

# **Some New Estimators of Integrated Volatility**

Jaya P. N. Bishwal

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of North Carolina at Charlotte, Charlotte, USA E-mail: J.Bishwal@uncc.edu Received April 29, 2011; revised May 28, 2011; accepted June 13, 2011

#### Abstract

We develop higher order accurate estimators of integrated volatility in a stochastic volatility models by using kernel smoothing method and using different weights to kernels. The weights have some relationship to moment problem. As the bandwidth of the kernel vanishes, an estimator of the instantaneous stochastic volatility is obtained. We also develop some new estimators based on smoothing splines.

Keywords: Stochastic Volatility, Kernel Estimator, Realized Volatility, Moment Problem, Rate of Convergence, Higher Order Asymptotics, Smoothing Spline

## 1. Introduction

These days high frequency intradaily data of asset returns are available. Hence realized volatility which is a measure of the integrated volatility has received considerable interest in recent days' empirical finance. The realized volatility is defined as the sum of squared increments of returns. In order to improve the realized volatility, we estimate the integrated volatility by kernel method and spline method. We obtain higher order nonparametric estimator of kernel smooth integrated volatility. We simply take a kernel weighted average of the squared increments of return. The method to choose weight has relation to moment problem.

### 2. Weighted Kernel Estimators

Consider the stochastic volatility model with asset price process  $\{Y_t\}$  and volatility process  $\{\sigma_t\}$  satisfying the stochastic differential equations

$$dY_t = (\mu + \beta \sigma_t) dt + \sigma_t dW_t + \rho dZ_t \qquad (2.1)$$

$$d\sigma_t^2 = \theta \sigma_t^2 dt + dZ_t$$
 (2.2)

where  $\{W_t, t \in R_+\}$  is a standard Brownian,  $\{Z_t, t \in R_+\}$ , a subordinator, that is, a Levy process with only positive jumps, and  $\theta < 0, \mu \in \mathbb{R}, \beta \in \mathbb{R}, \rho \le 0$  are the parameters. If  $\rho < 0$  the model can express leverage-effect. We denote by  $v_z$ , supported by  $\mathbb{R}_+$ , the Levy measure of Z and assume that  $\int_{-\infty} (\log z) v_z (dz) < \infty$ . This model has been studied in [1]. The integrated volatility is defined as

$$V_T := \int_0^t \sigma_t^2 \mathrm{d}t. \tag{2.3}$$

In stochastic volatility model, calculation of conditional cummulants of the integrated volatility conditioned on the initial value is enough to be able to compute European style options.

When the Levy process is an inverse Gaussian process with parameters  $(\delta, \gamma)$ , the cummulant functions of IGOU process are given by

$$k(\theta) = \log E\left[e^{-\theta Z(1)}\right] = -\theta \delta \gamma^{-1} \left(1 + 2\theta \gamma^{-2}\right)^{-1/2},$$
  
$$k'(\theta) = \log E\left(e^{-\theta V_t}\right) = \delta \gamma - \delta \gamma \left(1 + 2\theta \gamma^{-2}\right)^{1/2}.$$

We assume that the parameters of the Levy process are known. We study estimation of integrated volatility by kernel method. Observe that the realized volatility estimator is a histogram estimator of the integrated volatility where h > 0 is the binwidth. Here we extend the realized volatility to include kernel weights. We take kernel weighted average of the squared increments of the observations. Our estimator includes as a special case the rolling window estimator of [2] and [3], the kernel can be chosen to satisfy the weighting schemes proposed there while the bandwidth determines the laglength. The paper also generalizes [4,5] to include weighting. The weighting scheme is jointly determined by the choice of *K* and *h*. With a two-sided kernel, kernel volatility (*KV*) takes a weighted average of the instantaneous volatility over the whole sample period. We will choose one-sided kernel.

For fixed h > 0, *KV* gives a weighted measure of the integrated volatility. As  $h \rightarrow 0$ , we recover the instantaneous volatility at any point of continuity of  $\sigma_t^2$ .

We have the following assumptions about the kernel. Consider a continuously differentiable kernel K with shrinking bandwidth  $h \rightarrow 0$ . Let

$$K_h(x) := \frac{1}{h} K\left(\frac{x}{h}\right) \tag{2.4}$$

where  $K: R \rightarrow R$  is a kernel which normalize to

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} K(x) dx = 1.$$
 (2.5)

For example consider the Epanechikov kernel

$$K(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{3}{4}(1-x)^2 & : & |x| \le 1\\ 0 & : & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
(2.6)

and the kernel suggested in [6]

$$K(x) = \begin{cases} 6(1+3x+2x^2) : -1 \le x \le 0\\ 0 : \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$$
(2.7)

We consider kernel weighted average of the quadratic variation. The kernel estimators converge to the integrated variance as the bandwith h vanishes. In order to improve the rate of convergence of kernel estimators, we consider its relation to a moment problem.

For simplicity of notation, we will denote

 $K_h((t-T)) = K(t)$  and  $K(X_t) = K(t)$ .

Integrated volatility has to be estimated on the basis of discrete observations of the process  $\{Y_t\}$  at times

$$0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots t_n = T$$
 with  $t_i - t_{i-1} = \frac{T}{n}, i = 1, 2\dots, n$ .

Denote

$$\Delta Y_{t_{i-1}}^2 := \left(Y_{t_i} - Y_{t_{i-1}}\right)^2.$$
 (2.8)

The realized volatility is defined as

$$\hat{V}_{n,T} := \sum_{i=1}^{n} \Delta Y_{t_{i-1}}^2.$$
(2.9)

The following theorem is well known in the literature, see [1].

# **Theorem 2.1** $p - \lim_{n \to \infty} \hat{V}_{n,T} = V_T$ .

In order to improve the realized volatility with faster rate of convergence we follow the following path. The ideas are used in [7] for parametric drift estimation in diffusion processes. Define a weighted sum of squares

$$M_{n,T} := \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{t_i} K(t_{i-1}) \Delta Y_{t_{i-1}}^2 + \sum_{i=2}^{n+1} w_{t_i} K(t_{i-1}) \Delta Y_{t_{i-1}}^2 \quad (2.10)$$

Copyright © 2011 SciRes.

where  $w_{t_i} \ge 0$  is a weight function. Denote

$$I_{n,T} := \sum_{i=1}^{n} K(t_{i-1}) \Delta Y_{t_{i-1}}^{2}, \qquad (2.11)$$

$$J_{n,T} := \sum_{i=2}^{n+1} K(t_{i-1}) \Delta Y_{t_{i-1}}^2.$$
 (2.12)

General weighted kernel volatility (KV) is defined as

$$\tilde{V}_{n,T} := M_{n,T}. \tag{2.13}$$

With  $w_{t_i} = 1$ , we obtain the forward KV as

$$\tilde{V}_{n,T,F} \coloneqq I_{n,T}. \tag{2.14}$$

With  $w_{t_i} = 0$ , we obtain the backward KV as

$$\tilde{V}_{n,T,B} \coloneqq J_{n,T}.\tag{2.15}$$

[8] studied asymptotics of the estimator  $\tilde{V}_{n,T,F}$  and obtained the rate of convergence along with asymptotic distribution of the estimator  $\tilde{V}_{n,T,F}$ .

Our plan is to improve the rate of convergence by using appropriate weights for the kernel. With  $w_{t_i} = 0.5$ , the simple symmetric *KV* is defined as

$$\tilde{V}_{n,T,z} := \frac{1}{2} \Big[ I_{n,T} + J_{n,T} \Big] = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{K(t_{i-1}) + K(t_i)}{2} \Delta Y_{t_{i-1}}^2.$$
(2.16)

With the weight function

$$w_{t_i} = \begin{cases} 0 & :i = 1\\ \frac{i-1}{n} & :i = 2, 3, \cdots, n\\ 1 & :i = n+1 \end{cases}$$

the weighted symmetric KV is defined as

$$\tilde{V}_{n,T,w} := \sum_{i=2}^{n} K(t_{i-1}) \Delta Y_{t_{i-1}}^{2} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} K(t_{i-1}) \Delta Y_{t_{i-1}}^{2}.$$
 (2.17)

Note that estimator (2.16) is analogous to the trapezoidal rule in numerical integration. One can instead use the midpoint rule to define another estimator

$$\tilde{V}_{n,T,A} := \sum_{i=1}^{n} K\left(\frac{t_{i-1} + t_i}{2}\right) \Delta Y_{t_{i-1}}^2.$$
 (2.18)

We can use the Simpson's rule to define another estimator which is a convex combination of the midpoint estimator and the trapezoidal estimator

$$\tilde{V}_{n,T,5} := \frac{1}{6} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left\{ K\left(t_{i-1}\right) + 4K\left(\frac{t_{i-1} + t_{i}}{2}\right) + K\left(t_{i}\right) \right\} \Delta Y_{t_{i-1}}^{2}.$$
(2.19)

In general, one can generalize Simpson's rule as

$$\tilde{V}_{n,T,GS} := \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left\{ \alpha \frac{K(t_{i-1}) + K(t_i)}{2} + (1 - \alpha) K\left(\frac{t_{i-1} + t_i}{2}\right) \right\}$$
$$\cdot \Delta Y_{t_{i-1}}^2$$
(2.20)

OJS

for any  $0 \le \alpha \le 1$ .

The case  $\alpha = 0$  produces the estimator (2.18). The case  $\alpha = 1$  produces the estimator (2.17). The case  $\alpha = \frac{1}{3}$  produces the estimator (2.19).

I propose a very general form of the quadrature based *KV* as

$$\tilde{V}_{n,T,w} := \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \left[ \left( 1 - s_j \right) K(t_{i-1}) + s_j K(t_i) \right] p_j \Delta Y_{t_{i-1}}^2 (2.21)$$

where  $p_j, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$  is a probability mass function of a discrete random variable *S* on

$$0 \le s_1 < s_2 < \dots < s_m \le 1$$
 with  
 $P(S = s_i) := p_i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ 

Denote the k -th moment of the random variable S as  $\mu_k := \sum_{j=1}^m s_j^k p_j, k = 1, 2, \cdots$ .

If one chooses the probability distribution as uniform distribution for which the moments are a harmonic sequence

$$(\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3, \mu_4, \mu_5, \mu_6, \cdots) = \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{5}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{7}, \cdots\right)$$
 then

there is no change in rate of convergence than second order. If one can construct a probability distribution for which the harmonic sequence is truncated at a point, then there is a rate of convergence improvement at the point of truncation.

Given a positive integer m, construct a probability mass function  $p_j, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$  on  $0 \le s_1 < s_2 < \dots < s_m \le 1$  such that

$$< s_2 < \dots < s_m \le 1$$
 such that  
 $\sum_{j=1}^m s_j^r p_j = \frac{1}{r+1}, r \in \{0, \dots, m-2\}$  (2.22)

$$\sum_{j=1}^{m} s_{j}^{m-1} p_{j} \neq \frac{1}{m}.$$
 (2.23)

Neither the probabilities  $p_j$  nor the atoms,  $s_j$ , of the distribution are specified in advance.

This problem is related to the truncated Hausdorff moment problem. I obtain examples of such probability distributions and use them to get higher order accurate (up to sixth order) *KV*s.

The order of approximation error (rate of convergence) of a *KV* is  $n^{-\nu}$  where

$$\nu := \inf \left\{ k : \mu_k \neq \frac{1}{1+k}, \, \mu_j = \frac{1}{1+j}, \, j = 1, 2, \cdots, k-1 \right\}.$$
(2.24)

I construct probability distributions satisfying these moment conditions and obtain *KV*s of the rate of convergence up to order 6.

**Theorem 2.2** Assume that the kernel K is sufficiently smooth, continuously differentiable of order 6. The moment based estimators of integrated volatility which are given by

Copyright © 2011 SciRes.

$$\begin{split} \tilde{V}_{n,T,B} &:= \sum_{i=1}^{n} K\left(t_{i}\right) \Delta Y_{t_{i-1}}^{2}, \\ \tilde{V}_{n,T,z} &:= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{K\left(t_{i-1}\right) + K\left(t_{i}\right)}{2} \Delta Y_{t_{i-1}}^{2}, \\ \tilde{V}_{n,T,A} &:= \sum_{i=1}^{n} K\left(\frac{t_{i-1} + t_{i}}{2}\right) \Delta Y_{t_{i-1}}^{2}, \\ \tilde{V}_{n,T,S} &:= \frac{1}{6} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[ K\left(t_{i-1}\right) + 4K\left(\frac{t_{i-1} + t_{i}}{2}\right) + K\left(t_{i}\right) \right] \Delta Y_{t_{i-1}}^{2}, \\ \tilde{V}_{n,T,3} &:= \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[ K\left(t_{i-1}\right) + 3K\left(\frac{t_{i-1} + 2t_{i}}{3}\right) \right] \Delta Y_{t_{i-1}}^{2}, \\ \tilde{V}_{n,T,5} &:= \frac{1}{6} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[ 3K\left(\frac{2t_{i-1} + t_{i}}{3}\right) + K\left(t_{i}\right) \right] \Delta Y_{t_{i-1}}^{2}, \\ \tilde{V}_{n,T,5} &:= \frac{1}{6} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[ K\left(t_{i-1}\right) + 4K\left(\frac{t_{i-1} + t_{i}}{2}\right) + K\left(t_{i}\right) \right] \Delta Y_{t_{i-1}}^{2}, \\ \tilde{V}_{n,T,5} &:= \frac{1}{6} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[ K\left(t_{i-1}\right) + 4K\left(\frac{t_{i-1} + t_{i}}{2}\right) + K\left(t_{i}\right) \right] \Delta Y_{t_{i-1}}^{2}, \\ \tilde{V}_{n,T,5} &:= \frac{1}{6} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[ K\left(t_{i-1}\right) + 4K\left(\frac{t_{i-1} + t_{i}}{3}\right) + K\left(t_{i}\right) \right] \Delta Y_{t_{i-1}}^{2}, \\ \tilde{V}_{n,T,5} &:= \frac{1}{24192} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[ 1471K\left(t_{i-1}\right) + 6925K\left(\frac{t_{i-1} + t_{i}}{5}\right) + 2950K\left(\frac{2t_{i-1} + 2t_{i}}{5}\right) + 1721K\left(t_{i}\right) \right] \Delta Y_{t_{i-1}}^{2}, \\ \tilde{V}_{n,T,8} &:= \frac{1}{90} \sum_{i=1}^{n} [7K\left(t_{i-1}\right) + 32K\left(\frac{3t_{i-1} + t_{i}}{4}\right) + 12K\left(\frac{t_{i-1} + t_{i}}{2}\right) + 32K\left(\frac{t_{i-1} + 3t_{i}}{4}\right) + 7K\left(t_{i}\right) \right] \Delta Y_{t_{i-1}}^{2}, \\ \tilde{V}_{n,T,9} &:= \frac{1}{288} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[ 19K\left(t_{i-1}\right) + 75K\left(\frac{4t_{i-1} + t_{i}}{5}\right) + 50K\left(\frac{2t_{i-1} + 3t_{i}}{5}\right) + 75K\left(\frac{t_{i-1} + 4t_{i}}{5}\right) + 19K\left(t_{i}\right) \right] \Delta Y_{t_{i-1}}^{2}. \end{split}$$

satisfy

a) 
$$\left| \tilde{V}_{n,T,F} - V_T \right| = O_P \left( \frac{T}{n} \right)$$
  
b)  $\left| \tilde{V}_{n,T,B} - V_T \right| = O_P \left( \frac{T}{n} \right)$ 

76

c) 
$$\left|\tilde{V}_{n,T,z} - V_T\right| = O_P \left(\frac{T}{n}\right)^2$$
  
d)  $\left|\tilde{V}_{n,T,A} - V_T\right| = O_P \left(\frac{T}{n}\right)^2$   
e)  $\left|\tilde{V}_{n,T,3} - V_T\right| = O_P \left(\left(\frac{T}{n}\right)^3\right)$   
f)  $\left|\tilde{V}_{n,T,4} - V_T\right| = O_P \left(\left(\frac{T}{n}\right)^3\right)$   
g)  $\left|\tilde{V}_{n,T,5} - V_T\right| = O_P \left(\left(\frac{T}{n}\right)^3\right)$   
h)  $\left|\tilde{V}_{n,T,6} - V_T\right| = O_P \left(\left(\frac{T}{n}\right)^4\right)$   
i)  $\left|\tilde{V}_{n,T,7} - V_T\right| = O_P \left(\left(\frac{T}{n}\right)^5\right)$   
j)  $\left|\tilde{V}_{n,T,8} - V_T\right| = O_P \left(\left(\frac{T}{n}\right)^6\right)$   
k)  $\left|\tilde{V}_{n,T,9} - V_T\right| = O_P \left(\left(\frac{T}{n}\right)^6\right)$ 

**Proof** We use (2.22)-(2.24). Probability  $p_1 = 1$  at the point  $s_1 = 0$  gives the *KV* (2.11) for which  $\mu_1 = 0$ . Note that  $\mu_1 \neq \frac{1}{2}$ . Thus  $\nu = 1$ . This is gives (a).

Probability  $p_1 = 1$  at the point  $s_1 = 1$  gives the KV(2.12) for which  $\mu_1 = 1$ . Note that  $\mu_1 \neq \frac{1}{2}$ . Thus  $\nu = 1$ . This gives (b).

Probabilities  $(p_1, p_2) = \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$  at the respective points  $(s_1, s_2) = (0, 1)$  produces the *KV*  $\tilde{V}_{n,T,Z}$  for which  $(\mu_1, \mu_2) = \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}\right)$ . Thus v = 2. This gives (c).

Probability  $p_j = 1$  at the point  $s_j = \frac{1}{2}$  produce the *KV*  $\tilde{V}_{n,T,A}$  for which  $(\mu_1, \mu_2) = \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ . Thus  $\nu = 2$ . This gives (d).

Probabilities  $(p_1, p_2) = \left(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}\right)$  at the respective points  $(s_1, s_2) = \left(0, \frac{2}{3}\right)$  produce the asymmetric KV $\tilde{V}_{n,T,3} \coloneqq \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[K(t_{i-1}) + 3K\left(\frac{t_{i-1} + 2t_i}{3}\right)\right] \Delta Y_{t_{i-1}}^2$  (2.25) for which  $(\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3) = \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{9}\right)$ . Thus  $\nu = 3$ . This gives (e).

Probabilities  $(p_1, p_2) = \frac{3}{4}, \frac{1}{4}$  at the respective points  $(s_1, s_2) = \left(\frac{1}{3}, 1\right)$  produce asymmetric KV $\tilde{V}_{n,T,4} := \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^n \left[ 3K \left(\frac{2t_{i-1} + t_i}{3}\right) + K(t_i) \right] \Delta Y_{t_{i-1}}^2$  (2.26) for which  $(u, u, u_i) = \left(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{10}{2}\right)$  Thus v = 3 This

for which  $(\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3) = \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{10}{36}\right)$ . Thus  $\nu = 3$ . This gives (f).

Probabilities  $(p_1, p_2, p_3) = \left(\frac{1}{6}, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{6}\right)$  at the respective points  $(s_1, s_2, s_3) = \left(0, \frac{1}{2}, 1\right)$  produce the *KV*  $\tilde{V}_{n,T,5}$  for which  $(\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3, \mu_4) = \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{5}{25}\right)$ . Thus v = 4. This gives (g).

Probabilities  $(p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4) = \left(\frac{1}{8}, \frac{3}{8}, \frac{3}{8}, \frac{1}{8}\right)$  at the respective points  $(s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4) = \left(0, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, 1\right)$  produce the symmetric *KV* 

$$\tilde{V}_{n,T,6} := \frac{1}{8} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[ K\left(t_{i-1}\right) + 3K\left(\frac{2t_{i-1} + t_{i}}{3}\right) + 3K\left(\frac{t_{i-1} + 2t_{i}}{3}\right) + K\left(t_{i}\right) \right] \Delta Y_{t_{i-1}}^{2}$$
(2.27)

for which  $(\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3, \mu_4) = \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{11}{54}\right)$ . Thus  $\nu = 4$ .

This gives (h). Probabilities

$$(p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4, p_5)$$

$$= \left(\frac{1471}{24192}, \frac{6925}{24192}, \frac{1475}{12096}, \frac{2725}{12096}, \frac{5675}{24192}, \frac{1721}{24192}\right)$$
 at the respective points  $(s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4, s_5) = \left(0, \frac{1}{5}, \frac{2}{5}, \frac{3}{5}, \frac{4}{5}, 1\right)$ 
produce the asymmetric *KV*

$$\begin{split} \tilde{V}_{n,T,7} &\coloneqq \frac{1}{24192} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[ 1471K(t_{i-1}) + 6925K\left(\frac{t_{i-1} + t_{i}}{5}\right) \right. \\ &+ 2950K\left(\frac{2t_{i-1} + 2t_{i}}{5}\right) + 5450K\left(\frac{3t_{i-1} + 3t_{i}}{5}\right) (2.28) \\ &+ 5675K\left(\frac{4t_{i-1} + 4t_{i}}{5}\right) + 1721K(t_{i}) \right] \Delta Y_{t_{i-1}}^2 \end{split}$$

Copyright © 2011 SciRes.

for which  $(\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3, \mu_4, \mu_5, \mu_6) = \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{5}, \frac{841}{5040}\right)$ . Thus  $\nu = 5$ . This gives (i).

Probabilities  $(p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4, p_5) = \left(\frac{7}{90}, \frac{16}{45}, \frac{2}{15}, \frac{16}{45}, \frac{7}{90}\right)$ at the respective points  $(s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4, s_5) = \left(0, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{4}, 1\right)$ produce the symmetric *KV*  $\tilde{V}_{n,T,8}$  given by

$$\tilde{V}_{n,T,8} := \frac{1}{90} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[ 7K(t_{i-1}) + 32K\left(\frac{3t_{i-1} + t_i}{4}\right) + 12K\left(\frac{t_{i-1} + t_i}{2}\right) + 32K\left(\frac{t_{i-1} + 3t_i}{4}\right) + 7K(t_i) \right] \Delta Y_{t_{i-1}}^2$$

$$(2.29)$$

for which  $(\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3, \mu_4, \mu_5, \mu_6) = \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{5}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{110}{768}\right).$ 

Thus v = 6. This gives (j). Probabilities

$$(p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4, p_5) = \left(\frac{19}{288}, \frac{75}{288}, \frac{50}{288}, \frac{50}{288}, \frac{75}{288}, \frac{19}{288}\right)$$

at the respective points

 $(s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4, s_5) = (0, \frac{1}{5}, \frac{2}{5}, \frac{3}{5}, \frac{4}{5}, 1)$  produce symmetric *KV* 

$$\begin{split} \tilde{V}_{n,T,9} &\coloneqq \frac{1}{288} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[ 19K(t_{i-1}) + 75K\left(\frac{4t_{i-1} + t_{i}}{5}\right) \\ &+ 50K\left(\frac{3t_{i-1} + 2t_{i}}{5}\right) + 50K\left(\frac{2t_{i-1} + 3t_{i}}{5}\right) \\ &+ 75K\left(\frac{t_{i-1} + 4t_{i}}{5}\right) + 19K(t_{i}) \right] \Delta Y_{t_{i-1}}^2 \end{split}$$

for which  $(\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3, \mu_4, \mu_5, \mu_6) = \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{5}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{3219}{22500}\right)$ 

Thus v = 6. This gives (k).

The KV  $\tilde{V}_{n,T,z}$  is based on the arithmetic mean of  $I_{n,T}$  and  $J_{n,T}$ . One can use geometric mean and harmonic mean instead.

**Theorem 2.4** The geometric mean based symmetric KV (which is based on the ideas of partial autocorrelation) is given by

$$\tilde{V}_{n,T,G} := \sqrt{I_{n,T}J_{n,T}} \tag{2.31}$$

The harmonic mean based symmetric KV is given by

$$\tilde{V}_{n,T,H} := \frac{2}{\frac{1}{I_{n,T}} + \frac{1}{J_{n,T}}}$$
(2.32)

#### **3. Spline Estimators**

In order to improve the realized volatility estimator of integrated volatility, we use an alternative method, the method of splines, see [9], [10] and [11]. This is the first step towards the use of splines for volatility estimation. Since these are based on analysis of variance for diffusion models, we call it DANOVA models. DANOVA stands for ANOVA for Diffusions.

In the stochastic volatility model, the log-price  $y^* = \log S$  with *S* being the asset price, follows

$$dy'(t) = \alpha(t)dt + \sigma(t)dW(t)$$
$$d\sigma^{2}(t) = \tilde{\alpha}(t)dt + \tilde{\sigma}(t)d\tilde{W}(t)$$

where  $\sigma$  and  $\alpha$  are assumed to be independent of the standard Brownian motion W. The process  $\sigma$  is called the *instantaneous volatility* or *spot volatility* and  $\alpha$  is called the *mean process* and the Brownian motions W and  $\tilde{W}$  are allowed to be correlated. A simple example of this is

$$\alpha(t) = \mu t + \beta \sigma^{2^*}(t) \text{ where } \sigma^{2^*}(t) = \int_0^t \sigma^2(u) du$$

in which case  $\beta$  is called the *risk premium* and  $\sigma^{2^*}$  is called the *integrated variance*.

Over an interval of time length h > 0, returns are defined as

$$y_i := y^*(hi) - y^*((i-1)h), \ i = 1, 2, \dots, T$$

which implies that

$$y_i | \alpha_i, \sigma_i^2 \sim N(\alpha_i, \sigma_i^2)$$

where

$$\alpha_i \coloneqq \alpha(ih) - \alpha((i-1)h)$$

and

$$\sigma_i^2 := \sigma^{2^*}(ih) - \sigma^{2^*}((i-1)h) = \int_{(i-1)h}^{ih} \sigma^2(u) du.$$

Here  $\sigma_i^2$  is called the *actual variance* and  $\alpha_i$  is called the *actual mean*.

Suppose one is interested in estimating the actual volatility  $\sigma_i$  using *m* intra-*h* observations. A natural candidate is the *realized volatility* given by

$$\sqrt{\left[y_m^*\right]} := \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^m y_{j,i}^2}$$

where

$$y_{j,i} := y^* \left( (i-1)h + \frac{jh}{m} \right) - y^* \left( (i-1)h + \frac{(j-1)h}{m} \right)$$
  
$$j = 1, 2, \dots, m.$$

Copyright © 2011 SciRes.

and

Denote

$$Y_j := y^* \left( \left( i - 1 \right) h + \frac{jh}{m} \right)$$

and

$$Y_{j-1} := y^* \left( (i-1)h + \frac{(j-1)h}{m} \right)$$

Thus the realized volatility is given by

$$\hat{\sigma}_i := \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^m \left(Y_j - Y_{j-1}\right)^2}$$

When  $h \rightarrow 0$ , realized volatility converges in  $L_2$  to the integrated volatility. We consider the fixed h case. The realized variance is a quadratic form.

Note that the realized volatility is based on first order difference.

We introduce some new estimators:

$$\breve{\sigma}_i := \sqrt{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^m \left[ \left( Y_{j+1} - Y_j \right) - \left( Y_j - Y_{j-1} \right) \right]^2}.$$

The above estimator is based on second order difference.

$$\overline{\sigma}_i := \sqrt{\sum_{j=n_1+1}^{m-n_2} \left(\sum_{k=-n_1}^{n_2} \mathbf{d}_k Y_{k+j}\right)^2}$$

where  $n_1$  and  $n_2$  are non-negative integers,  $n = n_1 + n_2$  is called the order, and the difference sequence  $\{d_k\}_{k=-n_1,\dots,n_2}$  satisfies

$$\sum_{k=-n_1}^{n_2} d_k = 0, \sum_{k=-n_1}^{n_2} d_k^2 = 1 \text{ and } d_{-n_1} d_{n_2} \neq 0.$$

Note that for difference based estimators

$$\left|\overline{\sigma}_{i}^{2}-\sigma_{i}^{2}\right|=O_{P}\left(m^{-1/2}\right).$$

To improve this error bound, we introduce the lag-k estimator

$$\tilde{\sigma}_{i} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2(n-k)} \sum_{j=k+1}^{m} (Y_{j} - Y_{j-k})^{2}}, k = 1, 2, \dots, m-1$$

In practice, the choice of the order n and an appropriate difference sequence which minimizes the finite sample MSE is difficult.

**Theorem 3.1** The spline estimator of integrated volatility is given by

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \hat{\alpha} = \overline{\sigma}_w^2 - \hat{\beta} \overline{d}_w,$$

where

$$\overline{\sigma}_{w}^{2} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} w_{k} \widetilde{\sigma}_{k}^{2}$$

$$\overline{d}_w = \sum_{i=1}^n w_k d_k$$

$$\hat{\beta} = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{n} w_k \tilde{\sigma}_k^2 \left( d_k - \overline{d}_w \right)}{\sum_{k=1}^{n} w_k \left( d_k - \overline{d}_w \right)^2}.$$

**Proof** We fit the following regression model:

$$\tilde{\sigma}_k^2 = \alpha + \beta d_k + \varepsilon_k, \quad k = 1, 2, \cdots, n$$

using the weighted least squares estimate

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} w_k \left( \tilde{\sigma}_k^2 - \alpha - \beta d_k \right)^2$$

where  $(\varepsilon_k)$  is a sequence of i.i.d. random variables. Let

$$\overline{\sigma}_{w}^{2} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} w_{k} \widetilde{\sigma}_{k}^{2}$$

 $\overline{d}_w = \sum_{i=1}^n w_k d_k.$ 

 $\hat{\sigma}^2 = \hat{\alpha} = \overline{\sigma}_{...}^2 - \hat{\beta}\overline{d}_{...}$ 

and

Then

where

$$\hat{\beta} = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{n} w_k \tilde{\sigma}_k^2 \left( d_k - \overline{d}_w \right)}{\sum_{k=1}^{n} w_k \left( d_k - \overline{d}_w \right)^2}$$

is the estimate of the intercept  $\beta$ .

#### 4. References

- O. E. Barndorff-Nielsen and N. Shephard, "Non-gaussian Ornstein—Uhlenbeck-Based Models and Some of Their Uses in Financial Economics (with Discussion)," *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series B*, Vol. 63, No. 2, 2001, pp. 167-241. <u>doi:10.1111/1467-9868.00282</u>
- [2] D. P. Foster and D. B. Nelson, "Continuous Record Asymptotics for Rolling Sampling Variance Estimators," *Econometrica*, Vol. 64, No. 1, 1996, pp. 139-174. doi:10.2307/2171927
- [3] E. Andreou and E. Ghysels, "Rolling Sample Volatility Estimators: Some New Theoretical, Simulation and Empirical Results," *Journal of Business and Economics Statistics*, Vol. 20, No. 3, 2002, pp. 363-375. doi:10.1198/073500102288618504
- [4] O. E. Barndorff-Nielsen and N. Shephard, "Econometric Analysis of Realised Covariation: High Frequency Based Covariance, Regression and Correlation in Financial Eco-

Copyright © 2011 SciRes.

nomics," *Econometrica*, Vol. 72, No. 3, 2004, pp. 885-925. doi:10.1111/j.1468-0262.2004.00515.x

- [5] O. E. Barndorff-Nielsen and N. Shephard, "Power and Bipower Variation with Stochastic Volatility and Jumps (with Discussion)," *Journal of Financial Econometrics*, Vol. 2, No. 1, 2004, pp. 1-48. doi:10.1093/jifinec/nbh001
- [6] S. Zhang and R. J. Karunamuni, "On Kernel Density Estimation near Endpoints," *Journal of Statistical Planning and Inference*, Vol. 70, No. 2, 1988, pp. 301-316. doi:10.1016/S0378-3758(97)00187-0
- [7] J. P. N. Bishwal, "Parameter Estimation in Stochastic Differential Equations," Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2008. <u>doi:10.1007/978-3-540-74448-1</u>

- [8] D. Kristensen, "Nonparametric Filtering of the Realised Volatilty: A Kernel Based Approach," *Econometric The*ory, Vol. 26, No. 1, 2010, pp. 60-93. doi:10.1017/S0266466609090616
- [9] C. Gu, "Smoothing Spline ANOVA Models," Springer-Verlag, New York, 2002.
- [10] P. Hall and J. S. Marron, "On Variance Estimation in Nonparametric Regression," *Biometrika*, Vol. 77, No. 2, 1990, pp. 415-419. <u>doi:10.1093/biomet/77.2.415</u>
- [11] G. Wahba, "Spline Models for Observational Data," CBMS-NSF Regional Conference Series in Applied Mathematics, SIAM, Philadelphia, September 1990.