

Seasonal Trends of Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons in Particulate Matter at an Urban Site in Beijing, China

Yanju Liu^{1*}, Qingyang Liu², Xinxin Wang², Tingting Zhang²

¹Beijing Milu Ecological Research Center, Beijing, China ²Beijing Center for Physical and Chemical Analysis, Beijing, China Email: <u>liuyanju@hotmail.com</u>

Received December 2014

Abstract

This study was conducted to examine the impact of vehicular traffic emissions on the seasonal trends of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) concentration in particulate matter in Beijing. The PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} samples were collected at an urban site near the Third Ring Road in Beijing, China, from July 2009 to March 2010. Individual PAH concentrations at urban traffic site ranged from n.d. (below the detection limit, 0.2 ng/m³) to 558.49 ng/m³ of benzo(b)fluoranthene in PM₁₀ samples and from n.d. to 177.93 ng/m³ also for benzo(b)fluoranthene in PM_{2.5} samples. Seasonal variations of PAHs compounds indicated that PAHs concentration in autumn and winter was higher than those in spring and summer. Results of PCA give four and five significant factors, which could explain 83.1% of the variation for PM_{2.5} and 85.3% of the variation for PM₁₀, respectively.

Keywords

PAHs, Particulate Matter, Traffic Pollution, Beijing

1. Introduction

Industrialization and urbanization have promoted socio-economic development. This has, however, led to variety of environmental problems in urban areas, including contamination by polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) via various pathways [1]. Airborne PAHs, either in gas or particle phases, are found to have a direct impact on human health [2]. PAHs are resistant to degradation and bio-accumulate through the food chain, thus they also may pose threat to human health over a long period. Considering the high toxicities of PAHs, it is necessary to study the concentrations, profiles and sources of PAHs in the particulate matter (PM). PAHs come from two main sources. Natural PAHs are mainly from volcanic eruptions and natural fires. Anthropogenic PAHs are mostly generated during the combustion of carbonaceous materials such as coals, gasoline and diesel [3]. Previous studies suggested that PAH concentrations increased significantly in the urban PM parts since the 1990s [4]-[7].

Beijing, the capital city of China, located in the Northern China, is a fast developing city with over 1000 years

^{*}Corresponding author.

How to cite this paper: Liu, Y.J., Liu, Q.Y., Wang, X.X. and Zhang, T.T. (2015) Seasonal Trends of Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons in Particulate Matter at an Urban Site in Beijing, China. *Journal of Geoscience and Environment Protection*, **3**, 10-16. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.4236/gep.2015.32002</u>

of history. PAH pollution in the particulate matter of Beijing has been reported [8]. The city consists of 14 administrative districts. As one of the busiest traffic routes in Beijing, the Third Ring Road built in the 1980s crosses five central districts, named after Haidian, Chaoyang, Chongwen, Xuanwu and Fengtai. The five districts contain the majority of the commercial, industrial and traditional areas of Beijing. Both districts of Xuanwu and Chongwen comprise the old historic centers, while Haidian, Chaoyang and Fengtai, located in the northern, eastern and southern region, and are the centers for high technology, central business and industrial district, respectively. The PM near the road was the focus of this investigation as they serve as an important reservoir of PAHs generated by traffic emission [9]. The chemical analysis of PM samples can, therefore, provide a useful and convenient initial measure of environmental quality. The aims of this work were to: 1) determine individual concentrations of PAHs profile in the PM samples including PM_{10} and $PM_{2.5}$ collected from the Third Ring Road, 2) identify seasonal variations of PAHs to track their possible influencing factors.

2. Methods and Materials

2.1. Sampling Site and PM Mass Measurement

Influenced by the summer monsoon, Beijing experiences cold, relatively dry winter, hot and humid summer. The sampling site was located near a busy traffic line in Beijing (116°18'10"8E, 39°56'50"7N) (measured by GPS Etrex Vista HCX, made by GARMIN). Sampling equipments were set up on the roof of an office building with a height of 30 m aboveground and a distance about 30 m from the road/traffic. This is a very busy ring road with 6 fast tracks and 4 voeux roads; with 230 - 270 vehicles at the speed about 50 km/hour passing through per minute in the morning rush hour. 24-h PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} were collected onto 90 mm diameter quartz microfiber filters (QMA, Whatman) at a flow rate of 100 L/min, using the Smart TSP Volume Air Samplers (TH-150A type, made by Wuhan Tianhong Instrument Co.,Ltd.), equipped with different PM head for PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} respectively. The filter was replaced at 10:00 a. m. Beijing time daily through the whole sampling period, and the measurement was carried out for one month every season. In detail, samples were collected from June 10 to July 10 in summer 2009, from September 10 to 30 in autumn 2009, from December 1 to 31 in winter 2009, and from March 1 to 31 for spring of 2010.

Filters were weighed using a balance (CP225D, with accuracy of 0.01 mg, made in Sartorius, Germany), and PM mass was calculated as the mass differences before and after sampling at unit sampling volume. Filters were heated for 4 hours at 550°C and preserved in desiccators with humidity of 34% for 24 hours before pre-sampling weighing. After sampling, filters were kept in desiccators for 24 hours before re-weighing. During the weighing procedure, temperature was controlled at 20°C by air conditioning. Filters were then cut into quarters using stainless steel cutter for subsequent component analysis.

2.2. Sample Analysis

All the organic solvents were high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) grade, purchased from Fisher (Fair Lawn, NJ, USA). 'Superclean' silica gel solid phase extraction columns (6 mL, 500 mg) were purchased from Supelco Inc. (Bellefonte, PA, USA). The standard with 16 PAHs (EPAM-610, 1 mL, 0.1 mg/mL in 1: 1 methanol: dichloromethane) and the 2D-labelled surrogate standards (EPA M-525-IS, 1 mL, 2.0 mg/mL in acetone), which included acenaphthylene-d10, chrysene-d12, perylene-d12 and phenanthrene-d10, were purchased from Accustandard Inc. (CT, USA).

The extraction method was as follows. Prior to ultrasonic extraction with n-hexane and dichloromethane (1: 1, v/v), the samples were spiked with 2D-labelled surrogate standards. The extracts were completely dried in a rotary evaporator and subsequently dissolved in 10 mL of hexane. Then, samples were drawn through the activated column through the clean column by gravity. Then, dichloromethane was used to elude the PAHs for three times (6 mL each time). The eluents was concentrated to 0.5 mL under a gentle nitrogen gas flow before being injected into the GC-MS.

PAHs were quantified on a Varian 350 gas chromatography coupled with Varian 240 mass spectrometer with electron impact ion (EI) source. Helium was chosen as carrier gas with a constant flow of 1.0 mL/min. The extract was injected into the injector with splitless mode, and separated on a DB-5MS fused silica capillary column. The electron emission energy was set at 70 eV. The source and ion trap temperature was set at 280°C and 220°C, respectively. The oven temperature programs were as follows: started at 50°C retaining for 2 min, first ramped

to 200°C at speed of 10°C/min, second ramped to 260°C at 2°C/min, and finally ramped to 260°C at 5°C/min, kept for 4 min.

2.3. Quality Control

Analytical methods were checked for the precision and accuracy. All the samples were analyzed three times to obtain the average level. Replicate analyses gave an error between $\pm 15\%$. The recoveries were checked by analyzing soil and needles samples spiked with known amounts of labeled PAHs. The average recoveries of surrogates were 73.8% (acenaphthylene-d10), 92.5% (chrysene-d12, perylene-d12) and 83.2 (phenanthrene-d10). The spiked test showed the recoveries of 16 PAHs were from 60.2% to 114.3% with the RSD from 5.6% to 15.4%.

2.4. Data Analysis

The measured data was processed by SPSS 18.0 and excel software.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Total PAHs in PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5}

 PM_{10} and $PM_{2.5}$ samples collected from the Third Ring Road in Beijing, each with 82 in total, were analyzed PAHs concentrations. The concentrations descriptions of 16 individual PAHs specie with their abbreviations are listed in **Table 1**. Individual PAH concentrations at traffic sites ranged from n.d. (below the detection limit, 0.2 ng/m³) to 558.49 ng/m³ for benzo(b)fluoranthene (BbF) in PM₁₀ samples and from n.d. (below the detection limit) to 177.93 ng/m³ also for BbF in PM_{2.5} samples. Yearly average PAHs concentration in PM₁₀ is 126.16 ng/m³, less than that measured as 164.6 ng/m³ in Xuzhou, a Chinese city in the middle of the country [10]. Meanwhile, yearly average PAHs concentration in PM_{2.5} is 107.18 ng/m³, much less than 712.4 ng/m³ measured in a coal producing northeast Chinese city of Fushun [11].

Table 1. Sp	ummary of PAHs	concentrations in	NPM samples at	an urban roadsi	de site in Beiji	ng (ng/m ³).
-------------	----------------	-------------------	----------------	-----------------	------------------	--------------------------

DAIL	Abbraviation	Ring	Molecular	PM_{10}			PM _{2.5}			
PAHS	Abbreviation	No.	Formula	Mean	Min	Max	Mean	Min	Max	
Naphthalene	Nap	2	$C_{10}H_8$	6.51	0.00	75.41	7.54	0.00	69.34	
Phenanthrene	PA	3	$C_{14}H_{10}$	9.15	0.00	65.12	7.95	0.00	37.84	
Pyrene	Pyr	4	$C_{16}H_{10}$	24.52	3.85	126.23	25.42	3.34	111.07	
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	IND	6	$C_{22}H_{12}$	2.05	0.00	23.94	1.99	0.00	19.83	
Fluorene	Flu	3	$C_{13}H_{10}$	1.42	0.00	5.66	1.66	0.00	13.88	
Fluoranthene	FL	4	$C_{16}H_{10}$	16.62	0.00	126.65	15.49	0.25	103.76	
Dibenzo(a,h)anthrancene	DBA	5	$C_{22}H_{14}$	0.26	0.00	12.30	0.21	0.00	8.40	
Chrysene	CHR	4	$C_{16}H_{12}$	13.12	0.00	352.71	8.10	0.00	143.59	
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	BkF	5	$C_{20}H_{12}$	11.27	0.00	312.57	6.68	0.00	177.93	
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	BghiP	6	$C_{22}H_{12}$	2.01	0.00	19.54	2.21	0.00	18.64	
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	BbF	5	$C_{20}H_{12}$	19.44	0.00	558.49	11.89	0.00	176.02	
Benzo(a)pyrene	BaP	5	$C_{20}H_{12}$	6.89	0.00	191.96	3.37	0.00	43.87	
Benzo(a)anthracene	BaA	4	$C_{22}H_{14}$	6.89	0.00	58.35	7.50	0.00	78.71	
Anthracene	Ant	3	$C_{14}H_{10}$	4.96	0.00	53.62	4.25	0.00	24.79	
Acenaphthene	Acp	3	$C_{12}H_{10}$	0.70	0.00	3.73	1.44	0.00	31.84	
Acenaphthylene	AcPy	3	$C_{12}H_8$	0.35	0.00	4.85	1.48	0.00	56.77	
∑16PAHs				126.16			107.18			

n.d.: below the detection limit (0.2 ng/m³); Min: Minimum; Max: Maximum.

3.2. Seasonal Variations of PAHs in PM Samples

As shown in Figure 1 and Table 2, for PM₁₀ samples, the concentrations of phenanthrene (PA), pyrene (Pyr),



Figure 1. Seasonal variation of PAHs in PM_{10} and $PM_{2.5.}$ The same letter means no significant difference among the sub-groups.

			PN	M_{10}								P	M _{2.5}			
PAHs	sum (n =	mer 25)	autumn	(n = 18)) winter	(n = 17)	spring (n = 22)	sum (n =	mer 24)	autumn	(n = 19) winter (n = 17)	spring ((n = 22)
	Mean	S. D.	Mean	S. D.	Mean	S. D.	Mean	S. D.	Mean	S. D.	Mean	S. D.	Mean	S. D.	Mean	S. D.
Nap	15.97	22.15	1.37	4.43	3.13	3.88	2.57	2.13	19.84	22.64	1	1.13	4.23	6.21	2.34	1.77
PA	4.65	8.45	2.71	1.03	23.05	15.97	8.79	4.24	3.13	4.88	2.88	1.73	19.79	10.93	8.44	6.09
Pyr	20.48	17.9	9.98	8.54	51.9	34.93	19.86	7.28	24.56	16.15	9.99	7.92	46.92	31.3	23.06	21.48
IND	0	0.02	0.38	0.49	5.84	6.87	2.82	2.9	0.03	0.12	0.35	0.45	5.86	6.46	2.57	2.66
Flu	1.14	1.15	0.7	0.25	1.83	1.22	2.02	0.72	1.54	2.7	1.1	1.3	1.52	0.97	2.4	2.63
FL	4.88	11.89	2.37	1.68	52.82	37.43	13.65	8.33	7.15	11.85	2.77	2.45	45.72	30.14	12.23	8.75
DBA	0.01	0.05	0	0.01	1.19	2.98	0.05	0.08	0.04	0.08	0.01	0.05	0.86	2.03	0.07	0.13
CHR	1.52	1.53	2.02	2.34	49.17	94.41	7.51	6.11	1.87	2.58	2.15	3.34	25.47	34.39	6.63	4.49
BkF	0.95	1.15	3.37	2.09	40.36	81.34	6.98	6.29	0.95	1.3	4.02	4.17	21.93	41.6	3.44	3.57
BghiP	0.01	0.03	0.54	0.51	5.65	5.6	2.67	2.56	0.53	2.5	0.58	0.89	6.11	5.76	2.43	2.56
BbP	1.69	1.09	3.6	1.34	74.62	147.01	9.92	7.54	3.26	5.5	5	4.88	36.19	43.85	8.47	6.04
BaP	0.06	0.17	0	0	28.81	54.27	3.37	3.07	0.63	1.48	0.14	0.62	11.68	12.39	2.74	2.74
BaA	1.24	1.19	0.69	0.68	23.56	19.21	5.5	4.73	3.92	12.19	0.82	0.73	23.69	22.12	4.67	3.91
Ant	5.29	10.48	3.36	1.5	5.8	8.33	5.26	4.21	3.8	5.55	3.38	1.42	3.66	3.68	5.94	4.52
Acp	0.6	0.73	0.64	0.78	0.55	0.72	1	0.55	1.94	6.4	1.63	4.34	0.81	1.41	1.23	1.66
AcPy	0	0	0.24	1.01	1.12	1.55	0.23	0.77	2.49	11.58	1.94	8.21	1.31	3.06	0.11	0.51
∑16PAHs	58.49		31.97		369.4		92.2		75.68		37.76		255.75		86.77	

Table 2. Seasonal variation of PAHs in PM_{10} and $PM_{2.5}$ samples (ng/m³).

Notes: S.D. refers to standard deviation.

indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene (IND), fluoranthene (FL), dibenzo-(a,h)anthrancene (DBA), chrysene (CHR), benzo(k)fluoranthene (BkF), benzo(b)fluoranthene (BbF), benzo(a)-pyrene (BaP), benzo(a)anthracene (BaA) and acenaphthylene (AcPy) were much higher in winter than in other seasons (p < 0.05), whereas the concentration of naphthalene (Nap) was higher in summer than in other seasons. Concentrations of fluorene (Flu) and benzo (g,h,i) perylene (BghiP) were higher in spring and winter than those in summer and autumn. In addition, no significant seasonal trends of anthracene (Ant) were found. For $PM_{2.5}$ samples, the concentrations of PA, Pyr, IND, FL, DBA, CHR, BkF, BghiP, BbF, BaP, BaA were much higher in winter than in other seasons (p < 0.05). Similar to that in PM_{10} , the concentration of Nap in $PM_{2.5}$ was also higher in summer than in other seasons. Otherwise, the concentrations of Flu, Ant, acenaphthene (Acp) and AcPy did not show significant seasonal variations (**Figure 1** and **Table 2**).

3.3. Source Apportionment of PAHs

3.3.1. Principal Component Analysis (PCA)

Results of PCA give four and five significant PCs (eigenvalues > 1), which explains 83.1% of the variation for $PM_{2.5}$ data (43.5%, 17.1%, 14.8% and 7.8%, respectively) and 85.4% of the variation for PM_{10} data (43.6%, 18.2%, 9.7%, 7.6% and 6.3%, respectively). As shown in **Table 3**, four or five factors of PAH congeners can be observed, corresponding to the different carbon rings, from di- to six cyclic-rings molecular.

3.3.2. Ratio Analysis Methods

According to previous opinion [12], both PAHs of $PM_{2.5}$ and PM_{10} in winter mainly originated from fossil fuels burning based on the ratio of Ant/(Ant + Phe) < 0.3, while in other three seasons, Ant/(Ant + Phe) > 0.3, implying PAHs were possibly from biomass fuel combustion (**Table 4**). Pankow [13] thought that PAHs originate from combustion source at BaA/(BaA + Chr) > 0.35, mainly from oil at < 0.2, and from both at 0.2 - 0.35. Ac-

PAHs —		Com	ponents in PN	I ₁₀		Components in PM _{2.5}				
	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	
Nap			0.307		0.862			0.05	0.739	
PA	0.879	0.231		0.11	0.053	0.942	0.131			
Pyr	0.778	0.156		0.137	0.337	0.818	0.088			
IND	0.652	0.572	0.041			0.602			0.156	
Flu	0.517	0.419	0.414	0.15	0.14	0.258	0.837	0.044	0.088	
FL	0.869	0.21		0.056	0.161	0.948	0.002			
DBA	0.587		0.077			0.641		0.622	0.052	
CHR	0.786		0.134	0.074		0.846		0.478	0.012	
BkF	0.743		0.118	0.126		0.673		0.661		
BghiP	0.642	0.613				0.637			0.256	
BbP	0.773		0.135	0.082		0.887		0.392	0.066	
BaP	0.787		0.135			0.763	0.033		0.144	
BaA	0.866	0.203			0.064	0.79	0.033			
Ant	0.106	0.048		0.516	0.125	0.175	0.345			
Acp	0.089	0.388	0.629	0.625		0.165	0.929	0.227	0.146	
AcPy	0.463	0.54	0.213	0.263		0.165	0.883	0.193	0.167	

Table 3. Principal component analysis of PAHs in PM samples.

PM	Ratio	Summer	Autumn	Winter	Spring
PM10	Ant/(Ant + Phe)	0.53	0.55	0.2	0.37
	BaA/(BaA + CHR)	0.45	0.25	0.32	0.42
	IcdP/BghiP	0	0.38	5.84	2.82
	BaP/BghiP	6	0	5.1	1.26
	FLA/(FLA + Pyr)	0.19	0.19	0.5	0.41
	Ant/(Ant + Phe)	0.55	0.54	0.16	0.41
	BaA/(BaA + CHR)	0.68	0.28	0.48	0.41
PM _{2.5}	IcdP/BghiP	0.03	0.35	5.86	2.57
-	BaP/BghiP	1.19	0.24	1.91	1.13
	FLA/(FLA + Pyr)	0.23	0.22	0.49	0.35

Table 4. Ratio between typical individual PAHs concentrations.

cording to this, PAHs of PM_{10} in autumn and winter, together with PAHs of $PM_{2.5}$ in autumn were sourced from both combustion and oil, while PAHs of PM_{10} in summer and spring, together with PAHs of $PM_{2.5}$ in summer, winter and spring were from combustion. PAHs in both PM_{10} and $PM_{2.5}$ were from coal combustion based on BaP/BghiP of 0.9 - 6.6 in all seasons except autumn, when the BaP/BghiP ratio was even less than 0.3 - 0.44, a traffic source range [14]. Based on the opinion of Kavouras *et al.* [15], the Fla/(Fla + Pyr) of PM_{10} and $PM_{2.5}$ in this study is around to 0.4, representing for oil source in spring and winter. In addition, IcdP is a marker of diesel combustion and could tell the type of vehicle fuel [14]. IcdP/BghiP value near 0.22 implies PAHs sourced from gasoline combustion, 0.50 from diesel and 1.30 from kerosene. In this study, PAHs in autumn is between 0.22 to 0.50, implying mixed sources of gasoline and diesel. While in winter, IcdP/BghiP value is much higher than 1.30, implying other PAHs sources involved, which agrees with fossil fuels combustion source in winter.

4. Conclusion

In this study, individual PAHs concentrations in PM samples at an urban roadside site in Beijing were analyzed through seasons. The results show that the inputs of potentially toxic contaminants increased because of rapid economic development. Seasonal variations of PAHs compounds indicated 68.7% individual species of PAHs concentrations in winter were higher than those in other seasons. In contrast, Nap concentrations in both PM_{10} and $PM_{2.5}$ were highest in summer, but the source is uncertain. In addition, four and five significant factors were identified to influence the variations of PAHs concentration in PM_{10} and $PM_{2.5}$, respectively.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Natural National Science Foundation of China (No. 41175104, 41475133 and 41305110).

References

- Flowers, S.H., Rieth, V.J., Cogliano, G.L., *et al.* (2002) Health Assessment of Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbon Mixtures: Current Practices and Future Direction. *Polycyclic Aromatic Compound*, 22, 811-818. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10406630290103960
- [2] Zheng, M., Salmon, L.G., Schauer, J.J., et al. (2005) Seasonal Trends in PM_{2.5} Sources Contributions in Beijing, China. Atmospheric Environment, **39**, 3967-3976. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2005.03.036</u>
- [3] Obiri, S., Cobbina, S.J., Armah, F.A., et al. (2011) Quantification and Characterization of Vehicle-Based Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons in Street Dust from the Tamale Metropolis, Ghana. Environmental Science and Pollution Research, 18, 1166-1173. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11356-011-0465-9</u>
- [4] Yang, S.Y.N., Connell, D.W., Hawker, D.W., et al. (1991) Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons in Air, Soil and Vegetation in the Vicinity of an Urban Roadway. Science of the Total Environment, 102, 229-240.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0048-9697(91)90317-8

- [5] Jiang, Y.F. (2009) Levels, Composition Profiles and Sources of Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons in Urban Soil of Shanghai, China. *Chemosphere*, **75**, 1112-1118. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2009.01.027</u>
- [6] Agarwal, T. (2009) Concentration Level, Pattern and Toxic Potential of PAHs in Traffic c Soil of Delhi, India. Journal of Hazardous Materials, 171, 894-900. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2009.06.081</u>
- [7] Amagai, T., Takahashi, Y. and Masushita, H. (1999) A Survey on Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons in Soil in Chiang-Mai, Thailand. *Environment International*, 25, 563-572. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0160-4120(99)00026-4</u>
- [8] Fu, S., Li. K., Xia. X.J., et al. (2009) Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons Residues in Sandstorm Depositions in Beijing, China. Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology, 82, 162-166. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00128-008-9537-z</u>
- [9] Lv, J.G., Shi, R.G., Cai, Y.M., et al. (2010) Assessment of Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons Pollution in Soil of Suburban Areas in Tianjin, China. Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology, 85, 5-9.
- [10] Chen, F., Qin, C. and Zhong, Q. (2013) Source Apportionment of Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbon in Xuzhou. Environmental Science & Ecology, 22, 1916-1921.
- [11] Zhao, L., Zhang, J., Li, L., Zhao, M., et al. (2014) Study of Pollution Characteristic of PAHs in PM_{2.5} in Fushun Wanghua District. Applied Chemical Industry, 43, 1336-1338.
- [12] Sun, R., Zhu, T. and Bai, Z. (1997) Source Apportionment and Indentification of Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons on Airborn Particulate. *Urban Environ. Urban Ecol.*, **10**, 27-31.
- [13] Pankow, J.F. (1994) An Absorption Model of Gas/Particle Partitioning of Organic Compounds in the Atmosphere. Atmospheric Environment, 28, 185-188. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/1352-2310(94)90093-0</u>
- [14] Li, X., Guo, X., Liu, X., et al. (2008) Pollution Characteristics of PAHs in the Atmospheric Aerosols in Winter Time of Beijing. Environmental Chemistry, 27, 490-493.
- [15] Kavouras, I.G., Koutrakis, P., TsaPakis, M., et al. (2001) Source Apportionment of Urban Particulate Aliphatic and Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs) Using Multivariate Methods. Environmental Science & Technology, 35, 2288-2294. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/es001540z</u>