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#### Abstract

6-aminouracil 1 was utilized to introduce different heterocyclic rings at C-6 position through various synthetic strategies. The synthesized compounds bear rings that are either directly attached to the uracil back bone as in compounds 6, 12a-c and 15, or attached through an amino bridge as compounds $3 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{c}, 5 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, 7 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, 9$ and 10, or through an imino bridge as compound 18. Also, compounds $4,8,11 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{c}, 14,16$ and 17 bearing biologically active side chains were synthesized. In addition to, compounds $13,19,20,21$ and 22 bear fused rings to the uracil backbone. All synthesized compounds were evaluated for their anticancer activity against prostate PC3 cell line using in-vitro sulforhodamine-B (SRB) method, from which compounds $3 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{c}, 4,5 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, 6,7 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, 11 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{c}, 12 \mathrm{a}$, b, 17 and 20 were the most active. These active compounds were further evaluated for their ability to inhibit cathepsin B enzyme by using enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay, which revealed that compounds $5 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, 7 \mathrm{a}, 11 \mathrm{a}, 12 \mathrm{a}$ and 17 exhibited more than $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ inhibition of cathepsin B. Among which the phenyl thiourea derivative 17 was the most active exhibiting $82.3 \%$ inhibition, while the reference doxorubicin exerted $18.7 \%$ inhibition.
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## 1. Introduction

Cancer is thought to reflect a multistep process, resulting from accumulation of inherited and/or acquired defects in genes involved in the positive or negative regulation of cell proliferation and survival [1]. Among the widespread carcinoma is prostate cancer which is the most common tumor in men and the second cause of can-cer-related death among them [2] [3]. However, pathways that play a role in prostate cancer tumorigenesis include chronic inflammation, immunosuppression and angiogenesis [4].

Angiogenesis could be defined as a biological process involving the division and migration of endothelial cells, leading to the formation of microvasculature [5] [6]. This process facilitates tumor growth by providing oxygenation to the tumor through a series of steps including endothelial cell proliferation, motility of endothelial cells through the extracellular matrix toward the angiogenic stimuli and capillary differentiation [4]. Therefore, changes in lysosomal trafficking and content that support invasion and angiogenesis could account for disorders in the regulation of apoptotic and non-apoptotic cell death, particularly through the apparent cellular release of a class of proteases, cathepsins which are usually sequestered within the lysosomal lumen [7] [8]. Lysosomal cysteine cathepsins belong to a family of 11 human proteolytic enzymes. Some of them correlate with progression in a variety of cancers and therefore are considered as potential therapeutic targets [9].

In cancer, the cellular localization of lysosomal cysteine cathepsins is often altered. Intracellular, cell surface and secreted cysteine cathepsins are involved in distinct tumorigenic processes in-vivo, such as angiogenesis, invasion through extracellular matrices and metastasis [10]-[12]. The precise role of each cathepsin in carcinogenesis remains unclear [13]. Cathepsin B has been shown to play a dominant role in executing the apoptotic program in several tumor cell lines [14] as it reveals that cathepsin B may play a role in malignancy as an executioner of apoptosis in cytotoxic signaling cascades and as a mediator of tumor invasion [8]. Moreover, it has been observed that increased cathepsin B protein levels, pericellular localization and secretion are thought to contribute to prostate cancer invasion and metastasis with cathepsin B acting both directly and indirectly on extracellular matrix (ECM) remodeling and degradation [15].

Nucleoside analogues have very well recognized activity as anti-metabolites against several types of neoplastic cells [16] [17], in which 5-fluorouracil and mercaptopurine are typical pyrimidine and purine analogues, respectively [18]. Recently, uracil derivatives substituted either at C-5 or C-6 positions as well as their nucleosides have proved to exhibit significant status in the field of chemotherapy [19]-[26]. However, significant attention has been paid to nucleoside analogues having a heterocyclic ring as a substituent [27]-[29]. Modifications are mainly introduced on the C-5 atom of the uracil ring among which the introduction of a heterocyclic system to the uracil moiety exhibited increased anticancer activity [29] [30].

In this investigation, construction of several heterocyclic rings either directly attached or attached through an amino or imino bridges to the C-6 atom of the uracil ring were performed using different strategies utilizing 6 -aminouracil as a building block. Among the registered active anticancer rings were pyrimidine [31] [32], furan [33] [34], pyrrole [35], thiophene [36], benzoxazine [37], quinoxaline [38], triazole [39] [40], thiazolidine [41] as well as thienopyrimidine [42] [43]. This active building unit; 6 -aminouracil was also used to synthesize some fused rings to the uracil moiety. The synthesized compounds were screened for their anticancer activity against prostate cancer PC3 cell line, from which the most active compounds were subjected to biochemical screening against cathepsin B which is considered as a potential target for prostate cancer therapy.

## 2. Discussion

### 2.1. Chemistry

The synthetic strategies adopted for the synthesis of the target compounds are depicted in Schemes 1-4. The starting material; 6-aminouracil was chosen as an active substrate [44]-[47] upon which different reaction conditions were applied utilizing its 6-amino function in the synthesis of various 6-heterocyclic uracil and fused uracil derivatives.
"(Scheme 1)" comprises the coupling of 6-aminouracil 1 with 6 -substituted-4-chloro-2-thioxo-1, 2-dihy-dropyrimidine-5-carbonitriles 2a-c [48] under basic conditions [49] with subsequent elimination of a hydrochloride molecule to yield 6-substituted-4-(2,6-dioxo-1,2,3,6-tetrahydropyrimidin-4-ylamino)-2-thioxo-1,2-dihy-dropyrimidine-5-carbonitriles 3a-c. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of compounds 3 a \& $\mathbf{3 c}$ exhibited four deuterium oxide exchangeable singlets at $\delta 6.14-6.20, \delta 10.01-10.25, \delta 10.49-11.73$ and $\delta 10.61-11.92 \mathrm{ppm}$ attributed to


Scheme 1. Synthetic pathways for compounds 3a-c, 4, 5, 6 and 7a, b. Reagents \& conditions: i: 4-Chlorodihydropyrimidine derivatives 2a-c/DMF/piperidine/Reflux; ii: $\mathrm{ClCH}_{2} \mathrm{COCl} / \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3} / \mathrm{DMF} / \mathrm{R} . \mathrm{T}$.; iii: acetyl acetone or diethyl malonate/sod. ethoxide/Abs.ethanol/R.T.; iv: malononitrile/fusion; v: o-pheneylene diamine or o-aminophenol/Abs. ethanol/TEA/Reflux.
uracil- $\mathrm{C}_{6}-\mathrm{NH}$, uracil- $\mathrm{N}_{1}-\mathrm{H}$, uracil- $\mathrm{N}_{3}-\mathrm{H}$ and pyrimidine- $\mathrm{N}_{1}-\mathrm{H}$; respectively. However, the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound $\mathbf{3 b}$ revealed only two deuterium oxide exchangeable singlets each integrated for one proton at $\delta 6.17$ and $\delta 10.04 \mathrm{ppm}$ corresponding to uracil- $\mathrm{C}_{6}-\mathrm{NH}$ and uracil- $\mathrm{N}_{1}-\mathrm{H}$; respectively. In addition to a deuterium oxide exchangeable singlet integrated for two protons at $\delta 10.56 \mathrm{ppm}$ due to uracil- $\mathrm{N}_{3}-\mathrm{H}$ and pyrimidine- $\mathrm{N}_{1}-\mathrm{H}$ protons. Furthemore, the amino function of compound $\mathbf{1}$ was chloroacetylated by using equimolar amount of chloroacetyl chloride under anhydrous conditions at room temperature to yield the useful intermediate 6 -chloroacetylaminouracil 4. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound 4 revealed a singlet integrated for two protons at $\delta 4.85 \mathrm{ppm}$ attributed to $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}$ protons. Compound $\mathbf{4}$ was utilized for the synthesis of several rings attached to the uracil nucleus, among which was the reaction of compound $\mathbf{4}$ in presence of sodium ethoxide with activated methylene bearing compounds namely; acetyl acetone and diethyl malonate [50] to yield the corresponding substituted 2 -furan-2-ylaminouracil derivatives $\mathbf{5 a} \& \mathbf{b}$; respectively. The preparation of such compounds is expected to proceed via nucleophilic substitution of the chloride atom by the active methylene bearing compounds under the reaction basic conditions followed by tautomerism then subsequent elimination of a water molecule leading to ring closure and formation of the furan ring. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound 5 a revealed two singlets at $\delta$ 1.62 and $\delta 4.39 \mathrm{ppm}$ corresponding to furyl- $-\mathrm{C}_{5}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ protons and $\mathrm{COCH}_{3}$ protons; respectively. In addition to, a singlet at $\delta 4.28 \mathrm{ppm}$ attributed to furyl- $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ proton, while the electron impact mass spectrum of compound $\mathbf{5} \mathbf{b}$ revealed the molecular ion peak at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 309$ (2.40) and the base peak at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 55$ (100).

Moreover, compound $\mathbf{4}$ was further utilized to synthesize the pyrrolidinone derivative $\mathbf{6}$ through its treatment with malononitrile in presence of a strong base [51] [52]. IR spectrum of compound $\mathbf{6}$ exhibited a strong absorption band at $2200 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ due to cyano group, while its electron impact mass spectrum showed a peak at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 234$ (3.84) corresponding to $\mathrm{M}+1$ and a base peak at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 64$ (100). Fulfilling the aforementioned strategy, the reactive intermediate; 6 -chloroacetylaminouracil $\mathbf{4}$ was refluxed with o-phenylene diamine and o-aminophenol in
presence of triethyl amine [50] to yield dihydroquinoxalin-2-ylamino derivative $7 \mathbf{a}$ and the benzo[b][1,4]oxazin3 -ylamino derivative $\mathbf{7 b}$; respectively. The reaction mechanism is believed to proceed through Schiff base formation between the chloroacetamido carbonyl function and the amino group of the selected reagent, followed by intramolecular cyclization via elimination of a hydrochloride molecule to afford the target compounds $7 \mathbf{a}$ and $7 \mathbf{b}$. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of both compounds revealed the presence of tautomerism as ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of $7 \mathbf{a}$ showed two singlets at $\delta 4.71$ and $\delta 4.85 \mathrm{ppm}$ attributed to quinoxalinyl- $\mathrm{C}_{3}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ and quinoxalinyl- $\mathrm{C}_{3}-\mathrm{CH}$ tautomers; respectively. In addition to a deuterium oxide exchangeable singlet at $\delta 8.14 \mathrm{ppm}$ due to quinoxa-linyl-N-H. Also, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound $\mathbf{7 b}$ revealed a deuterium oxide exchangeable singlet at $\delta 4.41$ ppm due to benzoxazine- $\mathrm{N}_{4}-\mathrm{H}$ tautomer. In addition to two singlets at $\delta 4.68$ and $\delta 6.37 \mathrm{ppm}$ corresponding to benzoxazine- $\mathrm{C}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ and benzoxazine- $\mathrm{C}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}$ tautomers; respectively.

6-Aminouracil 1 was further utilized for the synthesis of thiophene-2-carbonitrile derivative $\mathbf{9}$ "(Scheme 2)". This was accomplished through the acetylation of compound 1 with acetic anhydride/glacial acetic mixture to yield 6-acetylaminouracil 8 [53] that was further subjected to Gewald reaction [54] [55] via its treatment with sulphur and malononitrile in dry dimethyl formamide which acted as a base and solvent at the same time. The synthesis of 3-aminothiophene-2-carbonitrile derivative $\mathbf{9}$ is assumed to proceed via Gewald reaction in which malononitrile condenses first with the acetamide ketone yielding a Knoevenagel-Cope condensation product which is then thiolated at the active methyl group with elemental sulphur followed by ring closure [55] (Figure 1). The electron impact mass spectrum of compound 9 showed the molecular ion peak at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 249$ (10.42) and the base peak at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 58$ (100). The reactive o-aminonitrile functions in compound 9 were subjected to cyclocondensation through treatment of compound $\mathbf{9}$ with formamide [55] to afford thienopyrimidine derivative $\mathbf{1 0}$. The IR spectrum of compound $\mathbf{1 0}$ was devoid of the absorption band due to cyano group of its precursor. However, its ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum revealed a deuterium oxide exchangeable singlet at $\delta 5.88 \mathrm{ppm}$ attributed to $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$


Scheme 2. Synthetic pathways for compounds 8, 9, 10, 11a-c, 12a-c, and 13. Reagents \& conditions: i: $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}\right)_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{gl} . \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COOH} /$ steam bath; ii: malononitrile/sulphur/DMF/Reflux; iii: $\mathrm{HCONH}_{2} / \mathrm{Reflux}$; iv: Ar$\mathrm{CHO} / \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3} / \mathrm{DMF} /$ Reflux; v: $\mathrm{HSCH}_{2} \mathrm{COOH} / \mathrm{ZnCl}_{2} /$ Benzene/Reflux; vi: diethyl acetylene dicarboxylate/ Fusion.
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Figure 1. Reaction mechanism for the synthetic route of compound 9.
protons. In addition to two singlets at $\delta 7.95$ and $\delta 8.54 \mathrm{ppm}$ corresponding to thienopyrmidine $\mathrm{C}_{6} \& \mathrm{C}_{2}$ protons; respectively. Moreover, 6-aminouracil 1 was reacted with aromatic aldehydes namely; 4-chlorobenzaldehyde, furan-2-carboxaldehyde and thiophene-2-carboxaldehyde in presence of anhydrous potassium carbonate to give the corresponding Schiff bases 11a-c [56]. It is worth mentioning that, in a previous report [57] the reaction of 6 -aminouracil 1 with aromatic aldehydes was suggested to afford the corresponding condensation products $\mathbf{1 1}$ or 11’ (Figure 2). However, this report revealed that, the formation of the imino compound 11' was not plausible as the imino group is much more nucleophilic than the CH in position 5 of 6 -aminouracil. Furthermore, the prepared Schiff bases were used as key precursors for the synthesis of different compounds, in which the azomethine moiety underwent cycloaddition upon treatment with thioglycolic acid in presence of anhydrous zinc chloride [58]-[60] to yield 6-thiazolidinylpyrimidine derivatives 12a-c. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound $\mathbf{1 2 c}$ revealed the presence of two singlets at $\delta 3.65$ and $\delta 4.37 \mathrm{ppm}$ attributed to thiazolidine- $\mathrm{C}_{4}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ and thia-zolidine- $\mathrm{C}_{2}$-CH protons; respectively. Aligned with the aim of the work, compound 11c was fused with diethyl acetylene dicarboxylate to yield the pyridopyrimidine derivative $\mathbf{1 3}$ that was prepared through cycloaddition of compound 11c on the triple bond of the reagent utilizing the methine protons of $\mathrm{C}_{5}$ uracil and the Schiff base. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound $\mathbf{1 3}$ revealed the presence of two multiplets at $\delta 1.00-1.18 \mathrm{ppm}$ and $\delta 3.60-3.90$ ppm attributed to methyl and methylene protons of the two ethyl ester functions; respectively.

Diazotization of 6-aminouracil 1 was accomplished with sodium nitrite and hydrochloric acid at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ which was followed by coupling with sodium azide to furnish 6-azidouracil $\mathbf{1 4}$ [61] "(Scheme 3)". The azide function of compound 14 was coupled with malononitrile in basic medium followed by subsequent nucleophilic cycloaddition on one of the cyano functions [62] to afford the corresponding 5-amino-1,2,3-triazole-4-carbonitrile derivative $\mathbf{1 5}$. The IR spectrum of compound 15 lacked the absorption band attributed to azide group and displayed an absorption band at $2204 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ corresponding to cyano group. Furthermore, its ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum revealed the presence of three deuterium oxide exchangeable singlets at $\delta 7.28, \delta 9.51$ and $\delta 10.76 \mathrm{ppm}$ attributed to tria-zole- $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$, uracil- $\mathrm{N}_{1}-\mathrm{H}$ and uracil- $\mathrm{N}_{3}-\mathrm{H}$; respectively, while its electron impact mass spectrum exhibited its molecular ion peak at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 219$ (5.14). Furthermore, the amino group in compound $\mathbf{1}$ was subjected to acylation with 2,4-dimethoxybenzoyl chloride in presence of piperidine as catalyst which afforded the 2,4-dimethoxybenzamide derivative 16. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound 16 revealed two singlets at $\delta 4.42$ and $\delta 6.20 \mathrm{ppm}$ attributed to methoxy protons and phenyl- $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ proton; respectively. In addition to a multiplet at $\delta 6.30-6.38 \mathrm{ppm}$ due to phenyl- $\mathrm{C}_{5,6}$ protons.


Figure 2. Possible reaction products of compound 11.


Scheme 3. Synthetic pathways for compounds 14, 15, 16, 17 and 18. Reagents \& conditions: i: $\mathrm{NaNO}_{2} /$ $\mathrm{HCl} / 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ii: $\mathrm{NaN}_{3} / 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; iii: malononitrile/sod. ethoxide/Abs. ethanol/Reflux; iv: 2,4-di $\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{COCl} /$ piperidine/DMF/Reflux; v: $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{NCS} /$ pyridine/Reflux; vi: 4-bromophenacyl bromide/Na acetate/Abs. ethanol/Reflux.

Moreover, the behavior of compound $\mathbf{1}$ towards isothiocyanate reagent was investigated to proceed typical to literature [63] [64]. Compound $\mathbf{1}$ was refluxed with phenyl isothiocyanate in pyridine to yield the acyclic thiourea derivative 17 which its ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum revealed the presence of two deuterium oxide exchangeable singlets at $\delta 8.90$ and $\delta 11.63 \mathrm{ppm}$ due to uracil- $\mathrm{C}_{6}-\mathrm{NH}$ and NH -phenyl protons; respectively. In addition to, other deuterium oxide exchangeable singlets due to uracil- $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ protons. In an attempt to fulfill the research goal, the thiourea derivative 17 was treated with 4-bromophenacyl bromide in absolute ethanol containing sodium acetate as a base to yield the thiazole derivative 18 that is believed to be formed through nucleophilic substitution reaction involving the reactive SH thiourea tautomer and 4-bromophenacyl bromide with elimination of a hydrobromide molecule followed by tautomerism and cyclocondensation (Figure 3) to yield compound 18 which its structure was established on its elemental and spectral data, as its ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum revealed the presence of two doublets at $\delta 7.29$ and $\delta 7.95 \mathrm{ppm}$ due to $\mathrm{C}_{2,6}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{3,5}$ protons of 4-bromophenyl ring; respectively. In addition to, two singlets at $\delta 7.58$ and $\delta 7.99 \mathrm{ppm}$ attributed to thiazolidine $\mathrm{C}_{5}$ and uracil $\mathrm{C}_{5}$ protons; respectively.
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Figure 3. Reaction mechanism for the synthetic route for compound 18.

Furthermore, compound 1 was further utilized to synthesize different substituted pyrimidopyrimidine derivatives 19, 20 and 21 "(Scheme 4)". This was accomplished through refluxing compound $\mathbf{1}$ with ethanolamine and paraformaldehyde in absolute ethanol [65] to yield the tetrahydropyrimido[4,5-d]pyrimidinedione derivative 19. The IR spectrum of compound 19 showed strong absorption bands at 2949, 2916 and $2872 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ due to aliphatic CH functions, while its ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum exhibited two singlets at $\delta 3.96$ and $\delta 4.85 \mathrm{ppm}$ due to tetrahydropyrimidine $\mathrm{C}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ protons; respectively. In addition to, a deuterium oxide exchangeable singlet at $\delta 4.42 \mathrm{ppm}$ due to OH proton. Moreover, formation of pyrimido[1,6-a]pyrimidine-2,6,8-trione derivative 20 was achieved through fusion of compound $\mathbf{1}$ with ethyl acetoacetate that was believed to be formed via Michael type addition of compound $\mathbf{1}$ on ethyl acetoacetate followed by ethanol elimination to give the acyclic intermediate 20' which then underwent tautomerism and cyclization via elimination of a water molecule. The electron impact mass spectrum of compound 20 showed a peak at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 192$ ( 0.34 ) corresponding to $\mathrm{M}-1$, in addition to the base peak at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 60$ (100). Also, 2-aminodihydropyrimido[1,6-a]pyrimidine-3-carbonitrile derivative 21 was synthesized through treatment of compound $\mathbf{1}$ with ethoxymethylene malononitrile [66] in absolute ethanol containing piperidine as catalyst. The reaction is suggested to proceed through Micheal type addition followed by elimination of an ethanol molecule to yield the acyclic intermediate which underwent intramolecular cyclization via nucleophilic addition on one cyano function and subsequent tautomerism leading to formation of compound 21 as demonstrated in (Figure 4). IR spectrum of compound 21 exhibited a strong absorption band at $2200 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ due to cyano group. Besides, its ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum revealed the presence of a deuterium oxide exchangeable singlet at $\delta 3.75 \mathrm{ppm}$ due to $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ protons. In addition to a singlet at $\delta 7.65 \mathrm{ppm}$ due to pyrimidine $\mathrm{C}_{6}$ proton. Finally, compound 1 was refluxed with acetyl isothiocyanate in dry acetone [63] to yield the pyrimido[1,6-a][1,3,5]


Scheme 4. Synthetic pathways for compounds 19, 20, 21 and 22. Reagents \& conditions: i: $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH} /$ $\mathrm{HCHO} /$ Abs. ethanol/Reflux; ii: $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COCH}_{2} \mathrm{COOC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ /fusion; iii: Ethoxymethylene malononitrile/piperidine/ Abs. ethanol/Reflux; iv: $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COCl} / \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{SCN} /$ Acetone/Reflux.



Figure 4. Reaction mechanism for the synthetic route for compound 21.
triazine derivative $\mathbf{2 2}$ that is assumed to be formed through formation of the thiourea intermediate 22’ that underwent tautomerism and subsequent cyclocondensation to yield compound 22. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound 22 exhibited a singlet at $\delta 2.05 \mathrm{ppm}$ due to methyl protons. In addition to, a deuterium oxide exchangeable singlet at $\delta 6.66 \mathrm{ppm}$ attributed to triazine- $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ proton.

### 2.2. Biological Screening

### 2.2.1. Anticancer Screening

Cytotoxicity of all the synthesized compounds was evaluated against prostate cancer; PC3 cell line by using in-vitro sulforhodamine-B (SRB) method. The screening was performed by evaluation of the growth inhibition percent using five different doses from which their $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values were calculated. Also, we take doxorubicin as a reference drug in which its $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ was 0.93 as presented in Table 1.

Table 1. Five doses growth inhibition percent and $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of the tested compounds against PC3 cell line.

| Comp. No. | \% Growth Inhibition |  |  |  |  | IC ${ }_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.1 | 1 | 10 | 100 | 1000 |  |
| 1 | 89.40 | 83.50 | 86.00 | 65.80 | 15.10 | 362.80 |
| 3a | 95.10 | 77.60 | 75.10 | 30.10 | 9.50 | 43.95 |
| 3b | 94.10 | 94.80 | 89.00 | 73.60 | 17.90 | 432.60 |
| 3c | 96.20 | 81.30 | 76.00 | 37.80 | 1.60 | 79.20 |
| 4 | 92.20 | 93.20 | 72.10 | 14.10 | 14.10 | 21.21 |
| 5 a | 93.80 | 61.00 | 45.10 | 26.20 | 2.20 | 7.02 |
| 5b | 83.30 | 62.00 | 46.00 | 23.60 | 12.30 | 8.57 |
| 6 | 89.00 | 81.20 | 73.20 | 31.40 | 15.00 | 38.73 |
| 7 a | 89.70 | 58.70 | 35.70 | 9.10 | 9.70 | 2.31 |
| 7b | 95.30 | 99.50 | 77.40 | 28.70 | 6.30 | 36.61 |
| 8 | 98.20 | 99.60 | 83.20 | 67.10 | 13.80 | 233.80 |
| 9 | 87.00 | 88.30 | 86.60 | 71.90 | 21.10 | 547.40 |
| 10 | 95.10 | 96.00 | 82.30 | 63.40 | 25.30 | 146.00 |
| 11a | 82.10 | 72.60 | 53.10 | 8.50 | 7.10 | 15.66 |
| 11b | 92.50 | 93.70 | 95.20 | 58.80 | 18.70 | 151.00 |
| 11c | 101.50 | 102.10 | 77.60 | 22.40 | 1.20 | 30.72 |
| 12a | 92.70 | 80.30 | 51.30 | 32.10 | 12.80 | 8.84 |
| 12b | 100.10 | 101.50 | 80.20 | 47.20 | 28.50 | 29.54 |
| 12c | 91.20 | 83.40 | 74.60 | 69.30 | 36.00 | 272.80 |
| 13 | 89.30 | 65.00 | 42.50 | 32.90 | 19.40 | 1.89 |
| 14 | 92.80 | 84.40 | 75.40 | 61.00 | 2.40 | 322.30 |
| 15 | 98.60 | 89.30 | 86.80 | 77.90 | 33.50 | 471.50 |
| 16 | 100.00 | 102.10 | 90.00 | 79.80 | 21.10 | 487.30 |
| 17 | 28.40 | 16.90 | 11.20 | 6.40 | 6.20 | 0.03 |
| 18 | 91.80 | 87.40 | 27.40 | 20.80 | 8.40 | 3.67 |
| 19 | 94.20 | 86.20 | 78.80 | 72.50 | 22.20 | 522.80 |
| 20 | 87.90 | 72.70 | 68.30 | 39.20 | 13.80 | 63.68 |
| 21 | 80.90 | 69.60 | 64.10 | 55.60 | 39.10 | 423.4 |
| 22 | 92.10 | 84.10 | 81.50 | 78.80 | 48.60 | 975.9 |
| Doxorubicin | 92.90 | 76.80 | 51.20 | 23.80 | 12.00 | 0.93 |

Aligned with the aim of this work; to synthesize and study the effect of various heterocyclic rings upon attachment to $\mathrm{C}_{6}$ position or fusion to the uracil backbone, the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ of 6 -aminouracil $\mathbf{1}$ against PC3 cell line was evaluated which was found to be $362 \mu \mathrm{M}$. The attachment of a pyrimidine-2-thione ring through an amino bridge as in compounds 3a and 3c, greatly improved the anticancer activity as they exhibited $\mathrm{IC}_{50} 43.95$ and $79.20 \mu \mathrm{M}$; respectively. Also, chloroacetylation of 6 -aminouracil as in compound $\mathbf{4}$ further improved the activity showing $\mathrm{IC}_{50} 21.21 \mu \mathrm{M}$. However, attachment of different substituted furan rings through an amino bridge as in compounds $\mathbf{5 a}$ and $\mathbf{5 b}$ exhibited moderate anticancer activity with $\mathrm{IC}_{50} 7.02$ and $8.57 \mu \mathrm{M}$; respectively, compared to doxorubicin ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50} 0.93 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ), while direct attachment of a pyrrolidinone ring as in compound $\mathbf{6}$ showed $\mathrm{IC}_{50} 38.73 \mu \mathrm{M}$.

Furthermore, attachment of a quinoxaline ring through an amino bridge as in compound $7 \mathbf{7 a}$ exhibited strong activity with $\mathrm{IC}_{50} 2.31 \mu \mathrm{M}$, while replacing quinoxaline with 1,4 -benzoxazine moiety as in $\mathbf{7 b}$ showed $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ $36.61 \mu \mathrm{M}$. Also, it is worth mentioning that attachment of a thiophene ring through an amino bridge as in compound 9 greatly diminished the activity showing $\mathrm{IC}_{50} 547.40 \mu \mathrm{M}$ which upon fusion to a pyrimidine ring to yield the thienopyrimidine derivative $\mathbf{1 0}$, the activity was slightly improved to exhibit $\mathrm{IC}_{50} 146 \mu \mathrm{M}$. Moreover, the intermediate Schiff bases 11a-c showed moderate to low activity showing $\mathrm{IC}_{50} 15.66-151 \mu \mathrm{M}$ that upon cyclization to yield compounds 12a-c bearing a thiazolidinone ring directly attached to the uracil ring, showed enhancement in the activity of compounds $\mathbf{1 2 a}$ and $\mathbf{1 2 b}$ with $\mathrm{IC}_{50} 8.84$ and $29.54 \mu \mathrm{M}$; respectively, while compound $\mathbf{1 2 c}$ with a thiophene moiety attached to the thiazolidinone ring; exerted very weak activity with $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ $272.80 \mu \mathrm{M}$. However, cyclization of the Schiff base 11c into the pyridopyrimidine derivative $\mathbf{1 3}$ exhibited marked anticancer activity with $\mathrm{IC}_{50} 1.89 \mu \mathrm{M}$ which is nearly half potent to that of doxorubicin.

It is to be noted that, the presence of an azide function as in compound 14, a directly attached triazole ring as in compound $\mathbf{1 5}$ or a substituted benzamide side chain as in compound $\mathbf{1 6}$ attached to $\mathrm{C}_{6}$ position of uracil backbone, resulted in diminished anticancer activity. However, the presence of a phenyl thiourea side chain as in compound $\mathbf{1 7}$ exerted highly potent anticancer activity showing $\mathrm{IC}_{50} 0.03 \mu \mathrm{M}$ in comparison to doxorubicin $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ $0.93 \mu \mathrm{M}$, which upon cyclization to yield substituted thiazole derivative attached to the uracil ring through an imino bridge as in compound $\mathbf{1 8}$ resulted in slight decrease of anticancer activity with $\mathrm{IC}_{50} 3.67 \mu \mathrm{M}$. Moreover, fusion of the uracil ring to perhydropyrimidine, 4 -aminopyrimidine-5-carbonitrile and 2-thioxo[1,3,5]triazine rings as in compounds 19, 21 and 22; respectively, resulted in diminished anticancer activity, although that, fusion of pyrimidine moiety to the uracil backbone as in compound $\mathbf{2 0}$ exerted good anticancer activity with $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ $63.68 \mu \mathrm{M}$.

### 2.2.2. Cathepsin B Assay

The possible link between cathepsins and cancer and the role of cathepsin B in metastatic potential was postulated since many years [67]. Cathepsin B, either the mRNA or the protein, was often detected in higher amounts in malignant tumors than in benign ones or in normal tissues [68]. Moreover, a positive correlation between cathepsin B expression and metastasis of carcinoma cells to lymph nodes has been shown in prostate cancer [69].

Current prostate cancer specific treatments are limited and development of new therapeutics is needed, specifically therapies against pathways that mediate the aggressiveness of the disease. A clinical approach based on targeting of proteases involved in pathomechanism of given diseases also stimulates the interest as anticancer strategy alternative, or supplementary, to surgical intervention and radiotherapy [70]. In various types of mouse cancer models deletion of cathepsin B led to suppression of the aggressiveness of the respective cancer phenotype. Moreover, delivery of broad spectrum cysteine cathepsin inhibitors in the tumor microenvironment disrupts the permissive ecosystem of the cancer and results in impaired growth or even in regression of the tumor [9]. A logical consequence of these results would be to further pursue selective inhibition of cathepsin B. In this investigation, the synthesized compounds exhibit a good inhibition of cathepsin B in vitro. Thus, we can hypothesize that this inhibition could be due to one or more of the mechanisms by which cathepsin B contribute to metastasis facilitating cell migration and invasiveness.

In general, loss of cell-cell and cell-matrix adhesion and degradation of extracellular matrix components are involved in invasion and migration [71] of cancerous cells. The tumor and metastasis-promoting effect of secreted cysteine cathepsins is thought to be caused by their ability to degrade extracellular matrix molecules, which in turn enables cancer cells to invade into the surrounding tissue and to metastasize [72]. In addition, proteases promote tumor growth by processing growth factors, cytokines, and chemokines or increasing their bioavailability by releasing them from the extracellular matrix [73]-[75]. Furthermore, they may play roles in the regulation
of the action of certain growth factors, growth factor-binding proteins and growth factor receptors [13], all are vital participants in human cancer growth. Therefore, proteases are functionally embedded in the complex proteolytic and cellular network [76]-[78]. Also, the localization of cysteine cathepsins on the tumor cell membrane might be mediated through an association of individual cysteine cathepsins with binding partners in membrane microdomains. The membrane microdomains include lipid rafts. The association on the tumor cell membrane of cathepsin B with caveolae, a subset of lipid rafts that contain the structural protein caveolin, is mediated by a direct interaction of procathepsin B with the light chain of the annexin II heterotetramer (AIIT) [10].

Previous studies have implicated tumor cell cathepsin B in degradation of ECM proteins including fibronectin, laminin and collagen IV [79] [80]. In accordance to these studies, Cathepsin B has been shown to be implicated in the degradation of basement membrane proteins and invasion of the adjunct stroma in several experimental tumor models, and the over expression of cathepsin B shows a close association with disease progression in various kinds of human malignancy, including prostate cancer [81]. Active cathepsin B is also secreted from tumors, a mechanism likely to be facilitated by lysosomal exocytosis or extracellular processing by surface activators [82].

Additionally, and in support of our study, inhibition of cathepsin B has been demonstrated to reduce collagen I degradation by prostate carcinoma cell lines [83]. Consistent with cathepsin B role in invasion, [84] demonstrated a critical function of tumor-derived cathepsin B in metastasis in the 4 T 1.2 model and revealed that preventing ECM degradation by cathepsin B inhibition is likely to reduce metastatic burden.

Therefore, the most active synthesized compounds against prostate PC3 cell line; $\mathbf{3 a}, \mathbf{3 c}, \mathbf{4}, \mathbf{5 a}, \mathbf{5 b}, \mathbf{6}, \mathbf{7 a}, \mathbf{7 b}$, 11a, 11b, 11c, 12a, 12b, 13, 17, 18 and 20 were further evaluated for their ability to inhibit cathepsin $B$ by using enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) kit (Wuhan ElAab Science Co., Ltd, Wuhan, China), as shown in Table 2.

The presented results revealed that, all the tested compounds showed better cathepsin B inhibition than the
Table 2. Growth inhibition percent of the selected compounds against cathep$\sin B$ enzyme.

| Comp. No. | \% Inhibition of cathepsin B |
| :---: | :---: |
| 3a | 38.8 |
| 3c | 10.0 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 48.8 |
| $\mathbf{5 a}$ | 52.2 |
| $\mathbf{5 b}$ | 57.62 |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | 40.8 |
| $\mathbf{7 a}$ | 56.3 |
| $\mathbf{7 b}$ | 41.7 |
| $\mathbf{1 1 a}$ | 54.6 |
| $\mathbf{1 1 b}$ | 22.2 |
| $\mathbf{1 1 c}$ | 42.7 |
| $\mathbf{1 2 a}$ | 59 |
| $\mathbf{1 2 b}$ | 37 |
| $\mathbf{1 3}$ | 22.6 |
| $\mathbf{1 7}$ | 82.3 |
| $\mathbf{1 8}$ | 26.5 |
| Doxorubicin | 18.9 |

reference drug doxorubicin (18.7\%), except the 2-thioxopyrimidine derivative 3c. However, several compounds exhibited more than $50 \%$ inhibition of cathepsin $B$, among which are the furan derivatives $\mathbf{5 a}$ and $\mathbf{5 b}$, quinoxaline derivative 7a, 4-chlorophenyl Schiff base derivative 11a and the thiazolidinone derivative 12a. In addition to, the phenyl thiourea derivative 17 which is the most active compound exerting $82.3 \%$ inhibition of cathepsin B.

In conclusion, our study reveals that these synthesized compounds have the ability to inhibit cathepsin B which is associated particularly with metastasis of prostate cancer and that inhibition could possibly lead to changes of tumor microenvironment and to decreased cell survival, therefore, to the impairment of metastatic seeding and onset. Further research on prostate cancer will however be crucial to generate therapeutic strategies based on the use of available or novel potential inhibitors of cathepsin B activity.

## 3. Experimental

### 3.1. Chemistry

All melting points were measured on Electro thermal LA 9000 SERIS, Digital Melting point Apparatus and are uncorrected. IR spectra ( KBr ) were recorded on FT-IR 200 spectrophotometer ( $\mathrm{v}^{\mathrm{cm}}{ }^{-1}$ ), pharmaceutical analytical unit, Faculty of Pharmacy, Al-Azhar University. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectra were recorded in (DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) at 300 MHz on a Varian Gemini NMR spectrometer ( $\delta \mathrm{ppm}$ ) using TMS as an internal standard, Main defense chemical laboratory, Cairo. Mass spectra were recorded on GC Ms-QP 5050A mass spectrometer at 70 eV and microanalytical data were performed on Elementar Vario EI III CHN analyzer at the microanalytical unit, in Regional center for Mycology and Biotechnology, Al-Azhar University. Thin layer chromatography was performed on precoated $(0.25 \mathrm{~mm})$ silica gel $\mathrm{GF}_{254}$ plates (E. Merck, Germany). Compounds were detected with 254 nm UV lamp.

### 3.1.1. General Method for Synthesis of Compounds 3a-c

A mixture of 6-aminouracil $\mathbf{1}$ ( $1.27 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \mathrm{mmol}$.) and 4-chlorodihydropyrimidine derivative 2a-c [48] (5 mmol) was refluxed in dry DMF ( 10 mL ) containing piperidine ( $2-3$ drops) for 6 hr . The reaction was triturated with water, the solid obtained was filtered, washed with water, dried then crystallized from DMF/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to yield compounds 3a-c.

1) 4-(2,6-Dioxo-1,2,3,6-tetrahydropyrimidin-4-ylamino)-6-phenyl-2-thioxo-1,2-dihydro-pyrimidine-5-carbonitrile; 3a:

Light brown powder, yield 55\%, m.p. > $300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (KBr) cm ${ }^{-1}$ : 3336, 3174 (NH); 3050 (CH-aromatic); 2210 (CN); 1729 (C=O); 1639 (C=N); 1521, 1386, 1165, 1017 (I, II, III, IV bands N-C=S). ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta$ ppm: 6.20 (s, 1 H , uracil- $\mathrm{C}_{6}-\mathrm{NH}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchangeable); $6.60-6.90\left(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right.$ ); 7.95 (s, 1 H , uracil- $\mathrm{C}_{5}-\mathrm{H}$ ); 10.25 (s, 1 H , uracil- $\mathrm{N}_{1}-\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchangeable); 10.49 (s, 1 H , uracil $-\mathrm{N}_{3}-\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchangeable); 10.61 (s, 1 H , pyrimidine- $\mathrm{N}_{1}-\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchangeable). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ (338.34): C, 53.25; H, 2.98; N, 24.84. Found: C, 53.31; H, 3.04; N, 24.92.
2) 4-(2,6-Dioxo-1,2,3,6-tetrahydropyrimidin-4-ylamino)-6-(4-bromophenyl)-2-thioxo-1,2-dihydropyrimidine-5-carbonitrile; 3b:

Dark brown powder, yield, $63 \%$, m.p. $>300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (KBr) cm ${ }^{-1}: 3419$ (NH); 2922 (CH-aromatic); 2216 (CN); 1710 (C=O); 1627 (C=N); 1545, 1243, 1163, 1064 (I, II, III, IV bands N-C=S). ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta$ ppm: 6.17 (s, 1 H , uracil- $\mathrm{C}_{6}-\mathrm{NH}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchangeable); 7.12-7.40 (m, $2 \mathrm{H}, 4-\mathrm{Br}^{2}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{C}_{2,6}-\mathrm{H}$ ); 7.71-7.79 (m, 2 H , 4-Br- $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{C}_{3,5}-\mathrm{H}$ ); 8.57 (s, 1 H , uracil- $\mathrm{C}_{5}-\mathrm{H}$ ); 10.04 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, uracil- $\mathrm{N}_{1}-\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchangeable); 10.56 (s, 2 H , uracil- $\mathrm{N}_{3}-\mathrm{H} \&$ pyrimidine $-\mathrm{N}_{1}-\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchangeable). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{BrN}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ (417.24): C, 43.18; H, 2.17; N, 20.14. Found: C, 43.17; H, 2.21; N, 20.18.
3) 4-(2,6-Dioxo-1,2,3,6-tetrahydropyrimidin-4-ylamino)-6-(2-furyl)-2-thioxo-1,2-dihydro-pyrimidine-5-carbonitrile; 3c:

Light orange crystals, yield, 55\%, m.p. > $300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (KBr) cm ${ }^{-1}$ : 3451, 3152 (NH); 3048 (CH-aromatic); 2219 (CN); 1735, 1670 (two C=O); 1632 (C=N); 1578, 1321, 1133, 1035 (I, II, III, IV bands N-C=S); 1222, 1078 (C-O-C). ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{DMSO}_{\mathrm{d}}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{ppm}: 6.14$ (s, 1 H , uracil- $\mathrm{C}_{6}-\mathrm{NH}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchangeable); 6.88 (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=3.3$, 1.5 Hz, furyl-C $\mathrm{C}_{4}-\mathrm{H}$ ); $7.43\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, furyl-C -H ); $7.76\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, furyl-C ${ }_{3}-\mathrm{H}$ ); 8.19 (s, 1 H , uracil- $\mathrm{C}_{5}-\mathrm{H}$ ); 10.01 (s, 1 H , uracil- $\mathrm{N}_{1}-\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchangeable); 11.73 (s, 1 H , uracil- $\mathrm{N}_{3}-\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchangeable); 11.92 (s, 1 H , pyrimidine- $\mathrm{N}_{1}-\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchangeable). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}$ (328.31): C, 47.56; H, 2.46; N,
25.60. Found: C, 47.69; H, 2.52; N, 25.74.
3.1.2. Synthesis of 2-Chloro-N-(2,6-dioxo-1,2,3,6-tetrahydropyrimidin-4-yl)acetamide; 4

A mixture of 6-aminouracil $1(1.27 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \mathrm{mmol}$.$) , chloroacetyl chloride ( 1.13 \mathrm{~g}, 0.8 \mathrm{~mL}, 10 \mathrm{mmol}$.) and anhydrous potassium carbonate ( $1.38 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \mathrm{mmol}$.) was stirred at room temperature for 24 hr . The reaction mixture was triturated with water, dried and crystallized from DMF/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.

Canary yellow crystals, yield, $75 \%$, m.p. $>300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (KBr) cm ${ }^{-1}$ : 3393, 3259 (NH); 3093 (CH-aromatic); 2865 (CH-aliphatic); 1734, 1651 (two C=O); 1528 (C=C); 778 (C-Cl). ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta$ ppm: 4.85 (s, 2 H, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}$ ); 7.42 (s, 1 H , uracil- $\mathrm{C}_{6}-\mathrm{NH}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchangeable); 7.95 (s, 1 H , uracil- $\mathrm{C}_{5}-\mathrm{H}$ ); 9.74 (s, 1 H , uracil- $\mathrm{N}_{1}-\mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchangeable); 10.76 (s, 1 H , uracil- $\mathrm{N}_{3}-\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchangeable). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{ClN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ (203.58): C, 35.40; H, 2.97; N, 20.64. Found: C, 35.43; H, 3.02; N, 20.85.

### 3.1.3. General Method for Synthesis of Compounds 5a, b

To an ethanolic solution of sodium ethoxide [prepared from sodium metal ( $0.05 \mathrm{~g}, 2.2 \mathrm{mmol}$.) and 15 mL absolute ethanol] was added ( 5 mmol .) of the appropriate activated methylene bearing compounds namely; acetyl acetone and dimethyl malonate. After stirring for 15 min . at room temperature, compound 4 ( $0.2 \mathrm{~g}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}$.) was added and stirring was continued for 24 hr . The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure and the remainder was poured onto ice cold water ( 25 mL ) and neutralized with diluted HCl . The solid product was collected by filtration, washed with water, dried and crystallized from DMF/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.

1) 6-(4-Acetyl-5-methylfuran-2-ylamino)pyrimidine-2,4(1H,3H)dione; 5a

Light green crystals, yield, $86 \%$, m.p. > $300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (KBr) cm ${ }^{-1}$ : 3357, 3191 (NH); 2940 (CH-aliphatic); 1710 (C=O); 1561 (C=C); 1286, 1094 (C-O-C). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{DMSO}_{6}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{ppm}$ : 1.62 (s, 3 H , furyl- $\mathrm{C}_{5}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ); 4.28 (s, 1 H , furyl- $\mathrm{C}_{3}-\mathrm{H}$ ); $4.39\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{COCH}_{3}\right) ; 6.30\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right.$, uracil- $\mathrm{C}_{6}-\mathrm{NH}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchangeable); $8.40\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right.$, uracil- $\left.\mathrm{C}_{5}-\mathrm{H}\right)$; 9.05 (s, 1 H , uracil- $\mathrm{N}_{1}-\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchangeable); 9.49 (s, 1 H , uracil- $\mathrm{N}_{3}-\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchangeable). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ (249.22): C, 53.01; H, 4.45; N, 16.86. Found: C, 52.98; H, 4.50; N, 16.97.
2) Ethyl 5-(2,6-dioxo-1,2,3,6-tetrahydropyrimidin-4-ylamino)-2-ethoxyfuran-3-carboxylate; 5b

Pale yellow crystals, yield, 38\%, m.p. > $300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (KBr) cm ${ }^{-1}$ : 3358 (NH); 3000 (CH-aromatic); 2936 (CH-aliphatic); 1730 (C=O); 1563 (C=C); 1294, 1099 (C-O-C). MS (m/z, \%): 309 ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}, 2.40$ ); 55 (100). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ (309.27): C, 50.49; H, 4.89; N, 13.59. Found: C, 50.52; H, 4.91; N, 13.68.

### 3.1.4. Synthesis of 2-Amino-1-(2,6-dioxo-1,2,3,6-tetrahydropyrimidin-4-yl)-5-oxo-4,5-dihydro-1H-

 pyrrole-3-carbonitrile; 6An equimolar mixture of the 6 -chloroacetylaminouracil 4 ( $2.04 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \mathrm{mmol}$.) and malononitrile ( $0.66 \mathrm{~g}, 10$ mmol.) was fused for 20 min . The reaction mixture was triturated with ethanol and the product was collected, washed with ethanol, dried then crystallized from $\mathrm{DMF} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.

Light brown powder, yield, $74 \%$, m.p. $>300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR $(\mathrm{KBr}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}: 3380,3320,3180\left(\mathrm{NH}_{2}, \mathrm{NH}\right) ; 3002$ (CH-aromatic); 2200 (CN); 1720 (C=O); 1530 (C=C). MS (m/z, \%): 234 (M+1, 3.84); 64 (100). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ (233.18): C, 46.36; H, 3.03; N, 30.03. Found: C, 46.41; H, 3.05; N, 30.14.

### 3.1.5. General Method for Synthesis of Compounds 7a, b

To a suspension of compound 4 ( $2.04 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \mathrm{mmol}$.) in absolute ethanol ( 30 mL ), an equimolar amount of the appropriate aromatic amine; namely o-phenylene diamine and o-aminophenol, two drops of triethyl amine were added and the suspension was heated under reflux for 12 hr ., then left to cool. The solid product was collected by filtration, washed with ethanol, dried and crystallized from $\mathrm{DMF} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.

1) 6-(3,4-Dihydroquinoxalin-2-ylamino)pyrimidine-2,4(1H,3H)-dione; 7a

Light green crystals, yield, $44 \%$, m.p. $>300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (KBr) cm ${ }^{-1}: 3364,3178$ (NH); 2922 (CH-aromatic); 1707 (C=O); $1618(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}) ; 1506(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}) .{ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{ppm}: 4.71$ (s, 1 H , quinoxalinyl- $\mathrm{C}_{3}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ tautomer); 4.85 (s, 1 H , quinoxalinyl- $\mathrm{C}_{3}-\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CH}$ tautomer); 7.35 (s, 1 H , uracil- $\mathrm{C}_{6}-\mathrm{NH}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchangeable); 7.62-7.64 (m, 2 H , quinoxalinyl-C $\mathrm{C}_{5,8}-\mathrm{H}$ ); 7.67 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, uracil- $\mathrm{C}_{5}-\mathrm{H}$ ); 7.75 (t, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.73 \mathrm{~Hz}$, quinoxalinyl- $\mathrm{C}_{6}-\mathrm{H}$ ); 7.97 (t, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}$ $=7.73 \mathrm{~Hz}$, quinoxalinyl- $\mathrm{C}_{7}-\mathrm{H}$ ); $8.14\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right.$, quinoxalinyl $-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchangeable); $10.65\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right.$, uracil- $\mathrm{N}_{1}-\mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchangeable); 10.80 (s, 1 H , uracil- $\mathrm{N}_{3}-\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchangeable). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ (257.25): C, 56.03; H, 4.31; N, 27.22. Found: C, 56.05; H, 4.37; N, 27.29.
2) 6-(2H-Benzo $[b][1,4]$ oxazin-3-ylamino)pyrimidine-2,4(1H,3H)-dione; 7b


Dark orange crystals, yield, 63\%, m.p. > $300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (KBr) cm ${ }^{-1}$ : 3408, 3177 (NH); 2921 (CH-aromatic); 1711 (C=O); 1626 (C=N); 1460 (C=C); 1287, 1051 (C-O-C). ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{ppm}: 4.41$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 / 2 \mathrm{H}$, benzoxazine $-\mathrm{N}_{4}-\mathrm{H}$ tautomer, $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchangeable); 4.68 (s, 1 H , benzoxazine $-\mathrm{C}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ); 6.22 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, uracil- $\mathrm{C}_{6}-\mathrm{NH}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchangeable); 6.37 (s, 1/2 H, benzoxazine- $\mathrm{C}_{2}-\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{O}$ tautomer); $7.30-7.75$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}$, benzoxazine- $\mathrm{C}_{5,6,7,8}-\mathrm{H}$ ); 8.25 (s, 1 H , uracil- $\mathrm{C}_{5}-\mathrm{H}$ ); 10.08 (s, 2 H , uracil- $\mathrm{N}_{1}-\mathrm{H} \& \mathrm{~N}_{3}-\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchangeable). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ (258.23): C, 55.81; H, 3.90; N, 21.70. Found: C, 55.87; H, 3.89; N, 21.81.

### 3.1.6. Synthesis of 3-Amino-4-(2,6-dioxo-1,2,3,6-tetrahydropyrimidin-4-ylamino)thiophene-2-

 carbonitrile; 9An equimolar mixture of 6-acetylaminouracil 8 [53], malononitrile and sulphur (2 mmol.) was refluxed in dry DMF ( 15 mL ) for 6 hr . The reaction mixture was concentrated, allowed to cool then triturated with cold water to yield the target compound which was filtered, washed with water, dried and crystallized from DMF/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.

Chocolate brown crystals, yield, $46 \%$, m.p. $>300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (KBr) cm ${ }^{-1}$ : 3359, 3186 (NH); 3035 (CH-aromatic); 2210 (CN); 1716 (C=O); 1513 (C=C); 1267, 1052 (C-S-C). MS (m/z, \%): 249 ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}, 10.42$ ); 58 (100). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ (249.25): C, 43.37; H, 2.83; N, 28.10. Found: C, 43.40; H, 2.87; N, 28.17.
3.1.7. Synthesis of 6-(4-aminothieno[3,2-d]pyrimidin-7-ylamino)pyrimidine-2,4(1H,3H)-dione; 10 Compound $9(0.2 \mathrm{~g}, 5 \mathrm{mmol})$ was refluxed in excess formamide $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ for 5 hr . The reaction mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure then poured onto crushed ice. The obtained product was filtered, washed with water, dried and crystallized from $\mathrm{DMF} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.

Dark brown crystals, yield, $45 \%$, m.p. > $300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR ( KBr$)_{\mathrm{cm}}{ }^{-1}$ : 3359, $3182\left(\mathrm{NH}_{2}, \mathrm{NH}\right)$; 2921 (CH-aromatic); 1688 (C=O); 1632 (C=N); 1510 (C=C); 1290, 1044 (C-S-C). ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{DMSO}_{6}$ ) $\delta$ ppm: 5.88 (s, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}$, $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchangeable); 7.37 (s, 1 H , uracil- $\mathrm{C}_{6}-\mathrm{NH}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchangeable); 7.83 (s, 1 H , uracil- $\mathrm{C}_{5}-\mathrm{H}$ ); 7.95 (s, 1 H , thienopyrimidine $-\mathrm{C}_{6}-\mathrm{H}$ ); 8.54 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, thienopyrimidine $-\mathrm{C}_{2}-\mathrm{H}$ ); 10.14 (s, 2 H , uracil- $\mathrm{N}_{1}-\mathrm{H} \& \mathrm{~N}_{3}-\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchangeable). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ (276.27): C, 43.47; H, 2.92; N, 30.42; S, 11.61. Found: C, 43.53; H, 2.97; N, 30.57; S, 11.69.

### 3.1.8. General Method for Synthesis of Compounds 11a-c

A mixture of 6 -aminouracil $\mathbf{1}(1.27 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \mathrm{mmol}$.) and the appropriate aromatic aldehyde ( 20 mmol .) namely; 4-chlorobenzaldehyde, furan-2-carboxaldehyde, thiophen-2-carboxaldehyde, in presence of anhydrous potassium carbonate ( $1.38 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \mathrm{mmol}$.) was refluxed in dry DMF ( 25 mL ) for 5 hr . The reaction mixture was allowed to cool, then poured onto crushed ice to yield a solid product that was collected by filtration. The obtained product was washed with ice-cold water, dried then crystallized from $\mathrm{DMF} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.

1) 6-(4-Chlorobenzylideneamino)pyrimidine-2,4(1H,3H)-dione; 11a

Yellow crystals, yield, $75 \%$, m.p. $>300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ [56]; IR (KBr) cm ${ }^{-1}$ : 3438, 3150 (NH); 3088 (CH-aromatic); 2921, 2855 (CH-aliphatic); 1715 (C=O); $1625(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N})$; $1490(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}) ; 831$ (C-Cl). ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{ppm}$ : $7.10(\mathrm{~s}$, 1 H , arylidine-CH); 7.19 (d, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4-\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{C}_{2,6}-\mathrm{H}$ ); $7.26\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4-\mathrm{Cl}^{2}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{C}_{3,5}-\mathrm{H}\right) ; 7.95$ (s, 1 H , uracil- $\mathrm{C}_{5}-\mathrm{H}$ ); 9.13 (s, 1 H , uracil- $\mathrm{N}_{1}-\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchangeable); $9.89\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right.$, uracil- $\mathrm{N}_{3}-\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchangeable). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{ClN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ (249.65): C, 52.92; H, 3.23; N, 16.83. Found: C, 52.94; H, 3.23; N, 16.91.
2) 6-(Furan-2-ylmethyleneamino)pyrimidine-2,4(1H,3H)-dione; 11b

Dark brown powder, yield, $35 \%$, m.p. > $300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ [56]; IR ( KBr$)^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}: 3413$, 3143 (NH); 3050 (CH-aromatic); 2924, 2856 (CH-aliphatic); 1718 (C=O); 1625 (C=N); 1495 (C=C); 1294, 1064 (C-O-C). ${ }^{1}$ H NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{ppm}: 4.85\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right.$, furyl- $\left.\mathrm{C}_{4}-\mathrm{H}\right) ; 5.89\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right.$, furyl- $\left.\mathrm{C}_{3}-\mathrm{H}\right) ; 6.21\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right.$, furyl- $\left.\mathrm{C}_{5}-\mathrm{H}\right) ; 7.30(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, arylidine- CH ); 7.95 (s, 1 H , uracil- $\mathrm{C}_{5}-\mathrm{H}$ ); 9.86 (s, 1 H , uracil- $\mathrm{N}_{1}-\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchangeable); 10.22 (s, 1 H , uracil- $\mathrm{N}_{3}-\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchangeable). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ (205.17): C, 52.69; H, 3.44; N, 20.48. Found: C, 52.67; H, 3.49; N, 20.54 .
3) 6-(Thiophen-2-ylmethyleneamino)pyrimidine-2,4(1H,3H)-dione; 11c

Dark yellow powder, yield, 64\%, m.p. > 300 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ [56]; IR (KBr) cm ${ }^{-1}$ : 3450, 3150 (NH); 3091 (CH-aromatic); 2923, 2857 (CH-aliphatic); 1717 (C=O); 1636 (C=N); 1543, 1495 (C=C); 1294, 1099 (C-S-C). ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{DMSO}_{6}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{ppm}: 7.08$ (s, 1 H , arylidine-CH); $7.17-7.20(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, thienyl-C -H ); $7.50(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=$ 5.4 Hz , thienyl-C -H ); 7.72 (d, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, thienyl- $\mathrm{C}_{3}-\mathrm{H}$ ); $7.96\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right.$, uracil- $\mathrm{C}_{5}-\mathrm{H}$ ); 9.03 (s, 1 H , uracil-$\mathrm{N}_{1}-\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchangeable); 9.96 (s, 1 H , uracil- $\mathrm{N}_{3}-\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchangeable). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ (221.24): C, 48.86; H, 3.19; N, 18.99. Found: C, 49.02; H, 3.22; N, 19.12.
3.1.9. General Method for Synthesis of Compounds 12a-c

The appropriate Schiff base 11a-c ( 2 mmol .) was refluxed with thioglycolic acid ( $0.37 \mathrm{~g}, 0.28 \mathrm{~mL}, 4 \mathrm{mmol}$.) containing anhydrous zinc chloride ( $0.27 \mathrm{~g}, 2 \mathrm{mmol}$.) in dry benzene ( 20 mL ) for 30 hr . The reaction mixture was cooled and the solid product was collected, washed with benzene and crystallized from DMF/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.

1) 6-[2-(4-Chlorophenyl)-4-oxothiazolidin-3-yl]pyrimidine-2,4(1H,3H)-dione; 12a

Dark brown powder, yield, $60 \%$, m.p. $230^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ [58]; IR (KBr) cm ${ }^{-1}$ : 3464 (OH tautomer); 3205 (NH); 3091 (CH-aromatic); 2923 (CH-aliphatic); 1700 (C=O); 1565 (C=C); 1380, 1021 (C-S-C). ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta$ ppm: 3.65 (s, 2 H , thiazolidine- $\mathrm{C}_{4}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ); 4.93 (s, 1 H , thiazolidine- $\mathrm{C}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}$ ); 5.11 (s, 1 H , uracil- $\mathrm{C}_{5}-\mathrm{H}$ ); 7.09 (d, 2 $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4-\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{C}_{2,6}-\mathrm{H}$ ); 7.33 (d, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4-\mathrm{Cl}^{2}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{C}_{3,5}-\mathrm{H}$ ); 11.10 (s, 1 H , uracil- $\mathrm{N}_{1}-\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchangeable); 11.80 (s, 1 H , uracil- $\mathrm{N}_{3}-\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchangeable). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{ClN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}$ (323.75): C, 48.23; H, 3.11; N, 12.98; S, 9.90. Found: C, 48.29; H, 3.09; N, 13.13; S, 9.97.
2) 6-[2-(Furan-2-yl)-4-oxothiazolidin-3-yl]pyrimidine-2,4(1H,3H)-dione; 12b

Dark brown powder, yield, 34\%, m.p. > $300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ [58]; IR (KBr) cm ${ }^{-1}: 3200(\mathrm{NH}) ; 3090$ (CH-aromatic); 2919, 2861 (CH-aliphatic); 1701 (C=O); 1534 (C=C); 1395, 1050 (C-S-C); 1262, 1050 (C-O-C). MS. (m/z, \%): 279 ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}, 0.18$ ); $278\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}-1,0.27\right)$; 71 (100). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S}$ (279.27): C, 47.31; H, 3.25; N, 15.05; S, 11.48. Found: C, 47.43; H, 3.29; N, 15.19; S, 11.62.
3) 6-[4-Oxo-2-(thiophen-2-yl)thiazolidin-3-yl]pyrimidine-2,4(1H,3H)-dione; 12c

Light brown powder, yield, 36\%, m.p. > 300 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (KBr) $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}: 3163$ (NH); 3039 (CH-aromatic); 2843 (CH-aliphatic); 1697 (C=O); 1453 (C=C); 1386, 1071 (C-S-C). ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{ppm}: 3.65$ (s, 2 H , thia-zolidine- $\mathrm{C}_{4}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ); 4.37 (s, 1 H , thiazolidine- $\mathrm{C}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}$ ); 4.66 (d, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, thienyl- $\mathrm{C}_{3}-\mathrm{H}$ ); $4.92-5.11$ (m, 1 H , thienyl-C $4_{4}-\mathrm{H}$ ); $5.56\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, thienyl- $\left.\mathrm{C}_{5}-\mathrm{H}\right) ; 8.53\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right.$, uracil- $\left.\mathrm{C}_{5}-\mathrm{H}\right) ; 11.53\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right.$, uracil- $\mathrm{N}_{1}-\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchangeable); 11.92 (s, 1 H , uracil- $\mathrm{N}_{3}-\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchangeable). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ (295.34): C, 44.73; H, 3.07; N, 14.23; S, 21.71. Found: C, 44.85; H, 3.12; N, 14.51; S, 21.84.

### 3.1.10. Synthesis of Diethyl 2,4-Dioxo-7-(thiophen-2-yl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydropyrido[2,3-d] pyrimidine-5,6-dicarboxylate; 13

The Schiff base derivative 11c ( $0.3 \mathrm{~g}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}$.) was fused with excess diethyl acetylene dicarboxylate ( 1 mL ) for 1 hr . The reaction mixture was allowed to cool then triturated with ethanol. The obtained product was filtered, washed with ethanol, left to dry then crystallized from DMF/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.

Light brown powder, yield, $43 \%$, m.p. charrs at $280^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR ( KBr$)^{\text {cm }}{ }^{-1}$ : 3210, $3120(\mathrm{NH}) ; 3030$ (CH-aromatic); 2925, 2812 (CH-aliphatic); 1718 (C=O); 1616 (C=N); 1495 (C=C); 1375, 1067 (C-S-C); 1293, 1261, 1067, 1033 (C-O-C). ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta$ ppm: 1.00-1.18 (m, 6 H , two $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ); 3.60-3.90 (m, 4 H , two $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ); 6.70 $-6.90(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, thienyl-C $4-\mathrm{H}) ; 7.09\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, thienyl- $\left.\mathrm{C}_{3}-\mathrm{H}\right) ; 7.90-8.02\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right.$, thienyl- $\left.\mathrm{C}_{5}-\mathrm{H}\right) ; 10.03$ (s, 1 H , uracil- $\mathrm{N}_{1}-\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchangeable); 10.20 (s, 1 H , uracil $-\mathrm{N}_{3}-\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchangeable). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~S}$ (389.38): C, 52.44; H, 3.88; N, 10.79. Found: C, 52.58; H, 3.92; N, 11.02.

### 3.1.11. Synthesis of 6-Azidopyrimidine-2,4(1H, 3H)-dione; 14

To an ice cold mixture of 6-aminouracil $1(0.5 \mathrm{~g}, 4 \mathrm{mmol}$.), water ( 10 mL ) and concentrated hydrochloric acid (3 mL ), sodium nitrite solution ( $0.27 \mathrm{~g}, 4 \mathrm{mmol}$. in $1 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) was added drop wise; while stirring at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Stirring was continued for 15 min ., then an ice cold aqueous solution of sodium azide ( $0.26 \mathrm{~g}, 4 \mathrm{mmol}$.) was added drop wise. The reaction mixture was stirred for another 30 min . then filtered to yield purple colored powder of compound 13.

Purple powder, yield, $72 \%$, m.p. charrs at $240^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ without melting [61]; IR ( KBr ) $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}: 3430(\mathrm{OH}$ tauotomer); 3292, 3182 (two NH); 3015 (CH-aromatic); $2150\left(\mathrm{~N}_{3}\right) ; 1672$ (C=O); 1512, 1428 (N=N); 1543 (C=C). MS. (m/z, \%): $153\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 1.81\right)$; 71 (100). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ (153.10): C, 31.38; H, 1.98; N, 45.74. Found: C, 31.42; H, 2.02; N, 45.81.

### 3.1.12. Synthesis of 5-Amino-1-(2,6-dioxo-1,2,3,6-tetrahydropyrimidin-4-yl)-1H-1,2,3-triazole-4-carbonitrile; 15

Compound 14 ( $0.3 \mathrm{~g}, 1.96 \mathrm{mmol}$.) was refluxed with malononitrile ( $0.26 \mathrm{~g}, 3.92 \mathrm{mmol}$.) in an ethanolic solution of sodium ethoxide ( $0.1 \mathrm{~g}, 1.96 \mathrm{mmol}$.) for 12 hr . The reaction mixture was filtered while hot and the filtrate was concentrated and allowed to cool to yield compound 15 which was collected, washed with ethanol and crystallized from ethanol.

Dark orange crystals, yield, $81 \%$, m.p. $>300^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{IR}(\mathrm{KBr}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}: 3450,3334\left(\mathrm{NH}_{2}, \mathrm{NH}\right) ; 2204(\mathrm{CN}) ; 1710$ (C=O); $1646(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{DMSO}_{\mathrm{d}}^{6}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{ppm}: 7.28$ (s, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchangeable); 8.50 (s, 1 H , uracil- $\mathrm{C}_{5}-\mathrm{H}$ ); 9.51 (s, 1 H , uracil- $\mathrm{N}_{1}-\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchangeable); 10.76 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, uracil- $\mathrm{N}_{3}-\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchangeable). MS. (m/z, \%): $219\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 5.14\right)$; 92 (100). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ (219.16): C, 38.36; H, 2.30; N, 44.74. Found: C, 38.45; H, 2.36; N, 44.89.
3.1.13. Synthesis of N-(2,6-Dioxo-1,2,3,6-tetrahydropyrimidin-4-yl)-2,4-dimethoxybenzamide; 16 An equimolar mixture of 6-aminouracil $1(0.2 \mathrm{~g}, 1.57 \mathrm{mmol}$.) and 2,4-dimethoxybenzoyl chloride ( $0.32 \mathrm{~g}, 1.57$ mmol.) was refluxed in dry DMF ( 10 mL ) containing 2-3 drops of piperidine for 6 hr . The reaction mixture was concentrated then allowed to cool. The separated product was collected, washed with ethanol then crystallized from DMF/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.

Light brown crystals, yield, $32 \%$, m.p. $>300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR (KBr) cm ${ }^{-1}$ : 3325, 3175 (NH); 3018 (CH-aromatic); 2924, 2850 (CH-aliphatic); $1729(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$; $1632(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}) ; 1455(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}) ; 1290$, $1088(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}) .{ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{ppm}$ : 4.42 (s, 6 H , two $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ); 6.20 (s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3}-\mathrm{C}_{3}-\mathrm{H}$ ); $6.30-6.38$ (m, 2 H , dimethoxy- $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3}-\mathrm{C}_{5}, 6-\mathrm{H}$ ); 6.72 (s, 1 H , uracil- $\mathrm{C}_{6}-\mathrm{NH}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchangeable); 7.95 (s, 1 H , uracil- $\mathrm{C}_{5}-\mathrm{H}$ ); 10.15 (s, 1 H , uracil- $\mathrm{N}_{1}-\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchangeable); 10.43 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, uracil- $\mathrm{N}_{3}-\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchangeable). MS. (m/z, \%): 219 ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}, 4.16$ ); 65 (100). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ (291.26): C, 53.61; H, 4.50; N, 14.43. Found: C, 54.13; H, 3.91; N, 14.72.

### 3.1.14. Synthesis of 1-(2,6-Dioxo-1,2,3,6-tetrahydropyrimidin-4-yl)-3-phenylthiourea; 17

An equimolar mixture of 6-aminouracil 1 ( $0.5 \mathrm{~g}, 4 \mathrm{mmol}$.) and phenyl isothiocyanate ( $0.53 \mathrm{~g}, 0.4 \mathrm{~mL}, 4 \mathrm{mmol}$.) was refluxed in dry pyridine ( 10 mL ) for 60 hr . The reaction mixture was allowed to cool, poured onto crushed ice then neutralized by using $10 \%$ hydrochloric acid to yield the desired product. The product was filtered, washed with water, dried and crystallized from $\mathrm{DMF} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.

Dark yellow crystals, yield, $55 \%$, m.p. $105^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR (KBr) cm ${ }^{-1}$ : 3400, 3195 (NH); 3035 (CH-aromatic); 1680 (C=O); 1538, 1328, 1244, 1050 (I, II, III, IV bands N-C=S). ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta$ ppm: 7.10-7.21 (m, 1 H, $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}-\mathrm{C}_{4}-\mathrm{H}$ ); 7.23-7.47 (m, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}-\mathrm{C}_{2,6}-\mathrm{H}$ ); 7.53 (d, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}-\mathrm{C}_{3,5}-\mathrm{H}$ ); 8.54 (s, 1 H , uracil-C $\mathrm{C}_{5}-\mathrm{H}$ ); 8.90 (s, 1 H , uracil- $\mathrm{C}_{6}-\mathrm{NH}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchangeable); 10.01 (s, 1 H , uracil- $\mathrm{N}_{1}-\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchangeable); 11.16 (s, 1 H , uracil- $\mathrm{N}_{3}-\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchangeable); 11.63 (s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}-\mathrm{NH}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchangeable). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ (260.27): C, 50.37; H, 3.84; N, 21.36. Found: C, 50.49; H, 3.82; N, 21.52.

### 3.1.15. Synthesis of 6-[4-(4-Bromophenyl)-3-phenylthiazol-2(3H)-ylideneamino]pyrimidine$2,4(1 \mathrm{H}, 3 \mathrm{H})$-dione; 18

An equimolar mixture of thiourea derivative $17(0.26 \mathrm{~g}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}$.) and 4-bromophenacyl bromide ( $0.28 \mathrm{~g}, 1$ mmol.) was refluxed in absolute ethanol ( 30 mL ) containing sodium acetate ( $1 \mathrm{~g}, 12 \mathrm{mmol}$.) for 12 hr . The reaction mixture was allowed to cool then filtered. The filtrate was concentrated then diluted with ice cold water. The obtained product was filtered, washed with water, dried and crystallized from DMF/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.

Dark yellow crystals, yield, 40 \%, m.p. charrs at $290^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR (KBr) cm ${ }^{-1}: 3186(\mathrm{NH})$; 3058, 3031 (CH-aromatic); 1705 (C=O); 1579 (C=N); 1484 (C=C); 1389, 1068 (C-S-C). ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta$ ppm: 6.96-7.06 (m, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}-\mathrm{C}_{4}-\mathrm{H}$ ); 7.29 (d, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4-\mathrm{Br}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{C}_{2}, 6-\mathrm{H}$ ); $7.42-7.49\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}-\mathrm{C}_{3,5}-\mathrm{H}\right.$ ); 7.58 (s, 1 H, thiazolidine- $\mathrm{C}_{5}-\mathrm{H}$ ); 7.68-7.86 (m, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}-\mathrm{C}_{2,6}-\mathrm{H}$ ); 7.95 (d, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4-\mathrm{Br}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{C}_{3,5}-\mathrm{H}$ ); 7.99 (s, 1 H , uracil- $\mathrm{C}_{5}-\mathrm{H}$ ); 11.10 (s, 1 H , uracil $-\mathrm{N}_{1}-\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchangeable); 11.84 (s, 1 H , uracil- $\mathrm{N}_{3}-\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchangeable). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{BrN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ (441.30): C, 51.71; H, 2.97; N, 12.70. Found: C, 51.84; H, 3.01; N, 12.84.

### 3.1.16. Synthesis of 6-(2-Hydroxyethyl)-5,6,7,8-tetrahyropyrimido[4,5-d]pyrimidine-2, $4(1 \mathrm{H}, 3 \mathrm{H})$ dione; 19

A mixture of ethanolamine ( $0.31 \mathrm{~g}, 0.31 \mathrm{~mL}, 5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and paraformaldehyde ( $0.84 \mathrm{~g}, 7 \mathrm{mmol}$.) was added to a suspension of 6 -aminouracil 1 ( $0.39 \mathrm{~g}, 3 \mathrm{mmol}$.) in absolute ethanol ( 20 mL ). The reaction mixture was heated under reflux for 12 hr . then allowed to attain room temperature. The obtained precipitate was filtered, washed with ethanol, dried and crystallized from $\mathrm{DMF} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.

White powder, yield, $25 \%$, m.p. $280^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR (KBr) $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}: 3350(\mathrm{OH}) ; 3208,3126(\mathrm{NH})$; 2949, 2916, 2872 (CH-aliphatic); 1704 ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ ); 1585 (C=C). ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{ppm}$ : 3.40-3.62 (m, $4 \mathrm{H},{\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{OH} \text { ); }}^{2}$ 3.96 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, tetrahydropyrimidine- $\mathrm{C}_{4}-\mathrm{H}$ ); 4.42 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchangeable); 4.85 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, tetrahydropyrimidine $-\mathrm{C}_{2}-\mathrm{H}$ ); $6.38\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right.$, tetrahydropyrimidine $-\mathrm{N}_{1}-\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchangeable); $9.81\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right.$, uracil- $\mathrm{N}_{1}-\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$
exchangeable); 10.05 (s, 1 H , uracil- $\mathrm{N}_{3}-\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchangeable). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ (212.21): C, 45.28; H, 5.70; N, 26.40. Found: C, 45.79; H, 4.85; N, 26.83.

### 3.1.17. Synthesis of 4-Methyl-1H-pyrimido[1,6-a]pyrimidine-2,6,8(7H)-trione; 20

An equimolar mixture of 6 -aminouracil $1(0.50 \mathrm{~g}, 4 \mathrm{mmol}$.$) and ethyl acetoacetate ( 0.51 \mathrm{~g}, 0.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 4 \mathrm{mmol}$.) was fused for 6 hr . The reaction mixture was triturated with ethanol, filtered and dried. The obtained product was crystallized from DMF/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.

Pale yellow crystals, yield, $52 \%$, m.p. > $300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR (KBr) cm ${ }^{-1}$ : 3439 (broad OH tautomer); 3280, 3160 (NH); 2950 (CH-aliphatic); 1700 (C=O); 1640 (C=N); 1561 (C=C). MS. (m/z, \%): 192 (M-1, 0.34); 60 (100). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ (193.16): C, 49.74; H, 3.65; N, 21.75. Found: C, 49.88; H, 3.71; N, 21.92.

### 3.1.18. Synthesis of 2-Amino-6,8-dioxo-7,8-dihydro-6H-pyrimido[1,6-a]pyrimidine-3-carbonitrile; 21

 An equimolar mixture of compound $1(0.50 \mathrm{~g}, 4 \mathrm{mmol}$.) and 2-(ethoxymethylene)malononitrile [66] ( $0.48 \mathrm{~g}, 4$ mmol.) was refluxed in absolute ethanol ( 20 mL ) containing a catalytic amount of piperidine ( $2-3$ drops) for 12 hr . The reaction mixture was left to cool and the obtained product was filtered, washed with ethanol and crystallized from DMF/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.Yellow crystals, yield, $45 \%$, m.p. $98^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR (KBr) cm ${ }^{-1}$ : 3374, $3197\left(\mathrm{NH}_{2}, \mathrm{NH}\right.$ ); 3083, 3022 (CH-aromatic); 2220 (CN); 1725 (C=O); $1630(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N})$; 1460 (C=C). ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{ppm}: 3.75$ (s, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchangeable); 7.65 (s, 1 H , pyrimidine- $\mathrm{C}_{6}-\mathrm{H}$ ); 7.95 (uracil- $\mathrm{C}_{5}-\mathrm{H}$ ); 10.79 (s, 1 H , uracil- $\mathrm{N}_{3}-\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchangeable). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ (203.16): C, 47.30; H, 2.48; N, 34.47. Found: C, 47.46; H, 2.45; N, 34.61.

### 3.1.19. Synthesis of 4-Methyl-2-thioxo-1H-pyrimido[1,6-a][1,3,5]triazine-6,8(2H,7H)-dione; 22

To a solution of acetyl isothiocyanate ( $1.01 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \mathrm{mmol}$.) [prepared by refluxing acetyl chloride ( $0.79 \mathrm{~g}, 0.72$ $\mathrm{mL}, 10 \mathrm{mmol}$.) with ammonium thiocyanate ( $0.76 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \mathrm{mmol}$.) in dry acetone] in dry acetone ( 50 mL ), compound $1(1.27 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \mathrm{mmol}$.) was added. The reaction was refluxed for 12 hr ., allowed to cool then filtered. The filtrate was concentrated and the obtained product was collected then crystallized from acetone.

Orange crystals, yield, $30 \%$, m.p. charrs at $215^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR ( KBr$)^{\mathrm{cm}}{ }^{-1}$ : 3395, 3312 (NH); 3070 (CH-aromatic); 2917, 2850 (CH-aliphatic); 1699 (C=O); 1640 (C=N); 1543 (C=C); 1515, 1367, 1168, 1052 (I, II, III, IV bands $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{S}$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{DMSO}_{6}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{ppm}$ : $2.05\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ ); 6.66 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, triazine- $\mathrm{N}_{1}-\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchangeable); 8.36 (s, 1 H , uracil- $\mathrm{C}_{5}-\mathrm{H}$ ); 11.06 (s, 1 H , uracil- $\mathrm{N}_{3}-\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchangeable). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}(210.21)$ : C, 40.00; H, 2.88; N, 26.65. Found: C, 40.13; H, 2.94; N, 26.84.

### 3.2. Biological Screening

### 3.2.1. Anticancer Screening

1) Cell culture

PC3 human prostate cancer cells were grown in RPMI-1640 medium, supplemented with $10 \%$ heat inactivated FBS, 100 units $/ \mathrm{mL}$ of penicillin and $100 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ of streptomycin and maintained at $37^{\circ}$ in a humidified atmosphere containing $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$. The cells were maintained as "monolayer culture" by serial subculturing.
2) $\operatorname{SRB}$ cytotoxicity assay

Cytotoxicity was determined using SRB method as previously described by Skehan et al. [85]. Exponentially growing cells were collected using $0.25 \%$ Trypsin-EDTA and seeded in 96-well plates at 1000-2000 cells/well in RPMI-1640 supplemented medium. After 24 h , cells were incubated for 72 h with various concentrations of the tested compounds. Following 72 h treatment, the cells will be fixed with $10 \%$ trichloroacetic acid for 1 h at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Wells were stained for 10 min at room temperature with $0.4 \%$ SRB dissolved in $1 \%$ acetic acid. The plates were air dried for 24 h and the dye was solubilized with Tris- HCl for 5 min on a shaker at 1600 rpm . The optical density (OD) of each well was measured spectrophotometrically at 564 nm with an ELISA microplate reader (ChroMate-4300, FL, USA). The $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values were calculated according to the equation for Boltzman sigmoidal concentration-response curve using the nonlinear regression fitting models (Graph Pad, Prism Version 5).

### 3.2.2. Cathepsin B Assay

1) Cell culture

PC3 human prostate cancer cells were grown in RPMI-1640 medium supplemented with $10 \%$ heat inactivated FBS, 100 units $/ \mathrm{mL}$ of penicillin and $100 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ of streptomycin and maintained at $37^{\circ}$ in a humidified atmos-
phere containing $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$. Exponentially growing cells were seeded in 6 -well plates at ( $4 \times 10^{5}$ ) cells/well in RPMI-1640 supplemented medium. After 24 h , cells were incubated for 24 h with the tested compounds at their median inhibitory concentrations $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}\right)$. Then, cells were washed with 1 x PBS, scraped, homogenized in ice-cold PBS using Dounce homogenizer and stored overnight at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After two freeze-thaw cycles, the cells were centrifuged at $5000 \times g$ for 5 minutes. Supernatants were collected and assayed immediately.
2) ELISA assay

Cathepsin-B (CTS-B) was quantified in the collected samples using enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay kit (Wuhan ElAab Science Co., Ltd, Wuhan, China) according to the manufacturer instructions. Briefly, the assay was based on the sandwich technique in which specific antibodies to CTS-B were pre-coated onto 96-well plate. The specific detection antibodies were biotinylated. The test samples and biotinylated detection antibodies were added sequentially followed by washing. Avidin-Peroxidase Complex was added and unbound conjugates were washed. A substrate solution was added to the wells to determine the bound enzyme activity. The color development was stopped by sulphuric acid, and the absorbance was read at 450 nm using an ELISA microplate reader (ChroMate-4300, FL, USA). The intensity of the color is directly proportional to the concentration of CTS-B in the sample.

## 4. Conclusion

Upon the different prepared synthesized compounds bearing different heterocyclic rings either directly attached or attached through an amino or imino bridge to C-6 position of uracil backbone, the most active anticancer compounds against prostate PC3 cell line were those bearing rings as 2-thioxopyrimidine-5-carbonitrile $3 \mathbf{a}$ and 3c, quinoxaline 7a, 1,4-benzoxazine 7b, thiazolidinone 12a, 12b and thiazolidine ring 18. In addition to uracil bearing side chains at C-6 position as the chloroacetylamino derivative $\mathbf{4}$ and the phenylthiourea derivative 17. However, among fusion of different heterocycles to uracil ring, only the pyridopyrimidine derivative 13 and the pyrimidopyrimidine trione derivative 20 were considerably active. All these compounds exhibited better inhibition of cathepsin B than the reference drug doxorubicin did, except compound $\mathbf{3 c}$, while compounds $\mathbf{5 a}, 5 \mathbf{b}, 7 \mathbf{a}$, 11a, 12a and 17 exerted more than $50 \%$ inhibition of cathepsin $B$ that is associated with metastasis of prostate cancer.
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