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#### Abstract

A model is constructed to study the statistical properties of irregular trajectories of a log-gas whose positions are those of the complex eigenvalues of the unitary Ginibre ensemble. It is shown that statistically the trajectories form a structure that reveals the eigenvalue departure positions. It is also shown that the curvatures of the ensemble of trajectories are Cauchy distributed.
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## 1. Introduction

The question of the formation of structures in the evolution of a 2D log-gas whose particle positions are those of the eigenvalues of non-Hermitian random matrices [1] moving in the complex plane under the action of an external parameter, recently has been addressed [2,3]. It has been shown that, in the transition from the Hermitian to the non-Hermitian unitary class of the Gaussian ensemble of random matrix theory (RMT) [4], eigenvalues keep memory of their position in the real axis as they progressively evaporate into the complex plane.

As a sequel of Ref. [2], we here investigate what happens with the trajectories after they reach the final stage when the Hermiticity is completely broken, that is when the eigenvalues belong to the Ginibre ensemble [5]. By using the stability property of the Gaussian distribution, a model is constructed in which the nature of the ensemble is preserved during the evolution. The question addressed is then the same: do they keep memory of their initial relative positions? In other words, eigenvalues initially at the edge or near the origin statistically move inside these initial regions? If so, their trajectories form a structure in the 2D space.

The electrostatic analogy between eigenvalues and a coulomb gas can be traced back to the consideration by Stieljes of the distribution of the roots of polynomials as those of unidimensional charges (wires) interacting via a logarithmic potential. The ground state of the 1D Girardeau gas [6,7] and that of the 2D electron gas described by the Laughlin wave function [8,9] can be considered physical realizations of log-gases. If we suppose an external parameter acting without disturbing the nature of the gas, then we have a physical situation in which our results would apply. We remark that eigenvalues moving in the complex has also been experimentally studied [10].

Parametric correlations have been matter of investigation in the RMT as a signature of the universality of quantum chaos statistics. Specially the level curvatures has been studied both theoretically [11-16] and experimentally [15,16]. Recently, these studies have been extended to the case of eigenvalues at the spectral edge [20]. Here we are investigating curvature distributions of the complex eigenvalues as another way of looking to features of their trajectories.

## 2. The Equations of Motion

Consider a non-Hermitian complex matrix $H$, of size $N$, which depends on a real positive parameter $\lambda$. To investigate the properties of the trajectories followed in the complex plane by its eigenvalues as the parameter changes, we resort to a system of equations which describes their motion [21]. Taking the derivative (denoted by a dot) with respect to $\lambda$ of the decomposition equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
P=Q \square^{1} H Q \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{D}=[D, U]+P \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
P=Q \square^{1} \dot{H} Q \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
U=Q \square^{1} \dot{Q}=-\dot{Q} \square^{1} Q \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The diagonal part of (2) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{D}_{k k}=\dot{z}_{k}=P_{k k} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

while the off-diagonal gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{D}_{k l}=0=D_{k k} U_{k l}-U_{k l} D+P_{k l} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{k l}=-\frac{P_{k l}}{z_{k}-z_{l}} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Further, for the derivative of $P$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{P}=[P, U]+Q \square^{1} \ddot{H} Q . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, combining Equations (4) and (7) the equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{Q}_{i j}=\sum_{l \neq j} \frac{Q_{i l}}{z_{j}-z_{l}} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{Q}_{i j}^{-1}=\sum_{l \neq 1} \frac{P_{i l}}{z_{i}-z_{l}} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

describe the evolution of the eigenvectors. Finally, to complete the derivation, the arbitrariness of the matrix $U$ is used to impose the necessary condition that its diagonal elements are zero, that is $U_{k k}=0$. The above equations form a complete system which, in principle, numerically can be solved once initial conditions are given.

## 3. The Model

As no hypothesis about the nature of the matrix elements has been made, the set of equations derived in the previous section is general. In particular, they show that repulsion between pair of eigenvalues is a conspicuous feature of generic matrices. Now we particularize it to the kind of matrices we are interested in, that is to those of the Ginibre ensemble. Namely, to random matrices whose joint distribution of elements is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(S)=K_{N} \exp \left[-\operatorname{tr}\left(S^{+} S\right)\right] \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that the elements are Gaussian distributed. It is known that eigenvalues of these matrices are located inside a disk of radius of the order of square root of the size of the matrices. A result that can be derived from the joint distribution of the eigenvalues

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{N}\right)=C \exp \left[-W\left(z_{1, .}, ., z_{N}\right)\right] \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
W=\sum_{k=1}^{N}\left(z_{k}\right)^{2}-2 \sum_{l>i} \log \left(z_{j}-z_{i}\right) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

This expression shows that eigenvalues move under the action of a confining potential and a two-body repulsive logarithmic interaction between the eigenvalues. This logarithmic interaction justifies the log-gas analogy.

If two matrices, S and T , are taken out of this ensemble to construct a new matrix H whose elements are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{i j}=s_{i j} \cos \left(\omega_{i j} \lambda\right)+T_{i j} \sin \left(\omega_{i j} \lambda\right) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

then, from the stability property of the Gaussian distribution, it follows that $\mathrm{H}(\lambda)$ itself also belongs to the ensemble. With frequencies $\omega_{i j}$ real and positive, the elements of $H_{i j}$ will oscillate as $\lambda$ evolves and, if the frequencies are equal or satisfy some rational relation, the matrix H and, as a consequence, its eigenvalues will have a periodic motion. If, on the other hand, frequencies are incongruate, the matrix H will have an irregular evolution, and its eigenvalues will describe irregular trajectories. A simple way of having the incongruate frequencies is to take them randomly out of an uniform band of frequencies of width W , for instance from the distribution

$$
P(\omega)=\left\{\begin{array}{r}
\frac{1}{W}, \text { if } \quad(\omega-\omega)<\frac{W}{2}  \tag{15}\\
0, \text { if }(\omega-\omega)>\frac{W}{2}
\end{array}\right.
$$

By doing this, we are imposing an extra external source of randomness which puts our model in the context of the disordered ensembles [22].

## 4. The Trajectories

In order to avoid regularity caused by some periodicity in the evolution of the matrix, the model with incongruent frequencies is adopted in the calculation of the trajectories. In this case, as matrix elements undergo their independent variation, correlations between eigenvalue and eigenvector evolutions prevent the use of the set of equations describing only eigenvalue motions as done in Ref. [2]. This difficulty easily can be circumvented by using instead Equations (5), (9), and (10), with the matrix elements of P given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{k l}=\sum_{i j} Q_{k i}^{-1} \dot{H}_{i j} Q_{j l} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

These equations form a complete set which describes the combined evolution of the set of eigenvalues and eigenvectors. The initial values of the eigenvalues and of the Q matrix are given by the matrix S . While the initial matrix $\mathrm{Q}^{-1}$ is obtained from the adjoint matrix $\mathrm{S}^{\dagger}$.

To integrate these equations is equivalent to diagonaize the matrix $H(\lambda)$ at each intermediate value of the parameter $\lambda$ during its evolution. The advantage of using them is to be able to follow the trajectory of each individual eigenvalue. In this respect, they provide a way of studying the behavior of individual eigenvalues, a kind of approach which has aroused interest recently $[23,24]$.

However, considering the complete set of eigenvalues, both procedures should give the same result. That this is indeed the case is shown in Figure 1, in which the two set of trajectories are obtained using the two procedures. The accurate agreement between these trajectories attests the reliability of the equations of motion and vice versa of the diagonalization method. As a proof the utility of the equations of motion, we focus in the Figure 2, the event of a frontal collision of a pair of eigenvalues seen in Figure 1 around the point ( $-1.5,-1.5$ ).


Figure 1. The comparison between the set of $\mathrm{N}=20$ eigenvalue trajectories calculated integrating the equations of motion and by diagonalizing the matrices is shown.


Figure 2. The frontal collision between two trajectories seen around the point $(-1.5,-1.5)$ in Figure 1 is shown.

To see the formation of structures it is necessary to superpose many trajectories. We have calculated from an ensemble of matrices trajectories constituted of the two ones starting closest and the two ones starting farthest to the origin. The average value of the band is taken to be $\omega 0=1$, while two width of the band $\mathrm{W}=0.5$ and $\mathrm{W}=$ 1.5 were used.

The results are shown in Figures 3 and 4. It is clear that the trajectories statistically tend to remain in the region of their initial positions.

The picture that emerges from these figures is that eigenvalues are forced to remain at the border or at the center of the gas. Eventually, a trajectory in one of these regions escapes and moves towards the other. This can be understood as the result that each eigenvalue move under the combined action of the confining harmonic potential and the mean field produced by the others. This combination forces them to remain in the region where they are, though, eventually, a close encounter can throw them out of where they are.

## 5. The Curvatures

An important measure of the behavior of the trajectories is the statistical distribution of their curvatures. The expression of the curvature $\kappa(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})$ at a point of a 2 D trajectory is, in terms of its parametric equation of motion,


Figure 3. Ensemble of trajectories of 100 matrices of size $N=20$ generated evolving two eigenvalues initially at the edge and two at the center is shown. The band of frequencies has parameters $\omega 0=1$ and $\mathrm{W}=0.5$.


Figure 4. The same as Figure 4 for 50 matrices and band of frequencies with parameters $\omega 0=1$ and $\mathrm{W}=1.5$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa=\frac{\dot{x} \ddot{y}-\ddot{x} \dot{y}}{\left(\dot{x}^{2}+\dot{y}^{2}\right)^{1.5}} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

For our equation of motions, the "velocities", are given by the real and the imaginary parts of Equation (5) and the "accelerations", by the real and the imaginary parts of the diagonal elements of the derivative of P , that is by Equation (8). Explicitly, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{z}_{k}=P_{k k}=\sum_{i j} Q_{k i}^{-1} \dot{H}_{i j} Q_{j k} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{z}_{k}=\dot{P}_{k k}=\sum_{m \neq k} \frac{2 P_{k m} P_{m k}}{z_{k}-z_{m}}-\sum_{i j} \omega_{i j}^{2} Q \square^{1} H_{i j} Q_{j k} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have found that the distributions obtained by collecting curvatures of all eigenvalues of an ensemble of matrices are well fitted by the Cauchy distribution


Figure 5. Distribution of curvatures with $\mathrm{N}=20$ is plotted against a Cauchy distribution with parameter $\gamma=2.32$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(\kappa)=\frac{1}{\pi} \frac{\gamma}{\gamma^{2}+\kappa^{2}} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the parameter $\gamma$ depends on the choice of frequencies distribution. This result is illustrated in the Figure 5.

## 6. Conclusion

We have investigated the statistical properties of the tra jectories in the complex plane of the eigenvalues of the unitary class of the Ginibre ensemble. This study complements the results obtained in Ref. [2] in which eigenvalues evaporated from the real axis into the complex plane in the transition from the Hermitian unitary to the Ginibre ensemble. As in Ref. [2], also eigenvalue trajectories show a structure determined by their departure positions. This structure suggests that the combination of the mean field and the confining potential force eigenvalues to remain at the border or at the proximities of the center. Eventually, though, a close encounter can throw them out of these regions. We also have found that curvatures of the ensemble of the trajectories are Cauchy distributed.
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