

Intrinsic Kinetics of Hydrorefining Catalyst in *Ex-Situ* Presulfurization

Jianwei Zhang¹, Jian Wang¹, Kai Wu²

¹Provincial Key Laboratory of Oil and Gas Chemical Technology, College of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Northeast Petroleum University, Daqing, China

²Wax Workshop of Oil Refinery Plant of Daqing Petrochemical Company, Daqing, China Email: <u>benbenbao@163.com</u>, <u>mrwj163@163.com</u>, <u>wusong7145@163.com</u>

Received 7 April 2014; revised 13 May 2014; accepted 21 May 2014

Copyright © 2014 by authors and Scientific Research Publishing Inc. This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution International License (CC BY). <u>http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/</u>

CC ① Open Access

Abstract

The intrinsic kinetics of hydrorefining catalyst in *ex-situ* presulfurization was investigated using a fixed-bed penetrating method. A mathematical model was built to express the intrinsic kinetics of presulfurization using an unreacted shrinking core model for catalyst grains and one-dimension unhomogeneous model for beds, and then the significance of the new model was tested. Results show that the presulfurization with hydrorefining catalyst was a nonstationary process, as the reaction rate changed with time, and this first-order reaction displayed high activation energy. In this dynamic mathematical model, a correction coefficient f_0 was introduced into the common power-function-formed rate equation, which indicated the effects of solid diffusion on reaction. The model with high significance was able to improve the presulfurization rate and the raw material utilization ratio, thus providing theoretical guidance for achieving high presulfurization effects.

Keywords

Hydrogenation Catalyst, Presulfurization, Intrinsic Kinetics, Unreacted Shrinking Core Model

1. Introduction

Hydrogenation is a key part of oil refining, and catalyst is the core of hydrogenation. It has been practically proved that the high reactivity and selectivity of a hydrogenation catalyst can be only achieved with the use of presulfurization. So far, the presulfurization of a hydrogenation catalyst is mainly controlled by experience, and thus is unable to realize the optimal and automatic operation or to achieve the catalyst's optimal activity state. To solve the above problems, accurate and improved theories are needed to guide the practical operations of presulfurization. This set of theories is essentially the presulfurization kinetics laws, which implies the practical significance of presulfurization kinetics.

The kinetics of $H_2S + H_2$ mixture in vulcanization of supported or non-supported Co and Mo hydrogenation catalysts was already reported [1]. However, the authors ignored that presulfurization was a nonstationary gassolid uncatalyzed reaction, and did not consider the mass transfer resistance inside catalyst solid grains, which resulted in slight deviation between the models and the real situation [2] [3]. The existing research focuses mainly on the different process conditions when structurally different catalysts are used in presulfurization, but rarely on the kinetics. Based on the research achievements of other gas-solid noncatalytic reaction kinetics [4]-[11], we aim to improve the presulfurization efficiency of a hydrogenation catalyst and the utilization rate of raw materials. Regarding the optimization of process control and operation, this study provides theoretical basis and potential necessity for investigating the presulfurization kinetics of hydrogenation catalysts. Along with the development of hydrogenation catalysts, studying the presulfurization kinetics laws of catalysts will become a hotspot in research of presulfurization of hydrogenation catalysts. Research of presulfurization of hydrogenation catalysts is significant for promoting the technical advancement in this field and improving the oil refining technology. In this study, the intrinsic kinetics of hydrorefining catalysts in presulfurization was investigated using fixed-bed penetrating method.

2. Experimental

2.1. Materials

The catalysts were paraffin hydrorefining catalysts (active components: WO_3 —25.00 w%, NiO—7.00 w%). The raw material in sulfurization was a mixture of H_2S and H_2 (molar ratio 1:3).

2.2. Experimental Installation Flow and Conditions

The experimental installation was divided into two parts: a sulfurization fixed micro-bed and an exhaust gas analyzer. A quartz tube ($\Phi 6 \times 1 \text{ mm}$) was chosen to be the fixed micro-bed reactor. Before the experiment, the catalysts were dried by a nitrogen flow at 300°C for 1 h; then after heating to the reaction temperature, timing was started when the installation was stabilized and gas-exchanged to the sulfurization gas. The exhaust gas after sulfurization was injected via six-way-valve-generated pulses to a thermal conductivity cell for concentration detection. A cold trap was set between the reactor and the thermal conductivity cell to eliminate the effects of water generated during reaction. The spectra were recorded and processed by a chromatography workstation. The gas content variation in the thermal conductivity cell reflected the changes of H₂S and H₂ together. Since the gas mixture contained more H₂, the signals due to H₂ content variation were weak. Thus, the area of a peak on the spectrogram mainly reflects the H₂S content variation during sulfurization.

After both internal and external diffusions were eliminated, about 0.2 g catalyst was weighed and dense-phase loaded to the middle part of the quartz-tube reactor. The catalyst was filled up-and-down with silica wool, which supported the catalyst and distributed gas. The experimental conditions were showed in Table 1. The temporal changes of H_2S concentration from the fixed-bed's outlet under different reaction conditions were measured at last.

3. Intrinsic Kinetics Model of Presulfurization

3.1. Building and Solving of Mathematical Model

The presulfurization of paraffin hydrorefining catalyst proceeds as follows:

 $WO_3 + 2H_2S + H_2 \rightarrow WS_2 + 3H_2O \tag{1}$

$$3NiO + 2H_2S + H_2 \rightarrow Ni_3S_2 + 3H_2O$$
⁽²⁾

For simplification, the solid substrates WO_3 and NiO are regarded as a whole, and then the reaction can be changed to:

$$(WO_3 + NiO) + \frac{8}{3}H_2S + \frac{4}{3}H_2 \rightarrow WS_2 + \frac{1}{3}Ni_3S_2 + 4H_2O$$
 (3)

Table 1. Experimental conditions of intrintic kinetics.												
Factors	Inlet concentration of H_2S (mol·m ⁻³)	Temperature (°C)	Flow rate of H_2S (ml·min ⁻¹)	Catalyst radius (mm)								
Values	0.47 - 2.74	$300~\sim~400$	50	0.177								

In the gas mixture for sulfurization, the H_2 is largely excessive compared with H_2S , and thus the variation of H_2 content during reaction could be ignored. Compared with the gas-phase reactants, the concentrations of solid reactants are approximately considered as non-changing or quasi-steady. Based on the unit reaction interface on the catalyst grains and with H_2S as the target component, the equation expressing the intrinsic reaction rate of presulfurization is built as follows:

$$v_{\rm Has} = f_0 k_0 \exp\left(-Ea/RT\right) C_{\rm Has}^{\ n} \tag{4}$$

Here, v_{H_2S} is the reaction rate of H₂S, mol·m⁻²·s; f_0 is the correction coefficient that stands for the effect degree of solid diffusion on reaction rate; k_0 is the pre-exponential factor, m·K·s⁻², Ea is the activation energy, kJ·mol⁻¹; T is the reaction temperature, K; C_{H_2S} is the concentration of H₂S, mol·m⁻³; n is the reaction order.

Then f_0 and k_0 are combined to K then:

$$v_{\rm HoS} = K \exp(-Ea/RT) C_{\rm HoS}^{"}$$
(4')

In this paper, suppose that the active components were homodispersed in the catalyst carrier to form a solid reactant system. The solid reactant grains are spheric, and the temperature difference inside a grain or between the interior and the exterior is ignored. After both internal and external diffusions are eliminated, we consider the effects of solid diffusion in the product layer, and the surface reaction at initial stage is the control step. Based on the above hypotheses and on the unit reaction interface on the catalyst grains, an unreacted shrinking core model is applied to the catalyst solid reactant grains [12] [13]. For a single grain:

$$-\frac{\mathrm{d}r}{\mathrm{d}t} = \frac{3}{16} \frac{M}{\rho w} v_{\mathrm{H}_2 \mathrm{S}}$$
(5)

Here, *r* is the radius of the unreacted spherical catalyst, mm; *t* is the reaction time, s; *M* is the average molar mass of WO₃ and NiO, $g \cdot mol^{-1}$; ρ is the catalyst grain density, $g \cdot cm^{-3}$; *w* is the mass fraction of WO₃ and NiO in the catalyst.

Without considering interphase resistance, one dimension unhomogeneous model is used to express the beds of the fixed-bed reactor:

$$\frac{\partial C_{\rm H_2S}}{\partial t} = -\frac{u}{\varepsilon} \frac{\partial C_{\rm H_2S}}{\partial Z} - \frac{3(1-\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon R_0} \left(\frac{r}{R_0}\right)^2 v_{\rm H_2S}$$
(6)

Here, *u* is the gas flow rate, $\text{m} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$; ε is the bed voidage; *Z* the bed height, m; R_0 is the initial radius of the spherical catalyst, mm.

Integrate Equation (4') and (6) along the bed, with the following initial and boundary conditions:

At t = 0, at any position on the bed, $C_{\text{H}_2\text{S}} = 0$, $r = R_0$;

At
$$z = 0$$
, at any time, $C_{H_2S} = C_{H_2S,0}$;

At z = L, at any time, $C_{H_2S} = C_{H_2S}$.

Equations (4) - (6) indicate that the undetermined parameters are activation energy Ea, pre-exponential factor k_0 , reaction order n, and correction coefficient f_0 , where C_{H_2S} is a function of reaction temperature T, initial H₂S concentration $C_{H_2S,0}$, time t, catalyst grain size R, and bed height z, while R is a function of t, whose analytic solution cannot be obtained easily. Equation (6) is usually a first-order differential equation and here it is solved using Crank-Nicholson's method. Equation (5) is dispersed in the form of implicit trapezoid, and together with boundary conditions, a recursive equation set could be obtained as follows:

$$r_{j,k+1} = r_{j,k} - \frac{3}{16} \frac{M\tau}{\rho w} K \exp\left(-Ea/RT\right) C_{H_2S}^{n} (j = 1, M+1; k = 1, N-1)$$
(7)

$$C_{\mathrm{H}_{2}S_{j,k+1}} = C_{\mathrm{H}_{2}S_{j,k}} - \frac{u\tau}{4\varepsilon h} \Big[C_{\mathrm{H}_{2}S_{j+1,k+1}} - C_{\mathrm{H}_{2}S_{j-1,k+1}} + C_{\mathrm{H}_{2}S_{j+1,k}} - C_{\mathrm{H}_{2}S_{j-1,k}} \Big] - \frac{3(1-\varepsilon)\tau}{2\varepsilon R_{0}} \left(\frac{r_{j,k+\frac{1}{2}}}{R_{0}} \right)^{2} K \exp(-Ea/\mathrm{R}T) C_{\mathrm{H}_{2}S}^{n} \Big|_{j,k+\frac{1}{2}} \quad (j=1,M;K=0,N-1)$$
(8)

$$C_{\mathrm{H}_{2}S_{j,k+\frac{1}{2}}} = C_{\mathrm{H}_{2}S_{j,k}} - \frac{u\tau}{4\varepsilon h} \Big(C_{\mathrm{H}_{2}S_{j+1,k}} - C_{\mathrm{H}_{2}S_{j-1,k}} \Big) - \frac{3(1-\varepsilon)\tau}{2\varepsilon R_{0}} \Big(\frac{r_{j,k}}{R_{0}} \Big)^{2} K \exp(-Ea/RT) C_{\mathrm{H}_{2}S_{j,k}}^{n}$$
(9)
(j = 1, M; K = 0, N-1)

$$r_{j,k+\frac{1}{2}} = r_{j,k} - \frac{3}{32} \frac{M\tau}{\rho w} K \exp\left(-Ea/RT\right) C_{H_2S}^{n} (j = 1, M; k = 0, N-1)$$
(10)

$$C_{\mathrm{H}_{2}S_{M+1,k}} = C_{\mathrm{H}_{2}S_{M,k}} - \frac{h}{u} \frac{3(1-\varepsilon)\tau}{R_{0}} \left(\frac{r_{M,k}}{R_{0}}\right)^{2} K \exp\left(-Ea/\mathrm{R}T\right) C_{\mathrm{H}_{2}S_{M,k}}^{n} \quad (j=1,M;K=0,N-1) \quad (11)$$

Here, *h* is the bed step, τ is time step, subscript *k* is time grid, *N* is number of time grids, subscript *j* is axial bed grid, *M* is number of bed grids, and *M* + 1 is the bed virtual grid used in computation of the time point next to *M*. The target function is the minimum of sum of squared residuals between the experimental data and the model predicted values. The parameters in the intrinsic kinetic equations for presulfurization of hydrogenation catalysts are optimized and computed using a simplex method: activation energy $Ea = 250.3 \text{ KJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$, pre-exponential factor $k_0 = 1.2 \times 10^{10} \text{ m} \cdot \text{K} \cdot \text{s}^{-2}$, and reaction order n = 1.

3.2. Significance Test

The significance of the kinetics model was tested using F statistic, correlation coefficient, rank sum test [14], and the absolute deviation between the experimental value and the model predicted value of H₂S content at the fixed-bed's outlet. Figure 1 shows the comparison between the experimental results and the model predicted results, and the straight line was y = x. Clearly, the experimental results and the predicted results under all experimental conditions were distributed evenly at two sides of y = x (Figure 1), indicating low general deviation and high consistence.

Table 2 shows the results of statistical tests. Clearly, the kinetics model obeys the requirements of rank sum test; *F* statistic is far larger than the critical statistic at the corresponding confidence level; correlation coefficient > 0.99; the difference between the positive and negative absolute deviations is not large and the average absolute deviation is 4.61%. All these results indicate that the kinetics mathematical model is significant.

4. Discussion

4.1. Effects of Temperature on f₀

By solving the mathematical model, it is found that f_0 is a function of temperature and reaction time. Since this functional expression is very complex and unsolvable, the effects of temperature and time on f_0 are discussed separately.

Figure 2 shows the temporal changes of f_0 with reaction temperature. Clearly, along with the rising temperature at the fixed time, f_0 first decreases in the form of a power function and finally approaches 0 (**Figure 2**). For endothermic or exothermic reaction, the temperature rise at the initial stage would increase the reaction rate. But in the paper f_0 decreases along with the temperature rise, and consequently, the increasing rate of reaction rate decreases with temperature rise. This is because during the presulfurization which is a gas-solid uncatalyzed reaction, the temperature rise will accelerate reaction, but on the other hand, as the reaction progresses, the porosity of the catalyst solid grains will decrease, manifested as shrinkage in large pores, blockage in small pores, and reduction in average pore size. The temperature rise will accelerate reaction and increase the conversion rate, but the resulting dense solid layer will block the gas diffusion and generally slow down the mass transfer and



Figure 1. Comparation H₂S outlet concentration between the experimental value and predicted value.





Table 2. Statistical test of intrinsic kinetics model.											
	Correlation F statist	Estatistics	Rank sum test	Positive deviation	Minus deviation	Mean absolute deviation	Fa critical statistics				
		r statistics					a = 0.05	a = 0.01			
	0.9902	3102.13	P > 0.01	2.6%	4.9%	4.61%	3.24	5.29			

reaction rate, manifested as the decreasing f_0 with the temperature rise. However, the effect of temperature on f_0 is not significant compared with the effect of temperature on pre-exponential factor, and thus at the initial stage, the generally temperature rise will accelerate the reaction. With further temperature rise, f_0 stabilizes and approaches 0, probably because the solid products block the catalyst's pores more severely and thus control the whole reaction more effectively. When the resistance was infinitely large, f_0 and thus the reaction rate were both 0.



4.2. Effects of Time on f_0

Figure 3 shows the changes of f_0 with reaction time at varying temperature. Clearly, with the extension of reaction time, f_0 decreases linearly and thus the reaction rate gradually declines. This is because at the initial stage, the fresh catalyst provided large reaction interface and thus the consumption of H₂S per unit time increases and the reaction is accelerated. As the reaction progresses, the unreacted cores in the catalyst grains shrinks in radius, and the reaction surface area decreases in squared way; consequently, the solid products block the whole mass-transfer process and reduce the reaction rate. Moreover, the changing trend of f_0 with time is smoother at high temperature than at low temperature, and f_0 is smaller at the same time point (**Figure 3**). This is because sulfurization is an exothermic reaction; along with the temperature rise at the initial stage, the reaction rate is accelerated significantly, and thus a product layer is formed earlier on the surface of solid grains, which severely blocks the gas diffusion, and thus the effects of solid diffusion on reaction rate are enhanced. At the later stage, the temperature rise is unfavorable for the reaction, and the generating rate of solid residues is reduced. Thus, the blocking effects of solid residues on gas diffusion do not change much with the temperature rise. As a result, the f_0 at different temperatures but the same time point changes slightly.

5. Conclusions

The theoretical and experimental investigations of the intrinsic kinetics of hydrorefining catalyst in *ex-situ* presulfurization have been able to arrive at the following conclusions.

- 1) The newly-built presulfurization kinetics model is significant with reasonable parameters.
- 2) The presulfurization of paraffin hydrorefining catalyst displays an activation energy of 250.3 KJ·mol⁻¹.
- 3) The presulfurization of paraffin hydrorefining catalyst displays a reaction order of 1.

4) In the newly built kinetic equation, f_0 reflects the effects of solid diffusion on reaction, as f_0 decreases along with the temperature rise or the extension of reaction time. The introduction of f_0 into the intrinsic kinetic model makes the predicted results closer to the experimental values and thus increases the prediction precision.

5) The unreacted shrinking core model can reveal the presulfurization of single grains of a hydrogenation catalyst.

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to the Provincial Key Laboratory of Oil and Gas Chemical Technology of Northeast Petroleum University in China for financial support.

References

[1] Ho, T.C. and Reyes, S.C. (1990) Design of Catalyst Sulfiding Procedures. Chemical Engineering Science, 45, 2633-

2638. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0009-2509(90)80152-5

- [2] Dou, T. (1981) The Importance of Pore Structure and Diffusion in the Kinetics of Gas-Solid Non-Catalytic Reactions: Reaction of Calcined Limestone with SO₂. *Chemical Engineering Journal*, **21**, 213-222. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0300-9467(81)80005-6
- [3] Ortega, A. (2008) A Simulation of the Mass-Transfer Effects on the Kinetics of Solid-Gas Reactions. *International Journal of Chemical Kinetics*, **40**, 217-222. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/kin.20308</u>
- [4] Levêque, G. and Abanades, S. (2013) Kinetic Analysis of High-Temperature Solid-Gas Reactions by an Inverse Method Applied to ZnO and SnO₂ Solar Thermal Dissociation. *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 217, 139-149. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2012.11.105
- [5] Xu, Z.J., Sun, X. and Mohammad, A.K. (2012) A Generalized Kinetic Model for Heterogeneous Gas-Solid Reactions. *Journal of Chemical Physics*, 137, 074702-074708. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4740242</u>
- [6] Alenazey, F., Cooper, C.G., Dave, C.B., Elnashaie, S.S.E.H., Susu, A.A. and Adesina, A.A. (2009) Coke Removal from Deactivated Co-Ni Steam Reforming Catalyst Using Different Gasifying Agents: An Analysis of the Gas-Solid Reaction Kinetics. *Catalysis Communications*, 10, 406-411. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.catcom.2008.10.010</u>
- [7] Gómez-Bareaa, A., Olleroa, P. and Lecknerb, B. (2007) Mass Transport Effects during Measurements of Gas-Solid Reaction Kinetics in a Fluidised Bed. *Chemical Engineering Science*, 62, 1477-1493. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ces.2006.10.018
- [8] Bab, M.A. and Mendoza-Zelis, L. (2004) A Model for the Kinetics of Mechanically Assisted Gas-Solid Reactions. Scripta Materialia, 50, 99-104. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scriptamat.2003.09.029</u>
- Segal, E. (2004) Fractal Approach in the Kinetics of Solid-Gas Reactions. Journal of Thermal Analysis and Calorimetry, 76, 933-934. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1023/B:JTAN.0000032277.30375.51</u>
- [10] Budrugeac, P. and Segal, E. (2005) On the Use of Diefallah's Composite Integral Method for the Non-Isothermal Kinetic Analysis of Heterogeneous Solid-Gas Reactions. *Journal of Thermal Analysis and Calorimetry*, 82, 677-680. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10973-005-0949-2
- [11] Michele, P., Loic, F. and Michel, S. (2011) From the Drawbacks of the Arrhenius-f(alpha) Rate Equation towards a More General Formalism and New Models for the Kinetic Analysis of Solid-Gas Reactions. *Thermochimica Acta*, **525**, 93-102. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tca.2011.07.026</u>
- [12] Zhu, B.C. (2001) Chemical Reaction Engineering. 3th Edition, Chemical Industry Press, Beijing.
- [13] Liu, F., Fang, D.Y. and Shi, T.B. (2004) Research on the Intrinsic Kinetics of NT705 Type Sorbent for Sulfide Removal. *Journal of Chemical Industry & Engineering*, 25, 21-24. <u>http://epub.cnki.net/kns/brief/default_result.aspx</u>
- [14] Devore, J.L. (2011) Probability and Statistics: For Engineering and the Sciences. 8th Edition, Cengage Learning, Boston.