

Multi-Resolution Fourier Analysis Part I: Fundamentals

Nourédine Yahya Bey

Faculté des Sciences et Techniques, Département de physique, Université François Rabelais, Tours, France E-mail: nouredine.yahyabey@phys.univ-tours.fr Received September 2, 2010; revised September 25, 2010; accepted November 5, 2010

Abstract

In the first paper of this series, we propose a multi-resolution theory of Fourier spectral estimates of finite duration signals. It is shown that multi-resolution capability, achieved without further observation, is obtained by constructing multi-resolution signals from the only observed finite duration signal. Achieved resolutions meet bounds of the uncertainty principle (Heisenberg inequality). In the forthcoming parts of this series, multi-resolution Fourier performances are observed, applied to short signals and extended to time-frequency analysis.

Keywords: Multi-Resolution, Spectral Analysis, Fourier Analysis, Resolution, Frequency Resolution

1. Introduction

Analyzing single realization of noisy short-time signals (short data records), multi-resolution and space-frequency or time-frequency approaches, estimating frequencies of multiple signals in noise, reduction of noise variance, recovery of missing parts of signals and so on, are important topics in many areas of sciences and industries (radar and sonar data processing, communications, geophysical and seismic exploration, biomedical engineering, non destructive testing, and so on). In pertinent literature, multi-resolution analysis is now considered as a standard tool by researchers in image and signal processing. One finds, for example, that resolving sinusoidal signals in noise with nearby frequencies is of special interest [1-5]. An other example of this importance is the well known development of various parametric spectral estimation methods [6,7] and wavelet theories [8-10].

In real-world applications, one acquires only finite duration signals. These signals can be viewed as being obtained by windowing infinite signals with boxcar functions. Obtained signals are therefore assumed to vanish outside the observation interval. Many problems of the Fourier spectral estimation are traced to this assumption made about the data outside the observation interval. The overall transform includes a convolution of the desired transform with that representing the window function. The main lobe width between 3-dB levels of the window transform, approximately the inverse of the time interval *T*, determines the frequency resolution. Although important works proposed solutions that limit the impact of time windowing effects [11,12] and others that provide minimum-error band-limited approximation of non band-limited signals [13], performance limitations and their consequences as poor frequency and amplitude estimations remain non-recoverable. Moreover, the important frequency extent (multiple of the reciprocal of the observation interval) of spectral leakage perturbs amplitude estimation and masks weak components. Skillful selection of windows reduces only its amplitudes with no effect on its spectral extent.

Parameter identification approach (autoregressive (AR), moving average (MA), ARMA) was used to avoid deficiencies of Fourier spectral estimation since unrealistic assumption about the nature of the signal (zero or cyclic) outside the observation interval is eliminated. Important improvements over Fourier spectral estimation is reported by pertinent literature especially for short finite duration signals (higher resolution and lack of side-lobes). However, well known drawbacks of this approach are excessive sensitivity to observation noise (resolution varies as a function of the signal-to-noise ratio), important computation times with respect to FFT analysis, computational complexity [14-16], multiplicity of algorithms estimating model parameters and the necessity of subjective judgement in the selection of the order [17]. Contamination of parametric spectra by spurious peaks is an inherent problem to parametric modeling. In [18], we proposed AR modeling of signal defined for low signal-to-noise ratios with an adapted model order selection. We have shown that sensitivity to observation noise of AR modeling is drastically reduced whereas computation times remain important.

Pisarenko harmonic decomposition, extended Prony's method and Prony spectral line decomposition [19-21] depict analysis modelings similar to those of the parameter identification approach (ARMA or AR processes). Performances are dependent on the order, usually unknown, and remain sensitive to high level of observation noise. Deficiencies, mentioned above, are therefore encountered by these methods. On the other hand, the algorithm "MUSIC" for "Multiple Signal Classification" [22] detects frequencies in a signal by performing an eigen decomposition on the covariance matrix of a data vector of samples obtained from the samples of a considered signal. MUSIC assumes known the number of samples and the number of frequencies. This algorithm is attractive provided the available signal-to-noise (SNR) is high to resolve two distinct peaks in the estimated spectrum. One finds, however, that devised various methods [23] to overcome drawbacks such as weak robustness to both modeling errors and the presence of a strong background noise add to computational complexity and requires high enough SNRs.

An alternative non parametric approach for the resolution of mentioned problems is developed by wavelets. It is well known that wavelet transforms have remarkable resolution properties but trail some drawbacks: 1) wavelets capture only few oscillations and therefore act as local magnifiers independently of the nature of the signal under analysis (stationary, quasi-stationary or not); 2) the necessity of skillful selection of appropriate wavelets to the signal under analysis; 3) the problem of interpretation of wavelets spectra is made quite difficult since the Fourier spectrum of a wavelet is in itself a complex one. Let us note that Fourier coefficients are not only concepts but have physical evidence; 4) information on frequency is only approximative since a wavelet does not have a pure frequency as a sine wave. Characterization of a precise frequency content is therefore not suitable by means of wavelets; 5) when treating extraction of signals from noise [24] we have shown in [25] that wavelet denoising, fail or yield notably perturbed results when spectral densities of colored noise (Gaussian or not) and the signal overlap.

In this work, we propose multi-resolution theory of Fourier spectral estimates. The key idea is based on the fact that observed signals carry information on their unobserved or missing parts and the difficulty of the task is to let these signals reveal this hidden information by using the simplest possible theory. Our main effort, described here, is to construct signals from the only observed one able to reveal in the frequency domain resulting transforms whose main lobe-widths between 3-dB levels, and therefore resolutions, decrease as lengths of constructed signals increase. The number of resolution levels is defined as a function of the length of the corresponding multi-resolution signal in order to depict detailed or global views. Multi-resolution signals can be viewed as wavelets composed of versions of the signal itself analyzed by means of FFT spectral estimation. Advantages of the proposed approach are:

1) Resolution at any desired level is applied simultaneously to all corresponding points of the frequency axis. The whole frequency axis is magnified.

2) Contraction of spectral leakage and improvement of frequency estimation proportionally to levels of multi-resolution signals (second part of this series).

3) Easier and efficient implementation since the popular FFT algorithm remains used for all computations. Important reduction of computation times are expected when compared to those required by parametric or wavelet approaches.

4) Reduction of the spectral variance of resolved noisy spectral estimates as a function of the applied resolution level. This helps simple and efficient denoising of a single noisy realization of a short signal (third part of this series).

5) Unlike models based on estimation of correlation lags, here, phase information is not destroyed. Inverse transformation recovers missing parts of observed signals (second part of this series).

6) Performances of the denoising tools [24-27] based on FFT algorithm can be used for extraction of buried resolved spectral estimates (third part of this work).

7) Extension of obtained results to a novel time-frequency analysis is proposed in the fourth part of this work.

8) Extraction of buried time-varying spectra in noise is treated in the fifth part of this work.

9) One can also apply the theory to a novel image processing.

It is crucial to notice that our main focus of attention in the first part of this work is to derive expressions of multi-resolution signals. In section III, we precise basics of multi-resolution Fourier analysis by constructing double resolution signals and generalizing the theory to higher frequency resolution levels. Resolution properties, contraction of spectral leakage, improvement of frequency estimation and recovering of missing parts of short signals are discussed and observed in the forthcoming parts of this series.

2. Signal Representation

Consider a continuous-time real signal x(t) for $-\infty < t < +\infty$ and let $X(\omega)$ be its bandpass spectrum defined by,

$$X(\omega) = 0, \quad \omega_{\min} \ge |\omega| \ge \omega_{\max}.$$
 (1)

where ω_{\min} and ω_{\max} are the bounds of the spectral support of $X(\omega)$.

A finite duration signal $x_T(t)$ "cut out" from x(t)in the time interval [0,T] is given by,

$$x_T(t) = x(t)\Pi_T(t) = \begin{cases} x(t), & t \in [0,T] \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
(2)

where $\prod_{T}(t)$ is the rectangular time window whose length *T* is denoted by its lower script.

The notion of resolution used here is related to the ability of the developed theory to discriminate between two or more pure sinusoids. It is well known that within the framework of the definition given by (2), two sinusoids of respective angular frequencies ω_1 and ω_0 are barely resolvable if,

$$T\left|\omega_{1}-\omega_{0}\right|=2\pi.$$
(3)

Sinusoids are discriminated if they are more than $\Delta \omega = |\omega_1 - \omega_0|$ apart in the frequency domain and similarly, this discrimination is achieved in the time domain if sinusoids are more than *T* apart. In other words, the signal and its Fourier transform cannot be both highly concentrated. The uncertainty principle (3) is called also "Rayleigh criterion" [1].

In this work, given the time interval T, we are interested in signals for which two angular frequency components ω_1 and ω_0 are unresolvable, *i.e.*,

$$T\Delta\omega \ll 2\pi$$
 (4)

However, according to the indeterminacy principle (or Heisenberg inequality widely known for its applications in quantum mechanics [28], signal processing [29] and various other theories [30]) the resolution in angular frequency and observation time cannot be arbitrarily small, *i.e.*,

$$T\Delta\omega \ge 1/2 . \tag{5}$$

3. Multi-Resolution Fourier Analysis

3.1. Double Resolution Fourier Analysis

Here, we use the only observed signal $x_T(t)$ to construct a double resolution signal for which $T\Delta\omega = \pi$ is satisfied. This double resolution ability requires an angular frequency axis whose locations are separated by

Copyright © 2011 SciRes.

the mutual distance π/T . How to create these locations by using the only available time interval, T? We propose hereafter a two-step procedure using the "onepoint" interpolation followed by zeros insertion in the frequency domain. This helps to derive expression of the double resolution window, describe its properties and represent double resolution signals.

3.1.1. One-Point Interpolation

The "one-point" interpolation used here in the frequency domain means one-point insertion between two existing frequency locations separated by the mutual distance 1/T. Hence, given the finite duration observed signal $x_T(t)$, we can form the overall signal defined in [0, 2*T*] by writing,

$$\hat{x}_{2T}^{T}(t) = \prod_{2T} (t) \Big[x_{T}(t) + z_{T}(t-T) \Big], \qquad (6)$$

where $z_T(t) = 0$, $\forall t \in [0,T]$ and $\prod_{2T}(t)$ is the rectangular window of length 2*T*. The upper script *T* in $\hat{x}_{2T}^T(t)$ denotes the length of the most narrow time window whereas the lower script 2*T* is the length of the time extension obtained here by addition of zeros in the time interval of length *T*.

Now, it is crucial to notice that the overall signal $\hat{x}_{2T}^{T}(t)$ can be written as a function of the true signal $x_{2T}(t)$. An equivalent form of (6) using $x_{2T}(t)$ is therefore given by,

$$\hat{x}_{2T}^{T}(t) = \prod_{2T}(t) x_{2T}(t) \prod_{T}(t) .$$
(7)

One can see easily that (7) can be put under the form,

$$\hat{x}_{2T}^{T}(t) = x_{2T}(t)\omega_{2T}^{T}(t) = x_{2T}(t) \Big[\prod_{2T}(t) + \xi_{2T}^{T}(t)\Big]/2,$$
(8)

where $\xi_{2T}^{T}(t) = \prod_{T}(t) - \prod_{T}(t-T)$.

The window $\xi_{2T}^{T}(t)$ extends over the interval [0, 2*T*] (lower script) and the length of its most narrow time sub-window is *T* (upper script). The window $\omega_{2T}^{T}(t)$ is "tailed-window" whose tail (or zero-padding), represented by $z_{T}(t-T)$, as defined above, has the same length as the observed signal.

3.1.2. Zeros Insertion in the Frequency Domain

The transform of the tailed-window $\omega_{2T}^{T}(t)$ constructed above defines the angular frequency locations $\{0, \pi/T, 2\pi/T, 3\pi/(2T), \cdots\}$ The second step in the construction aims at eliminating angular frequency representation of the signal at these locations. This means that sought transform of the constructed window depicts zeros at these locations.

We propose to double the length of the interval in which $\hat{x}_{2T}^{T}(t)$ is defined by substituting 4T for 2T in the subscripts of (8). As the signal $\hat{x}_{2T}^{T}(t)$ is defined in the

interval [0, 2T], doubling the length of its time interval is obtained by introducing a local period of length 2T so that the length of the time interval reaches 4T. This gives,

$$\hat{x}_{4T}^{T}(t) = \sum_{p=0}^{1} \hat{x}_{2T}^{T}(t-2pT).$$
(9)

By using (8), we can write,

$$\hat{x}_{4T}^{T}(t) = \omega_{4T}^{T}(t) \sum_{p=0}^{1} x_{2T}(t-2pT), \qquad (10)$$

where,

$$\omega_{4T}^{T}(t) = \left[\prod_{4T}(t) + \xi_{4T}^{T}(t)\right] / 2, \qquad (11)$$

and,

$$\xi_{4T}^{T}(t) = \sum_{p=0}^{3} (-1)^{p} \prod_{T} (t - pT).$$
 (12)

One can see easily that angular frequency axis of the transform of the signal $\hat{x}_{4T}^{T}(t)$ depicts angular frequency locations separated by the mutual distance π/T as follows: each angular frequency interval [nL, (n + 1)L], where *n* is an integer and $L = 2\pi/T$, is divided into four sub-intervals defined by the locations,

$$\forall n, \{nL, (n+1/4)L, (n+1/2)L, (n+3/4)L, (n+1)L\}$$

with imposed zeros at $\{nL, (n + 1/2)L, (n+1)L\}$. The transform of the signal in [nL, (n + 1)L] is represented at the two locations (n + 1/4)L and (n + 3/4)L separated by L/2. We have therefore an angular frequency axis with locations separated by the mutual distance π/T .

Let us consider hereafter properties of the derived window $\omega_{4T}^{T}(t)$ represented by (11).

3.1.3. Properties in the Frequency Domain

The window $\omega_{4T}^{T}(t)$ is defined in the interval [0, 4*T*] and has a local period given by 2*T*. It is easy to see that $\omega_{4T}^{T}(t)$, resulting from the addition of $\prod_{4T}(t)$ and $\xi_{4T}^{T}(t)$, has the following transform,

$$W_{(4,1)}(\omega) = H_{\Pi_4}(\omega) + H_{\xi_{(4,1)}}(\omega),$$
 (13)

where $H_{\Pi_4}(\omega)$ and $H_{\xi_{(4,1)}}(\omega)$ are respectively Fourier transforms of $\prod_{4T}(t)$ and $\xi_{4T}^T(t)$, *i.e.*,

$$H_{\Pi_4}(\omega) = 4T\phi_{\Pi_4}(\omega)S(2T\omega)$$
$$H_{\xi_{(41)}}(\omega) = -i4TS(\omega T/2)\sin(\omega T/2)\cos(\omega T), \quad (14)$$

where $S(x) = \sin(x)/x$. The complex exponential $\phi_{\Pi_4}(\omega)$ represents phase induced by the absolute position of the time interval on the time scale.

One can see from (14) that the width, defined here by

the interval for which $S(2T\omega) = 0$ for $\omega = 2\pi k/(4T)$ where $k \pm 1$, is given by π/T . The length π/T is the width of the most narrow frequency response of the window $w_{4T}^T(t)$.

Let us note that,

$$H_{\Pi_{4}}\left(\omega\right)\Big|_{\omega=\pm\pi/(2T)} = H_{\xi(4,1)}\left(\omega\right)\Big|_{\omega=\pm\pi/(2T)} = H_{\xi(4,1)}\left(\omega\right)\Big|_{\omega=0} = 0$$
(15)

The amplitude spectrum $H_{\xi_{(4,1)}}(\omega)$ reaches an extremum in the interval $[0, \pi/(2T)]$ for which,

$$\forall \omega \in [0, \pi/2T], \quad \frac{\mathrm{d}H_{\xi_{(4,1)}}(\omega)}{\mathrm{d}\omega} \bigg|_{\omega=\omega_0} = 0, \qquad (16)$$

where dH(x)/dx represents the derivative of H(x) with respect to x.

Here some algebra yields $\omega_0 \simeq \pi/(4T)$. This means that this extremum is achieved at the midway bounds defining the interval $[0, \pi/(2T)]$. Now, let us focus on the bandwidth $\Delta \omega$ of $W_{(4,1)}(\omega)$. The bandwidth is defined here as the main lobe width between 3-dB or $1/\sqrt{2}$ levels of the resulting transform of the window. According to (14) and (16),

$$\left| H_{\xi_{(4,1)}} \left(\omega \right) \right|_{\omega = \omega_0} \ll \left| H_{\Pi_4} \left(\omega \right) \right|_{\omega = \omega_0}.$$
(17)

It follows that the bandwidth of $W_{(41)}(\omega)$ is so that,

$$\operatorname{Bw}\left[W_{(4,1)}\left(\omega\right)\right] \simeq \operatorname{Bw}\left[H_{\Pi_{4}}\left(\omega\right)\right] = \pi/(2T), \quad (18)$$

where $Bw[f(\omega)]$ represents the bandwidth of $f(\omega)$.

Now, let us use, in the following, the result (18) in order to find the bandwidth of $\hat{x}_{4T}^{T}(t)$ as defined by (10).

3.1.4. Double Resolution Properties

Here, we derive the bandwidth of the constructed overall signal as defined by (10). Hence, by considering (10) in the frequency domain, we can write,

$$\widehat{X}(\omega, 2\pi/\alpha T) = FT\left[\sum_{p=0}^{1} x_{2T}(t-2pT)\right] * W_{(4,1)}(\omega)$$

$$= \left[X(\omega)\theta_{2}(\omega)H_{\Pi_{2}}(\omega)\right] * W_{(4,1)}(\omega),$$
(19)

where the second argument $2\pi/(\alpha T)$ of \hat{X} represents the bandwidth we are searching for and FT[x] is the Fourier transform of x. Here $X(\omega)$ and $H_{\Pi_2}(\omega)$ are respectively Fourier transforms of the signal x(t)and the rectangular window $H_{\Pi_2}(t)$ of length 2T in which it is observed. Here also, the bandwidth of H_{Π_2} is roughly π/T . The term $\theta_2(\omega)$ gathers phases resulting from time translation.

The convolution between the windows $H_{\Pi_2}(\omega)$ and $W_{(4,1)}(\omega)$ yields a window with the broadest of the two bandwidths. According to (18), this gives,

$$H(\omega, \pi/T) = \theta_2(\omega) H_{\Pi_2}(\omega) * W_{(4,1)}(\omega), \quad (20)$$

where the bandwidth of $H(\omega, \pi/T)$ is given by its second argument π/T .

By using (20), (19) becomes,

$$\hat{X}(\omega, 2\pi/(\alpha\pi)) = X(\omega) \star H(\omega, \pi/T), \qquad (21)$$

only if,

 $\alpha = 2$.

This defines the frequency resolution,

 $T\Delta\omega = \pi$.

It is crucial to notice that the true spectrum $X(\omega, \pi/T)$ can be extracted from $\hat{X}(\omega, \pi/T)$ as shown in the second part of this series.

3.1.5. Expression of Double Resolution Signals

Expression of a double resolution signal as a function of the only observed finite duration signal $x_T(t)$ is obtained by considering (10). One can see easily that,

$$\hat{x}_{4T}^{T}(t) = \omega_{4T}^{T}(t) \sum_{p=0}^{i} x_{2T}(t-2pT)$$

$$= \prod_{4T}(t) \sum_{p=0}^{1} x_{T}(t-2pT).$$
(22)

Components of the overall signal (22) are $x_T(t)$ and its translated version $x_T(t-2T)$. Notice that for resolving potential ambiguities [6] or smoothing the appearance of the spectral estimates, one can apply zero-padding to the double resolution signal as defined by (22) and not to the signal depicted by (7) (see the second part of this series).

3.2. Fourfold Frequency Resolution

3.2.1. Quadruple Resolution Window

It can be seen immediately that the quadruple resolution window can be obtained from the double resolution one (13) by substituting 8T for 4T in the lower scripts and 2T for T in upper scripts. The expression corresponding overall signal of length 8T is given by,

$$\hat{x}_{8T}^{2T}(t) = \omega_{8T}^{2T}(t) \sum_{p=0}^{1} x_{4T}(t-4pT), \qquad (23)$$

where,

$$\omega_{8T}^{2T}(t) = \left(\prod_{8T}(t) + \xi_{8T}^{2T}(t)\right) / 2.$$
 (24)

Conclusions in the frequency domain for this quadruple resolution window are therefore straight-

forward and one finds easily that the frequency resolution is given by, $T\Delta\omega = \pi/2$. The main concern hereafter is to find the expression in the time domain of the quadruple resolution signal as a function of the only observed one $x_T(t)$.

3.2.2. The Overall Signal

The half-period restriction (in the interval [0, 4T]) of (24) yields,

$$w_{4T}^{2T}(t) = \left(\prod_{4T}(t) + \xi_{4T}^{2T}(t)\right) / 2.$$
 (25)

As the window $\prod_{4T}(t) + \xi_{4T}^{2T}(t)$ imposes zeros in the interval [2T, 4T], then, the overall signal $\hat{x}_{4T}^{2T}(t)$ (in the interval [0, 4T]) is given by,

$$\hat{x}_{4T}^{2T}(t) = x_T(t) + q_T(t-T) + z_{2T}(t-2T), \quad (26)$$

where $z_{2T}(t) = 0$ in the interval [0, 2T] and $q_T(t)$ is an unknown signal.

3.2.3. Signal Identification

Now, the difficulty of the task depicted by (26) is the identification of the unknown signal $q_T(t)$ by using the only known signal $x_T(t)$. The half-period restriction of (23), yields,

$$\hat{x}_{4T}^{2T}(t) = x_{4T}(t) w_{4T}^{2T}(t) = x_{4T}(t) \prod_{2T}(t).$$
(27)

By using (26), (27) becomes,

$$x_{T}(t) + q_{T}(t-T) = x_{2T}(t) \prod_{2T}(t)$$

= $x_{2T}(t) [\prod_{T}(t) + \prod_{T}(t-T)].$ (28)

Since $x_{2T}(t)\prod_{T}(t) = x_{T}(t)$, then the unknown signal $q_{T}(t)$ is specified by,

$$q_T(t) = x_T(t,\phi), \qquad (29)$$

where ϕ represents an unknown phase that characterizes the signal $x_{2T}(t)\prod_{T}(t-T)$ "cut-out" from $x_{2T}(t) \cdot \prod_{2T}(t)$ with respect to $x_{T}(t)$.

In the following, we identify the expression of the signal $q_T(t)$ by using its amplitude and phase spectra together with the sign of its angular frequency.

3.2.4. Amplitude Spectrum

The spectrum of $q_T(t)$, as defined by (29), is given by,

$$Q_T(\Omega) = |X_T(\Omega)| e^{i\varphi(\Omega)}.$$
(30)

According to (30), $|Q_T(\Omega)| = |X_T(\Omega)|$.

3.2.5. Phase Spectrum

Let us consider the amplitude spectrum of the sum of $x_T(t)$ and $q_T(t)$. By assuming that $X_T(\Omega) = |X_T(\Omega)| \cdot e^{i\theta(\Omega)}$ and using (30), we have,

Copyright © 2011 SciRes.

$$\left|X_{T}\left(\Omega\right)+Q_{T}\left(\Omega\right)\right|=\left|X_{T}\left(\Omega\right)\right|\left|1+e^{i\left[\phi\left(\Omega\right)-\theta\left(\Omega\right)\right]}\right|=2\left|X_{T}\left(\Omega\right)\right|.$$
(31)

Here (31) is satisfied only if,

$$\varphi(\Omega) - \theta(\Omega) = \pm \Omega T$$
. (32)

By using (32), the spectrum of $q_T(t)$, as depicted by (30), yields,

$$Q_T(\Omega) = X_T(\Omega) e^{\pm i\Omega T}.$$
 (33)

3.2.6. The Angular Frequency

By setting $\Omega = \omega$ and $\Omega = -\omega$, we find respectively by applying inverse Fourier transformation to (33) the following four signals $x_T(t+T)$, $x_T(t-T)$, $x_T(T-t)$ and $x_T(-T-t)$. Since,

$$\forall t \in [0,T], x_T \left(-T-t\right) = x_T \left(t \pm T\right) = 0, \qquad (34)$$

then the unknown signal is the time reversed signal of the observed one, *i.e.*,

$$\forall t \in [0,T], \quad q_T(t) = x_T(T-t) \neq 0. \tag{35}$$

3.2.7. Expression of Fourfold Frequency Resolution Signals

The expression of fourfold frequency resolution signals is now easily obtained by combining (26) and (35), *i.e.*,

$$\hat{x}_{8T}^{2T}(t) = \prod_{8T}(t) \sum_{p=0}^{1} \left[x_T(t-4pT) + x_T((4p+2)T-t) \right].$$
(36)

Zero-padding is applied to the fourfold resolution signal as depicted by (36). Analyzed signals are depicted with angular frequency separations given by $\pi/2T$.

3.3. Threefold and Quintuple Frequency Resolution Signals

Threefold and quintuple frequency resolution signals can be immediately deduced from above developments on respectively double and fourfold resolution signals as shown below.

3.3.1. Resolution Windows

By generalizing overall signals as given by (8) and (23) to threefold and quintuple overall signals, we have,

$$\hat{x}_{sT}^{I[s/2]T}(t) = x_{sT}(t) \left[\prod_{sT}(t) + \xi_{sT}^{I[s/2]T}(t) \right] / 2, \quad (37)$$

where s = 3 and s = 5 are for respectively threefold and quintuple resolution signals. Here I[s/2] represents the integer part of s/2.

Let us introduce the local period sT and rewrite (37) in the interval [0, 2sT] as follows,

$$\hat{x}_{2sT}^{I[s/2]T}(t) = w_{2sT}^{I[s/2]T}(t) \sum_{p=0}^{1} x_{sT}(t-spT).$$
(38)

The obtained resolution window is therefore given by,

$$w_{2sT}^{I[s/2]T}(t) = \prod_{2sT}(t) \sum_{p=0}^{1} \left[\prod_{I[s/2]T} (t - spT) - \prod_{(I[s/2]T+1)T} (t - (sp + I[s/2])T) \right].$$
(39)

In the frequency domain, $w_{2sT}^{l[s/2]T}(t)$ yields,

$$W_{(2s,I[s/2])}(\omega) = H_{\Pi^{2s}}(\omega) + H_{\xi_{(2s,I[s/2)}}(\omega)$$

= $2sT\phi_{\Pi_{2s}}(\omega)S(s\omega T)$ (40)
 $+\hat{H}_{\xi_{(2s,I[s/2)}}(\omega)\cos(s\omega T/2),$

where $S(x) = \sin(x)/x$ and $\phi_{\Pi_{2s}}(\omega)$ is a phase induced by absolute position on the time scale. Here $\hat{H}_{\xi_{2s,I(s/2)}}(\omega)$ is so that,

$$\left| \hat{H}_{\xi_{\left(2s,I\left[s/2\right]\right)}}\left(\omega\right) \right| < \left| H_{\Pi_{2s}}\left(\omega\right) \right|.$$
(41)

Let us note that,

$$W_{(2s,I[s/2])}(\omega)\Big|_{\omega=\pm\pi/sT} = 0$$
, (42)

and the bandwidth of $W_{(2s,I[s/2])}(\omega)$ is given by,

$$\operatorname{Bw}\left[W_{(2s,I[s/2])}(\omega)\right] \simeq \operatorname{Bw}\left[H_{\Pi_{2s}}(\omega)\right] = \pi/(sT) \quad (43)$$

One can see immediately that Fourier transformation of (38) yields,

$$\hat{X}(\omega, 2\pi/(\beta T)) = \left\lfloor X(\omega) * \theta_s(\omega) H_{\Pi_{2s}}(\omega) \right\rfloor * W_{(2s, I[s/2])}(\omega)$$
$$= X(\omega) * H(\omega, 2\pi/(sT)),$$
(44)

where $\theta_s(\omega)$ are phases induced by the time position on the time scale and * represents the convolution.

Equality (44) is satisfied only if,

$$\beta = s$$
,

and the bandwidth of the spectrum, as depicted by (44) exhibits therefore the resolution,

$$T\Delta\omega = 2\pi/s.$$

3.3.2. Expression of Threefold and Quintuple Resolution Signals

By using (38) and (39), expressions of threefold and quintuple resolution signals in the interval [0, 2sT], are

370

respectively specified for s = 3 and s = 5 by,

$$\hat{x}_{2sT}^{I[s/2]T}(t) = \prod_{2sT}(t) \sum_{p=0}^{1} \left[x_T(t - spT) + (I[s/2] - 1) x_T((sp + 2)T - t) \right].$$
(45)

Here also zero-padding can be applied to resolution signals as defined by (45).

4. Optimum Resolution Signal

Here we propose to find the finest level of resolution and the corresponding expression of the resolution signal by using the lower bound of the uncertainty principle.

4.1. Variation of the Resolution

It is well known that when a function is scaled $g(t) \rightarrow g(at)$ where a > 0, then it is contracted if a > 1 and expanded when a < 1. Above expressions of multi-resolution signals show that we have a contraction-expansion effect evaluated by increasing or decreasing the length of the local period of the multi-resolution window by the constant quantity T representing the original observation interval of the signal x(t). We have therefore a *discrete* variation of the resolution, sT, where s = 2, 3, 4, 5. Now, the question of interest is the achievable finest limit of frequency resolution. This is discussed hereafter.

4.2. Levels of Multi-Resolution Signals

Let us consider the two following important facts :

1) Frequency spacing between adjacent frequency components of the double resolution signal is $\pi/(2T)$. Clearly, this frequency spacing is greater than the spacing 1/(2T) defined by the lower bound of the uncertainty principle. Similarly, for the quadruple frequency signal, we have the spacing $\pi/(4T)$ that remains greater than 1/(2T). By generalizing these results, one finds that any frequency spacing of any multi-resolution signal is conditioned by,

$$\forall s, \pi/(sT) \ge 1/(2T). \tag{46}$$

This immediately yields,

$$s \le 2I[\pi] \,, \tag{47}$$

where I[x] is the integer part of x.

As the lower limit of the indeterminacy principle cannot be arbitrarily small, this means that we cannot build resolution signals for which (47) is not fulfilled. Resolution levels, s, are therefore limited by $s \le 6$.

2) Here we discuss the significance of the upper bound s = 6 provided by the inequality (47) by considering the

indeterminacy principle as an uncertainty principle. To see this, let us compare the variation between two adjacent frequency resolution levels with the lower bound of the uncertainty principle (given by 0.5). The variation between two adjacent resolutions s = 5 and s = 6 is given by,

$$\Delta (T \Delta \omega) = (T \Delta \omega)_{s=5} - (T \Delta \omega)_{s=6} \simeq 0.2 .$$
 (48)

It can be seen that depicting frequency separations by using quintuple (s = 5) resolution signal instead of the sextuple resolution signal (s = 6) yields errors smaller than the lower bound of the uncertainty principle. This means that the optimal resolution signal has the quintuple form given by (45) for which,

$$T\Delta\omega \ge 0.4\pi \,. \tag{49}$$

Here, (49) represents the achieved optimal Fourier frequency resolution.

4.3. Expression of Multi-Resolution Signals

By generalizing expression depicted by (45) to double and fourfold resolution signals and generalization of the equivalent form (38) initially written for s = 3 and s = 5 to s = 2 and s = 4, we can write,

$$\hat{x}_{2sT}^{I[s/2]T}(t) = w_{2sT}^{I[s/2]T}(t) \sum_{p=0}^{1} x_{sT}(t - spT) = \prod_{2sT}(t)$$

$$\cdot \sum_{p=0}^{1} \left[x_T(t - spT) + (I[s/2] - 1) x_T((sp + I[s/2])T - t) \right]$$

$$= \Re_{(s)} \left[x_T(t) \right].$$
(50)

Notice that for the sake of simplicity, the resolution signal constructed from x(t) is relabeled $\Re_{(s)}[x_T(t)]$ where $\Re_{(s)}[x_T(t)]$ is the resolution operator of level *s* (lower script) applied to $x_T(t)$.

5. Conclusions

We proposed multi-resolution theory of Fourier spectral estimates. We have shown that multi-resolution capability, achieved without further observation, is obtained by constructing multi-resolution signals from the only observed finite duration signal. Obtained frequency resolutions are not limited by the length of the observation interval and meet bounds of the indeterminacy principle or Heisenberg inequality. Observation results and application of the Fourier multi-resolution theory to short signals and time-frequency analysis are reported in the forthcoming parts of this series.

6. References

- M. Shahram and P. Milanfar, "On the Resolvability of Sinuoids with Nearby Frequencies in the Presence of Noise," *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, Vol. 53, No. 7, 2005, pp. 2579-2588. doi:10.1109/TSP.2005.845492
- [2] D. V. Anderson, "Speech Analysis and Coding Using a Multi-Resolution Sinusoidal Transform," 1996 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech, and Signal, Atlanta, 7-10 May 1996, pp. 1037-1040. doi:10.1161/01.CIR.82.4.118
- [3] R. Haberl, H. F. Schels, P. Steinbigler, G. Jilge and G. Steinbeck, "Top-Resolution Frequency Analysis of Electrocardiogram with Adaptive Frequency Determination. Identification of Late Potentials in Patients with Coronary Artery Disease," *Circulation*, Vol. 82, 1990, pp. 1183-1192. doi: 10.1109/ICASSP.1996.543301
- [4] L. Marple, "Resolution of Conventional Fourier, Autoregressive, and Special ARMA Methods of Spectrum Analysis," *IEEE International Conference on Acoustics*, *Speech, and Signal Processing*, May 1977, pp. 74-77. doi:10.1109/ICASSP.1977.1170219
- [5] D. L. Donoho and P. H. Stark, "Uncertainty Principles and Signal Recovery," *SIAM Journal on Applied Mathematics*, Vol. 49, 1989, pp. 906-931. doi:10.1137/0149053
- [6] S. M. Kay and S. L. Marple, "Spectrum Analysis—A Modern Perspective," *Proceedings of the IEEE*, Vol. 69, No. 11, 1981, pp. 1380-1419. doi:10.1109/PROC.1981.12184
- [7] A. Bruckstein, T. J. Shan and T. Kailath, "The Resolution of Overlapping Echos," *IEEE Transactions on Acoustic*, *Speech and Signal Processing*, Vol. 33, No. 6, 1985, pp. 1357-1367.
- [8] Y. Meyer, "Wavelets: Algorithms and Applications," Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics, Philadelphia, 1993.
- [9] O. Rioul and M. Vitterli, "Wavelets and Signal Processing," *IEEE Signal Processing Magazine*, Vol. 8, No. 4, 1991, pp. 14-38. doi:10.1109/79.91217
- [10] I. Daubechies, "Ten Lectures on Wavelets," Siam, Philadaphia, 1992.
- [11] M. Kunt, "Traitement Numérique des Signaux," Dunod, Paris, 1981.
- [12] F. J. Harris, "On the Use of Windows for Harmonic Analysis with the Discrete Fourier Transform," *Proceeding of the IEEE*, Vol. 66, No. 1, 1978, pp. 51-83. <u>doi:10.1109/PROC.1978.10837</u>
- [13] M. Unser, "Sampling—50 Years after Shanon," Proceedings of the IEEE, Vol. 88, No. 4, 2000, pp. 569-587. doi:10.1109/5.843002
- [14] S. M. Kay, "The Effects of Noise on the Autoregressive Spectral Estimator," *IEEE Transactions on Acoustics*, *Speech and Signal Processing*, Vol. 27, No. 5, 1979, pp. 478-485.
- [15] S. M. Kay, "Noise Compensation for Autoregressive Spectral Estimates," *IEEE Transactions on Acoustics*, *Speech and Signal Processing*, Vol. 28, No. 3, 1980, pp.

292-303.

- [16] Q. T. Zhang, "Reduction of Asymptotic Bias of AR and Spectral Estimates by Tapering," *Journal of Time Series Analysis*, Vol. 13, No. 5, 1992, pp. 451-69. doi:10.1111/j.1467-9892.1992.tb00120.x
- [17] k. Kaluzynski, "Order Selection in Doppler Blood Flow Signal Spectral Analysis Using Autoregressive Modeling," *Medical and Biological Engineering and Computing*, Vol. 27, No. 1, 1989, pp. 89-82. doi:10.1007/BF02442176
- [18] N. Y. Bey, "Recovering of Autoregressive Spectral Estimates of Signals Buried in Noise," *Signal, Image and Video Processing*, Vol. 1, No. 4, 2007, pp. 321-331. doi:10.1007/s11760-007-0026-3
- [19] V. F. Pisarenko, "On the Estimation of Spectra by Means of Non-Linear Functions of the Covariance Matrix," *Geophysical Journal of the Royal Astronomical Society*, Vol. 28, No. 5, 1972, pp. 511-531.
- [20] V. F. Pisarenko, "The Retrieval of Harmonics from a Covariance Function," *Geophysical Journal of the Royal Astronomical Society*, Vol. 33, No. 5, 1973, pp. 347-366.
- [21] M. L. van Blabicum and R. Mittra, "Problems and Solutions Associated with Prony's Method for Processing Transient Data", *IEEE Transactions on Electromagnetic Compatibility*, EMC-20, No. 1, 1978, pp. 174-182. doi:10.1109/TEMC.1978.303708
- [22] M. Kaveh and A. Barabell, "The Statistical Performance of the MUSIC and the Minimum Norm Algorithms in Resolving Plane Waves in Noise," *IEEE Transactions on Acoustic, Speech and Signal Processing*, Vol. 34, No. 2, 1986, pp. 331-341. doi:10.1109/TASSP.1986.1164815
- [23] A. Barabell, "Improving the Resolution Performance of Eigenstructure-Based Direction-Finding Algorithms," *IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech, and Signal Processing*, Vol. 8, 1983, pp. 336-339.
- [24] N. Yahya Bey, "Extraction of Signals Buried in Noise, Part I: Fundamentals," *Signal Processing*, Vol. 86, No. 9, 2006, pp. 2464-2478. <u>doi:10.1016/j.sigpro.2005.11.014</u>
- [25] N. Yahya Bey, "Extraction of Signals Buried in Noise, Part II: Experimental Results," *Signal Processing*, Vol. 86, No. 10, 2006, pp.2 994-3011. doi:10.1016/j.sigpro.2005.11.018
- [26] N. Yahya Bey, "Extraction of Signals Buried in Noise: Correlated Processes," *International Journal of Communications, Network and System Sciences*, Vol. 3, No. 11, 2010, pp. 855-862. doi:10.4236/ijcns.2011.311116
- [27] N. Yahya Bey, "Extraction of Signals Buried in Noise : Non-Ergodic Processes," *International Journal of Communications, Network and System Sciences*, Vol. 3, No. 12, 2010, pp. 907-915. <u>doi:10.4236/ijcns.2010.312124</u>
- [28] W. Heisenberg, "The Physical Principles of Quantum Theory," University of Chicago Press, Chicago, 1930.
- [29] D. Gabor, "Theory of Communication," Journal of Institution of Electrical Engineers, Vol. 93, No. 26, 1946, pp. 429-441. doi:10.1049/ji-3-2.1946.0074
- [30] C. L. Fefferman, "The Uncertainty Principle," Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society, Vol. 9, No. 2, 1983, pp. 129-206.