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#### Abstract

In this note we at first briefly review iterative methods for effectively approaching a root of an unknown multiplicity. We describe a first order, then a second order estimate for the multiplicity index $\boldsymbol{m}$ of the approached root. Next we present a second order, two-step method for iteratively nearing a root of an unknown multiplicity. Subsequently, we introduce a novel chord, or a twostep method, not requiring beforehand knowledge of the multiplicity index $m$ of the sought root, nor requiring higher order derivatives of the equilibrium function, which is quadratically convergent for any $\boldsymbol{m} \leq 4$, and then reverts to superlinear.
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## 1. Introduction

The multiplicity index $m$ of root $x=a, f(a)=0$ of equilibrium function $f(x)$ may be a well latent property of the root, not cursorily revealed, nor readily available, yet this multiplicity can profoundly affect the behavior of the iterative approach [1]-[3] to the root. In this note, we briefly review the iterative methods [4]-[8] for approaching a root of an unknown multiplicity, and present a first oder [9] as well as a second order estimate for the multiplicity index $m$ of the approached root. Then we present a novel chord, or a two-step method, not requiring beforehand knowledge of $m$, nor requiring the higher derivatives of the equilibrium function, which is quadratically convergent for any $m \leq 4$, and then reverts to superlinear.

## 2. Assumed Nature of the Equilibrium Function

We assume that near root $a, f(a)=0$, function $f(x)$ has the power series representation

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=(x-a)^{m}\left(A+B(x-a)+C(x-a)^{2}+\cdots\right), A \neq 0, m \geq 1 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m$ is the multiplicity index of root $a$, and where $A, B, C$, etc. are, for $m=1$, the coefficients

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=f^{\prime}(a), B=\frac{1}{2!} f^{\prime \prime}(a), C=\frac{1}{3!} f^{\prime \prime \prime}(a) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and so on.

## 3. The Newton-Raphson Method

The Newton-Raphson method

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{1}=x_{0}-u_{0}, u=\frac{f(x)}{f^{\prime}(x)} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

is quadratic

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{1}-a=\frac{B}{A}\left(x_{0}-a\right)^{2}+\frac{2\left(B^{2}+A C\right)}{A^{2}}\left(x_{0}-a\right)^{3}+O\left(\left(x_{0}-a\right)^{4}\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $m=1$. However, if $m>1$, the method declines to mere linear

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{1}-a=\frac{m-1}{m}\left(x_{0}-a\right)+\frac{B}{m^{2} A}\left(x_{0}-a\right)^{2}+O\left(\left(x_{0}-a\right)^{3}\right) . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

See also [10].

## 4. Extrapolation to the Limit

Let $x_{0}, x_{1}=x_{0}-u_{0}, x_{2}=x_{1}-u_{1}$ be already near root $a$. Then, if $m=1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{1}-a=\frac{B}{A}\left(x_{0}-a\right)^{2} \text { and } x_{2}-a=\frac{B}{A}\left(x_{1}-a\right)^{2} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

nearly. Eliminating $B / A$ from the two equations we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(-2 x_{0}+3 x_{1}-x_{2}\right) a^{2}+\left(x_{0}^{2}-3 x_{1}^{2}+2 x_{0} x_{2}\right) a+\left(x_{1}^{3}-x_{0}^{2} x_{2}\right)=0 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

which we solve for an approximate $a$, as

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{3}=a=x_{0}-\frac{3+\sqrt{1+4 \rho}}{2(2-\rho)} u_{0} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho=u_{1} / u_{0}=\frac{B}{A}\left(x_{0}-a\right)+O\left(\left(x_{0}-a\right)^{2}\right) . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The square root in Equation (8) may be approximated as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{1+4 \rho}=1+2 \rho-2 \rho^{2}+4 \rho^{3}-10 \rho^{4}+28 \rho^{5}-84 \rho^{6} \pm \cdots \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for this extrapolated $x_{3}$ of Equation (8) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{3}-a=\frac{2 B^{2}\left(B^{2}-A C\right)}{A^{4}}\left(x_{0}-a\right)^{5}+O\left(\left(x_{0}-a\right)^{6}\right) . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

For example, for $f(x)=x+x^{2}+x^{3}$, and starting with $x_{0}=0.2$, we compute $x_{1}=0.0368, x_{2}=0.0135$; and then from Equation (8), $x_{3}=0.000112$. Another such cycle starting with $x_{0}=x_{3}$ produces a next $x_{3}=-1.36 \times 10^{-20}$.

## 5. Always Quadratic Newton-Raphson Method

The modified Newton-Raphson method

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{1}=x_{0}-m u_{0}=x_{0}-m \frac{f_{0}}{f_{0}^{\prime}} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

converges quadratically to a root of any multiplicity $m$

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{1}-a=\frac{1}{m} \frac{B}{A}\left(x_{0}-a\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{m^{2}}\left((m+1) \frac{B^{2}}{A^{2}}-2 m \frac{C}{A}\right)\left(x_{0}-a\right)^{3}+O\left(\left(x_{0}-a\right)^{4}\right) . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

But for this we need to know $m$.
By Equation (1) we readily deduce that, for any $x$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu=\frac{1}{u^{\prime}}=\frac{f^{\prime 2}}{f^{\prime 2}-f f^{\prime \prime}}=m+\frac{2 B}{A}(x-a)+\frac{B^{2}-3 B^{2} m+6 A C m}{A^{2} m}(x-a)^{2}+O\left((x-a)^{3}\right) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

obtained at the price of a second derivative. For finite-difference approximations of the needed derivatives see [11]-[13]. Using $\mu$ in Equation (14) for $m$ in Equation (12) we obtain the method

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{1}=x_{0}-\frac{f_{0} f_{0}^{\prime}}{f_{0}^{\prime 2}-f_{0} f_{0}^{\prime \prime}} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is quadratic for any, provided, $m$

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{1}-a=-\frac{1}{m} \frac{B}{A}\left(x_{0}-a\right)^{2}+O\left(\left(x_{0}-a\right)^{3}\right) . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

The method of Equation (15) is also obtained by applying Newton's method not to $f$, but rather to $u=f / f^{\prime}$. For $f(x)=x^{m}(3+x)$, we obtain by the method of Equation (15) that requires not only $f^{\prime}$ but also $f^{\prime \prime}$, starting with $x_{0}=1$.

For $m=1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=\left\{1,-0.176,-0.012,-4.6 \times 10^{-5},-6.98 \times 10^{-10},-1.63 \times 10^{-19}\right\} \tag{17a}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $m=7$

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=\left\{1,-0.027,-3.4 \times 10^{-5},-5.6 \times 10^{-11},-1.47 \times 10^{-22}\right\} . \tag{17b}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (15) may be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{1}=x_{0}-\frac{1}{1-z_{0}} \frac{f_{0}}{f_{0}^{\prime}}, z_{0}=\frac{f_{0} f_{0}^{\prime \prime}}{f_{0}^{\prime 2}} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

and it is of interest to know that

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{0}=\frac{f_{0} f_{0}^{\prime \prime}}{f_{0}^{\prime 2}}=\frac{m-1}{m}+\frac{2}{m^{2}} \frac{B}{A}(x-a)+O\left((x-a)^{2}\right) . \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the price of a third derivative we may have the quadratic approximation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu=\frac{u^{\prime}}{u^{\prime 2}-u u^{\prime \prime}}=\frac{f^{\prime 2}\left(f^{\prime 2}-f f^{\prime \prime}\right)}{f^{\prime 4}-f f^{\prime 2} f^{\prime \prime}-f^{2} f^{\prime \prime 2}+f^{2} f^{\prime} f^{\prime \prime \prime}}=\frac{m+B^{2}+3 B^{2} m-6 A C m}{A^{2} m}\left(x_{0}-a\right)^{2}+\cdots \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 6. An Erroneous m

The method

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{1}=x_{0}-m(1+\epsilon) u_{0} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

produces the superlinear

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{1}-a=-\epsilon\left(x_{0}-a\right)+\frac{B(1+\epsilon)}{A m}\left(x_{0}-a\right)^{2}+O\left(\left(x_{0}-a\right)^{3}\right) \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

and if $\epsilon>0$, convergence is alternating.

## 7. Estimation of the Leading Term

We readily have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{1}{2} m^{2} u^{\prime \prime}=-\frac{1}{2} m^{2} \frac{-f^{\prime 2} f^{\prime \prime}+2 f f^{\prime \prime 2}-f f^{\prime} f^{\prime \prime \prime}}{f^{\prime 3}}=\frac{B}{A}-\left(\frac{3(1+m)}{m}\left(\frac{B}{A}\right)^{2}-6 \frac{C}{A}\right)(x-a) \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

For example, for $f=x+10 x^{2}$, we compute using Equation (23) the $B / A$ approximations as depending on the chosen $x$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\{x, B / A\}=\left\{10^{-2}, 5.79\right\},\left\{10^{-3}, 9.42\right\},\left\{10^{-4}, 9.94\right\},\left\{10^{-5}, 9.994\right\} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 8. An Elementary Discrete Two-Step Newton Method for Roots of Any Multiplicity

 If$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{0}, x_{1}=x_{0}-u_{0}, x_{2}=x_{1}-u_{1}, u=\frac{f}{f^{\prime}} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

are already close to root $a$ of multiplicity $m>1$, then according to Equation (5)

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{1}-a=\left(1-\frac{1}{m}\right)\left(x_{0}-a\right), \text { and } x_{2}-a=\left(1-\frac{1}{m}\right)\left(x_{1}-a\right) \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

nearly, from which we extract the approximation

$$
\begin{equation*}
a=\frac{x_{1}^{2}-x_{0} x_{2}}{-x_{0}+2 x_{1}-x_{2}}=x_{0}-\frac{u_{0}}{u_{0}-u_{1}} u_{0}=x_{1}-\frac{u_{0}}{u_{0}-u_{1}} u_{1} . \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Setting $a$ back into Equation (26) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu=\frac{x_{1}-x_{0}}{u_{1}-u_{0}}=\frac{1}{1-\rho}, \rho=\frac{u_{1}}{u_{0}} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the two-step method

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{0}=\mu_{0}, u_{0}=\frac{f_{0}}{f_{0}^{\prime}}, x_{1}=x_{0}-\mu_{0} u_{0}, u_{1}=\frac{f_{1}}{f_{1}^{\prime}}, \mu_{1}=\frac{x_{1}-x_{0}}{u_{1}-u_{0}}=\frac{1}{1-\rho}, \rho=\frac{u_{1}}{u_{0}}, x_{2}=x_{1}-\mu_{1} u_{1} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mu$ in Equation (28) is seen to be but the finite-difference approximation of $\mu=\mathrm{d} x / \mathrm{d} u$ in Equation (14).

For example, for $f(x)=x^{3}+x^{4}$, and starting with $x_{0}=1, \mu_{0}=1$, we compute by Equation (29), the successive approximations

$$
\begin{gather*}
x_{0}=1, \mu_{0}=1, x_{1}=0.71, \mu_{1}=3.72, x_{2}=-6.4 \times 10^{-2}  \tag{30a}\\
x_{0}=-0.064, \mu_{0}=3.72, x_{1}=0.018, \mu_{1}=2.95, x_{2}=4 \times 10^{-4}  \tag{30b}\\
x_{0}=4 \times 10^{-4}, \mu_{0}=2.95, x_{1}=6.9 \times 10^{-6}, \mu_{1}=3.0004, x_{2}=-9.3 \times 10^{-10} \tag{30c}
\end{gather*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{0}=-9.3 \times 10^{-10}, \mu_{0}=3.0004, x_{1}=1.26 \times 10^{-13}, \mu_{1}=3, x_{2}=3.9 \times 10^{-23} . \tag{30d}
\end{equation*}
$$

Generally, starting with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{0}=m+\epsilon_{1}, x_{0}=a+\epsilon_{2} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have from the method of Equation (29) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{1}=m+\frac{B}{A}\left(1-\frac{\epsilon_{1}}{m}\right) \epsilon_{2}+O\left(\epsilon_{2}^{2}\right), x_{2}=a+\frac{B}{A m^{2}} \epsilon_{1} \epsilon_{2}^{2}+O\left(\epsilon_{2}^{3}\right) . \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

The repeated classical Newton's method, $x_{1}=x_{0}-f_{0} / f_{0}^{\prime}, x_{2}=x_{1}-f_{1} / f_{1}^{\prime}$, we recall, is only linear if $m>1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{2}-a=\left(1-\frac{1}{m}\right)^{2}\left(x_{0}-a\right)+\frac{(2 m-1)(m-1)}{m^{4}} \frac{B}{A}\left(x_{0}-a\right)^{2}+O\left(\left(x_{0}-a\right)^{3}\right) . \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

See also [14] [15].

## 9. Derivation of the Chord Method

It is a rational two step method of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{2}=x_{1}+\left(x_{1}-x_{0}\right) \frac{f_{1}+P f_{0}}{Q f_{1}+R f_{0}}, x_{1}=x_{0}+k \frac{f_{0}}{f_{0}^{\prime}}, f_{0}=f\left(x_{0}\right), f_{1}=f\left(x_{1}\right) \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

With

$$
\begin{equation*}
P=\frac{6+11 k+6 k^{2}+k^{3}}{-6+4 k}, Q=\frac{9-2 k}{-3+2 k}, R=\frac{18+14 k+5 k^{2}+k^{3}}{6-4 k} \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

the method is quadratic for $m=1, m=2$ and $m=3$. In fact;
For $m=1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{2}-a=-\frac{B}{A} \frac{9+7 k}{9+k}\left(x_{0}-a\right)^{2}+O\left(\left(x_{0}-a\right)^{3}\right) . \tag{36a}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $m=2$

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{2}-a=-\frac{B}{2 A} \frac{9+7 k+k^{2}}{9+4 k}\left(x_{0}-a\right)^{2}+O\left(\left(x_{0}-a\right)^{3}\right) . \tag{36b}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $m=3$

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{2}-a=-\frac{B}{3 A} \frac{81+63 k+14 k^{2}}{81+45 k+4 k^{2}}\left(x_{0}-a\right)^{2}+O\left(\left(x_{0}-a\right)^{3}\right) \tag{36c}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $m=4$ the method produces

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{2}-a=\frac{(k-2) k^{2}}{576+352 k+46 k^{2}+4 k^{3}}\left(x_{0}-a\right)+O\left(\left(x_{0}-a\right)^{2}\right) \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for $k=2$ the method is quadratic for $m=4$ as well.
According to Equation (36a), if $m=1, k=-9 / 7$, then the method is higher than quadratic.

## 10. The Method is Further Superlinear

For $k=2$ we have:
For $m=1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{2}-a=-\frac{23 B}{11 A}\left(x_{0}-a\right)^{2}+\frac{914 B^{2}-1628 A C}{121 A^{2}}\left(x_{0}-a\right)^{3}+O\left(\left(x_{0}-a\right)^{4}\right) . \tag{38a}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $m=2$

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{2}-a=-\frac{27 B}{34 A}\left(x_{0}-a\right)^{2}+\frac{1277 B^{2}-2414 A C}{578 A^{2}}\left(x_{0}-a\right)^{3}+O\left(\left(x_{0}-a\right)^{4}\right) . \tag{38b}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $m=3$

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{2}-a=-\frac{263 B}{561 A}\left(x_{0}-a\right)^{2}+\frac{370334 B^{2}-715836 A C}{314721 A^{2}}\left(x_{0}-a\right)^{3}+O\left(\left(x_{0}-a\right)^{4}\right) \tag{38c}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $m=4$

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{2}-a=-\frac{245 B}{748 A}\left(x_{0}-a\right)^{2}+\frac{435571 B^{2}-851224 A C}{559504 A^{2}}\left(x_{0}-a\right)^{3}+O\left(\left(x_{0}-a\right)^{4}\right) \tag{38d}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $m=5$

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{2}-a=\frac{1}{9871.9}\left(x_{0}-a\right)-\frac{1}{4} \frac{B}{A}\left(x_{0}-a\right)^{2}+O\left(x_{0}-a\right)^{3} . \tag{38e}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $m=7$

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{2}-a=\frac{1}{1657}\left(x_{0}-a\right)-\frac{B}{5.95 A}\left(x_{0}-a\right)^{2}+O\left(x_{0}-a\right)^{3} . \tag{38f}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $m=9$

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{2}-a=\frac{1}{718}\left(x_{0}-a\right)-\frac{B}{7.94 A}\left(x_{0}-a\right)^{2}+O\left(x_{0}-a\right)^{3} . \tag{38~g}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $m=11$

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{2}-a=\frac{1}{423.3}\left(x_{0}-a\right)-\frac{B}{9.97 A}\left(x_{0}-a\right)^{2}+O\left(x_{0}-a\right)^{3} . \tag{38h}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $m=17$

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{2}-a=\frac{1}{171.4}\left(x_{0}-a\right)-\frac{B}{16.2 A}\left(x_{0}-a\right)^{2}+O\left(x_{0}-a\right)^{3} . \tag{38k}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $m=27$

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{2}-a=\frac{1}{81}\left(x_{0}-a\right)-\frac{B}{27 A}\left(x_{0}-a\right)^{2}+O\left(x_{0}-a\right)^{3} . \tag{381}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 11. Lowering the Value of $\boldsymbol{k}$

We leave $k$ in $x_{1}=x_{0}+k f_{0} / f_{0}^{\prime}$ of Equation (34), free, and have by power series expansion, for multiplicity index $m=5$, for $f(x)$ in Equation (1), that

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{2}-a=\frac{2 k^{2}}{5} \frac{-125+55 k+4 k^{2}}{5625+3625 k+550 k^{2}+82 k^{3}+4 k^{4}}\left(x_{0}-a\right)+O\left(\left(x_{0}-a\right)^{2}\right) . \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

The linear term in the above expansion is annulled with

$$
\begin{equation*}
-125+55 k+4 k^{2}=0, k=1.9859043 \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

We do this for higher values of $m$ and find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\{m, k\}=\{4,2\},\{5,1.9859043\},\{7,1.9689621\},\{9,1.9591333\},\{11,1.9527133\} . \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

We try $k=1.95$, and get

For $m=1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{2}-a=-8.9 \times 10^{-16}\left(x_{0}-a\right)-\frac{2.07 B}{A}\left(x_{0}-a\right)^{2}+O\left(\left(x_{0}-a\right)^{3}\right) . \tag{42a}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $m=2$

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{2}-a=-6.6 \times 10^{-16}\left(x_{0}-a\right)-\frac{0.787 B}{A}\left(x_{0}-a\right)^{2}+O\left(\left(x_{0}-a\right)^{3}\right) \tag{42b}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $m=3$

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{2}-a=-4.4 \times 10^{-16}\left(x_{0}-a\right)-\frac{0.466 B}{A}\left(x_{0}-a\right)^{2}+O\left(\left(x_{0}-a\right)^{3}\right) . \tag{42c}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $m=4$

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{2}-a=-17712\left(x_{0}-a\right)-\frac{0.326 B}{A}\left(x_{0}-a\right)^{2}+O\left(\left(x_{0}-a\right)^{3}\right) \tag{42d}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $m=5$

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{2}-a=-13999\left(x_{0}-a\right)-\frac{0.249 B}{A}\left(x_{0}-a\right)^{2}+O\left(\left(x_{0}-a\right)^{3}\right) . \tag{42e}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $m=7$

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{2}-a=-12799\left(x_{0}-a\right)-\frac{0.168 B}{A}\left(x_{0}-a\right)^{2}+O\left(\left(x_{0}-a\right)^{3}\right) . \tag{42f}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $m=9$

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{2}-a=-13315\left(x_{0}-a\right)-\frac{0.127 B}{A}\left(x_{0}-a\right)^{2}+O\left(\left(x_{0}-a\right)^{3}\right) . \tag{42~g}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $m=11$

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{2}-a=-17608\left(x_{0}-a\right)-\frac{0.101 B}{A}\left(x_{0}-a\right)^{2}+O\left(\left(x_{0}-a\right)^{3}\right) . \tag{42h}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $m=17$

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{2}-a=11312\left(x_{0}-a\right)-\frac{0.063 B}{A}\left(x_{0}-a\right)^{2}+O\left(\left(x_{0}-a\right)^{3}\right) \tag{42k}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $m=27$

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{2}-a=1358\left(x_{0}-a\right)-\frac{0.038 B}{A}\left(x_{0}-a\right)^{2}+O\left(\left(x_{0}-a\right)^{3}\right) . \tag{421}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $m=37$

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{2}-a=1198\left(x_{0}-a\right)-\frac{0.027 B}{A}\left(x_{0}-a\right)^{2}+O\left(\left(x_{0}-a\right)^{3}\right) . \tag{42~m}
\end{equation*}
$$

The general form of the linear part of $x_{2}-a$ in Equations (42) is of the form $c(m)\left(x_{0}-a\right)$ with a constant $c(m)$ that is small if multiplicity index $m$ is not much above 5 . For instance, $c(11)=-1 / 7608$, meaning that at each iteration the error $x_{2}-a$ is reduced by this factor. Such convergence behavior we term superlinear. More concretely, for $f(x)=x^{m}(3+x)$, we obtain by the above method, using $k=1.95$, starting with $x_{0}=1$.

For $m=1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=\left\{1,-0.26,-0.066,-7.2 \times 10^{-6},-3.6 \times 10^{-11},-9 \times 10^{-22}\right\} \tag{43a}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $m=3$

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=\left\{1,-0.76,-9.6 \times 10^{-4},-1.44 \times 10^{-7},-3.2 \times 10^{-15}, 7.9 \times 10^{-31}\right\} \tag{43b}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $m=7$

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=\left\{1,-0.03,-4.1 \times 10^{-5}, 1.47 \times 10^{-8},-5.2 \times 10^{-12}, 1.88 \times 10^{-15},-6.7 \times 10^{-19}, 2.4 \times 10^{-22}\right\} . \tag{43c}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 12. Conclusions

The paper is predicated on the realistic assumption that the multiplicity index $m$ of the iteratively targeted root is unknown. We conclude that if one prefers to shun second order derivatives, then the quadratic two-step method of Equation (29), that provides also ever better approximations for the multiplicity index $m$ of the approached root, is a practically appealing alternative.

Otherwise, one may use the rational two-step method of Equation (34) with a constant $k$ that is only slightly less than 2 . Thus stating the method becomes superlinear, albeit, of a reduced speed of convergence for highly elevated root multiplicities. For the sake of brevity, the present paper does not explore the possibility of estimating the multiplicity index $m$ of the sought root by the method of Equation (29), then applying this estimate to the choice of an optimal $k$ in the method of Equations (34) and (35).
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