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#### Abstract

In this paper we proof a Harnack inequality and a regularity theorem for local-minima of scalar intagral functionals with general growth conditions.
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## 1. Introduction

In this paper we proof a Harnack inequality for local-minima of scalar intagral functionals of the calculus of variation of that type

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{J}[u, \Omega]=\int_{\Omega} f(x, u(x), \nabla u(x)) d x \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Omega$ is a bounded open subset of $\mathbb{R}^{N}, \Phi:[0,+\infty) \rightarrow[0,+\infty)$ is a $N$-function and $\Phi$ globally satisfies the $\Delta^{\prime}$ condition in $[0,+\infty)$, f : $\Omega \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a Carathéodory function and there exist $L_{1}, L_{2} \in(0,+\infty) L_{2}$ and

$$
\Phi(|z|) \leq f(x, s, z) \leq L_{2} \Phi(|z|)
$$

for a. e. $x \in \Omega$ and for every $(s, z) \in R^{R} \times R^{N}$. The risearch of regularity results for elliptic and parabolic equations start from the basic and most important results of E. De Giorgi [5] and J. Nash [27]. In 1990s, beginning from the papers of G. Astarita and G. Marrucci [3] and J. P. Gosez [13] has been developed a remarkable production of regularity results for functionals with general growths. In [7], [8] and [25], M. Fuchs, G. Mingione, G. Seregin and F. Siepe have studied functionals of the type

$$
\begin{equation*}
J[u, \Omega]=\int \Omega \nabla u(x) \mid \ln (1+|\nabla u(x)|) d x \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

showing results of partial and global regularity for the minimizer of such functional in the scalar and vectorial case. Moreover in [8] M. Fuchs and G. Mingione, have already studied functionals of this type

$$
\begin{equation*}
J[u, \Omega]=\int_{\Omega} \Phi(|\nabla u|) d x \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]In papers $[7,8,25]$ the regularity of the minimizer of the functionals (1.2) and (1.3) has been obtained starting from the weak Eulero-Lagrange equations using the hypothesis: $\Phi \in \mathrm{C}^{2}$. We remember that in $[7,8,25]$ there are important estimations on the $L^{\wedge}\{\infty\}$ norm of the gradient of the minima both in the scalar case and in the vectorial one. In [24] E. Mascolo and G. Papi have determined an inequality of Harnack for the minimizer of the functional (1.3) under the condition $\Phi \in \Delta_{2} \cap \nabla_{2}$. We observe that $\Phi \in \Delta_{2} \cap \nabla_{2}$ implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
t^{p}-c_{2}<\Phi(t)<c_{3} t^{m}+c_{4} \text { for } t>0 \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

with real positive constants $\mathrm{c}_{1}, \mathrm{c}_{2}, \mathrm{c}_{3}, \mathrm{c}_{4}$ and $1<\mathrm{p} \leq \mathrm{m}$. Therefore the functional (1.3) satisfies non-standard growth conditions. Classical regularity theorem for functionals with standard growth conditions ( $p=m$ ) has been proved in [9] and [10] (for a didactic explanation refer to [2,11,12]). In [26], G. Moscariello and L. Nania has obtain a results of hölder continuity for the local-minima of functional of the type (1.1) under the hypothesis that (1.4) holds with $1<\mathrm{p} \leq \mathrm{m}<((\mathrm{Np}) /(\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{p}))$. In [17], G. M. Lieberman proved an Harnack inequality for the local-minima of the functional (1.1) with $\Phi \in \mathrm{C}^{2}$ suth that verifies the following relation

$$
c_{5} \leq t \Phi^{\prime \prime}(t) / \Phi^{\prime}(t) \leq c_{6} \text { for } t>0
$$

with $0<\mathrm{c}_{5}<\mathrm{c}_{6}$. We are interested in functionals with quasi-linear growths and we will proof a regularity result which extend the ones obtained in $[17,24,26]$ to a wider N -functional class. In particular we get that the localminima of the following functionals:

$$
\begin{equation*}
J[u, \Omega]=\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p} \ln (1+|\nabla u|) d x \text { with } p>1 \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

are holder continuous functions. In [14] and [15] we start to study the regularity of the local-minima introducing a maximal $L^{\Phi}-L^{\infty}$ inequality and estimating the measure of the level set $A(k, R)$. Moreover in [15] and [16] we have shown that the following hypothesis can be used in order to give a new estimation of the measure of the livel set $A(k, R)$ :
$\mathrm{H}-1) \Phi$ globally satisfies the $\Delta^{\prime}$-condition in $[0,+\infty)$;
$\mathrm{H}-2)$ there exists a constant $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{H}_{2}}>0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(t) \Phi(1 / t) \leq c_{H_{2}} \text { for every } t \in(0,1) \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\mathrm{H}-3$ ) there exists a constant $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{H}_{3}}>0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi^{-1}(t) \leq c_{H_{3}} t^{1 / m} \text { for every } t \in(0,1) \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Under these hypotheses we can show the following result.
Theorem 1: If $u \in W^{1} L^{\Phi}(\Omega)$ is a quasi-minima of the functional (1.1) and if $\Phi$ confirm the hypotheses $\mathrm{H}-1$, $\mathrm{H}-2$ and $\mathrm{H}-3$; then $u$ is locally hölder continuous.

In these pages we show that the hypotheses $\mathrm{H}-2$ and $\mathrm{H}-3$ are purely technical and they can be eliminated. We can subsequently weaken besides $\mathrm{H}-1$.

We will suppose that the following hypothesis hold.
G-1) Let $\omega: \mathbb{R}^{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$be an increasing function such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(\varepsilon t) \leq c_{G} \varepsilon \varpi(\varepsilon) \Phi(t) \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $\mathrm{t} \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$and for every $\varepsilon \in(0,1)$, where $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{G}}>0$ is a real constant. Moreover we suppose that

$$
\lim _{x \rightarrow 0^{+}} \varpi(s)=0
$$

We say that $\Phi \in \mathrm{G}$ if (1.8) holds. The hypothesis G-1 implicates a type of quasi-sub-homogeneity condition on the N -function $\Phi$.

Remark 1: We observe that if $\Phi \in \Delta_{2} \cap \nabla_{2}$ then by Lemma 3 (i) we have

$$
\Phi(\varepsilon t)=\varepsilon^{r}\left(1 / \varepsilon^{r}\right) \Phi(\varepsilon t) \leq \varepsilon^{r} \Phi(t)
$$

Then the functions $\Phi \in \Delta_{2} \cap \nabla_{2}$ verify the hypothesis G-1.
Remark 2: We observe that if $\Phi \in \Delta^{\prime}$ on $(0,+\infty)$ then $\Phi$ verify the hypothesis G-1; in fact

$$
\Phi(\varepsilon t) \leq c \Phi(\varepsilon) \Phi(t)
$$

Our principal results will be, a weak inequality of Harnack [Theorem 5] and the corollary of regularity that it follows of it [Corollary 2]. The proof of the Harnack inequality uses the techniques introduced in [6,17] and [24]. The only present novelty in the demonstrative technique is the use of an $\varepsilon$-Young inequality. This simple trick allows to recover the results introduced in [15-17,24,26] in a simple way and without using the properties of the functions $\Delta_{2} \cap \nabla_{2}$ (see Lemma of [15,24] and [26]). We finally observe that the hypotheses $\Delta_{2} \cap \nabla_{2}$ it is not, in general, equivalent to $\mathrm{H}-1$; therefore the hypothesis G-1 seems to be slightly more general of those introduced in [15-17,24,26].

Definition 1: Let p be a real valued function defined on $[0,+\infty)$ and having the following properties: $\mathrm{p}(0)=0$, $p(t)>0$ if $t>0, p$ is nondecreasing and right continuous on $(0,+\infty)$. Then the real valued function $\Phi$ defined on $[0,+\infty)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(t)=\int_{[0, t]} p(s) d s \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

is called an N -function.
The function $\Phi:[0,+\infty) \rightarrow[0,+\infty)$ defined by (1.9) satisfies the following properties:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Phi(0)=0 \text { and } \Phi(t)>0 \text { if } t>0 ; \\
\Phi \text { is continuous on }[0,+\infty) \\
\Phi \text { is strictly increasing on }[0,+\infty) ; \\
\Phi \text { is convex on }[0,+\infty) \\
\lim _{x \rightarrow 0} \Phi(t) / t=0 \text { and } \lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \Phi(t) / t=+\infty \\
\text { if } s>t>0, \text { then } \Phi(s) / s>\Phi(t) / t
\end{gathered}
$$

Definition 2: Let p be a real valued function defined on $[0,+\infty)$ and having the following properties: $\mathrm{p}(0)=0$, $\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{t})>0$ if $\mathrm{t}>0, \mathrm{p}$ is nondecreasing and right continuous on $(0,+\infty)$. We define

$$
q(s)=\sup _{p(t) \leq s}(t)
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi(t)=\int_{[0, t]} q(s) d s \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The N -functions $\Phi$ and $\Psi$ given by (1.9) and (1.10) are said to be complementary.
Particularly for us it will be important the following Lemma.
Lemma 1: Let $\Phi$ be an N -function, let $\Psi$ be the complemantary N -function of $\Phi$ then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
s t \leq \Phi(s)+\Psi(t) \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\forall \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{t} \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$. Moreover for every $\varepsilon>0$ we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
s t \leq(1 / \varepsilon) \Phi(\varepsilon s)+(1 / \varepsilon) \Psi(t) \forall s, t \in \mathbf{i}^{+} . \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 3: A N-function $\Phi$ is of class $\Delta_{2}$ globally in $(0,+\infty)$ if exists $\mathrm{k}>1$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(2 t) \leq k \Phi(t) \forall t \in(0,+\infty) \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 4: A N-function $\Phi$ is of class $\Delta_{2} \wedge\{\mathrm{~m}\}$ globally in $(0,+\infty)$, with $\mathrm{m}>1$, if for every $\lambda>1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(\lambda t) \leq \lambda^{m} \Phi(t) \forall t \in(0,+\infty) \tag{1.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

The N -functions $\Phi \in \Delta_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{m}}$ are characterized by the following result
Lemma 2: Let $\Phi$ be a $N$-function and let $\Phi^{\prime}$ - be its left derivative. For $\mathrm{m}>1$ the following properties are equivalent:

1) $\Phi(\lambda t) \leq \lambda^{m} \Phi(t)$, for every $t \geq 0$, for every $\lambda>1$;
2) $t \Phi_{-}^{\prime}(t) \leq m \Phi(t)$, for every $t \geq 0$;
3) the function $\Phi(\mathrm{t}) / \mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{r}}$ is non-increasing on $(0,+\infty)$.

The N -functions $\Phi \in \nabla_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{r}}$ are characterized by the following result
Lemma 3: Let $\Phi$ be a $N$-function and let $\Phi^{\prime}$ - be its left derivative. For $r>1$ the following properties are equivalent:

1) $\Phi(\lambda t) \geq \lambda^{r} \Phi(t)$, for every $t \geq 0$, for every $\lambda>1$;
2) $t \Phi^{\prime}-(t) \geq r \Phi(t)$, for every $t \geq 0$;
3) the function $\Phi(\mathrm{t}) / \lambda^{\mathrm{r}}$ is non-decreasing on $(0,+\infty)$.

Definition 5: We say that a $N$-function $\Phi$ belongs to the class $\Phi \in \nabla_{2}{ }^{r}$ if any of the three condition (i)', (ii)' or (iii)' is satisfied.

Definition 6: We say that the N-function $\Phi$ satisfies the $\Delta^{\prime}$-condition if there exist positive constants-c and $\mathrm{t}_{0}$-such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(t s) \leq c_{4} \Phi(t) \Phi(s) \tag{1.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{s} \geq \mathrm{t}_{0}$.
Definition 7: We say that the N -function $\Phi$ globally satisfies the $\Delta^{\prime}$-condition in $[0,+\infty)$ if (1.12) holds for every $\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{s} \geq 0$.

We remember that if $\Phi \in \mathrm{C}^{2}$ then $\Phi \in \Delta^{\prime}$ if $t \Phi^{\prime \prime}(\mathrm{t}) / \Phi^{\prime}(\mathrm{t})$ is a non-increasing function, for further details refer to Theorems 5.1 and 5.2 and to the Lemma 5.2 of [19].

Lemma 4: If the $N$-function $\Phi$ satisfies the $\Delta^{\prime}$-condition then it also satisfies the $\Delta_{2}$-condition
The N -functions

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Phi_{1}(t)=t^{p} \text { with } p>1 ; \\
\Phi_{2}(t)=t^{p}(|\ln (t)|+1) \text { with } p>1 ; \\
\Phi_{3}(t)=(1+t) \ln (1+t)-t \\
\Phi_{4}(t)=\left(t^{2}\right) /(1+\ln (1+t))
\end{gathered}
$$

satisfy the $\Delta^{\prime}$-condition. Moreover $\Phi_{1}$ and $\Phi_{2}$ satisfy the $\Delta^{\prime}$-condition globally in $[0,+\infty)$ and belong to the class $\nabla_{2}$ globally in $[0,+\infty)$. The function $\Phi_{3}$ does not satisfy $\Delta^{\prime}$-condition for all $\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{s} \geq 0$ and $\Phi_{3} \notin \nabla_{2}$. Osseviamo inoltre che la funzione $\Phi_{4} \in \nabla_{2} \cap \Delta_{2}$ but $\Phi_{4}$ does not satisfy the $\Delta^{\prime}$-condition. For further details refer to $[1,19,28]$. Now we can introduce Orlicz spaces and Orlicz Sobolev Spaces, $L^{\Phi}$ and $W^{1} L^{\Phi}$; in these definitions and throughout the article we assume that $\Phi$ is a $N$-function of class $\Delta_{2}{ }^{m}$ for some $m>1$ and that $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}$ is a bounded open set with Lipschitz boundary.

Definition 8: If u is a $L^{\mathrm{N}}$-measurable function on $\Omega$ and: $\int_{\Omega} \Phi(|\mathrm{u}|) \mathrm{dx}<+\infty$ then $\mathrm{u} \in \mathrm{L}^{\Phi}(\Omega)$. Moreover

$$
\begin{equation*}
W^{1} L^{\Phi}(\Omega)=\left\{u \in L^{\Phi}(\Omega): \partial_{i} u \in L^{\Phi}(\Omega) \text { for } i=1, \ldots, N\right\} \tag{1.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\partial_{i} \mathbf{u}$, for $\mathrm{I}=1, \ldots, \mathrm{~N}$, are the weak derivatives of u .
Theorem 2: $\mathrm{L}^{\Phi}(\Omega)$ e $\mathrm{W}^{1} \mathrm{~L}^{\Phi}(\Omega)$ are Banach spaces with the following norms

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{\Phi, \Omega}=\inf \left(k>0: \int_{\Omega} \Phi((|u|) / k) d x \leq 1\right) \tag{1.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{1, \Phi, \Omega}=\|u\|_{\Phi, \Omega}+\sum_{i=1, \ldots, N}\left\|\partial_{i} u\right\|_{\Phi, \Omega} . \tag{1.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

For greater details we refer to $[1,19,28]$. If $u \in W_{\text {loc }}{ }^{1} L^{\Phi}(\Omega)$, $k$ is a real number and $Q_{R} \Subset \Omega$, we set

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A(k, R)=\left\{x \in Q_{R}: u(x)>k\right\}=\{u>k\} \cap Q_{R} \\
& B(k, R)=\left\{x \in Q_{R}: u(x)<k\right\}=\{u<k\} \cap Q_{R} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark 3: For almost each $k \in \mathbb{R}$ we get $|\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{k}, \mathrm{R})|=\left|\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{R}}\right|-|\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{k}, \mathrm{R})|$.
Definition 9: If $u \in \mathrm{~W}_{\mathrm{loc}}{ }^{1} \mathrm{~L}^{\Phi}(\Omega)$, we say that $\mathrm{u} \in \mathrm{ODG}_{\Phi}{ }^{+}(\Omega, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R})$ if for every couple of concentric balls $\mathrm{Q}_{\varrho} \subset \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{R}} \Subset \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{R}_{0}} \Subset \Omega$, with $\mathrm{R}<\mathrm{R}_{0}$, and for every $\mathrm{k} \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{A(k, \tilde{\mathbf{n}})} \Phi(|\nabla u|) d x \leq H \int_{A(k, R)} \Phi((u-k) /(R-\widetilde{\mathbf{n}})) d x \tag{1.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 10: If $u \in W_{\text {loc }}{ }^{1} L^{\Phi}(\Omega)$, we say that $u \in \operatorname{ODG}_{\Phi}{ }^{-}\left(\Omega, H, R_{0}\right)$ if for every couple of concentric balls $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{e}} \subset \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{R}} \Subset \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{R}_{0}} \Subset \Omega$, with $\mathrm{R}<\mathrm{R}_{0}$, and for every $\mathrm{k} \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{B(k, \tilde{\mathbf{n}})} \Phi(|\nabla u|) d x \leq H \int_{B(k, R)} \Phi((k-u) /(R-\widetilde{\mathbf{n}})) d x \tag{1.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 11: If $u \in W_{\mathrm{loc}}{ }^{1} \mathrm{~L}^{\Phi}(\Omega)$, we say that $u \in \mathrm{ODG}_{-}\{\Phi\}\left(\Omega, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{0}\right)$ if $\mathrm{u} \in \mathrm{ODG}_{-}\{\Phi\}^{\wedge}\{ \pm\}\left(\Omega, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{0}\right)$, that is

$$
O D G_{\Phi}\left(\Omega, H, R_{0}\right)=O D G_{\Phi}^{+}\left(\Omega, H, R_{0}\right) \cap O D G_{\Phi}^{-}\left(\Omega, H, R_{0}\right) .
$$

Theorem 3: If $u \in \operatorname{ODG}_{\Phi}{ }^{+}\left(\Omega, H, R_{0}\right)$ then $u$ is locally bounded above on $\Omega$. Furthermore, for each $x_{0} \in \Omega$ and $R$ $\leq \min \left(\mathrm{R}_{0}, \mathrm{~d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{0}, \partial \Omega\right), 1\right)$ there exists an universal constant $\mathrm{c}_{5}=\mathrm{c}_{5}(\mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{H})$ such that

$$
\Phi\left(e s s-\sup _{Q R / 2}\left(u_{+}(x)\right)\right) \leq\left(c_{7} /\left|Q_{R}\right|\right) \int_{Q R} \Phi\left(u_{+}\right) d x
$$

Proof: The proof follows using the demonstration methods presented in [24].
Corollary 1: If $u \in \operatorname{ODG}_{\Phi}\left(\Omega, H, R_{0}\right)$ then $u$ is locally bounded on $\Omega$. Furthermore, for each $x_{0} \in \Omega$ and $R \leq$ $\min \left(\mathrm{R}_{0}, \mathrm{~d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{0}, \partial \Omega\right), 1\right)$ there exists an universal constant $\mathrm{c}_{6}=\mathrm{c}_{6}(\mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{H})$ such that

$$
\Phi\left(e s s-\sup _{Q R / 2}(|u(x)|)\right) \leq\left(c_{7} /\left|Q_{R}\right|\right) \int_{Q R} \Phi(|u|) d x .
$$

Proof: The proof comes after Theorem 3 remembering that if $u \in \operatorname{DG}_{\Phi}{ }^{-}\left(\Omega, H, R_{0}\right)$ then $-u \in \operatorname{DG}_{\Phi}{ }^{+}\left(\Omega, H, R_{0}\right)$. Moreover the following lemma is valid:
Lemma 5: If $\mathrm{u} \in \mathrm{DG}_{\Phi}{ }^{+}\left(\Omega, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{0}\right)$ then u is locally bounded above on $\Omega$. Furthermore, for each $\mathrm{x}_{0} \in \Omega, \mathrm{R} \leq$ $\min \left(\mathrm{R}_{0}, \mathrm{~d}\left(\mathrm{x}_{0}, \partial \Omega\right), 1\right)$ and for every $\mathrm{p}>1$ there exists an universal constant $\mathrm{c}_{7}=\mathrm{c}_{7}(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H})$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\Phi^{1 / p}\left(e s s-\sup _{Q \tilde{\mathrm{x}}}|u|\right)\right) \leq\left(c_{7} /(R-\tilde{\mathbf{n}})^{N}\right) \int_{Q R} \Phi^{1 / p}(|u|) d x \tag{1.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each $\mathrm{Q}_{\varrho} \Subset \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{R}}$ and $0<\varrho<\mathrm{R}$.
Proof: The proof comes after Theorem 3 using the demonstration methods presented in [24].
Definition 12: Let $u \in \mathrm{~W}_{\text {loc }}{ }^{1} \mathrm{~L}^{\Phi}(\Omega)$ then it is a local minima of (1.1) if for every $\phi \in \mathrm{W}_{0}{ }^{1} \mathrm{~L}^{\Phi}(\Omega)$ we have

$$
J(u, \operatorname{supp}(\phi)) \leq J(u+\phi, \operatorname{supp}(\phi))
$$

Moreover we get:
Theorem 4 (Caccioppoli inequalities): If $\Phi \in \Delta_{2}$ and $u \in W_{\text {loc }}{ }^{1} L^{\Phi}(\Omega)$ is a local minima of (1.1) then $u \in \mathrm{ODG}_{\Phi}\left(\Omega, \mathrm{H}_{,} \mathrm{R}_{0}\right)$.

Using the previous results we obtain the following theorems:
Theorem 5 (Weak Harnack inequality): Let $\Phi$ be a $N$-function. Let $u$ be a positive function satisfying $(1,17)$. If $\Phi \in \mathrm{G}$; then there exists $\mathrm{p}>1$ and a constant $\mathrm{c}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi^{1 / p}\left(e s s-\inf _{Q R / 2}(u)\right) \geq c\left(1 / R^{N}\right) \int_{Q R} \Phi^{1 / p}(u) d x \tag{1.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 6 (Main Theorem-Harnack inequality): Let $\Phi$ be a N -function. Let u be a positive local minimizer of (1.1). If $\Phi \in \mathrm{G}$; then there exists a constant $\mathrm{c}>0$ such that, for $\sigma \in(0,1)$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
e s s-\sup _{Q \sigma R}(u) \leq c e s s-\inf f_{Q \sigma R}(u) . \tag{1.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof (Proof of the Main Theorem): Using the (1.21) and (1.22) we have (1.23).
Corollary 2: Let $\Phi$ be a N -function. If $\Phi \in \mathrm{G}$ and $\mathrm{u} \in \mathrm{W}^{1} \mathrm{~L}^{\Phi}(\Omega)$ is a local minimizer of the functional (1.1); then $u$ is locally hölder continuous.

Proof: Using (1.20) and the technique introduced in $[6,11,12]$ we get the proof.
We finish observing that with small changes our demonstrative technique can also be applied to the quasi-minima of the functional (1.1). Besides we can also apply this demonstration using equivalent N -functions. Unfortunately, $\Phi_{2}(t)=t \ln (1+\mathrm{t})$ does not verify H 1 ; for this $\Phi_{2} \in \Delta^{\prime}$ on $\left[\mathrm{t}_{0},+\infty\right)$ with $\mathrm{t}_{0}>0$ but $\Phi_{2} \notin \Delta^{\prime}$ globally on $[0,+\infty)$. We should think to solve this problem using the concept of equivalent N -function; the demonstrative technique allows it, but we do not know if it exists a N -function $\Phi_{3}$ equivalent to $\Phi_{2}$ which globally verifies $\Delta^{\prime}$ globally on $[0,+\infty)$. It is still an unsolved problem.I thank the colleague Dott. Elisa Albano who translated the article into English supporting and encouraging me so much.

## 2. Proof of the Weak Harnack Inequality

### 2.1. Lemmata

Let define

$$
v_{R}(y)=((u(R y)) / R), y \in Q_{1}
$$

then we have the following Caccioppoli inequalities

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{A(k, \sigma, v R)} \Phi\left(\left|\nabla v_{R}\right|\right) d x \leq H \int_{A(k, \tau, v R)} \Phi\left(\left(\left(v_{R}-k\right) /(\tau-\sigma)\right)\right) d x \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{B(k, \sigma, v R)} \Phi\left(\left|\nabla v_{R}\right|\right) d x \leq H \int_{B(\mathbb{K}, \tau, v)} \Phi\left(\left(\left(k-v_{R}\right) /(\tau-\sigma)\right)\right) d x \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $0<\sigma<\tau<1$ and $k \in \mathbb{R}$.
Let us start remembering the following lemma:
Lemma 6: Let $\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{t})$, $\mathrm{h}(\mathrm{t})$ be a non-negative and increasing functions on $[0,+\infty)$ then $\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{h}(\mathrm{s}) \leq \mathrm{g}(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{h}(\mathrm{t})+\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{s}) \mathrm{h}(\mathrm{s})$ for every $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{t} \in[0,+\infty)$.

Proof: If $\mathrm{s} \leq \mathrm{t}$ then $\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{h}(\mathrm{s}) \leq \mathrm{g}(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{h}(\mathrm{t}) \leq \mathrm{g}(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{h}(\mathrm{t})+\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{s}) \mathrm{h}(\mathrm{s})$. If $\mathrm{t} \leq \mathrm{s}$ then $\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{h}(\mathrm{s}) \leq \mathrm{g}(\mathrm{s}) \mathrm{h}(\mathrm{s}) \leq \mathrm{g}(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{h}(\mathrm{t})+\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{s}) \mathrm{h}(\mathrm{s})$.
Let us remember for the sake of completeness the following lemma:
Lemma 7: Let $\Phi \in \Delta_{2}$ and $u \in W^{1} L^{\Phi}(\Omega)$. Suppose that $u$ is positive in $Q_{R}$ and satisfies (2.2) then there exists a positive constants $\delta_{0}$ such that if for some $\theta>0$ we have $|\mathrm{B}(\theta, \mathrm{u}, \mathrm{R})| \leq \delta_{0}\left|\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{R}}\right|$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\inf _{Q R / 2}\{u\} \geq(\theta / 2) . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof: The proof follows using the demonstration methods presented in [24]. Refer to Lemma 4.1 of [24].
Our demonstration of the weak inequality of Harnack founds him on the following Lemma 8. We have shown the Lemma 8 using an opportune $\varepsilon$-Young inequality.

Lemma 8: Let be $\Phi$ a $N$-function and $\Phi \in G$. Let $u \in W^{1} L^{\Phi}(\Omega)$. Suppose that $u$ satisfies (2.2). For every $\delta \in(0,1)$ and $\mathrm{T}>(1 / 2)$, there exists a positive constant $\mu(\delta, T)$ such that if u is positive on $\mathrm{Q}_{2 \text { TR }}$ and there exists $\theta>$ 0 such that $|\mathrm{B}(\theta, \mathrm{u}, \mathrm{R})| \leq \delta\left|\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{R}}\right|$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\inf _{Q T R}\{u\} \geq \mu(\delta, T) \theta \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof: Let $\delta \in(0,1)$. We first prove that if u is positive in $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{R}}$ and there exists $\theta>0$ such that $|\mathrm{B}(\theta, \mathrm{u}, \mathrm{R})|<\delta\left|\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{R}}\right|$, there exists a constant $\lambda(\theta)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\inf _{Q R / 2}\{u\} \geq \lambda(\theta) \theta \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We consider the function $w_{R}$ define by $w_{R}(y)=0$ if $v_{R}(y) \geq k, w_{R}(y)=k-v_{R}$ if $k>v_{R}(y)>h, w_{R}(y)=k-h$ if $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{R}}(\mathrm{y}) \leq \mathrm{h}$
where $v_{R}(y)=((u(R y)) / R), y \in Q_{1}$. Let us consider $k_{i}=\left(\theta /\left(2^{i} R\right)\right)$ with $I \leq v$, since $w_{R}=0$ in $Q_{1} \backslash B\left(k_{i}, v_{R}, 1\right)$ and

$$
\left|Q_{1} \backslash B\left(k_{i}, v_{R}, 1\right)\right|>(1-\delta)\left|Q_{R}\right|
$$

by Sobolev inequality we have

$$
\Phi\left(k_{i}-k_{i-1}\right)\left|B\left(k_{i}, v_{R}, 1\right)\right| \leq\left|B\left(k_{i}, v_{R}, 1\right)\right|^{1 / N}\left[\int_{Q_{1}}\left(\Phi\left(w_{R}\right)\right)^{N /(N-1)} d x\right]^{(N-1) / N)}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi\left(k_{i}-k_{i-1}\right)\left|B\left(k_{i}, v_{R}, 1\right)\right| \leq\left. C_{S N}| | B\left(k_{i}, v_{R}, 1\right)\right|^{1 / N} \int_{\Delta i} \Phi^{\prime}\left(w_{R}\right)\left|\nabla w_{R}\right| d x \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Delta_{\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{B}\left(\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{R}}, 1\right) \backslash \mathrm{B}\left(\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{i}-1}, \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{R}}, 1\right)$. Using the Young inequality $\mathrm{ab} \leq \Psi(\mathrm{a})+\Phi(\mathrm{b})$, where $\Phi$ is the complementary function of $\Phi$, we have

$$
\int_{\Delta i} \Phi\left(w_{R}\right)\left|\nabla w_{R}\right| d x=(m / \varepsilon) \int_{\Delta i}\left(\Phi^{\prime}\left(w_{R} / m\right) \varepsilon\left|\nabla w_{R}\right| d x\right.
$$

and

$$
(m / \varepsilon) \int_{\Delta i}\left(\Phi^{\prime}\left(w_{R} / m\right) \varepsilon\left|\nabla w_{R}\right| d x \leq(m / \varepsilon) \int_{\Delta i} \Psi\left(\Phi^{\prime}\left(w_{R}\right) / m\right)+\Phi\left(\varepsilon\left|\nabla w_{R}\right|\right) d x\right.
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Delta i} \Phi\left(w_{R}\right)\left|\nabla w_{R}\right| d x \leq(m / \varepsilon) \int_{\Delta i} \Psi\left(\Phi^{\prime}\left(w_{R}\right) / m\right)+\Phi\left(\varepsilon\left|\nabla w_{R}\right|\right) d x \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since

$$
\Psi\left(\Phi^{\prime}\left(w_{R}\right) / m\right) \leq \Psi\left(w_{R} \Phi^{\prime}\left(w_{R}\right) /\left(m w_{R}\right) \leq \Psi\left(\Phi\left(w_{R}\right) / w_{R}\right)\right.
$$

from the inequality

$$
\Psi(\Phi(t) / t))<\Phi(t)
$$

(see inequality (6), page 230 of [1]) we have

$$
\int_{\Delta i} \Phi\left(w_{R}\right)\left|\nabla w_{R}\right| d x \leq(m / \varepsilon) \int_{\Delta i} \Phi\left(w_{R}\right)+\Phi\left(\varepsilon\left|\nabla w_{R}\right|\right) d x
$$

then

$$
\Phi\left(k_{i}-k_{i-1}\right)\left|B\left(k_{i}, v_{R}, 1\right)\right| \leq C_{S N}\left|B\left(k_{i}, v_{R}, 1\right)\right|^{1 / N}(m / \varepsilon) \int_{\Delta i} \Phi\left(w_{R}\right)+\Phi\left(\varepsilon\left|\nabla w_{R}\right|\right) d x
$$

Moreover, since $\Phi$ globally satisfies the $\Delta^{\prime}$-condition in $[0,+\infty)$, it follows

$$
\Phi\left(k_{i}-k_{i-1}\right)\left|B\left(k_{i}, v_{R}, 1\right)\right| \leq C_{S N}\left|B\left(k_{i}, v_{R}, 1\right)\right|^{\mid / N}\left[(m / \varepsilon) \Phi\left(k_{i}-k_{i-1}\right)\left|\Delta_{i}\right|+\left(m c_{1} c_{G} \varpi(\varepsilon)\right) \int_{\Delta i} \Phi\left(\left|\nabla w_{R}\right|\right) d x\right]
$$

since

$$
\int_{\Delta i} \Phi\left(\left|\nabla w_{R}\right|\right) d x=\int_{\Delta i} \Phi\left(\left|\nabla v_{R}\right|\right) d x
$$

using Caccioppoli's inequality (2.2) we have

$$
\left.\left|B\left(k_{i}, v_{R}, 1\right)\right|\right|^{1-1 / N} \leq C_{S N}(m / \varepsilon)\left|\Delta_{i \mid}+C_{S N} m c_{2} \varpi(\varepsilon)\right| Q_{2} \mid .
$$

Summing the last inequality on i from 0 to $v$ we have

$$
(1+v)\left|B\left(k_{i}, v_{R}, 1\right)\right|^{1-1 / N} \leq C_{S N}(m / \varepsilon)\left|Q_{1}\right|+C_{S N} m c_{2} \varpi(\varepsilon)\left|Q_{2}\right|(1+v)
$$

and

$$
\left|B\left(k_{i}, v_{R}, 1\right)\right|^{1-1 / N} \leq C_{S N}(m /(\varepsilon(1+v)))\left|Q_{1}\right|+C_{S N} m c_{2} \quad \varpi(\varepsilon)\left|Q_{2}\right| .
$$

Fix $\varepsilon=\left(1 /(1+v)^{1 / 2}\right)$, then

$$
\left|B\left(k_{i}, v_{R}, 1\right)\right|^{1-1 / N} \leq C_{S N}\left(m /(1+v)^{1 / 2}\right)\left|Q_{1}\right|+C_{S N} m c_{2} \varpi\left(1 /(1+v)^{1 / 2}\right)\left|Q_{1}\right|
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|B\left(k_{i}, v_{R}, 1\right)\right|^{1-1 / N} \leq C_{S N} m\left(1 /(1+v)^{1 / 2}+c_{2} \varpi\left(1 /(1+v)^{1 / 2}\right)\right)\left|Q_{1}\right|^{1-1 / N} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.9) we have

$$
\left|B\left(k_{i}, v_{R}, 1\right)\right| \leq\left(C_{S N} m\right)^{N /(N-1)}\left(1 /(1+v)^{1 / 2}+c_{2} \quad \varpi\left(1 /(1+v)^{1 / 2}\right)^{N /(N-1)}\left|Q_{1}\right|\right.
$$

Since $\varpi(\mathrm{s}) \downarrow 0$ for $\mathrm{s} \downarrow 0$ then we can choose $v$ such that

$$
\left(C_{S N} m\right)^{N /(N-1)}\left(1 /(1+v)^{1 / 2}+c_{2} \varpi\left(1 /(1+v)^{1 / 2}\right)^{N /(N-1)} \leq(1 / 2)\left(\delta_{0}\right)^{(N-1) N}\right.
$$

where $\delta_{0}$ is the constant in Lemma 7, then there exists $\lambda\left(\delta_{0}\right)$ such that

$$
\inf _{Q R / 2}\{u\} \geq \lambda(\delta) \theta .
$$

Let now $\mathrm{T}>(1 / 2)$ and assume $|\mathrm{B}(\theta, \mathrm{u}, \mathrm{R})| \leq \delta\left|\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{R}}\right|$ and u positive in $\mathrm{Q}_{2 \mathrm{R}}$. Since

$$
|A(\theta, u, 2 T R)||\geq(1-\gamma)| Q_{R}\left|=\left((1-\delta) /(2 T)^{\mathrm{n}}\right)\right|\left|Q_{2 T R}\right|
$$

we have

$$
|B(\theta, u, 2 T R)| \leq\left(1-(1-\delta) /(2 T)^{\mathrm{n}}\right)\left|Q_{2 T R}\right|
$$

then there exists a constant depending on $\delta$ and T such that (2.4) holds.
Using the technique introduces in [11] we get the following lemma.
Lemma 9: Let $\mathbf{u} \in \mathrm{DG}_{\Phi}{ }^{-}$with $\mathrm{k}_{0}=0$ and let u be positive in $\mathrm{Q}_{2}$. Let $\delta \in(0,1)$ and $\mathrm{t}>0$. If

$$
\left|\left\{x \in Q_{1}: u(x)>t\right\}\right| \geq 2^{-s}\left|Q_{1}\right|
$$

then

$$
\inf _{Q 1 / 2}\{u\}>c^{s} t
$$

where $\mathrm{c}=\mathrm{c}(\delta)$ being as in Lemma 8 with $\delta=2^{-\mathrm{N}-1}$.
Proof: For $\mathrm{s}=0$ the claim is true by Lemma 8. Now we use the inductive process. We assume the claim true for some $s$ and we prove it for $s+1$. Let us define $A_{i}=\left\{x \in Q_{1}: u(x)>c^{i} t\right\}$; by hipothesis, if $A_{0}=\left\{x \in Q_{1}: u(x)>\right.$ t\} then

$$
\left|A_{0}\right|>\left(1 / 2^{s+1}\right)\left|Q_{1}\right| .
$$

We have two alternative.

1) We assume $\left|A_{0}\right|>2^{-s}\left|Q_{1}\right|$, the by inductive hypothesis

$$
\inf _{Q 1 / 2}\{u\}>c^{s} t>c^{s+1} t
$$

2) Otherwise $2^{-s-1}\left|\mathrm{Q}_{1}\right|<\left|\mathrm{A}_{0}\right|<2^{-s}\left|\mathrm{Q}_{1}\right|$. Let us assume $\mathrm{g}=\chi \_\left\{\mathrm{A}_{0}\right\}$ and apply the Calderon-Zygmund argument to $g$ in $Q_{1}$ with parameter $(1 / 2)$ then we find a sequence of dyadic cubes $\left\{Q_{j}\right\}$ such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
(1 / 2)<\left(1 /\left|Q_{j}\right|\right) \int Q_{j} g d x<2^{N-1} \\
g<(1 / 2) \text { in } Q_{1} \backslash \bigcup_{j} Q_{j}
\end{gathered}
$$

if $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{j}}$ is one of the $2^{\mathrm{N}}$ subcubes of $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{i}}$ arising during the Calderon-Zygmund process, then

$$
\left(1 /\left|P_{i}\right|\right) \int_{P i} g d x \leq(1 / 2)
$$

From (2) and (3) we get

$$
\left|A_{0}\right|=\left|A_{0} \cap \bigcup_{i} P_{i}\right|=\sum_{i}\left|A_{0} \cap P_{i}\right| \leq(1 / 2) \sum_{i}\left|P_{i}\right| ;
$$

moreover

$$
\left|A_{0} \cap P_{i}\right| \geq\left|A_{0} \cap Q_{j}\right| \geq(1 / 2)\left|Q_{j} \geq\left(1 / 2^{N+1}\right)\right| P_{i} \mid
$$

We apply Lemma 8 and we obtain

$$
\inf _{P i}\{u\} \geq c t
$$

Let us consider

$$
A_{1}=\left\{x \in Q_{1}: u(x)>c t\right\}
$$

then $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{i}} \subset \mathrm{A}_{1}$ and

$$
\left|Q_{1}\right| 2^{-s-1}<\left|A_{0}\right|<(1 / 2)\left|A_{1}\right|
$$

by inductive hypotesis

$$
\inf _{Q_{1 / 2}}\{u\}>c^{s+1} t
$$

### 2.2. Proof of the Weak Harnack Inequality

Now we can proof the inequality (1.19) using the technique introduced by Di Benedetto-Trudinger in [6].
Proof (Proof of Theorem 5); Given any $\mathrm{t}>0$ choose an integer s such that

$$
\lambda_{t}=\left|\left\{x \in Q_{R}: u(x)>t\right\}\right| \geq 2^{-s}\left|Q_{R}\right|
$$

i.e.

$$
s \geq \ln \left(\lambda_{t} / Q_{R} \mid\right) / \ln (1 / 2) ;
$$

then by Lemma 9 we get

$$
\text { ess }-i n f_{Q R 2}\{u\}>c^{s} t
$$

therefore

$$
u(x) \geq t\left(\lambda_{t}| | Q_{R} \mid\right)^{\ln (() / \ln (1 / 2)} .
$$

Let us define

$$
\xi=\text { ess }-\inf f_{Q R 2}\{u\}
$$

then

$$
\lambda_{t} \leq\left(\xi^{\alpha} / t^{\alpha}\right)\left|Q_{R}\right|
$$

where $\alpha=\ln \left((1 / 2) / \ln (\mathrm{c})\right.$. Since $\Phi^{\prime}(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{t} \leq \mathrm{m} \Phi(\mathrm{t})$ for $\mathrm{p}>\max \{1,(\mathrm{~m} / \alpha)\}$ we have

$$
\int_{Q R} \Phi^{1 / p}(u) d x=(1 / p) \int_{[0,+\infty]} \Phi^{1 / p-1}(t) \Phi(t) \lambda_{t} d t \leq(1 / p) \Phi^{1 / p}(\xi)\left|Q_{R}\right|+(m / p)\left|Q_{R}\right| \xi^{\alpha} \int_{[\xi,+\infty]} \Phi^{1 / p}(t) / t^{\alpha+1} d t
$$

Integrating by parts, we have

$$
\int_{[\xi,+\infty]} \Phi^{1 / p}(t) / t^{\alpha+1} d t \leq(1 /(\alpha[1-(m /(p \alpha))])) \Phi^{1 / p}(\xi) \xi^{-\alpha}
$$

hence

$$
\left(1 /\left|Q_{R}\right|\right) \int_{Q R} \Phi^{1 / p}(u) d x \leq c \Phi^{1 / p}\left(e s s-\inf _{Q R / 2}\{u\}\right) .
$$
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