

Nutrient Removal Efficiencies of *Chlorella vulgaris* from Urban Wastewater for Reduced Eutrophication

Ravipratap Singh, Rohan Birru, G. Sibi

Department of Biotechnology, Centre for Research and Post Graduate Studies, Indian Academy Degree College (Autonomous), Bengaluru, India

Email: gsibii@gmail.com

How to cite this paper: Singh, R., Birru, R. and Sibi, G. (2017) Nutrient Removal Efficiencies of *Chlorella vulgaris* from Urban Wastewater for Reduced Eutrophication. *Journal of Environmental Protection*, 8, 1-11.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.4236/jep.2017.81001>

Received: December 2, 2016

Accepted: January 2, 2017

Published: January 5, 2017

Copyright © 2017 by authors and Scientific Research Publishing Inc. This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution International License (CC BY 4.0).

<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>



Open Access

Abstract

Urban wastewater contains both organic and inorganic nutrients and discharge of untreated water increases nitrogen and phosphorous content in water bodies leading to eutrophication problem. Physical and chemical treatment of urban waste water produces large quantities of waste sludge associated with secondary pollution. Microalgae can assimilate nutrients especially nitrogen and phosphorous from wastewater for their growth and produce valuable biomass and lipid. This study was performed to determine the growth of *Chlorella vulgaris* in urban wastewater (UWW) and Bold's basal medium (BBM) thereby identifying cost effective growth medium for microalga cultivation. In addition, nutrient removal abilities of *C. vulgaris* from various dilutions of urban waste water were explored at 10 days cultivation period. Specific growth rate, biomass and lipid content were higher in microalgae grown in urban waste water than BBM. The highest lipid productivity of 14.31 mg·L⁻¹·day⁻¹ was achieved in the culture grown in UWW medium which exceeded the BBM at 1.15 fold. The amount of nutrient removal tended to increase with higher dilutions of UWW. Removal rates of upto 87.9% and 98.4% were recorded for total nitrogen and total phosphorous by *C. vulgaris*. The results emphasized that urban waste water as a cost effective growth medium for higher biomass and lipid production accompanied with the nutrient removal efficiency of microalgae to reduce eutrophication.

Keywords

Chlorella vulgaris, Wastewater, Nutrient Removal, Biomass, Lipid, Eutrophication

1. Introduction

Increasing industrialization and population explosion result in contamination of wastewater with available water resources. Discharge of urban waste water into water bodies introduces high levels of nitrogen and phosphorous which leads to eutrophication. Nutrient removal is an important aspect of wastewater treatment as eutrophication causes oxygen depletion in aquatic environments, increase in undesired vegetation, loss of aquatic flora and fauna. Phosphorous removal from waste water includes chemical treatment followed by physical treatment; however this method produces large quantities of waste sludge. Algae induced chemical processes are used to eliminate nitrogen and phosphorous [1] [2]. Microalgae require nitrogen and phosphorous as major nutrients besides other micronutrients and produce valuable biomass. Various synthetic media are used for growing microalgae but are associated with costs which exceed the value of final products under large scale cultivation [3]. For economic viability of algal biomass and lipid production, inputs to algal cultivation must be inexpensive. Waste water from urban areas is a cheap source of nutrients and could be used as growth medium for sustainable microalgal cultivation thereby reducing the need of resources like freshwater and fertilizers [4] [5]. Microalgae have adaptability and ability to utilize inorganic nutrients from wastewater. Cultivation of microalgae in wastewater reduces greater levels of fresh water usage and reduces the nitrogen inputs [6]. In addition to biomass and lipid productivities, microalgae are reported to have potential environmental benefits through removing nutrients from waste water [7] [8] [9] [10]. Integrating nutrient removal from wastewater with microalgae cultivation is one effective method to reduce the cost of large scale biofuel production [11] [12] and it would not cause secondary pollution [13].

Microalagae are used to treat various wastewaters in recent times [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]. Algae can assimilate nitrogen and phosphorous from wastewater for their growth at less operational costs [19] and also play an important role in elimination of contaminants from waste water. Utilization of wastewater for microalgal cultivation minimizes the nutrients supply and fresh water usage along with removal of organic and inorganic pollutants. In this study, comparative analysis of growth medium on the growth of microalgae was done using urban waste water and Bold's basal medium. Parallel analysis of nutrient removal capacity by the microalgae isolated from wastewater was also investigated.

2. Experimental Methods

2.1. Inoculum Collection, Identification and Scale-Up

Urban waste water (UWW) was collected from Bangalore and was characterized in terms of pH, electrical conductivity, temperature, biological oxygen demand, chemical oxygen demand, total organic carbon, total nitrogen and total phosphorous using APHA method [20]. The waste water was poured into a closed 250 ml bottles and exposed in sunlight for 3 weeks. The upper layer of the water was inoculated in agar plates enriched with BG11 medium containing ampicillin

(200 $\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{ml}^{-1}$) to control the bacterial growth. Agar plating technique was used to isolate the microalgae and the plates were incubated at $25^\circ\text{C} \pm 2^\circ\text{C}$ under cool white fluorescent light (40 $\mu\text{mol photons m}^{-2}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$; 15 h light/9 h dark) until algal growth was detected. The isolates were purified by streak plating and individual colonies were diluted in distilled water. Species of single cells were obtained using capillary pipette under microscope followed by inoculation into fresh media. After appropriate growth, cells were observed to confirm the single culture and the capillary method was repeated until pure culture was obtained. Identification of the algal isolates was carried out by following standard protocols [21] [22] and the database <http://web.biosci.utexas.edu/utex/default>.

Species of *Chlorella*, *Scenedesmus*, *Pandorina* and *Oscillatoria* were the major isolates identified and cultivated using urban waste water as scale up medium for a period of 6 days at $24^\circ\text{C} \pm 2^\circ\text{C}$ under illumination (12 h light/12 h dark). *Chlorella vulgaris* was selected and used for further studies as this strain could achieve relatively high biomass concentration among other isolates when cultivated in urban wastewater.

2.2. Influence of Growth Medium on Microalgae

Both Urban Waste Water (UWW) and Bold's Basal Medium (BBM) were used to determine the influence of growth medium on microalgal growth. Various parameters such as specific growth rate, biomass concentration, pigment concentration, total proteins and carbohydrates content and lipid productivity were determined. All experiments were performed in triplicates and the average values were statistically analyzed.

2.3. Specific Growth Rate and Biomass Productivity

Specific growth rate ($\mu\cdot\text{d}^{-1}$) of the microalgae was calculated according to the following formula [23].

$$\mu = \frac{\ln(N_t/N_0)}{T_t - T_0}$$

where, N_t and N_0 are the dry cell weight concentration ($\text{g}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$) at the end (T_t) and start (T_0) of log phase respectively.

Biomass ($\text{g}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$) of *C. vulgaris* grown in waste water medium and BBM was determined by measuring the optical density of samples at 600 nm (OD_{600}) using UV-Vis spectrophotometer. Biomass concentration was then calculated by multiplying OD_{600} values with 0.6, a predetermined conversion factor obtained by plotting OD_{600} versus dry cell weight (DCW). DCW was determined gravimetrically by centrifuging the algal cells ($3000 \times g$, 10 min) and drying.

$$\text{Biomass concentration} = \text{OD}_{680} \times 0.6 \quad (1)$$

The biomass productivity ($\text{g}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}\cdot\text{d}^{-1}$) was calculated according to Equation (2),

$$\text{Biomass productivity} = (B_t - B_0)/d \quad (2)$$

where B_t was the final biomass concentration, B_0 is the initial biomass concentration and d is the cultivation time.

2.4. Pigment Assay

Cellular pigments were determined using a spectrophotometric method after extraction with 80% acetone [24] [25]. Briefly, microalgal pellet was resuspended in phosphate buffer (0.1 M, pH 7) and sonicated for 10 mins. This was followed by addition of 80% acetone, vortexing and incubation in the dark for 15 mins. The suspension was centrifuged and the supernatant was measured in a spectrophotometer.

Subsequently, the amount of pigments was calculated using the following formulae

$$C_a = 12.21A_{663} - 2.81A_{646} \quad (1)$$

$$C_b = 20.13A_{646} - 5.03A_{663} \quad (2)$$

$$C_t = 1000A_{470} - 3.27C_a - 104C_b/198 \quad (3)$$

where C_a is the chlorophyll a, C_b is the chlorophyll b, and C_t is the total carotenoids ($\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{mL}^{-1}$).

2.5. Protein Assay

The extraction of proteins from microalgae was performed using alkali method. Aliquots of algal sample were centrifuged and 0.5 N NaOH was added to the pellet followed by extraction at 80°C for 10 mins. The mixture was centrifuged and protein content of the supernatant was estimated using Bovine Serum Albumin (BSA) as standard [26].

2.6. Carbohydrate Assay

Cellular carbohydrates were estimated using the anthrone method [27] after hot alkaline extraction [28]. Briefly, microalgal pellets were resuspended in distilled water and then heated in 40% (w/v) KOH at 90°C for 1 h. After cooling down, ice cold ethanol was added and stored at -20°C overnight followed by centrifugation. The pellet was resuspended in distilled water and then reacted with anthrone reagent. D-glucose was used as standard and the colour development was read at 578 nm in a spectrophotometer.

2.7. Total Lipid Estimation

Lipid extraction from dried algal cells were carried out by chloroform:methanol extraction method [29]. Dried algal cells added with distilled water were ultrasonicated and mixed with chloroform: methanol (2:1). The mixture was left for 30 mins in a water bath (30°C) and filtered through a Whatman No.1 filter paper. The filtrate was transferred to another screw cap tube containing NaCl solution (0.9%) and the purified chloroform layer was evaporated to a constant weight in a fuming hood under vacuum at 60°C. The total lipid content of dry weight was calculated using the following Equation (3).

$$\text{Lipid content (\%)} = (m_2 - m_0)/m_1 \times 100\% \quad (3)$$

where m_1 is the weight of the dried algal cells, m_0 is the weight of the empty new screw cap tube and m_2 is the weight of the new screw cap tube with the dried li-

pids.

Lipid productivity ($\text{g}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}\cdot\text{d}^{-1}$) was determined using the following Equation (4).

$$\text{Lipid productivity} = \text{Biomass productivity} \times \text{Lipid content} \quad (4)$$

2.8. Nutrient Removal Efficiency

Urban waste water was diluted with three initial dilutions (10%, 20% and 30%) using distilled water to determine the nutrient removal efficiency of *Chlorella* in batch experiments for a period of 10 days. The contents of total phosphorous (P) and total nitrogen (N) before and after the cultivation period were determined [20] by centrifuging a 10 ml liquid culture sample at 8000 rpm for 10 min.

3. Results and Discussion

The urban wastewater was characterized for its physicochemical properties and the pH, electrical conductivity and temperature were 6.6 ± 0.13 , $2.314 \text{ (mS}\cdot\text{cm}^{-1})$ and 30°C . The COD, BOD and TOC of the wastewater were $697 \pm 14.15 \text{ mg}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$, $974 \pm 22.04 \text{ mg}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$ and $257 \pm 27.98 \text{ mg}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$. The total nitrogen and phosphorous were $192.27 \pm 0.16 \text{ mg}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$ and $39.97 \pm 6.7 \text{ mg}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$.

Microalgae isolated from natural environments could adapt better and produce good results [30]. It is reasonable to hypothesize that species that naturally develop in wastewater should perform better than most others in commercial scale cultivation on wastewaters. Hence urban waste water was chosen as growth medium in this study. The results showed that among the algal strains isolated from wastewater environment, *Chlorella* ranked among the top ones in terms of maximal growth rate and biomass productivity. The results from Table 1 indicate that the growth and biochemical composition of the *C. vulgaris* varied with the type of the medium used. After 10 days of cultivation, Urban Waste Water (UWW) medium obtained the maximum biomass concentration of $1.13 \text{ g}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$ and biomass productivity of $0.19 \text{ g}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$, which was higher than that of Bold's

Table 1. Effect of growth medium on biomass production, chlorophyll biosynthesis and lipid accumulation of *Chlorella vulgaris*.

Parameters	Bold's basal medium	Urban waste water
Specific growth rate ($\mu \text{ d}^{-1}$)	1.12 ± 0.03	1.06 ± 0.02
Total chlorophyll ($\text{mg}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$)	11.36 ± 0.92	9.37 ± 0.54
Total carotenoids ($\text{mg}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$)	4.7 ± 0.10	4.8 ± 0.97
Total protein ($\text{mg}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$)	58.7 ± 0.56	63.7 ± 1.76
Total carbohydrates ($\text{mg}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$)	196 ± 1.64	187 ± 3.61
Biomass ($\text{g}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$)	1.09 ± 0.04	1.13 ± 0.02
Biomass productivity ($\text{g}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}\cdot\text{d}^{-1}$)	0.17 ± 0.03	0.19 ± 0.01
Lipid content (% DW)	7.52 ± 0.31	8.31 ± 0.35
Lipid productivity ($\text{mg}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}\cdot\text{d}^{-1}$)	12.38 ± 1.01	14.31 ± 0.38

Basal Medium ($1.09 \text{ g}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$ and $0.17 \text{ g}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$). This scenario was different with specific growth rate *i.e.* $1.12 \mu\cdot\text{day}^{-1}$ was observed in BBM where as it was $1.06 \mu\cdot\text{day}^{-1}$ in UWW. *C. vulgaris* has produced a biomass of $2.7 \text{ g}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$ in urban waste water [14] and in this study $1.13 \text{ g}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$ was obtained. Higher biomass was obtained in wastewater medium than BG11 medium [31] and similar results were produced in the present work. The chlorophyll biosynthesis increased in the Bold's Basal Medium (BBM). The maximum chlorophyll content ($11.36 \text{ mg}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$) was obtained in BBM whereas it was $9.37 \text{ mg}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$ in UWW medium. Total carotenoids and protein were higher in cells grown in UWW medium and recorded 4.7 and $58.7 \text{ mg}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$ respectively. However, total carbohydrates were increased in BBM ($196 \text{ mg}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$). The biomass productivity by *C. vulgaris* in the urban wastewater is $0.19 \text{ g}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}\cdot\text{d}^{-1}$ and similar values were reported earlier [32] using municipal wastewater. The other species of *Chlorella* grown under municipal wastewater resulted in biomass productivity of $0.055 - 0.60 \text{ g}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}\cdot\text{d}^{-1}$ [30] [33] [34] [35].

For lipid contents, no statistically significant differences between the UWW and BBM were observed. However, the volumetric lipid productivities of the cultures grown in UWW were enhanced notably due to their high cell density. The highest lipid productivity of $14.31 \text{ mg}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}\cdot\text{day}^{-1}$ was achieved in the culture grown in UWW medium which exceeded the BBM at 1.15 fold. In a study using artificial wastewater medium by Feng *et al.*, [36], 42% lipid content and $147 \text{ mg}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}\cdot\text{d}^{-1}$ of lipid productivity were obtained from *C. vulgaris* whereas 8.31% and $14.31 \text{ mg}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}\cdot\text{d}^{-1}$ were produced in this study using urban waste water as growth medium.

Urban waste water contains both organic and inorganic phosphate which can be readily assimilated by algae. Phosphorus (P) is an important macronutrient for microalgal growth and microalgae assimilate phosphorus as inorganic orthophosphate for production of phospholipids, ATP and nucleic acids [37]. Phosphorous uptake by microalgae is affected by algal physiology as well as P concentrations and its chemical forms. Waste water contains nitrogenous matter in the form of ammonium ions, ammonia and organic nitrogen along with other oxidized form of nitrogen. The presence of various forms of nitrogen and phosphorous in wastewater leads to eutrophication [38]. *C. vulgaris* has a high potential to reduce nutrients in secondary waste water treatment plants effluents [39] [40] [41] while simultaneously producing algal biomass with sufficient lipids content and an acceptable fatty acids profile for use as a biodiesel feedstock [42]. Nutrient uptake is realized via the active transport of ions across the cell membrane. The efficiency of nutrient removal by microalgae is related to its ability to reduce the nitrogen and phosphorous levels in the wastewater. Nutrient removal efficiency depends on the concentrations of nitrogen and phosphorous present in water [43]. Urban wastewater often contained high concentrations of nitrogen and phosphorus, and dilution with fresh water is needed before use to increase the transmission of light in while cultivation. Urban waste water was diluted as the initial concentrations of nitrogen and phosphorous of the waste water was higher than the recommended concentration of microalgae hence various

Table 2. Nutrient removal performance of *Chlorella vulgaris* in different UWW concentrations at 10 days cultivation period.

	Initial concentration (mg/l)		Final concentration (mg/l)	
	Total N	Total P	Total N	Total P
10%	21.37 ± 0.25	1.84 ± 0.22	3.61 ± 1.31	0.12 ± 0.04
20%	37.46 ± 1.62	4.12 ± 0.14	7.25 ± 0.47	0.09 ± 0.06
30%	59.31 ± 2.74	9.61 ± 1.23	7.14 ± 2.54	0.15 ± 0.03

dilutions of wastewater was prepared using distilled water. Both nitrogen and phosphorous removal amounts in different initial concentrations of UWW for 10 days cultivation are represented in **Table 2**.

The total nitrogen removal efficiencies recorded were $83.1\% \pm 0.14\%$, $78.3\% \pm 0.06\%$, $87.9\% \pm 0.11\%$ in 10%, 20% and 30% dilutions. Up to 84.11% nitrogen uptake from urban waste water was reported by *Chlorella* [44] and an 87.9% nitrogen removal was found in this study. The amount of removed total phosphorous tended to increase with higher initial dilutions of UWW. Approximately, 93.4, 97.8 and 98.4% were removed from the growth medium containing 10%, 20% and 30% of UWW respectively. Hongyang *et al.*, [45] reported the removal of nitrogen (77.8%) and phosphorous (88.8%) from soybean processing wastewater using *Chlorella pyrenoidosa*. *C. vulgaris* grown in tertiary municipal wastewater with initial concentration of 8.7 and $1.71 \text{ mg}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$ of total nitrogen and phosphorous has completely removed the nutrients at the end of 4 days cultivation [46]. In other studies, removal rate of total nitrogen was observed between 61.1% - 91.8% by *Chlorella* sp. grown in municipal wastewater [47] [48] [49] whereas 87.9% removal was recorded in this study. It was observed that 98.4% of total phosphorous was removed which is higher than removal rate reported in previous studies [46] [50] [51].

4. Conclusion

The results clearly emphasized that urban waste water is a cost effective growth medium for higher biomass and lipid production in microalgae. The nutrient removal efficiency by *C. vulgaris* isolated from wastewater proved that microalgae are potential in removing nitrogen and phosphorous from highly concentrated nutrient rich urban wastewater. This study highlights the more effective alternative ecofriendly approach for wastewater treatment using microalgae to eliminate eutrophication.

References

- [1] Kesaano, M. and Sims, R.C. (2014) Algal Biofilm Based Technology for Wastewater Treatment. *Algal Research*, **5**, 231-240. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.algal.2014.02.003>
- [2] Sanudo-Wilhelmy, S.A., Tovar-Sanchez, A., Fu, F.X., Capone, D.G., Carpenter, E.J. and Hutchins, D.A. (2004) The Impact of Surface Adsorbed Phosphorous on Phytoplankton Redfield Stoichiometry. *Nature*, **432**, 897-901. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature03125>

- [3] Li, X., Xu, H. and Wu, Q. (2007) Large Scale Biodiesel Production from Microalga *Chlorella Protothecoides* through Heterotrophic Cultivation in Bioreactors. *Bio-technology and Bioengineering*, **98**, 764-771. <https://doi.org/10.1002/bit.21489>
- [4] Pittman, J.K., Dean, A.P. and Osundeko, O. (2011) The Potential of Sustainable Algal Biofuel Production Using Wastewater Resources. *Bioresource Technology*, **102**, 17-25. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2010.06.035>
- [5] Driver, T., Bajhaiya, A. and Pittman, J.K. (2014) Potential of Bioenergy Production from Microalgae. *Current Sustainable/Renewable Energy Reports*, **1**, 94-103. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40518-014-0011-8>
- [6] Rawat, I., Bhola, V., Ranjith Kumar, R. and Bux, F. (2013) Improving the Feasibility of Producing Biofuels from Microalgae Using Wastewater. *Environmental Technology*, **34**, 1765-1775. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09593330.2013.826287>
- [7] Park, J.B., Craggs, R.J. and Shilton, A.N. (2011) Wastewater Treatment High Rate Algal Ponds for Biofuel Production. *Bioresource Technology*, **102**, 35-42. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2010.06.158>
- [8] Boelee, N.C., Temmink, H., Janssen, M., Buisman, C.J.N. and Wijffels, R.H. (2011) Nitrogen and Phosphorus Removal from Municipal Wastewater Effluent Using Microalgal Biofilms. *Water Research*, **45**, 5925-5933. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2011.08.044>
- [9] Shi, J., Podola, B. and Melkonian, M. (2007) Removal of Nitrogen and Phosphorus from Wastewater Using Microalgae Immobilized on Twin Layers: An Experimental Study. *Journal of Applied Phycology*, **19**, 417-423. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10811-006-9148-1>
- [10] Levine, R.B., Costanza-Robinson, M.S. and Spatafora, G.A. (2011) *Neochloris Oleoabundans* Grown on Anaerobically Digested Dairy Manure for Concomitant Nutrient Removal and Biodiesel Feedstock Production. *Biomass Bioenergy*, **35**, 40-49. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biombioe.2010.08.035>
- [11] Wang, C., Yu, X., Lv, H. and Yang, J. (2013) Nitrogen and Phosphorus Removal from Municipal Wastewater by the Green Alga *Chlorella* sp. *Journal of Environmental Biology*, **34**, 421-425.
- [12] Chen, R., Li, R., Deitz, L., Liu, Y., Stevenson, R.J. and Liao, W. (2012) Freshwater Algal Cultivation with Animal Waste for Nutrient Removal and Biomass Production. *Biomass Bioenergy*, **39**, 128-138. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biombioe.2011.12.045>
- [13] Zamani, N., Noshadi, M., Amin, S., Niazi, A. and Ghasemi, Y. (2011) Effect of Alginate Structure and Microalgae Immobilization Method on Orthophosphate Removal from Waste Water. *Journal of Applied Phycology*, **24**, 649-655. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10811-011-9682-3>
- [14] Caporgno, M.P., Taleb, A., Olkiewicz, M., Font, J., Pruvost, J., Legrand, J. and Bengoa, C. (2015) Microalgae Cultivation in Urban Waste Water: Nutrient Removal and Biomass Production for Biodiesel and Methane. *Algal Research*, **10**, 232-239. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.algal.2015.05.011>
- [15] Abou-Shanab, R.A.I., Ji, M.K., Kim, H.C., Paeng, K.J. and Jeon, B.H. (2013) Microalgal Species Growing on Piggery Wastewater as a Valuable Candidate for Nutrient Removal and Biodiesel Production. *Journal of Environmental Management*, **115**, 257-264. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2012.11.022>
- [16] Sun, X., Wang, C., Li, Z., Wang, W., Tong, Y. and Wei, J. (2013) Microalgal Cultivation in Wastewater from the Fermentation Effluent in Riboflavin (B₂) Manufacturing for Biodiesel Production. *Bioresource Technology*, **143**, 499-504. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2013.06.044>

- [17] Luo, L., He, H., Yan, C., Wen, S., Zeng, G., Wu, M., Zhou, Z. and Lou, W. (2016) Nutrient Removal and Lipid Production by *Coelastrella* sp. in Anaerobically and Aerobically Treated Swine Wastewater. *Bioresource Technology*, **216**, 135-141. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2016.05.059>
- [18] Cheng, J., Ye, Q., Xu, J., Yang, Z., Zhou, J. and Chen, K. (2016) Improving Pollutants Removal by Microalgae *Chlorella PY-ZU1* with 15% CO₂ from Undiluted Anaerobic Digestion Effluent of Food Wastes with Ozonation Pretreatment. *Biore-source Technology*, **216**, 273-279. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2016.05.069>
- [19] Aslan, S. and Kapdan, I.K. (2006) Batch Kinetics of Nitrogen and Phosphorous Removal from Synthetic Wastewater by Algae. *Ecological Engineering*, **28**, 64-70. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoleng.2006.04.003>
- [20] APHA (2005) Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Waste Water. 21st Edition, American Public Health Association, Washington DC.
- [21] Anderson, R.A. (2005) Algal Culturing Techniques. Elsevier Inc., Amsterdam.
- [22] Stanier, R.Y., Kunisawa, R., Mandel, M. and Cohen-Bazire, G. (1971) Purification and Properties of Unicellular Blue-Green Algae (Order Chroococcales). *Bacteriological Reviews*, **35**, 171-205.
- [23] Guillard, R.R.L. and Ryther, J.H. (1962) Studies on Marine Planktonic Diatoms I. *Cyclotella nana* Hustedt and *Detonula confervacea* (Cleve) Gran. *Canadian Journal of Microbiology*, **8**, 229-239. <https://doi.org/10.1139/m62-029>
- [24] Wellburn, A.R. (1994) The Spectral Determination of Chlorophyll a and b, as Well as Total Carotenoids, Using Various Solvents with Spectrophotometers of Different Resolution. *Journal of Plant Physiology*, **144**, 307-313. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0176-1617\(11\)81192-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0176-1617(11)81192-2)
- [25] Kirk, J.T.O. (1967) Studies on the Dependence of Chlorophyll Synthesis on Protein Synthesis in *Euglena gracilis*, Together with a Nomogram for Determination of Chlorophyll Concentration. *Planta*, **78**, 200-207. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00406651>
- [26] Lowry, O.H., Rosebrough, N.J., Farr, A.L. and Randall, R.J. (1951) Protein Measurement with the Folin Phenol Reagent. *The Journal of Biological Chemistry*, **193**, 265-275.
- [27] Gerhardt, P., Murray, R.G.E., Wood, W.A. and Krieg, N.R. (1994) Methods for General and Molecular Bacteriology. ASM, Washington DC.
- [28] Leyva, A., Quintana, A., Sanchez, M., Rodriguez, E.N., Cremata, J. and Sanchez, J.C. (2008) Rapid and Sensitive Anthrone-Sulfuric Acid Assay in Microplate Format to Quantify Carbohydrate in Biopharmaceutical Products: Method Development and Validation. *Biologicals*, **36**, 134-141. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biologicals.2007.09.001>
- [29] Folch, J., Lees, M. and Stanley, G.H.S. (1956) A Simple Method for the Isolation and Purification of Total Lipids from Animal Tissues. *The Journal of Biological Chemistry*, **226**, 497-509.
- [30] Li, X., Hu, H.Y., Gan, K. and Yang, J. (2010) Growth and Nutrient Removal Properties of a Fresh Water Microalga *Scenedesmus* sp. LX1 under Different Kinds of Nitrogen Sources. *Ecological Engineering*, **36**, 379-381. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoleng.2009.11.003>
- [31] Cho, S., Lee, N., Park, S., Yu, J., Luong, T.T., Oh, Y.K. and Lee, T. (2013) Microalgae Cultivation for Bioenergy Production Using Wastewaters from a Municipal WWTP as Nutritional Sources. *Bioresource Technology*, **131**, 515-520. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2012.12.176>

- [32] Cabanelas, I.T.D., Ruiz, J., Arbib, Z., Chinalia, F.A., Garrido-Perez, C., Rogalla, F., Nascimento, I.A. and Perales, J.A. (2013) Comparing the Use of Different Domestic Wastewaters for Coupling Microalgal Production and Nutrient Removal. *Biore-source Technology*, **131**, 429-436. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2012.12.152>
- [33] Li, Y., Zhou, W., Hu, B., Min, M., Chen, P. and Ruan, R.R. (2011) Integration of Algae Cultivation as Biodiesel Production Feedstock with Municipal Wastewater Treatment: Strains Screening and Significance Evaluation of Environmental Factors. *Biore-source Technology*, **102**, 10861-10867. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2011.09.064>
- [34] Lizzul, A.M., Hellier, P., Purton, S., Baganz, F., Ladommatos, N. and Campos, L. (2014) Combined Remediation and Lipid Production Using *Chlorella sorokiniana* Grown on Wastewater and Exhaust Gases. *Biore-source Technology*, **151**, 12-18. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2013.10.040>
- [35] Gupta, P.L., Choi, H.J. and Lee, S.M. (2016) Enhanced Nutrient Removal from Municipal Wastewater Assisted by Mixotrophic Microalgal Cultivation Using Glycerol. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, **23**, 10114-10123. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-016-6224-1>
- [36] Feng, Y., Li, C. and Zhang, D. (2011) Lipid Production of *Chlorella vulgaris* Cultured in Artificial Wastewater Medium. *Biore-source Technology*, **102**, 101-105. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2010.06.016>
- [37] Ji, F., Liu, Y., Hao, R., Li, G., Zhou, Y. and Dong, R. (2014) Biomass Production and Nutrients Removal by a New Microalgae Strain *Desmodesmus* sp. in Anaerobic Digestion Wastewater. *Biore-source Technology*, **161**, 200-207. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2014.03.034>
- [38] Yang, X., Wu, X., Hao, H. and He, Z. (2008) Mechanisms and Assessment of Water Eutrophication. *Journal of Zhejiang University SCIENCE B*, **9**, 197-209. <https://doi.org/10.1631/jzus.B0710626>
- [39] Ruiz, J., Alvarez, P., Arbib, Z., Garrido, C., Barragan, J. and Perales, J.A. (2011) Effect of Nitrogen and Phosphorus Concentration on Their Removal Kinetic in Treated Urban Wastewater by *Chlorella vulgaris*. *International Journal of Phytore-mediation*, **13**, 884-896. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15226514.2011.573823>
- [40] Kim, J., Liu, Z., Lee, J.Y. and Lu, T. (2013) Removal of Nitrogen and Phosphorus from Municipal Wastewater Effluent Using *Chlorella vulgaris* and Its Growth Kinetics. *Desalination and Water Treatment*, **51**, 7800-7806. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19443994.2013.779938>
- [41] Shaker, S., Nemati, A., Montazeri-Najafabady, N., Mobasher, M.A., Morowvat, M.H. and Ghasemi, Y. (2015) Treating Urban Wastewater: Nutrient Removal by Using Immobilized Green Algae in Batch Cultures. *International Journal of Phy-toremediation*, **17**, 1177-1182. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15226514.2015.1045130>
- [42] Canedo-Lopez, Y., Ruiz-Martin, A. and Zaval-Loria, J.C. (2016) A Two-Stage Culture Process Using *Chlorella vulgaris* for Urban Wastewater Nutrient Removal and Enhanced Algal Lipid Accumulation under Photoautotrophic and Mixotrophic Conditions. *Journal of Renewable and Sustainable Energy*, **8**, Article ID: 033102. <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4954078>
- [43] Goldberg, I.K. and Cohen, Z. (2006) The Effect of Phosphate Starvation on the Lipid and Fatty Acid Composition of the Fresh Water Eustigmatophyte *Monodus subterraneus*. *Phytochemistry*, **67**, 696-701. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.phytochem.2006.01.010>
- [44] Rasoul-Amini, S., Montazeri-Najafabady, N., Shaker, S., Safari, A., Kazemi, A., Mousavi, P., et al. (2014) Removal of Nitrogen and Phosphorus from Wastewater

- Using Microalgae Free Cells in Batch Culture System. *Biocatalysis and Agricultural Biotechnology*, **3**, 126-131. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bcab.2013.09.003>
- [45] Hongyang, S., Yalei, Z., Chunmin, Z., Xuefei, Z. and Jinpeng, L. (2011) Cultivation of *Chlorella pyrenoidosa* in Soybean Processing Wastewater. *Bioresource Technology*, **102**, 9884-9890. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2011.08.016>
- [46] Ji, M., Abou-Shanab, R.A.I., Kim, S., Salama, E., Lee, S., Kabra, A.N., Lee, Y., Hong, S. and Jeon, B. (2013) Cultivation of Microalgae Species in Tertiary Municipal Wastewater Supplemented with CO₂ for Nutrient Removal and Biomass Production. *Ecological Engineering*, **58**, 142-148. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoleng.2013.06.020>
- [47] Min, M., Wang, L., Li, Y., Mohr, M.J., Hu, B., Zhou, W., Chen, P. and Ruan, R. (2011) Cultivating *Chlorella* sp. in a Pilot-Scale Photobioreactor Using Centrate Wastewater for Microalgae Biomass Production and Wastewater Nutrient Removal. *Applied Biochemistry and Biotechnology*, **165**, 123-137. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12010-011-9238-7>
- [48] Cho, S., Luong, T.T., Lee, D., Oh, Y. and Lee, T. (2011) Reuse of Effluent Water from a Municipal Wastewater Treatment Plant in Microalgae Cultivation for Bio-fuel Production. *Bioresource Technology*, **102**, 8639-8645. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2011.03.037>
- [49] Dahmani, S., Zerrouki, D., Ramanna, L., Rawat, I. and Bux, F. (2016) Cultivation of *Chlorella pyrenoidosa* in Outdoor Open Raceway Pond Using Domestic Wastewater as Medium in Arid Desert Region. *Bioresource Technology*, **219**, 749-752. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2016.08.019>
- [50] Wang, L., Min, M., Li, Y., Chen, P., Chen, Y., Liu, Y., Wang, Y. and Ruan, R. (2010) Cultivation of Green Algae *Chlorella* sp. in Different Wastewaters from Municipal Wastewater Treatment Plant. *Applied Biochemistry and Biotechnology*, **162**, 1174-1186. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12010-009-8866-7>
- [51] Li, Y., Chen, Y., Chen, P., Min, M., Zhou, W., Martinez, B., Zhu, J. and Ruan, R. (2011) Characterization of a Microalga *Chlorella* sp. Well Adapted to Highly Concentrated Municipal Wastewater for Nutrient Removal and Biodiesel Production. *Bioresource Technology*, **102**, 5138-5144. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2011.01.091>



Scientific Research Publishing

Submit or recommend next manuscript to SCIRP and we will provide best service for you:

Accepting pre-submission inquiries through Email, Facebook, LinkedIn, Twitter, etc.

A wide selection of journals (inclusive of 9 subjects, more than 200 journals)

Providing 24-hour high-quality service

User-friendly online submission system

Fair and swift peer-review system

Efficient typesetting and proofreading procedure

Display of the result of downloads and visits, as well as the number of cited articles

Maximum dissemination of your research work

Submit your manuscript at: <http://papersubmission.scirp.org/>

Or contact jep@scirp.org

