\Delta = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1^2} + \dots + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_n^2},$$

with derivatives in the distribution sense, and, $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \mu_1, \mu_2$ are positive constants. Also, for $k=1,2$, we will take the potentials V_k of rank one:

$$V_k \varphi = (g_k, \varphi) g_k, \quad \forall \varphi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad (2)$$

with g_1, g_2 fixed elements in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Here (\cdot, \cdot) denotes the scalar product in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, antilinear on the factor on the left. Moreover,

$$V_{\kappa,\rho} \varphi = (g_{\kappa,\rho}, \varphi) g_{\kappa,\rho}, \quad g_{\kappa,\rho}(x) := g_\kappa(x - \rho(t)), \quad (3)$$

$\rho(t)$ being a continuous function on \mathbb{R} with values in \mathbb{R}^n satisfying $\rho(0) = 0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and

$$\lim_{|t| \rightarrow \infty} |\rho(t)| = \infty.$$

We denote by $\hat{\cdot}$ the Fourier transform [19], as an unitary operator in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$:

$$\hat{g}(p) = \lim_{K \rightarrow \infty} \int_{|x| \leq K} e^{-ipx} g(x) dx, \quad g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

where the limit is taken in the L^2 -norm.

2. Main Theorem

From Weyl's theorem [16], one knows that for each $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $H(t)$ is a self-adjoint operator with discrete spectrum in $(-\infty, 0)$. The eigenvector corresponding to the infimum of the spectrum of $H(t)$ is called the

ground state for $H(t)$. The following theorem was proved in [20].

Theorem 2.1. For $i=1,2$, let $g_i \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and \hat{g}_i nonnegative functions obeying $\|\mathbf{p}\| \hat{g}_i \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Moreover, we suppose the constants λ_i, μ_i in Equation (1) satisfy

$$\lambda_1 > \mu_1 + \mu_2 > \mu_1 > \lambda_2 > \mu_2 > 0.$$

such that $0 < E_0(2) < E_1$ and $0 < E_{\lambda_2} < E_{\mu_1}$. Here $-E_1, -E_0(2), -E_{\lambda_2}$, and $-E_{\mu_1}$ are the ground state eigenvalues associated to

$$\begin{aligned} &-\frac{1}{2}\Delta - \lambda_1 V_1, \quad -\frac{1}{2}\Delta - (\mu_1 + \mu_2) V_2, \\ &-\frac{1}{2}\Delta - \lambda_2 V_1, \quad -\frac{1}{2}\Delta - \mu_1 V_2, \end{aligned}$$

respectively. Then the following statements are valid:

1) The eigenvalue $-E_0$, corresponding to the ground state for the operator

$$H(0) = -\frac{1}{2}\Delta - (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) V_1 - (\mu_1 + \mu_2) V_2,$$

and the eigenvalue $-E_\infty$, corresponding to the ground state for the operator

$$H(\pm\infty) = -\frac{1}{2}\Delta - \lambda_1 V_1 - \mu_1 V_2,$$

are strictly negative and the inequality $-E_0 < -E_\infty$ holds.

2) The eigenvalue $-E(t)$, corresponding to the ground state for $H(t)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ lies in the interval $[-E_0, -E_\infty]$.

3) In the interval $(-E_\infty, -E_1]$ there are no eigenvalues of $H(t)$ for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

We mention that for a given function $0 \neq g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, one can find a sufficiently large positive constant α_0 such that the operator

$$-\frac{1}{2}\Delta - \alpha(g, \cdot)g \quad (4)$$

has a (unique) negative eigenvalue $-E_\alpha$ for $\alpha \geq \alpha_0$. In fact, $-E$ is a negative eigenvalue iff [1]

$$\frac{1}{\alpha} = \left\| \frac{\hat{g}}{\left(\frac{p^2}{2} + E\right)^{1/2}} \right\|^2, \quad (4)$$

where we denote $p^2 := |\mathbf{p}|^2$. Note also that for a given g the right hand side of (5) is a monotone decreasing function of E . Therefore, given functions g_i in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ one can find constants λ_i, μ_i ($i=1,2$) large enough for

the hypotheses of the theorem to hold.

We will prove in this manuscript that under the hypotheses of theorem 2.1, for $t \in \mathbb{R}$ the ground state of $H(t)$ is not degenerate.

Let $-E(t)$ be the ground state eigenvalue of the time dependent operator given by Equation (1). We define

$$\Theta(p) := \frac{p^2}{2} + E(t) \quad \text{and}$$

$$a_{ii} = \frac{1}{\lambda_i} - \left\| \frac{\hat{g}_i}{\sqrt{\Theta}} \right\|^2; \quad d_{ii} = \frac{1}{\mu_i} - \left\| \frac{\hat{g}_2}{\sqrt{\Theta}} \right\|^2 \quad \text{for } i=1,2. \quad (6)$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} a_{12} &= -(\hat{g}_1, \Theta^{-1} \hat{g}_{1,\rho}), \\ b_{11} &= -(\hat{g}_1, \Theta^{-1} \hat{g}_2), \\ b_{12} &= -(\hat{g}_2, \Theta^{-1} \hat{g}_{1,\rho}), \\ b_{21} &= -(\hat{g}_{2,\rho}, \Theta^{-1} \hat{g}_1), \\ d_{12} &= -(\hat{g}_2, \Theta^{-1} \hat{g}_{2,\rho}) \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Lemma 2.1. Let $-E(t)$ be the ground state eigenvalue of the time dependent operator $H(t)$ given by Equation (1). Then, the matrix equation

$$\begin{aligned} M &= \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x} \\ \mathbf{y} \end{pmatrix} = 0 \in \mathbb{R}^4, \\ M &= \begin{pmatrix} A & B^T \\ B & D \end{pmatrix} := \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & b_{11} & b_{21} \\ a_{12} & a_{22} & b_{12} & b_{11} \\ b_{11} & b_{12} & d_{11} & d_{12} \\ b_{21} & b_{11} & d_{12} & d_{22} \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

has a nontrivial solution. Furthermore

$$\det(D) \equiv \det \begin{pmatrix} d_{11} & d_{12} \\ d_{12} & d_{22} \end{pmatrix} > 0 \quad (\forall t \in \mathbb{R}).$$

Proof: Let $\psi(t)$ the eigenvector for $H(t)$ with respective eigenvalue $-E(t)$, then the Fourier transform of $\psi(t)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\psi}(t) &= \lambda_1(g_1, \psi) \frac{\hat{g}_1}{\Theta(p)} + \lambda_2(g_{1,\rho}, \psi) \frac{\hat{g}_{1,\rho}}{\Theta(p)} \\ &\quad + \mu_1(g_2, \psi) \frac{\hat{g}_2}{\Theta(p)} + \mu_2(g_{2,\rho}, \psi) \frac{\hat{g}_{2,\rho}}{\Theta(p)}, \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

where $\Theta(p) := \frac{p^2}{2} + E(t)$. The Plancherel theorem implies that $(u, v) = (\hat{u}, \hat{v}) \forall u, v \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Taking inner products in (9) with \hat{g}_1 and $\hat{g}_{1,\rho}$ for $i=1,2$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(\hat{g}_1, \hat{\psi}) &= \lambda_1(\hat{g}_1, \hat{\psi}) \left\| \frac{\hat{g}_1}{\sqrt{\Theta}} \right\|^2 + \lambda_2(\hat{g}_{1,\rho}, \hat{\psi})(\hat{g}_1, \Theta^{-1}\hat{g}_{1,\rho}) + \mu_1(\hat{g}_2, \hat{\psi})(\hat{g}_1, \Theta^{-1}\hat{g}_2) + \mu_2(\hat{g}_{2,\rho}, \hat{\psi})(\hat{g}_1, \Theta^{-1}\hat{g}_{2,\rho}), \\
(\hat{g}_{1,\rho}, \hat{\psi}) &= \lambda_1(\hat{g}_1, \hat{\psi})(\hat{g}_{1,\rho}, \Theta^{-1}\hat{g}_1) + \lambda_2(\hat{g}_{1,\rho}, \hat{\psi}) \left\| \frac{\hat{g}_{1,\rho}}{\sqrt{\Theta}} \right\|^2 + \mu_1(\hat{g}_2, \hat{\psi})(\hat{g}_{1,\rho}, \Theta^{-1}\hat{g}_2) + \mu_2(\hat{g}_{2,\rho}, \hat{\psi})(\hat{g}_{1,\rho}, \Theta^{-1}\hat{g}_{2,\rho}), \\
(\hat{g}_2, \hat{\psi}) &= \lambda_1(\hat{g}_1, \hat{\psi})(\hat{g}_2, \Theta^{-1}\hat{g}_1) + \lambda_2(\hat{g}_{1,\rho}, \hat{\psi})(\hat{g}_2, \Theta^{-1}\hat{g}_{1,\rho}) + \mu_1(\hat{g}_2, \hat{\psi}) \left\| \frac{\hat{g}_2}{\sqrt{\Theta}} \right\|^2 + \mu_2(\hat{g}_{2,\rho}, \hat{\psi})(\hat{g}_2, \Theta^{-1}\hat{g}_{2,\rho}), \\
(\hat{g}_{2,\rho}, \hat{\psi}) &= \lambda_1(\hat{g}_1, \hat{\psi})(\hat{g}_{2,\rho}, \Theta^{-1}\hat{g}_1) + \lambda_2(\hat{g}_{1,\rho}, \hat{\psi})(\hat{g}_{2,\rho}, \Theta^{-1}\hat{g}_{1,\rho}) + \mu_1(\hat{g}_2, \hat{\psi})(\hat{g}_{2,\rho}, \Theta^{-1}\hat{g}_2) + \mu_2(\hat{g}_{2,\rho}, \hat{\psi}) \left\| \frac{\hat{g}_{2,\rho}}{\sqrt{\Theta}} \right\|^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

This system of equations is represented in matrix form precisely by Equation (8), where

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{x} &= \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} := \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1(\hat{g}_1, \hat{\psi}) \\ \lambda_2(\hat{g}_{1,\rho}, \hat{\psi}) \end{pmatrix}; \\
\mathbf{y} &= \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{pmatrix} := \begin{pmatrix} \mu_1(\hat{g}_2, \hat{\psi}) \\ \mu_2(\hat{g}_{2,\rho}, \hat{\psi}) \end{pmatrix}
\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

From Theorem 2.1 we deduce the existence of a non-trivial solution to Equation (8).

Now we fix $E > 0$. For every $t \in \mathbb{R}$ let us consider the function,

$$\begin{aligned}
R_E(t) &= \left(\frac{1}{\mu_1} - \left\| \frac{\hat{g}_2}{\left(\frac{p^2}{2} + E\right)^{1/2}} \right\|^2 \right) \left(\frac{1}{\mu_2} - \left\| \frac{\hat{g}_2}{\left(\frac{p^2}{2} + E\right)^{1/2}} \right\|^2 \right) \\
&\quad - \left(\hat{g}_2, \frac{\hat{g}_{2,\rho}}{\frac{p^2}{2} + E} \right)^2
\end{aligned}$$

and observe that for $E_0(2) < E$,

$$\begin{aligned}
R_E(t) &= \frac{1}{\mu_1\mu_2} - \frac{\mu_1 + \mu_2}{\mu_1\mu_2} \left\| \frac{\hat{g}_2}{\left(\frac{p^2}{2} + E\right)^{1/2}} \right\|^2 + \left\| \frac{\hat{g}_2}{\left(\frac{p^2}{2} + E\right)^{1/2}} \right\|^4 - \left(\hat{g}_2, \frac{\hat{g}_{2,\rho}}{\frac{p^2}{2} + E} \right)^2 \geq \frac{1}{\mu_1\mu_2} - \frac{\mu_1 + \mu_2}{\mu_1\mu_2} \left\| \frac{\hat{g}_2}{\left(\frac{p^2}{2} + E\right)^{1/2}} \right\|^2 \\
&= \frac{\mu_1 + \mu_2}{\mu_1\mu_2} \left(\frac{1}{\mu_1 + \mu_2} - \left\| \frac{\hat{g}_2}{\left(\frac{p^2}{2} + E\right)^{1/2}} \right\|^2 \right) > 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

The last inequality being true because of the remark following Equation (5). Also, we have used the Schwarz inequality and the Fourier transform property

$\hat{g}_{2,\rho}(\mathbf{p}) = e^{-i\rho(t)\cdot\mathbf{p}} \hat{g}_2(\mathbf{p})$. When $-E \equiv -E(t)$ is the eigenvalue for $H(t)$, then the determinant of matrix D in Equation (8) satisfies, $\det(D) = R(E(t))$. Theorem 2.1 states that $-E(t) \in [-E_0, -E_\infty]$ and $E_\infty > E_1 > E_0(2)$. Then, (12) gives $\det(D) > 0$. \square

The main result will be proved by showing that the dimension of the eigenspace associated to the ground state remains constant over time.

Lema 2.2. *The ground state for the operator*

$$H(0) = -\frac{1}{2}\Delta - (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)V_1 - (\mu_1 + \mu_2)V_2$$

is not degenerate.

Proof: Lemma (2.1) assures that D^{-1} exists. Equation (8) implies,

$$\mathbf{y} = -D^{-1}\mathbf{B}\mathbf{x}, \quad (\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{B}^T D^{-1}\mathbf{B})\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}. \tag{13}$$

We take $\mathbf{C} := \mathbf{A} - \mathbf{B}^T D^{-1}\mathbf{B}$, so that,

$$\mathbf{C} = \begin{pmatrix} c_{11} & c_{12} \\ c_{12} & c_{22} \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
c_{11} &= a_{11} - \frac{(b_{11}^2 d_{22} - 2b_{11} b_{21} d_{12} + b_{21}^2 d_{11})}{\det D}, \\
c_{12} &= \\
a_{12} &- \frac{(b_{11} b_{12} d_{22} + b_{11} b_{21} d_{11} - b_{11}^2 d_{12} - b_{12} b_{21} d_{12})}{\det D}, \\
c_{22} &= a_{22} - \frac{(b_{11}^2 d_{11} - 2b_{11} b_{12} d_{12} + b_{21}^2 d_{22})}{\det D}.
\end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

From Theorem 2.1, we know that there exists a non-trivial solution to system (8). Thus $\det C = 0$. Accordingly,

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} c_{11} & c_{12} \\ kc_{11} & kc_{12} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (15)$$

for some constant $k = k(t)$. Moreover, for $t = 0$ the matrix $C = C(t)$ is not null. In fact, for this value of t , the following terms simplify

$$\begin{aligned}
a_{12} &= - \left\| \left(\frac{p^2}{2} + E_0 \right)^{-1/2} \hat{g}_1 \right\|^2, \\
d_{12} &= - \left\| \left(\frac{p^2}{2} + E_0 \right)^{-1/2} \hat{g}_2 \right\|^2, \\
b_{21} = b_{12} = b_{11} &= - \left(\hat{g}_1, \left(\frac{p^2}{2} + E_0 \right)^{-1} \hat{g}_2 \right).
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that,

$$\begin{aligned}
c_{12} = a_{12} - \frac{b_{11}^2 (d_{11} + d_{22}) - 2d_{12} b_{11}}{\det D} &= - \frac{\left\| \hat{g}_1 \right\|^2}{\left\| \left(\frac{p^2}{2} + E_0 \right)^{1/2} \right\|^2} \\
&- \frac{\left(\hat{g}_1, \frac{\hat{g}_2}{\frac{p^2}{2} + E_0} \right)^2 \left(\frac{\mu_1 + \mu_2}{\mu_1 \mu_2} - 2 \frac{\left\| \hat{g}_2 \right\|^2}{\left\| \left(\frac{p^2}{2} + E_0 \right)^{1/2} \right\|^2} \right)}{\det D} \\
&- \frac{2 \left\| \left(\frac{p^2}{2} + E_0 \right)^{-1/2} \hat{g}_2 \right\|^2 \left(\hat{g}_1, \left(\frac{p^2}{2} + E_0 \right)^{-1} \hat{g}_2 \right)^2}{\det D} \\
&< 0,
\end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

where we use equation (5), the hypothesis $E_0(2) < E_1$ and statement (3) of theorem 2.1. Therefore,

$$\left\| \left(\frac{p^2}{2} + E_0 \right)^{-1/2} \hat{g}_2 \right\|^2 < \frac{\mu_1 + \mu_2}{2\mu_1 \mu_2}.$$

Equations (11)-(16) imply,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu_1(\hat{g}_2, \hat{\psi}) &= \left(\frac{b_{21} d_{12} - b_{11} d_{22}}{\det D} + \frac{c_{11} (b_{12} d_{22} - b_{22} d_{12})}{c_{12} \det D} \right) x_1 \\
\mu_2(\hat{g}_{2,\rho}, \hat{\psi}) &= \left(\frac{b_{11} d_{12} - b_{21} d_{11}}{\det D} + \frac{c_{11} (b_{22} d_{11} - b_{12} d_{12})}{c_{12} \det D} \right) x_1
\end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

Substitution of these equalities in Equation (9) gives,

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{\psi}(0) = x_1 &\left[\frac{\hat{g}_1}{\frac{p^2}{2} + E_0} + k_1 \frac{\hat{g}_{1,\rho}}{\frac{p^2}{2} + E_0} \right. \\
&\left. + k_2 \frac{\hat{g}_2}{\frac{p^2}{2} + E_0} + k_3 \frac{\hat{g}_{2,\rho}}{\frac{p^2}{2} + E_0} \right].
\end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

Here,

$$\begin{aligned}
k_1 &= - \frac{c_{11}}{c_{12}} \\
k_2 &= \frac{(c_{12} b_{21} d_{12} + c_{11} b_{12} d_{22}) - (c_{12} b_{11} d_{22} + c_{11} b_{22} d_{12})}{c_{12} \det D} \\
k_3 &= \frac{(c_{12} b_{11} d_{12} + c_{11} b_{22} d_{11}) - (c_{12} b_{21} d_{11} + c_{11} b_{12} d_{12})}{c_{12} \det D}.
\end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

This determines the vector $\hat{\psi}(0)$ up to a multiplicative constant, and from the Plancherel theorem, also the eigenspace associated to the ground state for $H(0)$, proving the statement of the lemma. \square

Theorem 2.2. Let $H(t)$ be defined by Equation (1) and suppose the hypotheses of theorem 2.1 hold true. Moreover, we take the curve $\rho: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ so that $\rho(t) = a + vt$, $\forall |t| \geq M$, for some positive constant M and fixed vectors $a, v \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then the dimension of the spectral projection onto the interval $[-E_0, -E_\infty]$, associated with the selfadjoint operator $H(t)$, is equal to one for each $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof: The resolvent $R_i(A)$ of a self-adjoint operator A at $i \in \mathbb{C}$ is defined by $(iI - A)^{-1}$ with I denoting the identity operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We take $H_2 = H(t_2)$, $H_1 = H(t_1)$, for two distinct values t_1 and t_2 and calculate the difference $R_i(H_2) - R_i(H_1)$.

$$\begin{aligned}
R_i(H_2) - R_i(H_1) &= R_i(H_2)(H_2 - H_1)R_i(H_1) \\
&= \lambda_2 R_i(H_2)(V_{1,\rho_1} - V_{1,\rho_2})R_i(H_1) \\
&\quad + \mu_2 R_i(H_2)(V_{2,\rho_1} - V_{2,\rho_2})R_i(H_1)
\end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

Here V_{1,ρ_1} is given as in Equation (3) with

$g_{i,\rho}(\mathbf{x}) = g_i(\mathbf{x} - \rho(t))$ replaced with

$g_{1,\rho_1}(\mathbf{x}) = g_1(\mathbf{x} - \rho(t_1))$. Also V_{1,ρ_2} , V_{2,ρ_1} , and V_{2,ρ_2}

being defined similarly. It follows from Equation (1) and standard arguments that

$$\|R_i(H_2) - R_i(H_1)\| \leq Y|t_2 - t_1|,$$

where Y is a constant uniform in $t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{R}/[-M, M]$ depending on $\|p\|g_\ell$ and $\|g_\ell\|$, $\ell = 1, 2$. This implies that $R_i(H(t))$ is uniformly continuous on \mathbb{R} with respect to the norm topology. Let $P_S(B)$ denote the spectral projection of a self-adjoint operator B corresponding to the Borel set $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. By functional calculus, we get

$$P_{[-E_0, -E_\infty]}(H(t_2)) \rightarrow P_{[-E_0, -E_\infty]}(H(t_1)) \text{ as } t_2 \rightarrow t_1,$$

in the operator norm. Therefore, by standard arguments

$$\dim P_{[-E_0, -E_\infty]}(H(t_2)) = \dim P_{[-E_0, -E_\infty]}(H(t_1)),$$

For t_2 close enough to t_1 . It follows from lemma 2.2 that

$$\dim P_{[-E_0, -E_\infty]}(H(t)) = 1 \quad (\forall t \in \mathbb{R}).$$

Remark: We mention that the hypothesis for the curve $\rho(t)$ can be relaxed to the condition that $\rho(t)$ is asymptotic to a straight line.

3. References

- [1] J. H. Arredondo, "Asymptotic Transition Probabilities," *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, Vol. 30, No. 10, 1989, pp. 2291-2296. [doi:10.1063/1.528558](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.528558)
- [2] J. H. Arredondo, "Adiabatic Approximation on the Impact-Parameter Model," *Few-Body Systems*, Vol. 10, No. 2, 1991, pp. 59-72. [doi:10.1007/BF01352402](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01352402)
- [3] H. S. W. Massey, "Collision between Atoms and Molecules at Ordinary Temperatures," *Reports on Progress in Physics*, Vol. 12, No. 1, 1949, p. 248. [doi:10.1088/0034-4885/12/1/311](https://doi.org/10.1088/0034-4885/12/1/311)
- [4] D. Aharonov, W. van Dam, J. Kempe, Z. Landau, S. Lloyd and O. Regev, "Adiabatic Quantum Computation Is Equivalent to Standard Quantum Computation," *45th Annual IEEE Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science (FOCS'04)*, Rome, 17-19 October 2004.
- [5] F. Hiroshima, "Topics in the Theory of Schrödinger Operators," World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., Singapore, 2004.
- [6] K. Chadan and P. C. Sabatier. "Inverse Problems in Quantum Scattering Theory," Springer-Verlag, New York, 1989.
- [7] T. Cubel, B. K. Teo, V. S. Malinoysky, J. R. Guest, A. Reinhard, B. Knuffman, P. R. Berman and G. Raithel, "Coherent Population Transfer of Ground-State Atoms into Rydberg States," *Physical Review A*, Vol. 72, 2005, pp. 023405 (1-4).
- [8] H. L. Cycon, R. G. Froese, W. Kirsh and B. Simon. "Schrödinger Operators with Application to Quantum Mechanics and Global Geometry," Springer-Verlag, New York, 1987.
- [9] E. Farhi, J. Goldstone, S. Gutmann and M. Sipser, "Quantum Computation by Adiabatic Evolution," 2000. [arXiv:quant-ph/0001106v1](https://arxiv.org/abs/quant-ph/0001106v1)
- [10] P. D. Hislop and I. M. Sigal, "Introduction to Spectral Theory with Applications to Schrödinger Operators," Springer-Verlag, New York, 1996.
- [11] T. Kato, "Perturbation Theory for Linear Operators," Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1984.
- [12] J. O. Lee and J. Yin, "A Lower Bound on the Ground State Energy of Dilute Bose Gas," *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, Vol. 51, No. 5, 2010, pp. 053302 (1-31).
- [13] E. L. Lieb, R. Seiringer, J. P. Solovej and J. Yngvason, "The Ground State of the Bose Gas," 2003. [arXiv:math-ph/0204027v2](https://arxiv.org/abs/math-ph/0204027v2)
- [14] H. E. Puthoff, "Ground State of Hydrogen as a Zero-Point-Fluctuation-Determined State," *Physical Review D*, Vol. 35, No. 10, 1987, pp. 3266-3269. [doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.35.3266](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.35.3266)
- [15] M. Reed and B. Simon, "Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics, Vol. IV," Academic Press, New York, 1975.
- [16] W. Thirring, "A Course in Mathematical Physics. Vol. III," Springer-Verlag, New York, 1981.
- [17] I. Wilson-Rae, N. Nooshi, W. Zwerger and T. J. Kippenberg, "Theory of Ground State Cooling of a Mechanical Oscillator Using Dynamical Backaction," *Physical Review Letters*, Vol. 99, No. 9, 2007, Article ID: 093901 (1-4).
- [18] P. D. Lax, "Functional Analysis," John Wiley & Sons Inc., New York, 2002.
- [19] M. Reed and B. Simon, "Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics, Vol. II," Academic Press, New York, 1975.
- [20] J. H. Arredondo and P. Seibert, "Characterization of the Fundamental State Eigenvalue for Some Time Dependent Hamiltonians," *Aportaciones Matemáticas. Serie Comunicaciones*, Vol. 29, No. 3, 2001, pp. 3-10.