

# Portfolio Optimization without the Self-Financing Assumption

**Moawia Alghalith** 

Department of Economics, University of the West Indies, St. Augustine, Trinidad and Tobago E-mail: malghalith@gmail.com Received January 13, 2011; revised February 28, 2011; accepted March 5, 2011

## Abstract

In this paper, we relax the assumption of a self-financing strategy in the dynamic investment models. In so doing we provide smooth solutions and constrained viscosity solutions.

Keywords: Portfolio, Investment, Stochastic, Viscosity Solutions, Self Financing

## 1. Introduction

The literature on dynamic portfolio optimization is vast. However, previous literature on dynamic investment relied on the assumption of a self-financing strategy; that is, the investor cannot add or withdraw funds during the trading horizon. Examples include [1], [2], [3] and [4] among many others. However, this assumption is somewhat restrictive and sometimes unrealistic.

Moreover, even with the assumption of a self-financing strategy, the previous literature usually provided explicit solutions under the assumption of a logarithmic or power utility function. Therefore, the assumption of a self-financing strategy did not offer a significant simplification of the solutions. Therefore, the self-financing assumption needs to be relaxed.

Consequently, the goal of this paper is to relax the assumption of self-financing strategies. In this paper, we show that the assumption of a self-financing strategy can be relaxed without a significant complication of the optimal solutions. In so doing, we present a stochastic-fac- tor incomplete-markets investment model and provide both smooth solutions and constrained viscosity solutions.

#### 2. The Model

We consider an investment model, which includes a risky asset, a risk-free asset and a random external economic factor (see, for example, [5]). We use a three-dimensional standard Brownian motion  $\{W_{1s}, W_{2s}, W_{3s}, F_s\}_{t \le s \le T}$  on the probability space  $(\Omega, F_s, P)$ , where  $\{F_s\}_{0 \le s \le T}$  is the augmentation of filtration. The risk-free

Copyright © 2011 SciRes.

asset price process is  $S_0 = e^{T \int_{a}^{T} r(Y_s) ds}$ , where  $r(Y_s)$ ä  $C_b^2(R)$  is the rate of return and  $Y_s$  is the stochastic economic factor.

The dynamics of the risky asset price are given by

$$\mathrm{d}S_s = S_s \left\{ \mu(Y_s) \mathrm{d}s + \sigma_1(Y_s) \mathrm{d}W_{1s} \right\},\tag{1}$$

where  $\mu(Y_s)$  and  $\sigma_1(Y_s)$  are the rate of return and the volatility, respectively. The economic factor process is given by

$$dY_s = b(Y_s)ds + \sigma_2(Y_s)dW_{2s}, Y_t = y, \qquad (2)$$

where  $\sigma_2(Y_s)$  is its volatility and  $b(Y_s)$  ä  $C^1(R)$ .

The amount of money added to or withdrawn from the investment at time s is denoted by  $\Phi_s$ , and its dynamics are given by

$$\mathrm{d}\Phi_{s} = a(Y_{s})\mathrm{d}s + \sigma_{3}(Y_{s})\mathrm{d}W_{3s}, \qquad (3)$$

where  $\sigma_3(Y_s)$  is its volatility and  $a(Y_s)b(Y_s)$  ä  $C^1(R)$ . Thus the wealth process is given by

$$X_{T}^{\pi} = x + \int_{t}^{T} a(Y_{s}) ds + \int_{t}^{T} \sigma_{3}(Y_{s}) dW_{3s} + \int_{t}^{T} \{r(Y_{s}) X_{s}^{\pi} + (\mu(Y_{s}) - r(Y_{s})\pi_{s})\} ds \quad (4) + \int_{t}^{T} \pi_{s} \sigma_{1}(Y_{s}) dW_{1s},$$

where x is the initial wealth,  $\{\pi_s, F_s\}_{t \le s \le T}$  is the portfolio process with  $E \int_{t}^{T} \sigma_1^2 (Y_s) \pi_s^2 ds < \infty$ .

The investor's objective is to maximize the expected

APM

utility of the terminal wealth

$$V(t, x, y) = \sup_{\pi_t} E\left[u(X_T^{\pi})|F_t\right],$$
 (5)

where V(.) is the value function, u(.) is a differentiable, bounded and concave utility function.

Under regularity conditions, the value function is differentiable and thus satisfies the Hamiltonian-Jacobi-Bellman PDE

$$V_{t} + [r(y)x + a(y)]V_{x} + b(y)V_{y}$$
  
+  $\frac{1}{2}\sigma_{2}^{2}(y)V_{yy} + \rho_{23}\sigma_{2}(y)\sigma_{3}(y)V_{xy} + \frac{1}{2}\sigma_{3}^{2}(y)V_{xx}$   
+  $\sup_{\pi_{t}} \{\pi_{t}(\mu(y) - r(y))V_{x}$   
+  $\left[\frac{1}{2}\pi_{t}^{2}\sigma_{1}^{2}(y) + \rho_{13}\sigma_{1}(y)\sigma_{3}(y)\pi_{t}\right]V_{xx}$   
+  $\rho_{12}\sigma_{1}(y)\sigma_{2}(y)\pi_{t}V_{xy}\}$   
= 0,

$$V(T, x, y) = u(x), \tag{6}$$

where  $\rho_{ij}$  is the correlation coefficient between the Brownian motions. Hence, the optimal solution is

$$\pi_{t}^{*} = -\frac{(\mu(y) - r(y))V_{x} + \rho_{12}\sigma_{1}(y)\sigma_{2}(y)V_{xy}}{\sigma_{1}^{2}(y)V_{xx}}$$
(7)  
$$-\rho_{13}\sigma_{1}^{-1}(y)\sigma_{3}(y).$$

Similar to the previous literature, an explicit solution can be obtained for specific forms of utility such as a logarithmic utility function.

## 3. Viscosity Solutions

We can apply the constrained viscosity solutions to (6), given the HJB is degenerate elliptic and monotone increasing in V (see, for example, [6]).

Consider this HJB

$$H(x,V(x),V_{x}(x),V_{xx}(x)) = 0, x \ \ddot{a} \ \Omega,$$
  

$$V(x) = g(x), x \ \ddot{a} \ \partial\Omega,$$
(8)

where  $\Omega$  is a bounded open set.

,

**Definition 1** A continuous function V(x) is a viscosity subsolution of (6) if

$$H(x,V(x),P,X) \le 0, \forall P \ \ a \ D^+V(x),$$
  
$$\forall X \ \ \& J^+V(x), \forall x \quad \Omega$$
(9)

A continuous function V(x) is a viscosity supersolution of (8) if

Copyright © 2011 SciRes.

$$H(x,V(x),P,X) \ge 0, \forall P \ \ a \ D^{-}V(x),$$
  
$$\forall X \ \ \mathring{E}J^{-}V(x), \forall x \quad \Omega,$$
 (10)

where

$$D^{+}V(x) = \left\{P: \limsup_{y \to x} \sup \frac{V(y) - V(x) - \langle P, y - x \rangle}{|y - x|} \le 0\right\}, (11)$$
$$D^{-}V(x) = \left\{P: \liminf_{y \to x} \frac{V(y) - V(x) - \langle P, y - x \rangle}{|y - x|} \ge 0\right\}, (12)$$

the super-differential sub-differential, are and respectively; and

$$J^{2+}V(x) = \{(P,X):$$

$$\lim_{y \to x} \sup \frac{V(y) - V(x) - \langle P, y - x \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \langle X(y - x), y - x \rangle}{|y - x|} \le 0\},$$
(13)

$$J^{2-}V(x) = \{(P,X):$$

$$\liminf_{y \to x} \frac{V(y) - V(x) - \langle P, y - x \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \langle X(y - x), y - x \rangle}{|y - x|}$$

$$\geq 0\},$$
(14)

are the superject and subject, respectively. A function V(x) is a viscosity solution if it is both a viscosity subsolution and a viscosity supersolution.

**Proposition 1** V(x) is the unique constrained viscosity solution of (6).

**Proof** Let  $V \ \ a \ C(\partial \Omega)$  and let s(V) and i(V) be the upper and lower semicontinuous envelopes of V, respectively, where

$$s(V) = \sup \{u(x) : u_1 \le u \le u_2\},$$
  
$$i(V) = \inf \{u(x) : u_1 \le u \le u_2\},$$

where  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  are sub-solution and super-solution, respectively.

Thus  $s(V) \"au USC(\overline{\Omega})$  and  $i(V) \"au LSC(\overline{\Omega})$  are a viscosity subsolution and supersolution, respectively. At the boundary we have

$$V(x) = s(V) = i(V),$$

by the comparison principle

$$s(V) \leq i(V)$$
 in  $\Omega$ .

By definition  $s(V) \ge i(V)$  and

APM

thus

$$V(x) = s(V) = i(V)$$
 in  $\overline{\Omega}$ 

is the unique viscosity solution.

#### 4. References

- M. Alghalith, "A New Stochastic Factor Model: General Explicit Solutions," *Applied Mathematics Letters*, Vol. 22, No. 12, 2009, pp. 1852-1854. doi:10.1016/j.aml.2009.07.011
- [2] N. Castaneda-Leyva and D. Hernandez-Hernandez, "Optimal Consumption-Investment Problems in Incomplete Markets with Random Coefficients," *Proceedings of the* 44th IEEE Conference on Decision and Control, and the

*European Control Conference* 2005, Sevilla, 12-15 December 2005, pp. 6650-6655.

- [3] J. Cvitanic and F. Zapatero, "Introduction to the Economics and Mathematics of Financial Markets," MIT Press, Cambridge, 2004.
- [4] W. Fleming, "Some Optimal Investment, Production and Consumption Models," *Contemporary Mathematics*, Vol. 351, 2004, pp. 115-123.
- [5] F. Focardi and F. Fabozzi, "The Mathematics of Financial Modeling and Investment Management," Wiley E-Series, 2004.
- [6] F. Minani, "Hausdorff Continuous Viscosity Solutions to Hamilton-Jacobi Equations and their Numerical Analysis," Unpublished Ph.D. Thesis, University of Pretoria, Pretoria, 2007.