

A Solution of Generalized Cosine Equation in Hilbert's Fourth Problem

Rafik Aramyán^{1,2}

¹Russian-Armenian (Slavonic) University, Yerevan, Armenia

²Institute of Mathematics, National Academy of Sciences, Yerevan, Armenia

Email: rafikarmyan@yahoo.com

Received 22 February 2014; revised 22 March 2014; accepted 1 April 2014

Copyright © 2014 by author and Scientific Research Publishing Inc.

This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution International License (CC BY).

<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>



Open Access

Abstract

A solution of Hilbert's fourth problem leads to the integral equation which can be called the *generalized cosine equation*. In the present paper, we propose an inversion formula for the solution of the generalized cosine equation using integral and stochastic geometry methods.

Keywords

Integral Geometry, Integral Equation, Finsler Metrics, Flag Density

1. Introduction

The integral-geometric approach to Hilbert's fourth problem. The fourth problem in Hilbert's famous collection of 1900, asks for the geometries, defined axiomatically, in which there exists a notion of length for which line segments are the shortest connections of their endpoints. The problem has later seen many transformation and interpretation. It was shown by G. Hamel that it is the same to ask (see [1] [2]): *Given an open convex subset C of \mathbf{R}^n , determine all complete projective metrics on C .*

A metric d is called projective if it is continuous and linearly additive. Here \mathbf{R}^n is the n -dimensional Euclidean space. There are two classical examples of projective metrics, already given by D. Hilbert. The first example is \mathbf{R}^n with the metric induced by a norm (a Minkowski space). Such metrics are the translation invariant projective metrics on \mathbf{R}^n . The second example is what is now called a Hilbert geometry.

The modern approaches make it clear that the problem is at the basis of integral geometry, inverse problems and Finsler geometry (see [3]-[5]). There is an integral-geometric approach suggested by H. Busemann to construct class of projective metrics (see [3]).

We denote by \mathbf{E}^n ($\mathbf{E}^3 = \mathbf{E}$)—the space of hyperplanes in \mathbf{R}^n , \mathbf{S}^{n-1} —the unit sphere in \mathbf{R}^n (the space of unit vectors), $\mathbf{S}_\omega \subset \mathbf{S}^2$ —the oriented great circle with pole at $\omega \in \mathbf{S}^2$. By $[x]$ we denote the bundle of hyper-

planes containing the point $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$. Let μ be a measure on \mathbf{E}^n which satisfies

$$\mu([x]) = 0 \text{ for each } x \in \mathbf{R}^n \tag{1}$$

and

$$0 < \mu(\{e \in \mathbf{E}^n : e \cap \overline{xy} \neq \emptyset\}) < \infty \text{ for } x \neq y, \tag{2}$$

where \overline{xy} is the segment with endpoints x and y .

If we define

$$d(x, y) = \mu(\{e \in \mathbf{E}^n : e \cap \overline{xy} \neq \emptyset\}) \tag{3}$$

for $x, y \in \mathbf{R}^n$, then d is a projective metric.

The question arises whether this construction produces all projective metrics on \mathbf{R}^n . First comes the A. V. Pogorelov-R. V. Ambartsumian-R. Aleksander result ([2] [6] [7]) stating that in two dimensional case every projective metrics can be obtained by (3) with a measure in the space of lines in the plane. This essentially solves Hilbert’s fourth problem in two dimensional case (see also [8]).

In dimension greater than two, the situation is different: not every projective metric on \mathbf{R}^3 can be obtained by (3) with some measure μ in \mathbf{E}^3 . This is already seen from the following construction.

Now let $\|\cdot\|$ be a norm on \mathbf{R}^3 . Suppose that the projective metric $d(x, y) = \|x - y\|$ can be generated by (3) with translation invariant measure μ on \mathbf{E} . The translation invariant measure μ can be decomposed: there exists a finite even measure m on S^2 such that $d\mu = m(d\xi) \cdot dp$ (see ([9]), where (p, ξ) is the usual parametrization of a plane e : p is the distance of e from the origin O ; $\xi \in S^2$ is the direction normal to e). We assume that $m(d\xi) = h(\xi)d\xi$. Now the assumed representation (3), gives

$$d(x, 0) = \|x\| = \int_{S^2} |(x, \xi)| m(d\xi) = \int_{S^2} |(x, \xi)| h(\xi) d\xi. \tag{4}$$

The equation

$$H(x) = \int_{S^2} |(x, \xi)| h(\xi) d\xi \text{ for } x \in \mathbf{R}^3 \tag{5}$$

where $H \geq 0$ is a given even function while h is the unknown function, is known as the zonoid equation. By a result of W. Blaschke the following is known (see ([10]). If the even function H is sufficiently often differentiable then (5) has a uniquely determined continuous even solution not necessarily positive. If h is the solution of (5), then we can define a translation invariant measure $d\mu = h(\xi)d\xi \cdot dp$ on \mathbf{E}^3 which satisfies (4).

From now on, we restrict ourselves to Finsler metrics on \mathbf{R}^3 , since sufficiently smooth projective metrics are induced from Finsler metrics, and projective metrics can be approximated, uniformly on compact sets, by smooth projective Finsler metrics (see [2] [4]).

We define a Finsler metric on \mathbf{R}^3 as a continuous function $H : \mathbf{R}^3 \times \mathbf{R}^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ with the property that $H(x, \cdot)$ is a norm on \mathbf{R}^3 , for each $x \in \mathbf{R}^3$.

We consider locally finite signed measure μ in the space \mathbf{E} , which posses density with respect to the standard Euclidean motion invariant measure, *i.e.* (see [11])

$$\mu(de) = h(e)de = h(e)dpd\xi \tag{6}$$

where de is an element of the standard measure. To define function h_x on S^2 we consider the restriction of h onto $[x]$ as a function on the hemisphere, since a direction completely determines a plane from $[x]$. Then we extend the restriction to S^2 by symmetry. Thus

$$h_x(\xi) = h(e_\xi) \text{ for } \xi \in S^2,$$

where $e_\xi \in [x]$ is the plane with normal ξ . Below h_x we call the *restriction* of h onto $[x]$. In [2], A. V. Pogorelov showed the following result.

Theorem 1 *If H is a smooth projective Finsler metric in \mathbf{R}^3 ($H : \mathbf{R}^3 \times \mathbf{R}^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$), then there exists a uniquely determined locally finite signed measure μ in the space \mathbf{E} , with continuous density function h , such that, for $x \in \mathbf{R}^3$*

$$H(x, \Omega) = \int_{S^2} |(\Omega, \xi)| h_x(\xi) d\xi, \quad \Omega \in S^2. \tag{7}$$

Here h_x is the restriction of h onto $[x]$, $d\xi$ denotes the spherical Lebesgue measure on S^2 .

The measure μ is also called a Crofton measure for the Finsler metric H (see [4]). Different from the approach in [2], Theorem 1 was proved by R.Schneider [12] using expansions in spherical harmonics. (7) defines a transform

$$h \rightarrow H$$

and we pose the problem of its inversion (*i.e.* reconstruction of h for given H). The Equation (7) where H is a given function and h is required, we call *generalized cosine equation*. We are interested in the solution of the generalized cosine equation defined by (7). Note that, the Equation (7) can have either no or exactly one continuous solution (see [10]).

In case μ is a translation invariant measure on \mathbf{E} ($d\mu = m(d\xi) \cdot dp = h(\xi) d\xi \cdot dp$), (7) represents the zonoid Equation (5) playing an important role in convexity (see [10]).

An inversion of the generalized cosine equation. The problem of finding the solution of (7) we reduce to find the solution of an other integral equation appearing in Combinatorial Integral Geometry. The concept of a flag density which was introduced and systematically employed by R. V. Ambartzumian, in [9] [11] will be of basic importance below.

We consider the so-called *directed flags* (below just a flag). A flag is a triad $f = (x, g, e)$, where x is a point in \mathbf{R}^3 called the *location of f* , g is a directed line containing the point x , and e is an oriented plane (a plane with specified positive normal direction) containing g . There are two equivalent (and dual to each other) representations of a flag:

$$f = (x, \Omega, \Phi) \text{ or } f = (x, \omega, \varphi),$$

where $\omega \in S^2$ is the normal of e and φ is the angular coordinate of the direction of g in S_ω , while $\Omega \in S^2$ is the spatial direction of g and Φ is the angular coordinate of the normal of e in S_Ω .

We use locally finite signed measure $\mu(de) = h(e)de$ in the space \mathbf{E} to define the following function (flag function) in the space of flags \mathcal{F} (so-called *sine-square transform*) (see [13] [14])

$$\rho(f) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{S^2} \sin^2 \alpha(\xi, f) h_x(\xi) d\xi \text{ for } f \in \mathcal{F}. \tag{8}$$

Here h_x is the restriction of h onto $[x]$. To explain $\alpha(\xi, f)$ we write $f = (x, g, e)$. Then $\alpha(\xi, f)$ is the angle between g and the trace $e_\xi \cap e$, where e_ξ is the plane through the origin orthogonal to e . If we represent $f = (x, \omega, \varphi)$ then

$$\sin^2 \alpha(\xi, f) = \cos^2(\varphi - \psi), \tag{9}$$

where ψ is the angular coordinate of the projection of ξ into the plane of the flag f . Note that (9) does not depend on the choice of the reference point on the plane of the flag f . The function ρ defined by (8) we call *flag density* of measure μ .

If the flag density ρ is sufficiently often differentiable, then (8) has a unique continuous solution h (see [9] [14]). In [14] [15] (see also [16]-[18] in case μ is a translation invariant measure) by author of the present paper using integral and stochastic geometry methods was found an inversion formula for (8) and reconstruct the density h of signed measure μ in terms of its smooth flag density ρ .

The problem of finding the solution of (7) we reduce to finding the flag density ρ for which

$$H(x, \Omega) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \rho(x, \Omega, \Phi) d\Phi. \tag{10}$$

and using inversion formula of (8).

Now we describe the inversion formula of (7). We need to give the definitions of certain partial derivatives of $\rho(f)$. With each directed flag we associate three orthogonal axes through x : by definition, axis x_1 coincides with the direction of g ; axis x_2 lies within e , is orthogonal to x_1 and is directed into the right half of e bounded by g ; axis x_3 is coincides with the positive normal to e . We require that the axes x_1, x_2, x_3 form a left triad.

By $\rho'_\phi(f)$ we denote the derivative of ρ at f which corresponds to positive rotation of f around the axis g . By definition, the positive rotation of the space around the axis g appears clockwise, when we look in the direction of the axis g .

Also we denote by $\frac{\partial}{\partial_\eta x}$ the partial derivative in the argument x which is taken in the direction $\eta \in \mathbf{S}^2$. In

the special cases where η coincides with directions of the axes x_2 or x_3 respectively, the values of $\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial_\eta x}$

will be denoted as ρ'_y and ρ'_n correspondingly.

Our main result is the following. Let H be a sufficiently often differentiable function ($H : \mathbf{R}^3 \times S^2 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$). Also we assume that the Equation (7) has a solution. For every fixed $x \in \mathbf{R}^3$, we now solve the zonoid equation for the function $H(x, \cdot)$. Since $H(x, \cdot)$ is smooth, there exists a smooth even solution $h(x, \cdot)$ on S^2 . Then we put the function $h(x, \cdot)$ into Equation (8) instead of $h_x(\cdot)$ and found the flag function ρ

$$\rho(f) = \rho(x, \omega, \phi) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{S^2} \cos^2(\phi - \psi) h(x, \xi) d\xi \text{ for every } (\omega, \phi), \tag{11}$$

which depends on $x \in \mathbf{R}^3$.

For a given plane e and a point $x \in e$, the corresponding so-called bundle of flags we denote by

$$\langle x, e \rangle = \{f : f = (x, g, e)\}.$$

We consider so-called bundle mass of flag density ρ at $x \in \mathbf{R}^3$:

$$M(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \rho(x, \omega, \phi) d\phi = \frac{1}{2} \int_{S^2} h(x, \xi) d\xi. \tag{12}$$

Note that the first integral in (12) does not depend on $\omega \in \mathbf{S}^2$.

By $\rho(\cdot)$ we denote the restriction of ρ onto $\langle x, e \rangle$. The notation $\rho(\phi)$ is reasonable since $\phi \in \mathbf{S}^1$ completely determines a flag from $\langle x, e \rangle$.

Theorem 2 *Let H be a sufficiently often differentiable function ($H : \mathbf{R}^3 \times S^2 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$) and h defined on \mathbf{E} be the solution of (7). For a given plane $e \in \mathbf{E}$ the following representation is valid*

$$h(e) = M(x) + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{\partial^2 M(x)}{\partial_\phi^2 x} d\phi - \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} (\rho''_{\phi\phi}(\phi) + 2\rho''_{\phi y}(\phi) + \rho''_{yy}(\phi)) d\phi. \tag{13}$$

where x is a point on e , ρ is defined by (11), $\rho(\cdot)$ is the restriction of ρ onto $\langle x, e \rangle$, $M(\cdot)$ is the bundle mass function of ρ .

In Section 3, we present the expression for $\rho'_\phi(\phi)$ in terms of the derivatives of ρ with respect to the parameters involved.

2. Convex Bodies and Measures in the Space of Planes

Equation (8) naturally emerges in Integral Geometry (see [13] [14]). It proved in [14] that Equation (8) has the unique solution in the class of continuous functions and found an inversion formula. Here we present a short version of the proof of the formula for completeness.

Note that, in [16] the same problem was considered for the case μ is a translation invariant measure on \mathbf{E} ($d\mu = m(d\xi) \cdot dp$). In [16] (see also [17]) was obtained integral expression for the value of m for a spherical domain bounded by a piecewise smooth curve and an inversion formula was found for a case m has a density, which first was found in [11] (see also [18]).

To invert Equation (8) we do the following. Let ρ be a smooth function on the space of flags that is defined by (8) of a measure μ in \mathbf{E}^3 possessing continuous density h . We consider the restriction of h onto the set of planes tangent to a spherical domain. Then, by integral geometry methods we find the integral of the restriction over a spherical disc in terms of ρ . Using this integrals we find an inversion formula for h .

We need some results from integral geometry. Let μ be a signed measure on \mathbf{E} , possessing density h with respect to the invariant measure, i.e. $\mu(de) = h(e)de$. Given a subset $A \subset \mathbf{R}^3$, by $[A]$ we denote the set of planes, that intersect A . Let \mathbf{B} be a convex body with a sufficiently smooth boundary $\partial\mathbf{B}$. By k_1, k_2 we

denote the principal normal curvatures of $\partial\mathbf{B}$ at a point $s \in \partial\mathbf{B}$, and by $f_i = (s, g_i, t)$, $i = 1, 2$ we denote the flag, where t is the plane tangent to $\partial\mathbf{B}$ at the point s , g_i is the directed line whose direction coincides with the i -th principal direction of curvature at $s \in \partial\mathbf{B}$. In [14] (see also [19]) the following representation has been obtained.

Theorem 3 *Let μ be a signed measure on \mathbf{E} , possessing density h with respect to the invariant measure. For any sufficiently smooth convex body \mathbf{B} we have the following representation:*

$$\mu([\mathbf{B}]) = (2\pi)^{-1} \int_{\partial\mathbf{B}} [k_1 \rho(f_2) + k_2 \rho(f_1)] ds, \tag{14}$$

where ρ is the flag density of μ defined by (8), ds is an area element on $\partial\mathbf{B}$.

For the case μ is a translation invariant measure on \mathbf{E} the representation first was found in [20].

We will need some further definitions. By $\mathbf{S}(Q, R)$ we denote the sphere with center Q and radius R , \mathbf{S}^2 will stand for $\mathbf{S}(O, 1)$.

Assume h is the density of μ . We define the following function on $\mathbf{S}(Q, R)$

$$h^*(s) = h(t(s)) \text{ for } s \in \mathbf{S}(Q, R),$$

where $t(s)$ is the plane tangent to $\mathbf{S}(Q, R)$ at $s \in \mathbf{S}(Q, R)$.

The measure $R^{-2} h^*(s) ds$, where ds is an area element on $\mathbf{S}(Q, R)$, we call the *conditional measure* on $\mathbf{S}(Q, R)$, generated by μ (or h).

Let A be a geodesically convex domain on $\mathbf{S}(Q, R)$, which is contained in some hemisphere. Let $\mathbf{B}_\varepsilon \subset \mathbf{R}^3$, $\varepsilon > 0$ be the convex body bounded by $\mathbf{S}(Q, R + \varepsilon)$, planes tangent to $\mathbf{S}(Q, R)$ at points of ∂A and the conical surface with the vertex Q and base A . In [14], the following result was shown.

Theorem 4 *Let μ be a signed measure on \mathbf{E} with continuous density h with respect to the invariant measure, and let $A \subset \mathbf{S}(Q, R)$ be an open convex domain contained in some hemisphere. Then*

$$\frac{1}{R^2} \int_A h^*(s) ds = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mu([\mathbf{B}_\varepsilon]) - \mu([\mathbf{B}_0])}{\varepsilon}. \tag{15}$$

Using Theorems 3 and 4, one can calculate the values of the ‘‘conditional measure’’ for various domains $A \subset \mathbf{S}^2$.

Now we calculate the conditional measure of a spherical disc $A \subset \mathbf{S}(Q, 1)$ of spherical radius $\alpha < \pi/2$ and using this result we find an inversion formula for (8). For translation invariant case the result was obtained in [16] (see also [17]). Without loss of generality, one can consider Q as the origin O . On \mathbf{S}^2 we consider usual spherical coordinates (φ, ν) . The center of the disc chosen for the pole. We have: $\partial A = \{l = (\varphi, \alpha) : 0 \leq \varphi < 2\pi\}$.

A flag f we call a tangent flag to A at $l \in \partial A$, if f is located at l , the plane of f is tangent to \mathbf{S}^2 at $l \in \partial A$ and the positive normal of the plane of f coincides with the outer normal to \mathbf{S}^2 at $l \in \partial A$, the line of f is tangent to ∂A at l and the direction on the line of f corresponds to the motion along ∂A , which leaves (locally) A on the left hand side. By $\langle \partial A \rangle$ we denote the set of the tangent flags of A . The tangent flag at l is unique and therefore $\rho(l)$ is a reasonable notation for the value of ρ at the tangent flag located at l . By $\rho(\cdot)$ we denote the restriction of a flag function ρ onto $\langle \partial A \rangle$.

Theorem 5 *Let ρ be a C^2 smooth flag function that is defined by (8) of a signed measure μ in \mathbf{E} with continuous density h with respect to the invariant measure. Then for any spherical disc $A \subset \mathbf{S}^2$*

$$\begin{aligned} 2\pi \int_A h^*(s) ds &= \int_A M(s) ds + \int_A \frac{\partial M(s)}{\partial n} ds + \cos \alpha \int_0^{2\pi} M(l) d\varphi \\ &\quad - \sin \alpha \int_0^{2\pi} \rho'_\phi(l) d\varphi - 2 \cos \alpha \int_0^{2\pi} \rho(l) d\varphi - \sin \alpha \int_0^{2\pi} \rho'_y(l) d\varphi, \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

here n is the outer normal direction to \mathbf{S}^2 at s , $d\varphi$ denotes the Lebesgue measure on $[0, 2\pi)$, $M(s)$ is the bundle mass of ρ at s .

Proof of the Theorem 5. According to Theorem 4 we have to calculate $\mu([\mathbf{B}_\varepsilon])$ and $\mu([\mathbf{B}_0])$. In order to apply Theorem 3, instead of \mathbf{B}_ε and \mathbf{B}_0 we consider their smooth versions $\mathbf{B}_\varepsilon + \mathbf{S}(O, \delta)$ and $\mathbf{B}_0 + \mathbf{S}(O, \delta)$ for some $\delta > 0$. We have

$$\mu([\mathbf{B}_\varepsilon]) = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \mu([\mathbf{B}_\varepsilon + \mathbf{S}(O, \delta)]), \mu([\mathbf{B}_0]) = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \mu([\mathbf{B}_0 + \mathbf{S}(O, \delta)]). \quad (17)$$

We divide the surfaces $\mathbf{B}_\varepsilon + \mathbf{S}(O, \delta)$ and $\mathbf{B}_0 + \mathbf{S}(O, \delta)$ into domains (part of conical surface and part of spherical surface) and using (14) we get the expressions for $\mu([\mathbf{B}_\varepsilon])$ and $\mu([\mathbf{B}_0])$ in terms of flag density. The last expressions we put into (15) and obtain (16). The realization of this procedure can be found in [14] [15].

3. An Inversion Formula for (8)

To derive an inversion formula for (8), we express h in terms of given function ρ . Let $x \in e$, $\langle e, x \rangle = \{f : f = (x, g, e)\}$ is the bundle of flags.

Theorem 6 Let ρ be a C^2 smooth function on the space of flags in \mathbf{R}^3 , that is defined by transform (8) of a signed measure μ in \mathbf{E} with continuous density h . For a given plane e the following representation is valid

$$h(e) = M(x) + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{\partial^2 M(x)}{\partial \varphi^2} d\varphi - \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} (\rho''_{\Phi\Phi}(\varphi) + 2\rho''_{\Phi y}(\varphi) + \rho''_{yy}(\varphi)) d\varphi. \quad (18)$$

where x is a point on e , $\rho(\cdot)$ is the restriction of ρ onto $\langle e, x \rangle$, $M(x)$ is the bundle mass of ρ at x .

Proof of the Theorem 6. Let $x \in e$ be a point. We consider a unit sphere \mathbf{S}_1^2 tangent to e at $x \in e$ and denote by $A_n \subset \mathbf{S}_1^2$ the spherical disk centered at x with the spherical radius α_n . By the mean-value theorem

$$h(e) = \lim_{\alpha_n \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{|A_n|} \int_{A_n} h^*(s) ds, \quad (19)$$

where $|A_n|$ is the area of A_n .

On \mathbf{S}_1^2 , we consider usual spherical coordinates (φ, ν) with x chosen for the pole. We consider the restriction of the bundle mass M onto \mathbf{S}_1^2 and by $M(\varphi, \nu)$ we denote the value of the restriction at $(\varphi, \nu) \in \mathbf{S}_1^2$. Also, we consider the restriction of ρ onto $\langle \partial A \rangle$, where $A \subset \mathbf{S}_1^2$ is the spherical disk centered at x with the spherical radius α . By $\rho(\varphi, \alpha)$ we denote the value of the restriction at $l = (\varphi, \alpha) \in \partial A$.

We represent the integral in (19) according to (16) and find the limit by decomposing the resulting terms in powers of α_n . We have

$$M(\varphi, \alpha_n) = M(\varphi, 0) + M'_\alpha(\varphi, 0)\alpha_n + M''_{\alpha\alpha}(\varphi, 0)\frac{\alpha_n^2}{2} + o(\alpha_n^2). \quad (20)$$

To decompose other terms we need the following lemma.

Lemma 1 For any differentiable flag function ρ

$$\left. \frac{\partial \rho(\varphi, \alpha)}{\partial \alpha} \right|_{\alpha=0} = \rho'_\Phi(\varphi, 0) + \rho'_y(\varphi, 0). \quad (21)$$

In its formulation, ρ'_y is defined assuming that the positive normal of e is parallel to the outer normal to \mathbf{S}_1^2 at x . Note, that $\rho(\cdot) = \rho(\cdot, 0)$ defined on the bundle of flags $\langle e, x \rangle$.

We have

$$\rho(\varphi, \alpha) = \rho(\varphi) + (\rho'_\Phi(\varphi) + \rho'_y(\varphi))\alpha_n + (\rho''_{\Phi\Phi}(\varphi) + 2\rho''_{\Phi y}(\varphi) + \rho''_{yy}(\varphi))\frac{\alpha_n^2}{2} + o(\alpha_n^2), \quad (22)$$

$$\rho'_\Phi(\varphi, \alpha_n) = \rho'_\Phi(\varphi) + (\rho''_{\Phi\Phi}(\varphi) + \rho''_{\Phi y}(\varphi))\alpha_n + o(\alpha_n), \quad (23)$$

$$\rho'_y(\varphi, \alpha_n) = \rho'_y(\varphi) + (\rho''_{\Phi y}(\varphi) + \rho''_{yy}(\varphi))\alpha_n + o(\alpha_n). \quad (24)$$

After proper substitution and taking into account that

$|A_n| = 2\pi(1 - \cos \alpha_n) \sim \pi\alpha_n^2$, we find

$$h(e) = M(x) + \frac{\partial M(x)}{\partial n} + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} M''_{\alpha\alpha}(\varphi, 0) d\varphi - \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} (\rho''_{\Phi\Phi}(\varphi) + 2\rho''_{\Phi y}(\varphi) + \rho''_{yy}(\varphi)) d\varphi. \quad (25)$$

Here $\frac{\partial M(x)}{\partial n}$ is the derivative of M at $x \in e$, in the direction of normal to e which is outer normal to S_1^2 .

It is easy to prove that

$$M''_{\alpha\alpha}(\varphi, 0) = \frac{\partial^2 M(x)}{\partial_\varphi^2 x} - \frac{\partial M(x)}{\partial n}, \tag{26}$$

where $\frac{\partial^2 M(x)}{\partial_\varphi^2 x}$ denotes the second derivative in the spatial direction which corresponds to the direction φ

on e . After substitution (26) into (25) we obtain (18). Theorem 6 is proved.

The second integral in (13) contains the derivative ρ'_{Φ} . To present the expression for ρ'_{Φ} in terms of the derivatives of the function with respect to the parameters involved we introduce on S^2 spherical coordinates in which ω is specified by a pair (ν, χ) , where ν denotes the latitude of ω , and χ denotes its longitude. The corresponding derivatives with respect to Φ are given by the expressions (see. [17]):

$$\phi'_{\Phi} = -\tan \chi \cdot \sin \varphi \quad \chi'_{\Phi} = -\cos \varphi, \quad \nu'_{\Phi} = \frac{\sin \varphi}{\cos \chi}. \tag{27}$$

Using these formulas one can write the expression for ρ'_{Φ} .

4. The Connection between Equations (7) and (8)

For a given line g and a point $x \in g$, the corresponding bundle of flags we denote by

$$\langle x, g \rangle = \{f : f = (x, g, e)\}.$$

Now we integrate (8) over bundle of flags $\langle x, g \rangle$ with respect to Lebesgue measure $d\Phi$ on S_{Ω} , where Ω is the direction of g and S_{Ω} is the great circle on S^2 with pole at Ω . We obtain

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \rho(x, \Omega, \Phi) d\Phi = \frac{1}{2} \int_{S^2} |(\Omega, \xi)| h_x(\xi) d\xi, \tag{28}$$

since from the definition of flag density we have (see [9])

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \sin^2 \alpha(\xi, f) d\Phi = 2\pi |(\Omega, \xi)|. \tag{29}$$

Note that one can obtain (29) using the cosine theorem of spherical geometry.

It follows from (28) if H is a smooth projective Finsler metric in \mathbf{R}^3 and ρ is a flag density such that

$$H(x, \Omega) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \rho(x, \Omega, \Phi) d\Phi \text{ for } (x, \Omega) \in \mathbf{R}^3 \times S^2. \tag{30}$$

then Equations (7) and (8) have the same unique solution. Thus the problem of finding the solution of (7) we reduce to find flag density ρ which satisfy (30) for a given smooth projective Finsler metric H .

Note that for a fixed $x \in \mathbf{R}^3$ the restriction $H(x, \cdot)$ of a smooth projective Finsler metric H onto S^2 is uniquely determined by the restriction $h_x(\cdot)$ of the unique solution h of (7) onto the bundle $[x]$. The restriction $h_x(\cdot)$ can be found by solution of the zonoid Equation (5) for $H(\cdot) = H(x, \cdot)$.

Also, note that for a fixed $x \in \mathbf{R}^3$ the restriction $\rho(x, \cdot, \cdot)$ of the flag density ρ of the signed measure $\mu(de) = h(e)de$ (where h is the unique solution of (7)) is uniquely determined by the restriction $h_x(\cdot)$.

Hence for every fixed $x \in \mathbf{R}^3$, we solve the zonoid equation for the function $H(x, \cdot)$. Since $H(x, \cdot)$ is a smooth function, there exists a smooth even solution $h(x, \cdot)$ on S^2 . Then we put the function $h(x, \cdot)$ into Equation (8) instead of $h_x(\cdot)$ and find the flag density $\rho(x, \cdot, \cdot)$ which depends on $x \in \mathbf{R}^3$. Thus we find the flag density ρ which satisfy (30). Substituting the flag density ρ into (18) we get the solution of (8) which coincides with the solution of (7). Theorem 2 is proved.

Fundings

This work was supported by State Committee Science MES RA, in frame of the research project SCS 13-1A244.

References

- [1] Hamel, G. (1903) Über die Geometrien in denen die Geraden die Kürzesten sind. *Mathematische Annalen*, **57**, 231-264. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF01444348>
- [2] Pogorelov, A.V. (1979) Hilbert's Fourth Problem. Wilson and Sons, Hoboken (Russian Original: Izdat. "Nauka", Moscow).
- [3] Busemann, H. (1976) Problem IV: Desarguesian Spaces in Mathematical Developments Arising from Hilbert Problems. *Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics*, **28**, 628 p.
- [4] Schneider, R. (2006) Crofton Measures in Projective Finsler Spaces. In: Grinberg, E.L., Li, S., Zhang, G. and Zhou, J., Eds., *Integral Geometry and Convexity*, World Scientific, New Jersey, 67-98. http://dx.doi.org/10.1142/9789812774644_0006
- [5] Schneider, R. (2002) On Integral Geometry in Projective Finsler Spaces. *Journal of Contemporary Mathematical Analysis (Armenian Academy of Sciences)*, **37**, 34-51.
- [6] Ambartzumian, R.V. (1976) A Note on Pseudo-Metrics on the Plane. *Zeitschrift für Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und Verwandte Gebiete*, **37**, 145-155. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF00536777>
- [7] Alexander, R. (1978) Planes for Which the Lines Are the Shortest Paths between Points. *Illinois Journal of Mathematics*, **22**, 177-190.
- [8] Alvarez, P.J.C. (2003) Hilbert's Fourth Problem in Two Dimensions I. In: Katok, S., Sossinsky, A. and Tabachnikov, S., Eds., *Mass Selecta: Teaching and Learning Advanced Undergraduate Mathematics*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, 165-183.
- [9] Ambartzumian, R.V. (1990) Factorization Calculus and Geometric Probability. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139086561>
- [10] Schneider, R. and Weil, W. (1983) Zonoids and Related Topics. Convexity and Its Applications. In: Gruber, P.M. and Wills, J.M., Eds., *Convexity and Its Applications*, Birkhäuser, Basel, 296-317.
- [11] Ambartzumian, R.V. (1987) Combinatorial Integral Geometry, Metric and Zonoids. *Acta Applicandae Mathematicae*, **9**, 3-27. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF00580819>
- [12] Schneider, R. (1967) Zu einem Problem von Shephard über die Projektionen konvexer Körper. *Mathematische Zeitschrift*, **191**, 71-82. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF01135693>
- [13] Ambartzumian, R.V. and Oganyan V.K. (1994) Finite Additive Functionals in the Space of Planes, I. *Journal of Contemporary Mathematical Analysis (Armenian Academy of Sciences)*, **29**, 3-51.
- [14] Aramyan, R.H. (1994) Measure Generation in the Space of Planes and Spherical Euler Functionals. *Journal of Contemporary Mathematical Analysis (Armenian Academy of Sciences)*, **29**, 52-74.
- [15] Aramyan, R.H. (2011) Reconstruction of Measures in the Space of Planes. *Lobachevskii Journal of Mathematics*, **32**, 241-246. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1134/S1995080211040044>
- [16] Aramyan, R.H. (1992) Recovering Rose of Directions from Flag Densities in \mathbf{R}^3 . *Journal of Contemporary Mathematical Analysis (Armenian Academy of Sciences)*, **27**, 22-35.
- [17] Aramyan, R.H. (2009) Solution of One Integral Equation on the Sphere by Methods of Integral Geometry. *Doklady Mathematics*, **79**, 325-328. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1134/S1064562409030077>
- [18] Panina, G.Y. (1994) Flag densities in \mathbf{R}^3 . *Journal of Contemporary Mathematical Analysis (Armenian Academy of Sciences)*, **29**, 76-80.
- [19] Aramyan, R.H. (2010) Measure of Planes Intersecting a Convex Body. *Sutra: International Journal of Mathematical Science Education*, **3**, 1-7.
- [20] Panina, G.Y. (1987) Convex Bodies and Translation Invariant Measures in \mathbf{R}^3 . *Izvestiya Akademii Nauk Armyanskoi SSR. Matematika*, **24**, 478-489.