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#### Abstract

In this paper, we consider the dual risk model in which periodic taxation are paid according to a loss-carry-forward system and dividends are paid under a threshold strategy. We give an analytical approach to derive the expression of $g_{\delta}(u)$ (i.e. the Laplace transform of the first upper exit time). We discuss the expected discounted tax payments for this model and obtain its corresponding integro-differential equations. Finally, for Erlang (2) inter-innovation distribution, closedform expressions for the expected discounted tax payments are given.
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## 1. Introduction

Consider the surplus process of an insurance portfolio

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(t)=u-c t+S(t) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is dual to the classical Cramér-Lundberg model in risk theory that describes the surplus at time $t$, where $u \geq 0$ is the initial capital, the constant $c>0$ is the rate of expenses, and $S(t):=\sum_{i=1}^{N(t)} Y_{i}$ is aggregate profits process with the innovation number process $N(t)$ being a renewal process whose inter-innovation times $T_{i}(i=1,2, \cdots)$ have common distribution $F$. We also assume that the innovation sizes $\left\{Y_{i}, i \geq 1\right\}$, independent of $\left\{T_{i}, i \geq 1\right\}$, forms a sequence of i.i.d. exponentially distributed random variables with exponential parameter $\beta(>0)$. There are many possible interpretations for this model. For example, we can treat the surplus as the amount of capital of a business engaged in research and development. The company pays expenses for research, and occasional profit of random amounts arises according to a Poisson process.

Due to its practical importance, the issue of dividend strategies has received remarkable attention in the literature. De Finetti [1] considered the surplus of the com-

[^0]pany that is a discrete process and showed that the optimal strategy to maximize the expectation of the discounted dividends must be a barrier strategy. Since then, researches on dividend strategies has been carried out extensively. For some related results, the reader may consult the following publications therein: Bühlmann [2], Gerber [3], Gerber and Shiu [4,5], Lin et al. [6], Lin and pavlova [7], Dickson and Waters [8], Albrecher et al. [9], Dong et al. [10] and Ng [11]. Recently, quite a few interesting papers have been discussing risk models with tax payments of loss carry forward type. Albrecher et al. [12] investigated how the loss-carry forward tax payments affect the behavior of the dual process (1.1) with general inter-innovation times and exponential innovation sizes. More results can be seen in Albrecher and Hipp [13], Albrecher et al. [14], Ming et al. [15], Wang and Hu [16] and Liu et al. [17,18].

Now, we consider the model (1.1) under the additional assumption that tax payments are deducted according to a loss-carry forward system and dividends are paid under a threshold strategy. We rewrite the objective process as $\left\{R_{\gamma, b}(t), t \geq 0\right\}$. that is, the insurance company pays tax at rate $\gamma \in[0,1)$ on the excess of each new record high of the surplus over the previous one; at the same time, dividends are paid at a constant rate $\alpha$ whenever the surplus of an insurance portfolio is more than $b$ and otherwise no dividends are paid. Then the surplus process of our model $\left\{R_{\gamma, b}(t), t \geq 0\right\}$ can be expressed as
for $t \geq 0$, with $R_{\gamma, b}(0)=u$. where $\mathbf{1}_{\{A\}}$ is the indicator function of event $A$ and $R_{\gamma, b}\left(t^{-}\right)$is the surplus immediately before time $t$.
For practical consideration, we assume that the positive safety loading condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
c<E\left(Y_{1}\right) / E\left(T_{1}\right) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds all through this paper. The time of ruin is defined as $T_{\gamma, b}=\inf \left\{t \geq 0: R_{\gamma, b}(t) \leq 0\right\}$ with $T_{\gamma, b}=\infty$ if $R_{\gamma, b}(t)>0$ for all $t \geq 0$.
For initial surplus $u>0$, let $D=\int_{0}^{T_{\gamma}, b} \mathrm{e}^{-\delta t} \mathrm{~d} D(t)$ be the present value of all dividends until ruin, and $\delta>0$ is the discount factor. Denote by $V_{\gamma}(u, b)$ the expectation of $D$, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{\gamma}(u, b)=E\left[D \mid R_{\gamma, b}(0)=u\right] . \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

It needs to be mentioned that we shall drop the subscript $\gamma$ whenever $\gamma$ is zero.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Sec-
tion 2 , We derive the expression of $g_{\delta}(u)$ (i.e. the Laplace transform of the first upper exit time). We also discuss the expected discounted tax payments for this model and obtain its satisfied integro-differential equations. Finally, for Erlang (2) inter-innovation distribution, closed-form expressions for the the expected discounted tax payments are given.

## 2. Main Results and Proofs

Let $g\left(u, u_{0}\right):=E_{u}\left[\mathrm{e}^{-\delta \tau\left(u, 0, u_{0}\right)}\right]$ denote the Laplace transform of the upper exit time $\tau\left(u, 0, u_{0}\right)$, which is the time until the risk process $\left\{R_{b}(t), t>0\right\}$ starting with initial capital $u\left(\leq u_{0}\right)$ up-crosses the level $u_{0}(\geq b)$ for the first time without leading to ruin before that event. In particular, $g_{0}\left(u, u_{0}\right):=\lim _{\delta \downarrow 0}\left(u, u_{0}\right)$ is the probability that the process $\left\{R_{b}(t), t>0\right\}$ up-crosses the level $u_{0}(\geq b)$ before ruin.

For general innovation waiting times distribution, one can derive the integral equations for $g\left(u, u_{0}\right)$. When $u<b$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(u, u_{0}\right)=\int_{0}^{u /(c-\alpha)} \mathrm{e}^{-\delta t} f_{T_{1}}(t) \mathrm{d} t\left\{\int_{0}^{u_{0}-u+(c-\alpha) t} g\left(u-(c-\alpha) t+y, u_{0}\right) \beta \mathrm{e}^{-\beta y} \mathrm{~d} y+\int_{u_{0}-u+(c-\alpha) t}^{\infty} \beta \mathrm{e}^{-\beta y} \mathrm{~d} y\right\} . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $b \leq u \leq u_{0}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& g\left(u, u_{0}\right) \\
& =\int_{0}^{(u-b) / c} \mathrm{e}^{-\delta t} f_{T_{1}}(t) \mathrm{d} t\left\{\int_{0}^{u_{0}-u+c t} g\left(u-c t+y, u_{0}\right) \beta \mathrm{e}^{-\beta y} \mathrm{~d} y+\mathrm{e}^{-\beta\left(u_{0}-u+c t\right)}\right\}+\int_{(u-b) / c}^{(u-b) / c+b /(c-\alpha)} \mathrm{e}^{-\delta t} f_{T_{1}}(t) \mathrm{d} t  \tag{2.2}\\
& \quad \times\left\{\int_{0}^{u_{0}-b+(c-\alpha)(t-(u-b) / c)} g\left(u-c t+y, u_{0}\right) \beta \mathrm{e}^{-\beta y} \mathrm{~d} y+\mathrm{e}^{-\beta\left(u_{0}-b+(c-\alpha)(t-(u-b) / c)\right)}\right\} .
\end{align*}
$$

It follows from Equation (2.1) and from Equation (2.2) that $g\left(u, u_{0}\right)$ is continuous on $\left(0, u_{0}\right)$ as a function of $u$ and that

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(0^{+}, u_{0}\right)=0, g\left(b^{+}, u_{0}\right)=g\left(b^{-}, u_{0}\right) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

For certain distributions $F_{T_{1}}$, one can derive integrodifferential equations for $g\left(u, u_{0}\right)$ and $V(u, b)$. Let us assume that the i.i.d innovation waiting times have a common generalized Erlang $(n)$ distribution, i.e. the
$T_{i}$ 's are distributed as the sum of $n$ independent and exponentially distributed r.v.'s $S_{n}:=\eta_{1}+\eta_{2}+\cdots+\eta_{n}$ with $\eta_{i}$ having exponential parameters $\lambda_{i}>0$.

The following theorem 2.1 gives the integro-differential equations for $g\left(u, u_{0}\right)$ when $T_{i}$ 's have a generalized Erlang $(n)$ distribution.

Theorem 2.1 Let $\mathbf{I}$ and $\mathbf{D}$ denote the identity operator and differentiation operator respectively. Then $g\left(u, u_{0}\right)$ satisfies the following equation for $0<u<b$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \prod_{k=1}^{n}\left[\left(1+\frac{\delta}{\lambda_{k}}\right) \mathbf{I}+\frac{c-\alpha}{\lambda_{k}} \mathbf{D}\right] g\left(u, u_{0}\right)  \tag{2.4}\\
& =\int_{0}^{u_{0}-u} g\left(u+y, u_{0}\right) \beta \mathrm{e}^{-\beta y} \mathrm{~d} y+\mathrm{e}^{-\beta\left(u_{0}-u\right)},
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \prod_{k=1}^{n}\left[\left(1+\frac{\delta}{\lambda_{k}}\right) \mathbf{I}+\frac{c}{\lambda_{k}} \mathbf{D}\right] g\left(u, u_{0}\right)  \tag{2.5}\\
& =\int_{0}^{u_{0}-u} g\left(u+y, u_{0}\right) \beta \mathrm{e}^{-\beta y} \mathrm{~d} y+\mathrm{e}^{-\beta\left(u_{0}-u\right)}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{n}\left(u, u_{0}\right)=\int_{0}^{u /(c-\alpha)} \lambda_{n} \mathrm{e}^{-\left(\lambda_{n}+\delta\right) t} \mathrm{~d} t\left\{\int_{0}^{u_{0}-u+(c-\alpha) t} g\left(u-(c-\alpha) t+y, u_{0}\right) \beta \mathrm{e}^{-\beta y} \mathrm{~d} y+\int_{u_{0}-u+(c-\alpha) t}^{\infty} \beta \mathrm{e}^{-\beta y} \mathrm{~d} y\right\} . \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

By changing variables in from Equation (2.6) and from Equation (2.7), we have for $0<u<b$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{k}\left(u, u_{0}\right)=\int_{0}^{u} \frac{\lambda_{k}}{c-\alpha} \mathrm{e}^{-\left(\lambda_{k}+\delta\right) \frac{u-x}{c-\alpha}} g_{k+1}\left(x, u_{0}\right) \mathrm{d} x \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $k=1,2, \cdots, n-1$, and

$$
\begin{align*}
& g_{n}\left(u, u_{0}\right)=\int_{0}^{u} \frac{\lambda_{n}}{c-\alpha} \mathrm{e}^{-\left(\lambda_{n}+\delta\right) \frac{u-x}{c-\alpha}} \mathrm{d} x \\
& \cdot\left[\int_{0}^{u_{0}-x} g\left(x+y, u_{0}\right) \beta \mathrm{e}^{-\beta y} \mathrm{~d} y+\int_{u_{0}-x}^{\infty} \beta \mathrm{e}^{-\beta y} \mathrm{~d} y\right] \tag{2.9}
\end{align*}
$$

Then, differentiating both sides of from Equation (2.8) and from Equation (2.9) with respect to $u$, one gets

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\left(1+\frac{\delta}{\lambda_{k}}\right) \mathbf{I}+\frac{c-\alpha}{\lambda_{k}} \mathbf{D}\right] g_{k}\left(u, u_{0}\right)=g_{k+1}\left(u, u_{0}\right) \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $k=1,2, \cdots, n-1$, and

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\left(1+\frac{\delta}{\lambda_{n}}\right) \mathbf{I}+\frac{c-\alpha}{\lambda_{n}} \mathbf{D}\right] g_{n}\left(u, u_{0}\right)}  \tag{2.11}\\
& =\int_{0}^{u_{0}-u} g\left(u+y, u_{0}\right) \beta \mathrm{e}^{-\beta y} \mathrm{~d} y+\mathrm{e}^{-\beta\left(u_{0}-u\right)}
\end{align*}
$$

Using from Equation (2.10) and from Equation (2.11), we can derive from Equation (2.4) for $g\left(u, u_{0}\right)$ on $(0, b)$.

Similar to from Equation (2.6) and Equation (2.7), we have for $u \geq b$
for $u \geq b$.
Proof First, we rewrite $g\left(u, u_{0}\right)$ as $g_{k}\left(u, u_{0}\right)$ when $T_{i} \stackrel{d}{=} S_{n}-S_{k-1}$ with $S_{0}=0$ in the surplus process (1.2) with $\gamma=0$. Thus, we have $g_{1}\left(u, u_{0}\right)=g\left(u, u_{0}\right)$. When $0<u<b$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& g_{k}\left(u, u_{0}\right) \\
& =\int_{0}^{u /(c-\alpha)} \lambda_{k} \mathrm{e}^{-\left(\lambda_{k}+\delta\right) t} g_{k+1}\left(u-(c-\alpha) t, u_{0}\right) \mathrm{d} t \tag{2.6}
\end{align*}
$$

for $k=1,2, \cdots, n-1$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{k}\left(u, u_{0}\right)=\int_{0}^{(u-b) / c} \lambda_{k} \mathrm{e}^{-\left(\lambda_{k}+\delta\right) t} g_{k+1}\left(u-c t, u_{0}\right) \mathrm{d} t+\int_{(u-b) / c}^{(u-b) / c+b /(c-\alpha)} \lambda_{k} \mathrm{e}^{-\left(\lambda_{k}+\delta\right) t} g_{k+1}\left(b-(c-\alpha)(t-(u-b) / c), u_{0}\right) \mathrm{d} t \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $k=1,2, \cdots, n-1$, and

$$
\begin{align*}
& g_{n}\left(u, u_{0}\right) \\
& =\int_{0}^{(u-b) / c} \lambda_{n} \mathrm{e}^{-\left(\lambda_{n}+\delta\right) t} \mathrm{~d} t\left\{\int_{0}^{u_{0}-u+c t} g\left(u-c t+y, u_{0}\right) \beta \mathrm{e}^{-\beta y} \mathrm{~d} y+\mathrm{e}^{-\beta\left(u_{0}-u+c t\right)}\right\}+\int_{(u-b) / c}^{(u-b) / c+b /(c-\alpha)} \lambda_{n} \mathrm{e}^{-\left(\lambda_{n}+\delta\right) t} \mathrm{~d} t  \tag{2.13}\\
& \quad \times\left\{\int_{0}^{u_{0}-b+(c-\alpha)(t-(u-b) / c)} g\left(b-(c-\alpha)(t-(u-b) / c)+y, u_{0}\right) \beta \mathrm{e}^{-\beta y} \mathrm{~d} y+\mathrm{e}^{-\beta\left(u_{0}-b+(c-\alpha)(t-(u-b) / c)\right)}\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

Again, by changing variables in Equation (2.12) and Equation (2.13) and then differentiating them with respect to $u$, we obtain for $u \geq b$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\left(1+\frac{\delta}{\lambda_{k}}\right) \mathbf{I}+\frac{c}{\lambda_{k}} \mathbf{D}\right] g_{k}\left(u, u_{0}\right)=g_{k+1}\left(u, u_{0}\right), \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $k=1,2, \cdots, n-1$, and

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\left(1+\frac{\delta}{\lambda_{n}}\right) \mathbf{I}+\frac{c}{\lambda_{n}} \mathbf{D}\right] g_{n}\left(u, u_{0}\right)}  \tag{2.15}\\
& =\int_{0}^{u_{0}-u} g\left(u+y, u_{0}\right) \beta \mathrm{e}^{-\beta y} \mathrm{~d} y+\mathrm{e}^{-\beta\left(u_{0}-u\right)}
\end{align*}
$$

Using Equation (2.14) and Equation (2.15), we obtain Equation (2.5) for $g\left(u, u_{0}\right)$ on $[b, \infty) . \square$

It needs to be mentioned that from the proof of Lemma 2.1, we know that

$$
g_{k}\left(0^{+}, u_{0}\right)=0, g_{k}\left(b^{+}, u_{0}\right)=g_{k}\left(b^{-}, u_{0}\right), k=2,3, \cdots, n
$$

Therefore, Equations (2.10), (2.11), (2.14) and (2.15) yield

$$
\begin{align*}
& \prod_{i=1}^{k}\left[\left(1+\frac{\delta}{\lambda_{i}}\right) \mathbf{I}+\frac{c}{\lambda_{i}} \mathbf{D}\right] g\left(b^{+}, u_{0}\right) \\
& =\prod_{i=1}^{k}\left[\left(1+\frac{\delta}{\lambda_{i}}\right) \mathbf{I}+\frac{c-\alpha}{\lambda_{i}} \mathbf{D}\right] g\left(b^{-}, u_{0}\right)  \tag{2.16}\\
& k=1,2, \cdots, n
\end{align*}
$$

Remark 2.1 Using a similar argument to the one used in Lemma 2.1, one can get that when the innovation waiting times follow a common generalized Erlang $(n)$ distribution, the expected discounted dividend payments $V(u, b)$ satisfies the following integro-differential equation (see Liu et al. [17]).

$$
\begin{align*}
& \prod_{k=1}^{n}\left[\left(1+\frac{\delta}{\lambda_{k}}\right) \mathbf{I}+\frac{c-\alpha}{\lambda_{k}} \mathbf{D}\right] V(u, b)  \tag{2.17}\\
& =\int_{0}^{\infty} V(u+y, b) \beta \mathrm{e}^{-\beta y} \mathrm{~d} y, 0<u<b
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \prod_{k=1}^{n}\left[\left(1+\frac{\delta}{\lambda_{k}}\right) \mathbf{I}+\frac{c}{\lambda_{k}} \mathbf{D}\right] V(u, b)  \tag{2.18}\\
& =\int_{0}^{\infty} V(u+y, b) \beta \mathrm{e}^{-\beta y} \mathrm{~d} y+B_{n}, u \geq b
\end{align*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{k}=\sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{\alpha}{\lambda_{i}+\delta} \prod_{j=i+1}^{k}\left(1+\frac{\delta}{\lambda_{j}}\right), k=1,2, \cdots, n \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

In addition, the boundary conditions for $V(u, b)$ are as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \prod_{i=1}^{k}\left[\left(1+\frac{\delta}{\lambda_{i}}\right) \mathbf{I}+\frac{c-\alpha}{\lambda_{i}} \mathbf{D}\right] V\left(b^{-}, b\right) \\
& =\prod_{i=1}^{k}\left[\left(1+\frac{\delta}{\lambda_{i}}\right) \mathbf{I}+\frac{c}{\lambda_{i}} \mathbf{D}\right] V\left(b^{+}, b\right)-B_{k} \\
& k=1,2, \cdots, n \\
& \prod_{i=1}^{k}\left[\left(1+\frac{\delta}{\lambda_{i}}\right) \mathbf{I}+\frac{c-\alpha}{\lambda_{i}} \mathbf{D}\right] V\left(0^{+}, b\right)=0 \\
& k=1,2, \cdots, n-1
\end{aligned}
$$

with Equation (2.19).
With the preparations made above, we can now derive analytic expressions of the expected $n$-th moment of the accumulated discounted tax payments for the surplus process $\left\{R_{\gamma, b}(t), t \geq 0\right\}$. We claim that the process $\left\{R_{\gamma, b}(t), t \geq 0\right\}$ shall up-cross the initial surplus level $u$ at least once to avoid ruin.

Now, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{\delta}(u):=E_{u}\left[\mathrm{e}^{-\delta \tau_{u}}\right] \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

denote the Laplace transform of the first upper exit time $\tau_{u}$, which is the time until the risk process
$\left\{R_{b}(t), t>0\right\}$ starting with initial capital $u$ reaches a new record high for the first time without leading to ruin before that event. In particular, $g_{0}(u):=\lim _{\delta \downarrow 0} g_{\delta}(u)$ is the probability that the process $\left\{R_{b}(t), t>0\right\}$ reaches a new record high before ruin. Then the closed-form expression of the quantity $g_{\delta}(u)$ can be calculated as follows.

When $u \geq b, g_{\delta}(u)=g(u, u)$. When $0<u<b$, using a simple sample path argument, we immediately have,

$$
V(u, u)=g_{\delta}(u) \int_{0}^{\infty} \beta \mathrm{e}^{-\beta y} V(u+y, u) \mathrm{d} y
$$

or, equivalently

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{\delta}(u)=\frac{V(u, u)}{\int_{0}^{\infty} \beta \mathrm{e}^{-\beta y} V(u+y, u) \mathrm{d} y} \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\sigma_{0}=0$ and define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{n}=\inf \left\{t>\sigma_{n-1}: R_{\gamma, b}(t) \geq \max _{0 \leq s<t} R_{\gamma, b}(s)\right\} \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

to be the $n$-th taxation time point. Thus,

$$
\begin{align*}
& M_{n}(u, b):=E_{u}\left[\left(D_{\gamma, \delta}\right)^{n}\right] \\
& :=E_{u}\left[\left(\frac{\gamma}{1-\gamma} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathrm{e}^{-\delta \sigma_{n}}\left(R_{\gamma, b}\left(\sigma_{n}\right)-R_{\gamma, b}\left(\sigma_{n-1}\right)\right) \mathbf{1}_{\left\{\sigma_{n}<T_{\gamma, b}\right\}}\right)^{n}\right] \tag{2.25}
\end{align*}
$$

denotes the $n$-th moment of the accumulated discounted tax payments over the life time of the surplus process $\left\{R_{\gamma, b}(t), t \geq 0\right\}$.

We will consider a recursive formula of $M_{n}(u, b)$ in the following theorem 2.2.

Theorem 2.2 When $0<u<b$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{n}(u, b)=\frac{n \gamma}{1-\gamma} g_{n \delta}(u) \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{\beta}{1-\gamma_{u}^{b}\left(1-g_{n \delta}(t)\right) \mathrm{d} t}} \times\left(\int_{b}^{\infty} \frac{M_{n-1}(s)}{g_{(n-1) \delta}(s)} \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{\beta}{1-\gamma_{b}^{s}\left(1-g_{n \delta}(t)\right) \mathrm{d} t}} \mathrm{~d} s+\int_{u}^{b} \frac{M_{n-1}(s)}{g_{(n-1) \delta}(s)} \mathrm{e}^{\frac{\beta}{1-\gamma_{s}^{b} \int\left(1-g_{n \delta}(t)\right) \mathrm{d} t}} \mathrm{~d} s\right) \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

and when $u \geq b$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& M_{n}(u, b) \\
& =\frac{n \gamma}{1-\gamma} g_{n \delta}(u) \int_{u}^{\infty} \frac{M_{n-1}(s)}{g_{(n-1) \delta}(s)} \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{\beta}{1-\gamma_{u}^{s}} \int\left(1-g_{n \delta}(t)\right) \mathrm{d} t} \mathrm{~d} s \tag{2.27}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof Given that the after-tax excess of the surplus level over $u$ at time $\tau_{u}$ is exponentially distributed with mean $(1-\gamma) / \beta$ due to the memoryless property of the exponential distribution. By a probabilistic argument, one easily shows that for $u>0$

$$
\begin{align*}
& M_{n}(u, b) \\
& =g_{n \delta}(u) \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\beta}{1-\gamma} \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{\beta}{1-\gamma} x} E\left[\left(D_{\gamma, \delta}(u+x)+\frac{\gamma}{1-\gamma} x\right)^{n}\right] \mathrm{d} x \\
& =g_{n \delta}(u) \int_{u}^{\infty} \frac{\beta}{1-\gamma} \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{\beta}{1-\gamma}(x-u)} E\left[\left(D_{\gamma, \delta}(x)+\frac{\gamma}{1-\gamma}(x-u)\right)^{n}\right] \mathrm{d} x \tag{2.28}
\end{align*}
$$

Differentiating with respect to $u$ yields

$$
\begin{align*}
& M_{n}^{\prime}(u, b) \\
& =\left(\frac{g_{n \delta}^{\prime}}{g_{n \delta}(u)}+\frac{\beta}{1-\gamma}\left(1-g_{n \delta}(u)\right)\right) M_{n}(u, b) \\
& -\frac{n \gamma}{1-\gamma} g_{n \delta}(u) \\
& \cdot\left[\int_{u}^{\infty} \frac{\beta}{1-\gamma} \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{\beta}{1-\gamma}(x-u)} E\left[\left(D_{\gamma, \delta}(x)+\frac{\gamma}{1-\gamma}(x-u)\right)^{n-1}\right] \mathrm{d} x\right] \\
& =\left(\frac{g_{n \delta}^{\prime}}{g_{n \delta}(u)}+\frac{\beta}{1-\gamma}\left(1-g_{n \delta}(u)\right)\right) M_{n}(u, b) \\
& -\frac{n \gamma}{1-\gamma} \frac{g_{n \delta}(u)}{g_{(n-1) \delta}(u)} M_{n-1}(u, b) . \tag{2.29}
\end{align*}
$$

When $0<u<b$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& M_{n}(u, b)=g_{n \delta}(u) \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{\beta}{1-\gamma_{u}} \int\left(1-g_{n \delta}(t)\right) \mathrm{d} t} \\
& \cdot\left(C+\frac{n \gamma}{1-\gamma} \int_{u}^{b} \frac{M_{n-1}(s)}{g_{(n-1) \delta}(s)} \mathrm{e}^{\frac{\beta}{1-\gamma_{s}} \int\left(1-g_{n \delta}(t)\right) \mathrm{d} t} \mathrm{~d} s\right) \tag{2.30}
\end{align*}
$$

When $u \geq b$, the general solution of Equation (3.20) can be expressed as

$$
\begin{align*}
M_{n}(u, b)=g_{n \delta}(u) & \left(\frac{M_{n}(\infty)}{g_{n \delta}(\infty)} \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{\beta}{1-\gamma_{u}} \int_{u}^{\left(1-g_{n \delta}(t)\right) \mathrm{d} t}}\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{n \gamma}{1-\gamma} \int_{u}^{\infty} \frac{M_{n-1}(s)}{g_{(n-1) \delta}(s)} \mathrm{e}^{\frac{\beta}{1-\gamma_{u}} \int\left(1-g_{n \delta}(t)\right) \mathrm{d} t} \mathrm{~d} s\right) \tag{2.31}
\end{align*}
$$

Due to the facts that $M_{n}(\infty)<\infty$ and $0<g_{n \delta}(\infty)<\infty$, we have for $u \geq b$

$$
\begin{align*}
& M_{n}(u, b) \\
& =\frac{n \gamma}{1-\gamma} g_{n \delta}(u) \int_{u}^{\infty} \frac{M_{n-1}(s)}{g_{(n-1) \delta}(s)} \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{\beta}{1-\gamma_{u}^{s}\left(1-g_{n \delta}(t)\right) \mathrm{d} t}} \mathrm{~d} s . \tag{2.32}
\end{align*}
$$

Now, it remains to determine the unknown constant $C$ in Equation (3.20). The continuity of $M(u, b)$ on $b$ and Equation (3.22) lead to

$$
\begin{equation*}
C=\frac{n \gamma}{1-\gamma} \int_{b}^{\infty} \frac{M_{n-1}(s)}{g_{(n-1) \delta}(s)} \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{\beta}{1-\gamma_{b}^{s}} \int_{b}^{s}\left(1-g_{n \delta}(t)\right) \mathrm{d} t} \mathrm{~d} s . \tag{2.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Plugging Equation (2.33) into Equation (2.30), we arrive at Equation (2.26).

The special case $n=1$ leads to an expression for the expected discounted total sum of tax payments over the life time of the risk process

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{1}(u, b)=\frac{\gamma}{1-\gamma} g_{\delta}(u) \int_{u}^{\infty} \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{\beta}{1-\gamma_{u}} \int\left(1-g_{\delta}(t)\right) \mathrm{d} t} \mathrm{~d} s \tag{2.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $u>0$.

## 3. Explicit Results for Erlang(2) Innovation Waiting Times

In this section, we assume that $W_{i}$ 's are Erlang(2) distributed with parameters $\lambda_{1}$ and $\lambda_{2}$. We also assume that $\lambda_{1}<\lambda_{2}$ (without loss of generality).

Example 3.1 Note that

$$
\begin{align*}
& (\beta \mathbf{I}-\mathbf{D})\left(\int_{0}^{u_{0}-u} g\left(u+y, u_{0}\right) \beta \mathrm{e}^{-\beta y} \mathrm{~d} y+\mathrm{e}^{-\beta\left(u_{0}-u\right)}\right)  \tag{3.1}\\
& =\beta g\left(u, u_{0}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Applying the operator $(\beta \mathbf{I}-\mathbf{D})$ to Equations (2.4) and (2.5) gives

$$
(\beta \mathbf{I}-\mathbf{D}) \prod_{k=1}^{n}\left[\left(1+\frac{\delta}{\lambda_{k}}\right) \mathbf{I}+\frac{c-\alpha}{\lambda_{k}} \mathbf{D}\right] g\left(u, u_{0}\right)=\beta g\left(u, u_{0}\right),
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq u<b \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& (\beta \mathbf{I}-\mathbf{D}) \prod_{k=1}^{n}\left[\left(1+\frac{\delta}{\lambda_{k}}\right) \mathbf{I}+\frac{c}{\lambda_{k}} \mathbf{D}\right] g\left(u, u_{0}\right)=\beta g\left(u, u_{0}\right), \\
& u \geq b \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

The characteristic equation for Equation (3.2) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\beta-r) \prod_{k=1}^{2}\left[\left(1+\frac{\delta}{\lambda_{k}}\right)+\frac{c-\alpha}{\lambda_{k}} r\right]=\beta \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

without loss of generality, we assume that $\lambda_{1}<\lambda_{2}$. We know that Equation (3.4) has three real roots, say $r_{1}, r_{2}$ and $r_{3}$ which satisfies

$$
\begin{aligned}
\beta & >r_{1}>0>r_{2}>-\frac{\lambda_{1}+\delta}{c-\alpha}>-\frac{\lambda_{2}+\delta}{c-\alpha} \\
& >r_{3}>-\frac{\lambda_{1}+\delta}{c-\alpha}-\frac{\lambda_{2}+\delta}{c-\alpha}
\end{aligned}
$$

With $c$ replace $c-\alpha$ in Equation (3.4), we get the characteristic equation of Equation (3.3), whose roots are denoted by $r_{4}, r_{5}$ and $r_{6}$ with

$$
\begin{aligned}
\beta & >r_{4}>0>r_{5}>-\frac{\lambda_{1}+\delta}{c}>-\frac{\lambda_{2}+\delta}{c}>r_{6} \\
& >-\frac{\lambda_{1}+\delta}{c}-\frac{\lambda_{2}+\delta}{c}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(u, u_{0}\right)=c_{1} \mathrm{e}^{r_{1} u}+c_{2} \mathrm{e}^{r_{2} u}+c_{3} \mathrm{e}^{r_{3} u}, 0 \leq u<b \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(u, u_{0}\right)=c_{4} \mathrm{e}^{r_{4} u}+c_{5} \mathrm{e}^{r_{5} u}+c_{6} \mathrm{e}^{r_{6} u}, u \geq b \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}, c_{4}, c_{5}, c_{6}$ are arbitrary constants. To determine the arbitrary constants, we insert Equations (3.5) and (3.6) into Equation (2.3) and obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{1}+c_{2}+c_{3}=0 \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& e^{r_{1} b} c_{1}+\mathrm{e}^{r_{2} b} c_{2}+\mathrm{e}^{r_{3} b} c_{3}-\mathrm{e}^{r_{4} b} c_{4} \\
& -\mathrm{e}_{5}^{r_{5} b} c_{5}-\mathrm{e}^{r_{6} b} c_{6}=0 \tag{3.8}
\end{align*}
$$

Apply Equation (2.10) together with Equations (2.3) and (3.5) when $k=1$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{1} c_{1}+r_{2} c_{2}+r_{3} c_{3}=0 \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Insert Equation (3.5) into Equation (2.4), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\beta \mathrm{e}^{\gamma_{1} u_{0}}}{\beta-r_{1}} c_{1}+\frac{\beta \mathrm{e}^{r_{2} u_{0}}}{\beta-r_{2}} c_{2}+\frac{\beta \mathrm{e}^{r_{3} u_{0}}}{\beta-r_{3}} c_{3}=1 \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

In addition, plugging Equations (3.5) and (3.6) into Equation (2.16) yields

$$
\begin{align*}
& (c-\alpha) r_{1} \mathrm{e}^{r_{1} b} c_{1}+(c-\alpha) r_{2} \mathrm{e}^{r_{2} b} c_{2}+(c-\alpha) r_{3} \mathrm{e}^{r_{3} b} c_{3}  \tag{3.11}\\
& -c r_{4} \mathrm{e}_{4}^{r_{4} b} c_{4}-c r_{5} \mathrm{e}^{r_{5} b} c_{5}-c r_{6} \mathrm{e}^{r_{6} b} c_{6}=0,
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\beta \mathrm{e}^{r_{1} b}}{\beta-r_{1}} c_{1}+\frac{\beta \mathrm{e}^{r_{2} b}}{\beta-r_{2}} c_{2}+\frac{\beta \mathrm{e}^{r_{3} b}}{\beta-r_{3}} c_{3}-\frac{\beta \mathrm{e}^{r_{4} b}}{\beta-r_{4}} c_{4}  \tag{3.12}\\
& -\frac{\beta \mathrm{e}_{5}^{r_{5} b}}{\beta-r_{5}} c_{5}-\frac{\beta \mathrm{e}^{r_{6} b}}{\beta-r_{6}} c_{6}=0 .
\end{align*}
$$

Some calculations give

$$
\begin{align*}
c_{1}= & \frac{\left(r_{3}-r_{2}\right) / \beta}{\frac{r_{3}-r_{2}}{\beta-r_{1}} \mathrm{e}^{r_{1} u_{0}}+\frac{r_{1}-r_{3}}{\beta-r_{2}} \mathrm{e}^{r_{2} u_{0}}+\frac{r_{2}-r_{1}}{\beta-r_{3}} \mathrm{e}^{r_{3} u_{0}}}, \\
c_{2}= & \frac{\left(r_{1}-r_{3}\right) / \beta}{\frac{r_{3}-r_{2}}{\beta-r_{1}} \mathrm{e}^{r_{1} u_{0}}+\frac{r_{1}-r_{3}}{\beta-r_{2}} \mathrm{e}^{r_{2} u_{0}}+\frac{r_{2}-r_{1}}{\beta-r_{3}} \mathrm{e}^{r_{3} u_{0}}}, \\
c_{3}= & \frac{\left(r_{2}-r_{1}\right) / \beta}{\frac{r_{3}-r_{2}}{\beta-r_{1}} \mathrm{e}^{r_{1} u_{0}}+\frac{r_{1}-r_{3}}{\beta-r_{2}} \mathrm{e}^{r_{2} u_{0}}+\frac{r_{2}-r_{1}}{\beta-r_{3}} \mathrm{e}^{r_{3} u_{0}}}, \\
c_{4}= & \frac{\left(r_{1}-r_{2}\right) \mathrm{e}^{r_{3} b} \theta_{1}\left(r_{3}, r_{5}, r_{6}\right)+\left(r_{3}-r_{1}\right) \mathrm{e}^{r_{2} b} \theta_{1}\left(r_{2}, r_{5}, r_{6}\right)+\left(r_{2}-r_{3}\right) \mathrm{e}^{r_{1} b} \theta_{1}\left(r_{1}, r_{5}, r_{6}\right)}{-c \beta \mathrm{e}^{r_{4} b}\left(\frac{r_{3}-r_{2}}{\beta-r_{1}} \mathrm{e}^{r_{0} u_{0}}+\frac{r_{1}-r_{3}}{\beta-r_{2}} \mathrm{e}^{r_{2} u_{0}}+\frac{r_{2}-r_{1}}{\beta-r_{3}} \mathrm{e}^{r_{3} u_{0}}\right)\left(\frac{r_{6}-r_{5}}{\beta-r_{4}}+\frac{r_{4}-r_{6}}{\beta-r_{5}}+\frac{r_{5}-r_{4}}{\beta-r_{6}}\right)}  \tag{3.13}\\
c_{5}= & \frac{\left(r_{2}-r_{1}\right) \mathrm{e}^{r_{3} b} \theta_{1}\left(r_{3}, r_{4}, r_{6}\right)+\left(r_{1}-r_{3}\right) \mathrm{e}^{r_{2} b} \theta_{1}\left(r_{2}, r_{4}, r_{6}\right)+\left(r_{3}-r_{2}\right) \mathrm{e}^{\eta_{b} b} \theta_{1}\left(r_{1}, r_{4}, r_{6}\right)}{r_{1}}, \\
& -c \beta \mathrm{e}^{r_{5} b}\left(\frac{r_{3}-r_{2}}{\beta-r_{1}} \mathrm{e}^{r_{1} u_{0}}+\frac{r_{1}-r_{3}}{\beta-r_{2}} \mathrm{e}^{r_{2} u_{0}}+\frac{r_{2}-r_{1}}{\beta-r_{3}} \mathrm{e}^{r_{3} u_{0}}\right)\left(\frac{r_{6}-r_{5}}{\beta-r_{4}}+\frac{r_{4}-r_{6}}{\beta-r_{5}}+\frac{r_{5}-r_{4}}{\beta-r_{6}}\right) \\
c_{6}= & \frac{\left(r_{1}-r_{2}\right) \mathrm{e}^{r_{3} b} \theta_{1}\left(r_{3}, r_{4}, r_{5}\right)+\left(r_{3}-r_{1}\right) \mathrm{e}^{r_{2} b} \theta_{1}\left(r_{2}, r_{4}, r_{5}\right)+\left(r_{2}-r_{3}\right) \mathrm{e}^{r_{1} b} \theta_{1}\left(r_{1}, r_{4}, r_{5}\right)}{} \begin{aligned}
-c \beta \mathrm{e}^{r_{6} b}\left(\frac{r_{3}-r_{2}}{\beta-r_{1}} \mathrm{e}^{\eta_{0} u_{0}}+\frac{r_{1}-r_{3}}{\beta-r_{2}} \mathrm{e}^{r_{2} u_{0}}+\frac{r_{2}-r_{1}}{\beta-r_{3}} \mathrm{e}^{r_{3} u_{0}}\right)\left(\frac{r_{6}-r_{5}}{\beta-r_{4}}+\frac{r_{4}-r_{6}}{\beta-r_{5}}+\frac{r_{5}-r_{4}}{\beta-r_{6}}\right)
\end{aligned},
\end{align*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{align*}
\theta_{1}\left(r_{i}, r_{j}, r_{k}\right) & =\frac{c\left(r_{k}-r_{j}\right)}{\beta-r_{i}}+\frac{(c-\alpha) r_{i}-c r_{k}}{\beta-r_{j}}  \tag{3.14}\\
& +\frac{c r_{j}-(c-\alpha) r_{i}}{\beta-r_{k}}
\end{align*}
$$

Remark 3.1 Now, we give the explicit results for
$g_{\delta}(u)$. By Equations (3.6) and (3.13), we have for $u \geq b$

$$
\begin{align*}
g_{\delta}(u) & =g(u, u) \\
& =\frac{l_{4}(b) \mathrm{e}^{r_{4} u}+l_{5}(b) \mathrm{e}^{r_{5} u}+l_{6}(b) \mathrm{e}^{r_{6} u}}{\frac{r_{3}-r_{2}}{\beta-r_{1}} \mathrm{e}^{\eta^{u} u}+\frac{r_{1}-r_{3}}{\beta-r_{2}} \mathrm{e}^{r_{2} u}+\frac{r_{2}-r_{1}}{\beta-r_{3}} \mathrm{e}^{r_{3} u}} \tag{3.15}
\end{align*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{align*}
& l_{4}(b)=\frac{\left(r_{1}-r_{2}\right) \mathrm{e}^{r_{3} b} \theta_{1}\left(r_{3}, r_{5}, r_{6}\right)+\left(r_{3}-r_{1}\right) \mathrm{e}^{r_{2} b} \theta_{1}\left(r_{2}, r_{5}, r_{6}\right)+\left(r_{2}-r_{3}\right) \mathrm{e}^{r^{b} b} \theta_{1}\left(r_{1}, r_{5}, r_{6}\right)}{-c \beta \mathrm{e}^{r_{4} b}\left(\frac{r_{6}-r_{5}}{\beta-r_{4}}+\frac{r_{4}-r_{6}}{\beta-r_{5}}+\frac{r_{5}-r_{4}}{\beta-r_{6}}\right)} \\
& l_{5}(b)=\frac{\left(r_{2}-r_{1}\right) \mathrm{e}^{r_{3} b} \theta_{1}\left(r_{3}, r_{4}, r_{6}\right)+\left(r_{1}-r_{3}\right) \mathrm{e}^{r_{2} b} \theta_{1}\left(r_{2}, r_{4}, r_{6}\right)+\left(r_{3}-r_{2}\right) \mathrm{e}^{\eta_{b} b} \theta_{1}\left(r_{1}, r_{4}, r_{6}\right)}{-c \beta \mathrm{e}^{r_{5} b}\left(\frac{r_{6}-r_{5}}{\beta-r_{4}}+\frac{r_{4}-r_{6}}{\beta-r_{5}}+\frac{r_{5}-r_{4}}{\beta-r_{6}}\right)}  \tag{3.16}\\
& l_{6}(b)=\frac{\left(r_{1}-r_{2}\right) \mathrm{e}^{r_{3} b} \theta_{1}\left(r_{3}, r_{4}, r_{5}\right)+\left(r_{3}-r_{1}\right) \mathrm{e}^{r_{2} b} \theta_{1}\left(r_{2}, r_{4}, r_{5}\right)+\left(r_{2}-r_{3}\right) \mathrm{e}^{r_{1} b} \theta_{1}\left(r_{1}, r_{4}, r_{5}\right)}{-c \beta \mathrm{e}^{r_{6} b}\left(\frac{r_{6}-r_{5}}{\beta-r_{4}}+\frac{r_{4}-r_{6}}{\beta-r_{5}}+\frac{r_{5}-r_{4}}{\beta-r_{6}}\right)}
\end{align*}
$$

For $0<u<b$, using the explicit expressions of $V(b, b)$ in Liu et al. [17], we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
g_{\delta}(u) & =\frac{V(u, u)}{\int_{0}^{\infty} \beta \mathrm{e}^{-\beta y} V(u+y, u) \mathrm{d} y} \\
& =\frac{\left.\left(\frac{\left(c r_{5}+\delta\right) r_{6}}{\beta-r_{6}}-\frac{\left(c r_{6}+\delta\right) r_{5}}{\beta-r_{5}}\right)\left(\left(r_{3}-r_{2}\right) \mathrm{e}^{r^{\prime u}}+\left(r_{1}-r_{3}\right) \mathrm{e}^{r_{2} u}+\left(r_{2}-r_{1}\right)\right)^{r^{2 u}}\right)}{l_{1}\left(r_{3}-r_{2}\right) \mathrm{e}^{n u}+l_{2}\left(r_{1}-r_{3}\right) \mathrm{e}^{r^{2} u}+l_{3}\left(r_{2}-r_{1}\right) \mathrm{e}^{r_{3} u}} \tag{3.17}
\end{align*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
l_{i}=\frac{\beta \theta_{3}(i, 5)}{\beta-r_{6}}-\frac{\beta \theta_{3}(i, 6)}{\beta-r_{5}}+\theta_{2}(i, 5,6), i=1,2,3, \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \theta_{2}\left(r_{i}, r_{j}, r_{k}\right)=\frac{c\left(r_{k}-r_{j}\right) r_{i}}{\beta-r_{i}}+\frac{\left((c-\alpha) r_{i}-c r_{k}\right) r_{j}}{\beta-r_{j}}+\frac{\left(c r_{j}-(c-\alpha) r_{i}\right) r_{k}}{\beta-r_{k}} \\
& 1 \leq i<j<k \leq 6
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\theta_{3}\left(r_{i}, r_{j}\right)=\frac{\left(c r_{j}+\delta\right) r_{i}}{\beta-r_{i}}-\frac{\left((c-\alpha) r_{i}+\delta\right) r_{j}}{\beta-r_{j}}, 1 \leq i<j \leq 6
$$

We point out that when the innovation times are exponentially distributed, one can follow the same steps to get the explicit expressions of $g_{\delta}(u)$, which coincide with the results in Albrecher et al. (2008).

Example 3.2 (The expected discounted tax payments.) Following from Equation (2.34) of Theorem 2.2 and Remark 3.1, we have for $0<u<b$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{1}(u, b)=\frac{\gamma}{1-\gamma} \frac{\left(\frac{\left(c r_{5}+\delta\right) r_{6}}{\beta-r_{6}}-\frac{\left(c r_{6}+\delta\right) r_{5}}{\beta-r_{5}}\right)\left(\left(r_{3}-r_{2}\right) \mathrm{e}^{\rho^{1 u}}+\left(r_{1}-r_{3}\right) \mathrm{e}^{r^{2} u}+\left(r_{2}-r_{1}\right) \mathrm{e}^{r^{3} u}\right)}{l_{1}\left(r_{3}-r_{2}\right) \mathrm{e}^{r^{1 u}}+l_{2}\left(r_{1}-r_{3}\right) \mathrm{e}^{{ }^{r}{ }^{2 u}}+l_{3}\left(r_{2}-r_{1}\right) \mathrm{e}^{r_{3} u}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& +\frac{\gamma}{1-\gamma} \frac{\left(\frac{\left(c r_{5}+\delta\right) r_{6}}{\beta-r_{6}}-\frac{\left(c r_{6}+\delta\right) r_{5}}{\beta-r_{5}}\right)\left(\left(r_{3}-r_{2}\right) \mathrm{e}^{\rho^{\prime} u}+\left(r_{1}-r_{3}\right) \mathrm{e}^{{ }^{2} u}+\left(r_{2}-r_{1}\right) \mathrm{e}^{r^{3} u}\right)}{l_{1}\left(r_{3}-r_{2}\right) \mathrm{e}^{r^{\prime} u}+l_{2}\left(r_{1}-r_{3}\right) \mathrm{e}^{r_{2} u}+l_{3}\left(r_{2}-r_{1}\right) \mathrm{e}^{r^{3}{ }^{u}}}  \tag{3.19}\\
& \times \int_{b}^{\infty} \exp \left\{-\frac{\beta}{1-\gamma} \int_{u}^{b}\left(1-\frac{\left(\frac{\left(c r_{5}+\delta\right) r_{6}}{\beta-r_{6}}-\frac{\left(c r_{6}+\delta\right) r_{5}}{\beta-r_{5}}\right)\left(\left(r_{3}-r_{2}\right) \mathrm{e}^{\gamma^{t} t}+\left(r_{1}-r_{3}\right) \mathrm{e}^{r_{2} t}+\left(r_{2}-r_{1}\right) \mathrm{e}^{r^{2} t}\right)}{l_{1}\left(r_{3}-r_{2}\right) \mathrm{e}^{h^{2} t}+l_{2}\left(r_{1}-r_{3}\right) \mathrm{e}^{r^{t} t}+l_{3}\left(r_{2}-r_{1}\right) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{e}^{2 t}}}\right) \mathrm{d} t \mathrm{~d} \mathrm{~d} s\right. \\
& \times \exp \left\{-\frac{\beta}{1-\gamma} \int_{b}^{\infty}\left(1-\frac{l_{4}(b) \mathrm{e}^{r_{4} t}+l_{5}(b) \mathrm{e}^{r_{5} t}+l_{6}(b) \mathrm{e}^{r^{r} t}}{\frac{r_{3}-r_{2}}{\beta-r_{1}} \mathrm{e}^{n t}+\frac{r_{1}-r_{3}}{\beta-r_{2}} \mathrm{e}^{r_{2} t}+\frac{r_{2}-r_{1}}{\beta-r_{3}} \mathrm{e}^{r^{r t}}}\right) \mathrm{d} t\right\} .
\end{align*}
$$

And, for $u \geq b$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{1}(u, b)=\frac{\gamma}{1-\gamma} \frac{l_{4}(b) \mathrm{e}^{r_{4} u}+l_{5}(b) \mathrm{e}^{r_{5} u}+l_{6}(b) \mathrm{e}^{r_{6} u}}{\beta-r_{2}} \mathrm{e}^{r_{1} u}+\frac{r_{1}-r_{3}}{\beta-r_{2}} \mathrm{e}^{r_{2} u}+\frac{r_{2}-r_{1}}{\beta-r_{3}} \mathrm{e}^{r_{3} u} \int_{u}^{\infty} \exp \left\{\frac{-\beta}{1-\gamma} \int_{u}^{s}\left(1-\frac{l_{4}(b) \mathrm{e}^{r_{4} t}+l_{5}(b) \mathrm{e}^{r_{5} t}+l_{6}(b) \mathrm{e}^{r_{6} t}}{\frac{r_{3}-r_{2}}{\beta-r_{1}} \mathrm{e}^{r_{1} t}+\frac{r_{1}-r_{3}}{\beta-r_{2}} \mathrm{e}^{r_{2} t}+\frac{r_{2}-r_{1}}{\beta-r_{3}} \mathrm{e}^{r_{3} t}}\right) \mathrm{d} t\right\} \mathrm{d} s . \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we can get that when $W_{i}$ 's are Erlang (2) distributed with parameters $\lambda_{1}$ and $\lambda_{2}$, the expresses of $g_{\delta}(u)$ can be given by Equations (3.15) and (3.17) and the expected discounted tax payments can be given by Equation (3.20).
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