

# New Implementation of Legendre Polynomials for Solving Partial Differential Equations

## Ali Davari<sup>\*</sup>, Abozar Ahmadi

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, University of Isfahan, Isfahan, Iran Email: <sup>\*</sup>a\_davari@sci.ui.ac.ir

Received May 25, 2013; revised June 25, 2013; accepted July 2, 2013

Copyright © 2013 Ali Davari, Abozar Ahmadi. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

# ABSTRACT

In this paper we present a proposal using Legendre polynomials approximation for the solution of the second order linear partial differential equations. Our approach consists of reducing the problem to a set of linear equations by expanding the approximate solution in terms of shifted Legendre polynomials with unknown coefficients. The performance of presented method has been compared with other methods, namely Sinc-Galerkin, quadratic spline collocation and Liu-Lin method. Numerical examples show better accuracy of the proposed method. Moreover, the computation cost decreases at least by a factor of 6 in this method.

Keywords: Legendre Polynomials; Partial Differential Equations; Collocation Method

# **1. Introduction**

There are several applications of partial differential equations (PDEs) in science and engineering [1,2]. Many physical processes can be modeled using PDEs. Analytical solution of PDEs, however, either does not exist or is difficult to find. Recent contribution in this regard includes meshless methods [3], finite-difference methods [4], Alternating-Direction Sinc-Galerkin method (ADSG) [5], quadratic spline collocation method (QSCM) [6], Liu and Lin method [7] and so on.

Orthogonal functions and polynomials have been employed by many authors for solving various PDEs. The main idea is using an orthogonal basis to reduce the problem under study to a system of linear algebraic equations. This can be done by truncated series of orthogonal basis functions for the solution of problem and using the collocation method.

In this paper, we have applied a method based on Legendre polynomials basis on the unit square. This method is simple to understand and easy to implement using computer packages and yields better results. Comparative studies of CPU time of present method and other methods such as Sinc-Galerkin method, quadratic spline collocation method and Liu-Lin method are also presented. Numerical tests exhibit better accuracy of our proposed method based on Legendre polynomials. Moreover, time for computation decreases at least more than 6 folds.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we present some properties of Legendre polynomials. Section 3 describes the proposed technique for solution of PDEs. Section 4 is devoted to some experimental results and the paper is concluded with a summery in Section 5.

#### 2. Preliminaries and Notation

The Legendre polynomials  $L_m(x)$ ;  $m = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$ , are the eigenfunctions of the singular Sturm-Liouville problem

$$\left(\left(1-x^{2}\right)L'_{m}(x)\right)'+m(m+1)L_{m}(x)=0, x\in[-1,1].$$

The Legendre polynomials satisfy the recursion relation

$$L_{m+1}(x) = \frac{2m+1}{m+1} x L_m(x) - \frac{m}{m+1} L_{m-1}(x); \ m = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$$

where  $L_0(x) = 1$  and  $L_1(x) = x$ . In order to use Legendre polynomials on the interval [0,1] we use the so-called shifted Legendre polynomials by introducing the change of variable t = 2x - 1. The shifted Legendre polynomials  $L_m(2x-1)$  are denoted by  $P_m(x)$  and can be obtained by the following triple recursion relation:

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author.

$$P_{m+1}(x) = \frac{2m+1}{m+1}(2x-1)P_m(x) - \frac{m}{m+1}P_{m-1}(x); \ m = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$$

where  $P_0(x) = 1$ ,  $P_1(x) = 2x - 1$ .

A square integrable function f(x), in [0,1], may be expanded in terms of shifted Legendre polynomials as

$$f(x) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_m P_m(x),$$

where the coefficients  $a_m$  are given by

$$a_m = (2m+1) \int_0^1 f(x) P_m(x) dx; m = 1, 2, \cdots$$

In practice, only the first (M+1)-terms shifted Legendre polynomials are considered. Then we set

$$f(x) \approx \sum_{m=0}^{M} a_m P_m(x)$$

Similarly a function f(x, y) of two independent variables defined for  $0 \le x, y \le 1$  may be expanded in terms of double shifted Legendre polynomials as

$$f(x, y) \approx \sum_{i=0}^{M} \sum_{j=0}^{M} a_{ij} P_i(x) P_j(y);$$

where the coefficient  $a_{ij}$  are given by

$$a_{ij} = (2i+1)(2j+1)\int_0^1 \int_0^1 f(x, y) P_i(x) P_j(y) dxdy;$$
  
i, j = 0,1,2,...,M.

For further properties of Legendre polynomials referred to [8,9].

## 3. Solution of Second-Order Linear PDEs

Consider the following second-order linear PDEs on the unit square,  $[0,1]^2$ :

$$a(x, y)\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}} + b(x, y)\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial y^{2}} + c(x, y)\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}$$
  
+  $d(x, y)\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} + g(x, y)u = f(x, y)$  (1)

where a,b,c,d,g and f are known functions. With Dirichlet boundary conditions:

$$u(0, y) = g_1(y), u(1, y) = g_2(y),$$
  
$$u(x, 0) = g_3(x), u(x, 1) = g_4(x),$$
 (2)

where  $g_i$ ; i = 1, 2, 3, 4 are known functions. We introduce the following notations:

$$P_{i}^{1}(x) = \int_{0}^{x} P_{i}(t) dt, P_{i}^{2}(x) = \int_{0}^{x} P_{i}^{1}(t) dt,$$
  

$$C_{i} = \int_{0}^{1} P_{i}^{1}(t) dt.$$
(3)

We assume that the second order partial derivatives can be expressed by Legendre polynomials series as

Open Access

given below:

$$\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2}(x, y) \approx \sum_{i=0}^M \sum_{j=0}^M a_{ij} P_i(x) P_j(y), \qquad (4)$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2}(x, y) \approx \sum_{i=0}^M \sum_{j=0}^M b_{ij} P_i(x) P_j(y), \tag{5}$$

The following collocation points are considered:

$$x_{c} = \frac{c - 0.5}{(M+1)}; \ c = 1, 2, \cdots, M + 1$$
$$y_{s} = \frac{s - 0.5}{(M+1)}; \ s = 1, 2, \cdots, M + 1$$
(6)

After integrating from "Equation (4)" we obtain

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(x, y) \approx \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(0, y) + \sum_{j=1}^{M} \sum_{i=1}^{M} a_{ij} P_i^{1}(x) P_j(y), \qquad (7)$$

or

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(0, y) \approx \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(x, y) - \sum_{j=1}^{M} \sum_{i=1}^{M} a_{ij} P_i^{1}(x) P_j(y), \qquad (8)$$

Now by integrating from "Equation (8)" in the interval (0, x), we get

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(0, y) \approx u(1, y) - u(0, y) - \sum_{j=1}^{M} \sum_{i=1}^{M} a_{ij} C_i P_j(y), \quad (9)$$

Substituting "Equation (9)" in "Equation (7)", yields

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(x, y) \approx u(1, y) - u(0, y) + \sum_{j=1}^{M} \sum_{i=1}^{M} a_{ij} P_j(y) \left( P_i^1(x) - C_i \right).$$

Now by integrating this equation from 0 to x, we have

$$(x, y) \approx u(0, y) + x(u(1, y) - u(0, y)) + \sum_{j=1}^{M} \sum_{i=1}^{M} a_{ij} P_j(y) (P_i^2(x) - xC_i),$$
(10)

Thus by substituting "Equation (2)" in this equation, we obtain

$$u(x, y) \approx g_{1}(y) + x(g_{2}(y) - g_{1}(y)) + \sum_{j=1}^{M} \sum_{i=1}^{M} a_{ij}P_{j}(y)(P_{i}^{2}(x) - xC_{i}).$$
(11)

Similarly for "Equation (5)", we have

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}(x, y) \approx u(x, 1) - u(x, 0) + \sum_{i, j=1}^{M} b_{ij} P_i(x) \left( P_j^1(y) - C_j \right);$$

and

и

$$u(x, y) \approx g_{3}(y) + y(g_{4}(x) - g_{3}(x)) + \sum_{i,j=1}^{M} b_{ij} P_{i}(x) (P_{j}^{2}(y) - yC_{i}).$$
(12)

Equating "Equation (11)" and "Equation (12)", and substituting the collocation points we obtain  $(M + 1)^2$ equations. Another  $(M + 1)^2$  equations are obtained by substituting the expressions of u(x, y) and its partial derivatives into given differential "Equation (1)". These two sets of equations are solved simultaneously for the unknown Legendre polynomials coefficients  $a_{ij}$ 's and  $b_{ij}$ 's. The solution can be obtained by substituting these coefficients either in (11) or (12).

## 4. Numerical Examples

We examine the accuracy and efficiency of the proposed method by presenting following examples.

#### 4.1. Example 1

Consider the Helmholtz equation [6]

$$\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} + ku(x, y) = f(x, y), \ 0 \le x, y \le 1.$$

With k = 900, subject to Dirichlet boundary conditions. The function f(x, y) is taken such that the exact solution of the problem is  $u(x, y) = e^{(xy^2)}$ .  $N = M^2$  in **Table 1** indicates the number collocation points. In this table we have also calculated the experimental convergence rate  $R_c(M)$  of the error at the collocation points which is defined as

$$R_{c}(M) = \frac{\log\left(\frac{\operatorname{error}(M/2)}{\operatorname{error}(M)}\right)}{\log 2}$$

We have presented comparison of maximum error and  $R_c(M)$  between present method and QSCM in **Table 1**. Maximum error and CPU time of present method and Liu-Lin method [7] are also given in **Table 2**.

#### 4.2. Example 2

Consider the Poisson equation in [5]:

Table 1. Comparison of present method and QSCM interms of maximum error for Example 1.

Present Method			QSCM			
М	Ν	error	$R_c(M)$	М	error	$R_c(M)$
2	4	$1.28\times10^{-4}$	-	-	-	-
3	9	$5.92\times 10^{-5}$	-	8	$1.39\times10^{-4}$	-
4	16	$2.53\times10^{-5}$	2.34	16	$1.98\times10^{-5}$	2.81
5	25	$6.80\times10^{-6}$	-	-	-	-
6	36	$1.76\times 10^{-6}$	5.07	32	$2.06\times10^{-6}$	3.26
8	64	$6.35\times10^{-8}$	8.64	64	$1.44\times10^{-7}$	3.84

$$\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} = f(x, y),$$

subject to Dirichlet boundary conditions.

$$u(x, y) = 3xye^{x+y}(1-x)(1-y),$$

is exact solution of the problem. Comparison of maximum error of present method and ADSG method are presented in **Table 3**. Maximum error and CPU time of present method and Liu-Lin method [7] are listed in **Table 4**. By comparing the data in **Tables 3** and **4**, it is clear that our method is more efficient.

## 5. Conclusion

In this study, solution of partial differential equations by Legendre polynomials approximation in two dimensions

 Table 2. Comparison of present method and Liu-Lin method in [7], in terms of maximum error for Example 1.

M	Presen	t Method	Liu-Lin Method [7]		
	error	CPU time(s)	error	CPU time(s)	
3	$5.92\times10^{-5}$	1.97	$8.67\times10^{-5}$	17.21	
4	$2.53\times10^{-5}$	4.82	$3.98\times 10^{-5}$	50.07	
5	$6.80\times10^{-6}$	11.01	$1.53\times10^{-5}$	131.65	
6	$1.76\times10^{-6}$	25.04	$4.17\times10^{-6}$	334.43	

 Table 3. Comparison of present method and ADSG in terms of maximum error for Example 2.

Present Method			ADSG		
М	Maximum error	М	Maximum error		
3	$1.12\times10^{-2}$	5	$2.467\times 10^{-2}$		
5	$9.7583  imes 10^{-5}$	9	$4.180\times10^{-3}$		
7	$3.4910  imes 10^{-7}$	17	$3.775\times10^{-4}$		
8	$1.6502\times10^{-8}$	33	$1.163\times10^{-5}$		
9	$6.8737 \times 10^{-10}$	65	$1.821 \times 10^{-7}$		

Table 4. Comparison of present method and Liu-Lin method in [7], in terms of maximum error for Example 2.

M	Present Method		Liu-Lin Method [7]		
	error	CPU time(s)	error	CPU time(s)	
3	$1.12\times10^{-2}$	2.2544	$4.02\times10^{-2}$	12.5089	
4	$1.2\times10^{-3}$	3.2077	$7.1\times10^{-3}$	24.9837	
5	$9.76\times10^{-5}$	10.9164	$2.00\times10^{-4}$	97.7510	
6	$6.36\times 10^{-6}$	16.7807	$9.49\times10^{-5}$	244.1086	
7	$3.49\times10^{-7}$	54.9082	$5.50\times 10^{-6}$	410.3025	

is investigated. Results show better accuracy of the proposed method based on Legendre polynomials. Experimental results on various problems show that in comparison with the previous method (Sinc-Galerkin method, quadratic spline collocation method and Liu-Lin method), the computation cost of the proposed methods has decreased noticeably, the CPU time for computation falls at least more than 6 folds.

# 6. Acknowledgements

Thanks the Center of Excellence for Mathematics, University of Isfahan for Financial support.

#### REFERENCES

- Q. Wang, "Numerical Solutions for Fractional KdV-Burgers Equatin by Adomian Decomposition Method," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, Vol. 182, No. 2, 2006, pp. 1048-1055. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.amc.2006.05.004
- [2] X. Chen and J. Xiang, "Solving Diffusion Equation Using Wavelet Method," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, Vol. 217, No. 13, 2011, pp. 6426-6432. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.amc.2011.01.042
- [3] Q. N. Yang, J. J. Zheng, Y. Miao and Y. Z. Sima, "An Improved Hybrid Boundary Node Method for Solving Steady Fluid Flow Problems," *Engineering Analysis with Boundary Elements*, Vol. 35, No. 1, 2011, pp. 18-24.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.amc.2011.01.042

- [4] K. W. Morton and D. F. Mayers, "Numerical Solution of Partial Differential Equations," Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2005. http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511812248
- [5] N. Alonso and K. L. Bowers, "An Alternating-Direction Sinc-Galerkin Method for Elliptic Problems," *Journal of Complexity*, Vol. 25, No. 3, 2009, pp. 237-252. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jco.2009.02.006
- [6] G. Fairweather, A. Karageorghis and J. Maack, "Compact Optimal Quadratic Spline Collocation Methods for the Helmholtz Equation," *Journal of Computational Physics*, Vol. 230, No. 8, 2011, pp. 2880-2895. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jcp.2010.12.041</u>
- [7] N. Liu and E. B. Lin, "Legendre Wavelet Method for Numerical Solutions of Partial Differential Equations," *Numerical Methods for Partial Differential Equations*, Vol. 26, No. 1, 2010, pp. 81-94. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/num.20417
- [8] C. Canuto, M. Y. Hussaini, A. Quarteroni and T. A. Zang, "Spectral Methods: Evolution to Complex Geometries and Applications to Fluid Dynamices," Springer, New York, 2007.
- [9] A. Saadatmandi and M. Dehghan, "Numerical Solution of the Higher-Order Linear Fredholm Integro Differential Difference Equation with Variable Coefficients," *Computers and Mathematics with Applications*, Vol. 59, No. 8, 2010, pp. 2996-3004. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.camwa.2010.02.018