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#### Abstract

Let the generalized function (tempered distribution) $f$ on $\mathbb{R}$ be a $p$-periodic eigenfunction of the Fourier transform operator $\mathcal{F}$, i.e., $f(x+p)=f(x), \mathcal{F} f=\lambda f$, for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. We show that $\lambda=1,-i,-1$, or $+i$, that $p=\sqrt{N}$ for some $N=1,2, \cdots$, and that $f$ has the representation $f(x)=\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \gamma[n] \delta\left(x-\frac{n}{p}-m p\right)$ where $\delta$ is the Dirac functional and $\gamma$ is an eigenfunction of the discrete Fourier transform operator $\mathcal{F}_{N}$ with $\left(\mathcal{F}_{N} \gamma\right)[k]=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \gamma[n] \mathrm{e}^{-2 \pi i k / / N}=\frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{N}} \gamma[k], \quad k=0,1, \cdots, N-1$. We generalize this result to $p_{1}, p_{2}$-periodic eigenfunctions of $\mathcal{F}$ on $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ and to $p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}$-periodic eigenfunctions of $\mathcal{F}$ on $\mathbb{R}^{3}$.
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## 1. Introduction

In this paper, we will study certain generalizations of the Dirac comb (or III functional, see [1])

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{III}(x):=\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \delta(x-n) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\delta$ is the Dirac functional. We work within the context of the Schwartz theory of distributions [2] as developed in [1,3-7]. For purposes of manipulation we use "function" notation for $\delta$, III and related functionals. Various useful proprieties of $\delta$ and III are developed in [1,3-5].
The III functional is used in the study of sampling, periodization, etc., see $[1,4,5]$. We will illustrate this process using a notation that can be generalized to an $n$-dimensional setting. Let $a_{1} \in \mathbb{R}$ with $a_{1} \neq 0$, and let $A_{1}:=\frac{1}{a_{1}}$. We define the lattice

$$
\mathcal{L}_{a_{1}}:=\left\{n a_{1}: n \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}
$$

and the corresponding $a_{1}$-periodic Dirac comb

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{grid}_{a_{1}}(x):=\sum_{a \in \mathcal{C}_{a_{1}}} \delta(x-a) . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Fourier transform of the $a_{1}$-periodic Dirac comb is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{grid}_{a_{a_{1}}}^{\wedge}(s)=\left|A_{1}\right| \operatorname{grid}_{A_{1}}(s) . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $g$ be any univariate distribution with compact support. We can periodize $g$ by writing

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x):=\operatorname{grid}_{a_{1}}(x) * g(x), \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where * represents the convolution product, to obtain the weakly convergent Fourier series

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty}\left|A_{1}\right| g^{\wedge}\left(k A_{1}\right) \mathrm{e}^{2 \pi i k A_{1} x} . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We observe that $\operatorname{grid}_{a_{1}}$ has support at the points $n a_{1}, n=0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \cdots$ of the lattice $\mathcal{L}_{a_{1}}$, while the Fourier transform $\left|A_{1}\right| \operatorname{grid}_{A_{1}}$ has support at the points $\frac{n}{a_{1}}, n=0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \cdots$ of the lattice $\mathcal{L}_{A_{1}}$. It follows that

$$
\operatorname{grid}_{a_{1}}^{\wedge}=\operatorname{grid}_{a_{1}}
$$

if and only if

$$
a_{1}= \pm 1
$$

i.e., if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{grid}_{a_{1}}=\text { III. } \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the Fourier transform operator on the space of tempered distributions. It is well known [1,4,5], that $\mathcal{F}$ is linear and that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}^{4}=\mathcal{I} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{I}$ denotes the identity operator on the space of tempered distributions. We are interested in tempered distributions $f$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F} f=\lambda f \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda$ is a scalar. Any distribution $f$ that satisfies (8), and that we will call eigenfunction of $\mathcal{F}$, must also satisfy the following equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}^{n} f=\lambda^{n} f, \quad n \in \mathbb{N} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

due to the linearity of the operator $\mathcal{F}$. When $n=4$, then $\mathcal{F}^{4} f=\lambda^{4} f$. Thus the eigenvalues of the operator $\mathcal{F}$ are $1,-1, i,-i$.

## Eigenvectors of $\mathcal{F}_{N}$

We first consider the eigenvectors of the discrete Fourier transform operator $\mathcal{F}_{N}$ since, as we will see later, they can be used to construct all periodic eigenfunctions of the Fourier transform operator $\mathcal{F}$ [8,9].

Definition 1. Let $N=1,2, \cdots$. The matrix

$$
\mathcal{F}_{N}:=\frac{1}{N}\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\
1 & \omega & \omega^{2} & \cdots & \omega^{N-1} \\
1 & \omega^{2} & \omega^{4} & \cdots & \omega^{2 N-2} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
1 & \omega^{N-1} & \omega^{2 N-2} & \cdots & \omega^{(N-1)(N-1)}
\end{array}\right]
$$

$\omega:=\mathrm{e}^{-2 \pi i / N}$, is said to be the discrete Fourier transform operator.

It is easy to verify the operator identity

$$
\mathcal{F}_{N}^{2}=\frac{1}{N} \mathcal{R}_{N}
$$

where

$$
\mathcal{R}_{N}:=\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

is the reflection operator. It is easy to verify

$$
\mathcal{F}_{N}^{4}=\left[\frac{1}{N} \mathcal{R}_{N}\right]^{2}=\frac{1}{N^{2}} \mathcal{R}_{N}^{2}=\frac{1}{N^{2}} I_{N}
$$

where $I_{N}$ is the $N \times N$ identity matrix. In this way we see that if

$$
\mathcal{F}_{N} f=\lambda f, f \neq 0,
$$

then

$$
\lambda^{4}-\frac{1}{N^{2}}=0
$$

so $\lambda$ must take one of the values $\pm 1 / \sqrt{N}, \pm i / \sqrt{N}$.
Let $M_{r}(N)$ be the multiplicity of the eigenvalue

$$
\lambda=\frac{(-i)^{r}}{\sqrt{N}}
$$

of $\mathcal{F}_{N}, r=0,1,2,3$, and let

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{N, r, \mu}[n], \mu=1,2, \cdots, M_{r}(N) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

be orthonormal eigenvectors of $\mathcal{F}_{N}$ corresponding to the eigenvalue

$$
\lambda=\frac{(-i)^{r}}{\sqrt{N}}, r=0,1,2,3
$$

Example 1. $N=2$
The matrix

$$
\mathcal{F}_{2}=\frac{1}{2}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 1 \\
1 & -1
\end{array}\right]
$$

has the eigenvalues $\lambda_{1}=1 / \sqrt{2}, \lambda_{2}=-1 / \sqrt{2}$ with corresponding eigenvectors

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
-1+\sqrt{2}
\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
-1-\sqrt{2}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

We normalize these vectors to obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{2,0,1}[0]=\frac{1}{\sqrt{4-2 \sqrt{2}}}, f_{2,0,1}[1]=\frac{-1+\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{4-2 \sqrt{2}}} \\
& f_{2,2,1}[0]=\frac{1}{\sqrt{4+2 \sqrt{2}}}, f_{2,2,1}[1]=-\frac{1+\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{4+2 \sqrt{2}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## 2. The Main Results

A generalized function $f, f \neq 0$, is said to be an eigenfunction of the Fourier transform operator $\mathcal{F}$ if

$$
\mathcal{F} f=\lambda f
$$

For $\lambda= \pm 1, \pm i$. We would like to characterize all periodic eigenfunctions $f$ of the Fourier transform operator $\mathcal{F}$, i.e.,

$$
\mathcal{F} f=\lambda f, f \neq 0
$$

within the context of $1,2,3$ dimensions.

### 2.1. Periodic Eigenfunctions of $\mathcal{F}$ or $\mathbb{R}$

Let $f$ be a $p$-periodic generalized function on $\mathbb{R}$, $p>0$, and assume that

$$
F:=\mathcal{F} f=\lambda f
$$

where $\lambda= \pm 1, \pm i$ and $f \neq 0$. The 2-periodic function

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f(x)= \\
& \frac{1}{2}\left\{I I I\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)+\operatorname{III}\left(\frac{x-1 / 2}{2}\right)-I I I\left(\frac{x-2 / 2}{2}\right)+\operatorname{III}\left(\frac{x-3 / 2}{2}\right)\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

is such an eigenfunction, constructed from the eigenvector $f_{4,0,2}$ of $\mathcal{F}_{4}$. We will now characterize all such periodic eigenfunctions.

Since $f$ is $p$-periodic, $f$ is represented by its weakly convergent Fourier series

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \Gamma[k] \mathrm{e}^{2 \pi i k x / p} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

We Fourier transform term by term to obtain the weakly convergent series

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(s)=\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \Gamma[k] \delta\left(s-\frac{k}{p}\right) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the Fourier transform of $f$. Now since $F=\lambda f$ and $\lambda \neq 0, F$ must also be $p$ - periodic with

$$
F(s)=\left\{\sum_{0 \leq k<p^{2}} \Gamma[k] \delta\left(s-\frac{k}{p}\right)\right\} * \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \delta(s-m p)=\left\{\sum_{0 \leq k<p^{2}} \Gamma[k] \delta\left(s-\frac{k}{p}\right)\right\} * \frac{1}{p}\left(\frac{s}{p}\right) .
$$

We recognize this as the Fourier transform of

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(x) & =\left\{\sum_{0 \leq k<p^{2}} \Gamma[k] \mathrm{e}^{2 \pi i k x / p}\right\}(p x)=\left\{\sum_{0 \leq k<p^{2}} \Gamma[k] \mathrm{e}^{2 \pi i k x / p}\right\} \frac{1}{p} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \delta\left(x-\frac{n}{p}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{p} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{0 \leq k<p^{2}} \Gamma[k] \mathrm{e}^{2 \pi i k x / p} \delta\left(x-\frac{n}{p}\right)=\frac{1}{p} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}\left\{\sum_{0 \leq k<p^{2}} \Gamma[k] \mathrm{e}^{2 \pi i k n / p^{2}}\right\} \delta\left(x-\frac{n}{p}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We define

$$
\gamma[n]:=\sum_{0 \leq k<p^{2}} \Gamma[k] \mathrm{e}^{2 \pi i k n / p^{2}}
$$

and write

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=\frac{1}{p} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \gamma[n] \delta\left(x-\frac{n}{p}\right) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now if the term

$$
\gamma[n] \delta\left(x-\frac{n}{p}\right), \gamma[n] \neq 0
$$

appears in the sum (13) then (since $f$ is $p$-periodic)

$$
\gamma(n) \delta\left(x-p-\frac{n}{p}\right)
$$

must also appear. Thus

$$
\gamma\left[n^{\prime}\right] \delta\left(x-\frac{n^{\prime}}{p}\right)=\gamma[n] \delta\left(x-\frac{p^{2}+n}{p}\right)
$$

for some integer $n^{\prime}$. It follows that

$$
\frac{n^{\prime}}{p}=\frac{p^{2}+n}{p}
$$

i.e,,

$$
p^{2}=n^{\prime}-n
$$

and

$$
\gamma[n]=\gamma\left[n^{\prime}\right] .
$$

thus

$$
p^{2}=N
$$

for some $N=1,2, \cdots$, and since $\gamma[n]$ is $N$-periodic, we can use (13) to write

$$
\begin{align*}
f(x) & =\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \gamma[n] \delta\left(x-\frac{n}{\sqrt{N}}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \gamma[n] \delta\left(x-\frac{n}{\sqrt{N}}-m \sqrt{N}\right) \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\gamma[n]=\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \Gamma[k] \mathrm{e}^{2 \pi i k n / N}
$$

is the inverse Fourier transform of the $N$-periodic sequence of Fourier coefficients $\Gamma$. Since $F=\lambda f$ we can use (12), (14) to see that

$$
\Gamma[k]=\left(\mathcal{F}_{N} \gamma\right)[k]=\frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{N}} \gamma[k], k=0,1, \cdots, N-1,
$$

i.e., that $\gamma$ is an eigenvector of the discrete Fourier transform operator $\mathcal{F}_{N}$ associated with the eigenvalue $\frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{N}}$. In this way we prove the following
Theorem 1. Let the generalized function $f$ on $\mathbb{R}$ be a $p$-periodic eigenfunction of the Fourier transform operator $\mathcal{F}$ with eigenvalue $\lambda=1,-i,-1$, or $+i$. Then $p=\sqrt{N}$ for some integer $N=1,2, \cdots$ and $f$ has the representation

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \gamma[n] \delta\left(x-\frac{n}{p}-m p\right) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\gamma$ is an eigenvector of the discrete Fourier
transform operator $\mathcal{F}_{N}$ with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\mathcal{F}_{N} \gamma\right)[k] \\
& =\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \gamma[n] \mathrm{e}^{-2 \pi i k n / N} \\
& =\frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{N}} \gamma[k], k=0,1, \cdots, N-1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Example 2. When $N=1$ we obtain the corresponding 1-periodic

$$
f(x)=\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \delta(x-n)=\operatorname{III}(x)
$$

with

$$
\mathcal{F} \mathrm{III}=\mathrm{III} .
$$

Of course, this particular result is well known, see [1]. Our argument shows that a periodic eigenfunction of the Fourier transform operator that has one singular point per unit cell must be a scalar multiple of the Dirac comb III .
Example 3. When $N=2$, we obtain the $\sqrt{2}$-periodic eigenfunctions

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{1}(x) & =\frac{1}{\sqrt{4-2 \sqrt{2}}} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \delta(x-n \sqrt{2})+\frac{-1+\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{4-2 \sqrt{2}}} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \delta\left(x-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}-n \sqrt{2}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{\sqrt{2(4-2 \sqrt{2})}}\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{2}}\right)+\frac{-1+\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{2(4-2 \sqrt{2})}}\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{2}}-\frac{1}{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{2}(x) & =\frac{1}{\sqrt{4+2 \sqrt{2}}} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \delta(x-n \sqrt{2})-\frac{1+\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{4+2 \sqrt{2}}} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \delta\left(x-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}-n \sqrt{2}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{\sqrt{2(4+2 \sqrt{2})}}\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{2}}\right)-\frac{1+\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{2(4+2 \sqrt{2})}}\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{2}}-\frac{1}{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

from the eigenvectors $f_{2,0,1}$ and $f_{2,2,1}$ for $\mathcal{F}_{2}$. It is easy to verify that

$$
\left(\mathcal{F} f_{1}\right)(s)=f_{1}(s),\left(\mathcal{F} f_{2}\right)(s)=-f_{2}(s)
$$

Characterization of periodic eigenfunctions of $\mathcal{F}$ on $\mathbb{R}^{2}$

Let $f$ be a bivariate generalized function and assume that $f$ is an eigenfunction of $\mathcal{F}$, i.e.,

$$
F:=\mathcal{F} f=\lambda f
$$

with $\lambda=1,-i,-1$, or $+i$, (and $f \neq 0$ ). Assume further that $f$ is $a_{1}, a_{2}$-periodic, i.e.,

$$
f\left(x+a_{1}\right)=f(x), f\left(x+a_{2}\right)=f(x)
$$

Here $a_{1}, a_{2}$ are linearly independent vectors in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$.

We simplify the analysis by rotating the coordinate system as necessary so as to place a shortest vector from the lattice $\mathcal{L}_{a_{1}, a_{2}}$ along the positive $x$-axis. We can and do further assume with no loss of generality that $a_{1}, a_{2}$ have the form

$$
a_{1}=\left(\alpha_{1}, 0\right)^{\mathrm{T}}, a_{2}=\left(\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}\right)^{\mathrm{T}}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
\alpha_{1}>0  \tag{16}\\
\alpha_{1}^{2} \leq \beta_{1}^{2}+\beta_{2}^{2}  \tag{17}\\
\beta_{2}>0  \tag{18}\\
0 \leq \beta_{1}<\alpha_{1} . \tag{19}
\end{gather*}
$$

The dual vectors then have the representation

$$
A_{1}=\frac{1}{\alpha_{1} \beta_{2}}\left(\beta_{2},-\beta_{1}\right)^{\mathrm{T}}, A_{2}=\frac{1}{\alpha_{1} \beta_{2}}\left(0, \alpha_{1}\right)^{\mathrm{T}},
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{grid}_{a_{1}, a_{2}}(x)=\sum_{n_{1}=-\infty n_{2}=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum^{\infty} \delta\left(x-n_{1} a_{1}-n_{2} a_{2}\right)
$$

has the Fourier transform

$$
\operatorname{grid}_{a_{1}, a_{2}}^{\wedge}(s)=\Delta \sum_{k_{1}=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{k_{2}=-\infty}^{\infty} \delta\left(s-k_{1} A_{1}-k_{2} A_{2}\right)
$$

where $\Delta=\left|\operatorname{det}\left(A_{1}, A_{2}\right)\right|$. Now since $f$ is $a_{1}, a_{2}$-periodic, $f$ can be represented by the weakly convergent Fourier series

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=\sum_{k_{1}=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{k_{2}=-\infty}^{\infty} \Gamma\left[k_{1}, k_{2}\right] \mathrm{e}^{2 \pi i x \cdot\left(k_{1} A_{1}+k_{2} A_{2}\right)} . \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

We Fourier transform the series (20) to obtain the
weakly convergent series

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(s)=\sum_{k_{1}=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{k_{2}=-\infty}^{\infty} \Gamma\left[k_{1}, k_{2}\right] \delta\left(s-k_{1} A_{1}-k_{2} A_{2}\right) \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (21), we see that the support of $F$ lies on the lattice $\mathcal{L}_{A_{1}, A_{2}}$ and since $F=\lambda f, F$ must also be $a_{1}, a_{2}$-periodic so we can write

$$
\begin{align*}
& F(s) \\
& =\left\{\sum_{k_{1} A_{1}+k_{2} A_{2} \in \mathcal{U}} \Gamma\left[k_{1}, k_{2}\right] \delta\left(s-k_{1} A_{1}-k_{2} A_{2}\right)\right\} * \operatorname{grid}_{a_{1}, a_{2}}(s) \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\mathcal{U}:=\left\{x_{1}^{\prime} a_{1}+x_{2}^{\prime} a_{2}: 0 \leq x_{1}^{\prime}<1,0 \leq x_{2}^{\prime}<1\right\}
$$

is a primitive unit cell associated with the lattice $\mathcal{L}_{a_{1}, a_{2}}$, where $x_{1}^{\prime}, x_{2}^{\prime}$ are affine coordinates, and $*$ is the bivariate convolution product. Using the bivariate inverse Fourier transform, we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
f & (x)=F(x) \cdot \operatorname{grid}_{a_{1}, a_{2}}^{\wedge}(x) \\
= & \Delta \sum_{n_{1}=-\infty n_{2}=-\infty k_{1} A_{1}+k_{2} A_{2} \in \mathcal{U}}^{\infty}\left\{\Gamma\left[k_{1}, k_{2}\right] \cdot \mathrm{e}^{2 \pi i\left(k_{1} A_{1}+k_{2} A_{2}\right) \cdot\left(n_{1} A_{1}+n_{2} A_{2}\right)} \cdot \delta\left(x-n_{1} A_{1}-n_{2} A_{2}\right)\right\} \\
= & \frac{1}{\alpha_{1} \beta_{2}} \sum_{n_{1}=-\infty n_{2}=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum^{\infty}\left\{\left\{\sum_{k_{1} A_{1}+k_{2} A_{2} \in \mathcal{U}} \Gamma\left[k_{1}, k_{2}\right] \cdot \mathrm{e}^{2 \pi i\left(\left(\beta_{1}^{2}+\beta_{2}^{2}\right) n_{1} k_{1}-\alpha_{1} \beta_{1}\left(n_{1} k_{2}+n_{2} k_{1}\right)+\alpha_{1}^{2} n_{2} k_{2}\right\} /\left(\alpha_{1}^{2} \beta_{2}^{2}\right)}\right\}\right. \\
& \left.\cdot \delta\left(x_{1}-\frac{n_{1} \beta_{2}}{\alpha_{1} \beta_{2}}, x_{2}+\frac{n_{1} \beta_{1}-n_{2} \alpha_{1}}{\alpha_{1} \beta_{2}}\right)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We define

$$
\begin{align*}
& \gamma\left[n_{1}, n_{2}\right]:=\sum_{k_{1} A_{1}+k_{2} A_{2} \in \mathcal{U}}\left\{\Gamma\left[k_{1}, k_{2}\right]\right.  \tag{23}\\
& \left.\cdot e^{2 \pi i\left\{\left(\beta_{1}^{2}+\beta_{2}^{2}\right) n_{1} 1_{1}-\alpha_{1} \beta_{1}\left(n_{1} k_{2}+n_{2} k_{1}\right)+\alpha_{1}^{2} n_{2} k_{2}\right\} /\left(\alpha_{1}^{2} \beta_{2}^{2}\right)}\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

and write

$$
\begin{align*}
f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)= & \frac{1}{\alpha_{1} \beta_{2}} \sum_{n_{1}=-\infty n_{2}=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum^{\infty}\left\{\gamma\left[n_{1}, n_{2}\right]\right.  \tag{24}\\
& \left.\cdot \delta\left(x_{1}-\frac{n_{1} \beta_{2}}{\alpha_{1} \beta_{2}}, x_{2}+\frac{n_{1} \beta_{1}-n_{2} \alpha_{1}}{\alpha_{1} \beta_{2}}\right)\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

Now $f$ is $a_{1}, a_{2}$-periodic, so if $\gamma\left[n_{1}, n_{2}\right] \neq 0$ for some integers $n_{1}, n_{2}$, then the term

$$
\gamma\left[n_{1}, n_{2}\right] \delta\left(x_{1}-\alpha_{1}-\frac{n_{1} \beta_{2}}{\alpha_{1} \beta_{2}}, x_{2}+\frac{n_{1} \beta_{1}-n_{2} \alpha_{1}}{\alpha_{1} \beta_{2}}\right)
$$

equals the term

$$
\gamma\left[n_{1}^{\prime}, n_{2}^{\prime}\right] \delta\left(x_{1}-\frac{n_{1}^{\prime} \beta_{2}}{\alpha_{1} \beta_{2}}, x_{2}+\frac{n_{1}^{\prime} \beta_{1}-n_{2}^{\prime} \alpha_{1}}{\alpha_{1} \beta_{2}}\right)
$$

and the term

$$
\gamma\left[n_{1}, n_{2}\right] \delta\left(x_{1}-\beta_{1}-\frac{n_{1} \beta_{2}}{\alpha_{1} \beta_{2}}, x_{2}-\beta_{2}+\frac{n_{1} \beta_{1}-n_{2} \alpha_{1}}{\alpha_{1} \beta_{2}}\right)
$$

equals the term

$$
\gamma\left[n_{1}^{\prime \prime}, n_{2}^{\prime \prime}\right] \delta\left(x_{1}-\frac{n_{1}^{\prime \prime} \beta_{2}}{\alpha_{1} \beta_{2}}, x_{2}+\frac{n_{1}^{\prime \prime} \beta_{1}-n_{2}^{\prime \prime} \alpha_{1}}{\alpha_{1} \beta_{2}}\right)
$$

for some integers $n_{1}^{\prime}, n_{2}^{\prime}, n_{1}^{\prime \prime}, n_{2}^{\prime \prime}$. From the supports of these $\delta$-functions we see that

$$
\alpha_{1}+\frac{n_{1} \beta_{2}}{\alpha_{1} \beta_{2}}=\frac{n_{1}^{\prime} \beta_{2}}{\alpha_{1} \beta_{2}}
$$

i.e.,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha_{1}^{2}=n_{1}^{\prime}-n_{1} \\
& \alpha_{1}^{2}=N_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

for some $N_{1}=1,2, \cdots$. Likewise, we see in turn that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& n_{1} \beta_{1}-n_{2} \alpha_{1}=n_{1}^{\prime} \beta_{1}-n_{2}^{\prime} \alpha_{1} \\
& \left(n_{1}^{\prime}-n_{1}\right) \beta_{1}=\left(n_{2}^{\prime}-n_{2}\right) \alpha_{1} \\
& \alpha_{1}^{2} \beta_{1}=\left(n_{2}^{\prime}-n_{2}\right) \alpha_{1} \\
& \alpha_{1} \beta_{1}=n_{2}^{\prime}-n_{2}=M
\end{aligned}
$$

for some $M=0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \cdots$, and analogously

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \beta_{1}+\frac{n_{1} \beta_{2}}{\alpha_{1} \beta_{2}}=\frac{n_{1}^{\prime \prime} \beta_{2}}{\alpha_{1} \beta_{2}} \\
& \alpha_{1} \beta_{1}=n_{1}^{\prime \prime}-n_{1}=M
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \beta_{2}-\frac{n_{1} \beta_{1}-n_{2} \alpha_{1}}{\alpha_{1} \beta_{2}}=-\frac{n_{1}^{\prime \prime} \beta_{1}-n_{2}^{\prime \prime} \alpha_{1}}{\alpha_{1} \beta_{2}} \\
& \beta_{2}^{2}+\left(n_{1}^{\prime \prime}-n_{1}\right) \frac{\beta_{1}}{\alpha_{1}}=n_{2}^{\prime \prime}-n_{2} \\
& \beta_{2}^{2}+\alpha_{1} \beta_{1} \frac{\beta_{1}}{\alpha_{1}}=n_{2}^{\prime \prime}-n_{2} \\
& \beta_{2}^{2}+\beta_{1}^{2}=N_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

for some $N_{2}=1,2, \cdots$. Using these expressions we can now write

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha_{1}=\sqrt{N_{1}}, \beta_{1}=\frac{M}{\sqrt{N_{1}}} \\
& \beta_{2}=\frac{\sqrt{N_{1} N_{2}-M^{2}}}{\sqrt{N_{1}}} \\
& a_{1}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{N_{1}}}\left(N_{1}, 0\right)^{\mathrm{T}} \\
& a_{2}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{N_{1}}}\left(M, \sqrt{N_{1} N_{2}-M^{2}}\right)^{\mathrm{T}} \\
& A_{1}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{N_{1}\left(N_{1} N_{2}-M^{2}\right)}}\left(\sqrt{N_{1} N_{2}-M^{2}},-M\right)^{\mathrm{T}} \\
& A_{2}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{N_{1}\left(N_{1} N_{2}-M^{2}\right)}}\left(0, N_{1}\right)^{\mathrm{T}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where, in view of (16)-(19)

$$
N_{1} \leq N_{2}, \quad 0 \leq M<N_{1}
$$

and

$$
\left\|a_{1}\right\|=\sqrt{N_{1}}, \quad\left\|a_{2}\right\|=\sqrt{N_{2}}
$$

From (21), (23) we also have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \gamma\left[n_{1}, n_{2}\right]=\sum_{k_{1} A_{1}+k_{2} A_{2} \in \mathcal{U}}\left\{\Gamma\left[k_{1}, k_{2}\right]\right.  \tag{25}\\
& \left.\quad e^{2 \pi i\left\{N_{2} n_{1} k_{1}-M\left(n_{1} k_{2}+n_{2} k_{1}\right)+N_{1} n_{2} k_{2} /\left(N_{2} N_{1}-M^{2}\right)\right\}}\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& F(s)= \sum_{k_{1}=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{k_{2}=-\infty}^{\infty} \Gamma\left[k_{1}, k_{2}\right] \delta\left(s-k_{1} A_{1}-k_{2} A_{2}\right) \\
&= \sum_{k_{1}=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{k_{2}=-\infty}^{\infty}\left\{\Gamma [ k _ { 1 } , k _ { 2 } ] \cdot \delta \left(s_{1}-\frac{k_{1}}{\sqrt{N_{1}}}\right.\right.  \tag{26}\\
&\left.\left.s_{2}+\frac{k_{1} M-k_{2} N_{1}}{\sqrt{N_{1}\left(N_{1} N_{2}-M^{2}\right)}}\right)\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

We will now consider separately the cases $M=0, M>0$.

Case $M=0$
When $M=0$ the vectors $a_{1}, a_{2}$ are orthogonal and $f$ has the corresponding periods

$$
\alpha_{1}=\sqrt{N_{1}}, \beta_{2}=\sqrt{N_{2}},
$$

along the $x$-axis and $y$-axis, respectively. The function $\gamma$ is represented by the synthesis equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma\left[n_{1}, n_{2}\right]=\sum_{k_{1}=0}^{N_{1}-1 N_{2}=0} \sum_{k_{2}-1}\left\{\Gamma\left[k_{1}, k_{2}\right] \cdot \mathrm{e}^{2 \pi i\left(n_{1} k_{1} / N_{1}+n_{2} k_{2} / N_{2}\right)}\right\}, \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

and by using (24) and (26), in turn we write

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F\left(s_{1}, s_{2}\right) \\
& =\sum_{k_{1}=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{k_{2}=-\infty}^{\infty}\left\{\Gamma\left[k_{1}, k_{2}\right] \cdot \delta\left(s_{1}-\frac{k_{1}}{\sqrt{N_{1}}}, s_{2}-\frac{k_{2}}{\sqrt{N_{2}}}\right)\right\} \\
& =\lambda f\left(s_{1}, s_{2}\right)=\frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{N_{1} N_{2}}} \sum_{n_{1}=-\infty n_{2}=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum^{\infty}\left\{\gamma\left[n_{1}, n_{2}\right]\right. \\
& \left.\quad \cdot \delta\left(s_{1}-\frac{k_{1}}{\sqrt{N_{1}}}, s_{2}-\frac{k_{2}}{\sqrt{N_{2}}}\right)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

In this way we conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma\left[k_{1}, k_{2}\right]=\frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{N_{1} N_{2}}} \gamma\left[k_{1}, k_{2}\right] \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus $\gamma$ must be an eigenvector of the bivariate discrete Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}_{N_{1}, N_{2}}$ associated with the eigenvalue $\frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{N_{1} N_{2}}},(\lambda=1,-i,-1$, or $+i)$. Since $\gamma$ is an $N_{1}, N_{2}$-periodic sequence of complex numbers, we can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(x)= & \sum_{m_{1}=-\infty m_{2}=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n_{1}=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n_{2}=0}^{N_{1}-1 N_{2}-1}\left\{\gamma\left[n_{1}, n_{2}\right]\right. \\
& \left.\cdot \delta\left(x_{1}-\frac{n_{1}}{\sqrt{N_{1}}}-m_{1} \sqrt{N_{1}}, x_{2}-\frac{n_{2}}{\sqrt{N_{2}}}-m_{2} \sqrt{N_{2}}\right)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Case $M \neq 0$

We observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{1}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{N_{1}}}\left(N_{1}, 0\right)^{\mathrm{T}}, \\
& \begin{aligned}
N_{1} a_{2}-M a_{1} & =\sqrt{N_{1}}\left(M, \sqrt{N_{1} N_{2}-M^{2}}\right)^{\mathrm{T}}-M\left(\sqrt{N_{1}}, 0\right)^{\mathrm{T}} \\
& =\frac{1}{\sqrt{N_{1}}}\left(0, N_{1} \sqrt{N_{1} N_{2}-M^{2}}\right)^{\mathrm{T}} .
\end{aligned}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $f$ is $a_{1}, a_{2}$-periodic, then $f$ is also $a_{1}, N_{1} a_{2}-M a_{1}$-periodic. Thus $f$ has the periods

$$
\alpha_{1}=\sqrt{N_{1}}, \text { and } \beta_{2}^{\prime}=\sqrt{N_{1}\left(N_{1} N_{2}-M^{2}\right)}
$$

along the $x$-axis and the $y$-axis, respectively, a situation covered by the analysis from the $M=0$ case. In this way we prove
Theorem 2. Let the generalized function $f$ on $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ be an $a_{1}, a_{2}$-periodic eigenfunction of the Fourier transform operator $\mathcal{F}$ with eigenvalue $\lambda=1,-i,-1$, or $+i$. Assume that the linearly independent periods $a_{1}, a_{2}$ from $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ have been chosen as small as possible subject to the constraint that $0<\left\|a_{1}\right\| \leq\left\|a_{2}\right\|$. Then there are positive integers $N_{1} \leq N_{2}$ such that

$$
\left\|a_{1}\right\|=\sqrt{N_{1}},\left\|a_{2}\right\|=\sqrt{N_{2}}
$$

and there is a nonnegative integer $M<N_{1}$ such that $a_{1}$ is orthogonal to

$$
a_{2}^{\prime}:=N_{1} a_{2}-M a_{1}
$$

with

$$
\left\|a_{2}^{\prime}\right\|=\sqrt{N_{2}^{\prime}}, N_{2}^{\prime}:=N_{1}\left(N_{1} N_{2}-M^{2}\right)
$$

The generalized function $f$ is $a_{1}, a_{2}^{\prime}$-periodic and there is an orthogonal transformation $Q$ such that

$$
f_{Q}(x):=f(Q x)
$$

is $\left(\sqrt{N_{1}}, 0\right)^{\mathrm{T}},\left(0, \sqrt{N_{2}^{\prime}}\right)^{\mathrm{T}}$-periodic with the representation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{Q}(x)=\sum_{m_{1}=-\infty m_{2}=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n_{1}=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n_{2}=0}^{N_{1}-1 N_{2}^{\prime}-1} \gamma\left[n_{1}, n_{2}\right] \\
& \cdot \delta\left(x_{1}-\frac{n_{1}}{\sqrt{N_{1}}}-m_{1} \sqrt{N_{1}}, x_{2}-\frac{n_{2}}{\sqrt{N_{2}^{\prime}}}-m_{2} \sqrt{N_{2}^{\prime}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Here $\gamma$ is an eigenfunction of $\mathcal{F}_{N_{1}, N_{2}^{\prime}}$ with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\mathcal{F}_{N_{1}, N_{2}^{\prime}} \gamma\right)\left[k_{1}, k_{2}\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{N_{1} N_{2}^{\prime}} \sum_{n_{1}=0}^{N_{1}-1} \sum_{n_{2}=0}^{N_{2}^{\prime}-1} \gamma\left[n_{1}, n_{2}\right] \cdot \mathrm{e}^{-2 \pi i\left(k_{1} n_{1} / N_{1}+k_{2} n_{2} / N_{2}^{\prime}\right)} \\
& =\frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{N_{1} N_{2}^{\prime}}} \gamma\left[k_{1}, k_{2}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

for $0 \leq k_{1} \leq N_{1}-1,0 \leq k_{2} \leq N_{2}^{\prime}-1$.
Note that the $N_{1} N_{2}$ normalized eigenfunctions $\gamma$ denoted by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{N_{1}, r_{1}, \mu_{1} ; N_{2}, r_{2}, \mu_{2}}\left[n_{1}, n_{2}\right]:=f_{N_{1}, r_{1}, \mu_{1}}\left[n_{1}\right] \cdot f_{N_{2}, r_{2}, \mu_{2}}\left[n_{2}\right], \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\mu_{k}=1, \cdots, M_{r_{k}}\left(N_{k}\right), k=1,2$ of $\mathcal{F}_{N_{1}, N_{2}}$ serve as an orthonormal basis for the $N_{1} N_{2}$ dimensional space $\mathbb{P}_{N_{1}, N_{2}}$ of $N_{1}, N_{2}$-periodic discrete real valued functions. Here (29) has the corresponding eigenvalue

$$
\lambda=\frac{(-i)^{r_{1}}}{\sqrt{N_{1}}} \frac{(-i)^{r_{2}}}{\sqrt{N_{2}}}, r_{1}, r_{2}=0,1,2,3 .
$$

Theorem 3. Let the generalized function $f$ on $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ be an $a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}$-periodic eigenfunction of the Fourier transform operator $\mathcal{F}$ with eigenvalue $\lambda=1,-i,-1$, or $+i$. Assume that the linearly independent periods $a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}$ from $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ have been chosen as small as possible subject to the constraint that
$0<\left\|a_{1}\right\| \leq\left\|a_{2}\right\| \leq\left\|a_{3}\right\|$. Then there are positive integers $N_{1} \leq N_{2} \leq N_{3}$ such that

$$
\left\|a_{1}\right\|=\sqrt{N_{1}},\left\|a_{2}\right\|=\sqrt{N_{2}},\left\|a_{3}\right\|=\sqrt{N_{3}}
$$

and there are nonnegative integers

$$
0 \leq M_{1}<N_{1}, 0 \leq M_{2}<N_{1}, 0 \leq M_{3}<N_{1}+N_{2}
$$

such that $a_{1}$,

$$
a_{2}^{\prime}:=N_{1} a_{2}-M_{1} a_{1},
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{3}^{\prime}:=N_{1} & {\left[\left(M_{1} M_{3}-N_{2} M_{2}\right) a_{1}-\left(N_{1} M_{3}-M_{1} M_{2}\right) a_{2}\right.} \\
& \left.+\left(N_{1} N_{2}-M_{1}^{2}\right) a_{3}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

are pairwisely orthogonal with

$$
\left\|a_{2}^{\prime}\right\|=\sqrt{N_{2}^{\prime}},\left\|a_{3}^{\prime}\right\|=\sqrt{N_{3}^{\prime}}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
N_{2}^{\prime}:=N_{1}\left(N_{1} N_{2}-M_{1}^{2}\right), \\
N_{3}^{\prime}:=N_{1}^{2}\left(N_{1} N_{2}-M_{1}^{2}\right)\left[N_{1} N_{2} N_{3}+2 M_{1} M_{2} M_{3}\right. \\
\left.-\left(N_{1} M_{3}^{2}+N_{2} M_{2}^{2}+N_{3} M_{1}^{2}\right)\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

The generalized function $f$ is $a_{1}, a_{2}^{\prime}, a_{3}^{\prime}$-periodic, and there is an orthogonal transformation $Q$ such that

$$
f_{Q}(x):=f(Q x)
$$

is

$$
\left(\sqrt{N_{1}}, 0,0\right)^{\mathrm{T}},\left(0, \sqrt{N_{2}^{\prime}}, 0\right)^{\mathrm{T}},\left(0,0, \sqrt{N_{3}^{\prime}}\right)^{\mathrm{T}}
$$

-periodic with the representation

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{Q}(x)=\sum_{m_{1}, m_{2}, m_{3}=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n_{1}=0}^{N_{1}-1} \sum_{n_{2}=0}^{N_{2}^{\prime}-1 \sum_{n_{3}=0}^{\prime}-1}\left\{\gamma\left[n_{1}, n_{2}, n_{3}\right] \cdot \delta\left(x_{1}-\frac{n_{1}}{\sqrt{N_{1}}}-m_{1} \sqrt{N_{1}}, x_{2}-\frac{n_{2}}{\sqrt{N_{2}^{\prime}}}-m_{2} \sqrt{N_{2}^{\prime}}, x_{3}-\frac{n_{3}}{\sqrt{N_{3}^{\prime}}}-m_{3} \sqrt{N_{3}^{\prime}}\right)\right\} . \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here

$$
\left(\mathcal{F}_{N_{1}, N_{2}^{\prime}, N_{3}} \gamma\right)\left[k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3}\right]=\sum_{n_{1}=0 n_{2}=0 n_{3}=0}^{N_{1}-1 N_{2}-1 N_{3}^{\prime}-1}\left\{\sigma \cdot \gamma\left[n_{1}, n_{2}, n_{3}\right] \cdot \mathrm{e}^{-2 \pi i\left(k_{1} n_{1} / N_{1}+k_{2} n_{2} / N_{2}^{\prime}+k_{3} n_{3} / N_{3}^{\prime}\right)}\right\}=\frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{N_{1} N_{2}^{\prime} N_{3}^{\prime}}} \gamma\left[k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3}\right]
$$

where

$$
\sigma=\frac{1}{N_{1} N_{2}^{\prime} N_{3}^{\prime}}
$$

for

$$
0 \leq k_{1} \leq N_{1}-1,0 \leq k_{2} \leq N_{2}^{\prime}-1,
$$

and

$$
0 \leq k_{3} \leq N_{3}^{\prime}-1 .
$$

### 2.2. Some Quasiperiodic Eigenfunctions of the Fourier Transform Operator on $\mathbb{R}^{2}$

In this section we will construct some quasiperiodic eigenfunctions of the Fourier transform operator. A generalized function $f$ is said to be quasiperiodic if the Fourier transform $f^{\wedge}$ is a weighted sum of Dirac $\delta$ functionals with isolated support [10].

Lemma 1 Let $a_{1}, a_{2}$ be linearly independent vectors in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$. If

$$
\left|\operatorname{det}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
a_{1} & a_{2}
\end{array}\right]\right|=1
$$

and $\operatorname{grid}_{a_{1}, a_{2}}$ is distinct from $\operatorname{grid}_{a_{1}, a_{2}}^{\wedge}$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
f_{+}(x) & :=\operatorname{grid}_{a_{1}, a_{2}}(x)+\operatorname{grid}_{a_{1}, a_{2}}^{\wedge}(x)  \tag{31}\\
f_{-}(x) & :=\operatorname{grid}_{a_{1}, a_{2}}(x)-\operatorname{grid}_{a_{1}, a_{2}}^{\wedge}(x) \tag{32}
\end{align*}
$$

are eigenfunctions of the Fourier transform operator $\mathcal{F}$ associated with $\lambda=1, \lambda=-1$, respectively.

Quasiperiodic eigenfunctions of $\mathcal{F}$ on $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ with $\boldsymbol{m}$-fold rotational symmetry.

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha=1 / \sqrt{\sin \left(\frac{2 \pi}{n}\right)} \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $n=3,4, \cdots$, and let

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{k}=\alpha(\cos (2 \pi k / n), \sin (2 \pi k / n))^{\mathrm{T}} \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $0 \leq k \leq n-1$, be the vertices of a regular $n-g o n$ with center at the origin. The parameter $\alpha$ has been chosen so that

$$
\operatorname{det}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
a_{k} & a_{k+1}
\end{array}\right]=1
$$

for each $k=1,2, \cdots, n-1$. Thus

$$
\operatorname{grid}_{a_{k}, a_{k+1}}^{\wedge}=\operatorname{grid}_{Q a_{k}, Q a_{k+1}}, k=0,1, \cdots, n-1
$$

(with $a_{n}:=a_{0}$ ) where

$$
Q=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & -1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

is a quarter turn rotation. We will use this fact to generate quasiperiodic eigenfunctions of $\mathcal{F}$ on $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ with rotational symmetry.

We will now construct a family of quasiperiodic eigenfunctions of $\mathcal{F}$ that have rotational symmetry. Let $n=3,4, \cdots$, and $a_{k}, k=0,1,2, \cdots, n-1$ be given by (34), let $\alpha$ be given by (33), and let

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{n+}(x):=\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \operatorname{grid}_{a_{k}, a_{k+1}}(x)+\operatorname{grid}_{a_{k}, a_{k+1}}^{\wedge}(x), \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{n-}(x):=\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \operatorname{grid}_{a_{k}, a_{k+1}}(x)-\operatorname{grid}_{a_{k}, a_{k+1}}^{\wedge}(x) \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

(with $a_{n}:=a_{0}$ ). Figures 1 and 2 show representations of such eigenfunctions with $n=5$ and $n=7$ respectively. Filled circles correspond to negatively scaled Dirac $\delta$ 's, and unfilled circles correspond to positively scaled Dirac $\delta$ 's. The radius of each circle is proportional to the square root of the modulus of the scale factor for the corresponding $\delta$. By construction,

$$
f_{n+}^{\wedge}=f_{n+} \text { and } f_{n-}^{\wedge}=-f_{n-} .
$$

## 3. Representation of Some Quasiperiodic Eigenfunctions



Figure 1. (a) $f_{5-}$; (b) $f_{5+}$; The quasiperiodic eigenfunctions $f_{5-}$ with $\mathbf{1 0}$-fold rotational symmetry, and $f_{5+}$ with 20 -fold rotational symmetry for the Fourier transform operator $\mathcal{F}$ with respectively $\lambda=-1$, and $\lambda=1$.


Figure 2. (a) $f_{7-}$; (b) $f_{7+}$; The quasiperiodic eigenfunctions $f_{7-}$ with 14 -fold rotational symmetry, and $f_{7+}$ with 28 -fold rotational symmetry for the Fourier transform operator $\mathcal{F}$ with respectively $\lambda=-1$, and $\lambda=1$.
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