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#### Abstract

We review on Zariski 3-algebra model of M-theory. The model is obtained by Zariski quantization of a semi-light-cone supermembrane action. The model has manifest $\mathcal{N}=1$ supersymmetry in eleven dimensions and its relation to the supermembrane action is clear.


Keywords: M-Theory; 3-Algebra; Matrix Model; String Theory

## 1. Introduction

Recently, structures of 3-algebras [1-3] were found in the effective actions of the multiple M2-branes [4-12] and 3 -algebras have been intensively studied [13-29]. It had been expected that structures of 3 -algebras play more fundamental roles in M-theory than the accidental structures in the effective descriptions, and 3-algebra models of M-theory were proposed [30-34].

In this paper, we review one of the models, called Zariski 3-algebra model of M-theory. This model has manifest $\mathcal{N}=1$ supersymmetry in eleven dimensions and the relation to the supermembrane action is clear. We start with the fact found in [32] that the supermembrane action in a semi-light-cone gauge is a gauge theory based on a 3-algebra that is generated by the Nambu-Poisson bracket $[13,14]$. The gauge theory's thirty-two supersymmetries form the $\mathcal{N}=1$ supersymmetry algebra in eleven dimensions. By performing the Zariski quantization, the action is second quantized and we obtain Zariski 3-algebra model of M-theory.

## 2. Supermembrane Action in a Semi-Light-Cone Gauge

In this section, we review the fact that the supermembrane action in a semi-light-cone gauge can be described by Nambu bracket, where structures of 3-algebra are manifest. The 3-algebra models of M-theory are defined based on the semi-light-cone supermembrane action.

The fundamental degrees of freedom in M-theory are supermembranes. The covariant supermembrane action in M-theory [35] is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
S_{M 2} & =\int \mathrm{d}^{3} \sigma\left(\sqrt{-G}+\frac{i}{4} \epsilon^{\alpha \beta \gamma} \bar{\Psi} \Gamma_{M N} \partial_{\alpha} \Psi\left(\Pi_{\beta}^{M} \Pi_{\gamma}^{N}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.+\frac{i}{2} \Pi_{\beta}^{M} \bar{\Psi} \Gamma^{N} \partial_{\gamma} \Psi-\frac{1}{12} \bar{\Psi} \Gamma^{M} \partial_{\beta} \Psi \bar{\Psi} \Gamma^{N} \partial_{\gamma} \Psi\right)\right) \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
M, N=0, \cdots, 10, \alpha, \beta, \gamma=0,1,2, G_{\alpha \beta}=\Pi_{\alpha}^{M} \Pi_{\beta M}
$$

and

$$
\Pi_{\alpha}^{M}=\partial_{\alpha} X^{M}-\frac{i}{2} \bar{\Psi} \Gamma^{M} \partial_{\alpha} \Psi
$$

$\Psi$ is a $S O(1,10)$ Majorana fermion.
This action is invariant under dynamical supertransformations,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \delta \Psi=\epsilon, \\
& \delta X^{M}=-i \bar{\Psi} \Gamma^{M} \epsilon \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

These transformations form the $\mathcal{N}=1$ supersymmetry algebra in eleven dimensions,

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\delta_{1}, \delta_{2}\right] X^{M}=-2 i \epsilon_{1} \Gamma^{M} \epsilon_{2},}  \tag{3}\\
& {\left[\delta_{1}, \delta_{2}\right] \Psi=0 .}
\end{align*}
$$

The action is also invariant under the $\kappa$-symmetry transformations,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \delta \Psi=(1+\Gamma) \kappa(\sigma) \\
& \delta X^{M}=i \bar{\Psi} \Gamma^{M}(1+\Gamma) \kappa(\sigma) \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma=\frac{1}{3!\sqrt{-G}} \epsilon^{\alpha \beta \gamma} \Pi_{\alpha}^{L} \Pi_{\beta}^{M} \Pi_{\gamma}^{N} \Gamma_{L M N} . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we fix the $\kappa$-symmetry (4) of the action by taking a semi-light-cone gauge [32]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma^{012} \Psi=-\Psi \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

we obtain a semi-light-cone supermembrane action,

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{M 2}=\int \mathrm{d}^{3} \sigma\left(\sqrt{-G}+\frac{i}{4} \epsilon^{\alpha \beta \gamma}\left(\bar{\Psi} \Gamma_{\mu \nu} \partial_{\alpha} \Psi\left(\Pi_{\beta}^{\mu} \Pi_{\gamma}^{\nu}+\frac{i}{2} \Pi_{\beta}^{\mu} \bar{\Psi} \Gamma^{\nu} \partial_{\gamma} \Psi-\frac{1}{12} \bar{\Psi} \Gamma^{\mu} \partial_{\beta} \Psi \bar{\Psi} \Gamma^{\nu} \partial_{\gamma} \Psi\right)+\bar{\Psi} \Gamma_{I J} \partial_{\alpha} \Psi \partial_{\beta} X^{I} \partial_{\gamma} X^{J}\right)\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
G_{\alpha \beta}=h_{\alpha \beta}+\Pi_{\alpha}^{\mu} \Pi_{\beta \mu}, \Pi_{\alpha}^{\mu}=\partial_{\alpha} X^{\mu}-\frac{i}{2} \bar{\Psi} \Gamma^{\mu} \partial_{\alpha} \Psi
$$

and $h_{\alpha \beta}=\partial_{\alpha} X^{I} \partial_{\beta} X_{I}$.
In [32], it is shown under an approximation up to the
quadratic order in $\partial_{\alpha} X^{\mu}$ and $\partial_{\alpha} \Psi$ but exactly in $X^{I}$, that this action is equivalent to

$$
\begin{align*}
S_{c l}=\int \mathrm{d}^{3} \sigma \sqrt{-g}( & -\frac{1}{12}\left\{X^{I}, X^{J}, X^{K}\right\}^{2}-\frac{1}{2}\left(A_{\mu a b}\left\{\varphi^{a}, \varphi^{b}, X^{I}\right\}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \Lambda-\frac{1}{3} E^{\mu \nu \lambda} A_{\mu a b} A_{v c d} A_{\lambda e f}\left\{\varphi^{a}, \varphi^{c}, \varphi^{d}\right\}\left\{\varphi^{b}, \varphi^{e}, \varphi^{f}\right\}  \tag{8}\\
& \left.-\frac{i}{2} \bar{\Psi} \Gamma^{\mu} A_{\mu a b}\left\{\varphi^{a}, \varphi^{b}, \Psi\right\}+\frac{i}{4} \bar{\Psi} \Gamma_{I J}\left\{X^{I}, X^{J}, \Psi\right\}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where $I, J, K=3, \cdots, 10$ and

$$
\left\{\varphi^{a}, \varphi^{b}, \varphi^{c}\right\}=\epsilon^{\alpha \beta \gamma} \partial_{\alpha} \varphi^{a} \partial_{\beta} \varphi^{b} \partial_{\gamma} \varphi^{c}
$$

is the Nambu-Poisson bracket. $X^{I}$ is a scalar and $\Psi$
is a $S O(1,2) \times S O(8)$ Majorana-Weyl fermion satisfying (6). $E^{\mu \nu \lambda}$ is a Levi-Civita symbol in three dimensions and $\Lambda$ is a cosmological constant.
(8) is invariant under 16 dynamical supersymmetry transformations,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \delta X^{I}=i \epsilon \Gamma^{I} \Psi, \delta A_{\mu}\left(\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}\right)=\frac{i}{2} \epsilon \Gamma_{\mu} \Gamma_{I}\left(X^{I}(\sigma) \Psi\left(\sigma^{\prime}\right)-X^{I}\left(\sigma^{\prime}\right) \Psi(\sigma)\right), \\
& \delta \Psi=-A_{\mu a b}\left\{\varphi^{a}, \varphi^{b}, X^{I}\right\} \Gamma^{\mu} \Gamma_{I} \epsilon-\frac{1}{6}\left\{X^{I}, X^{J}, X^{K}\right\} \Gamma_{I J K} \epsilon \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\Gamma_{012} \epsilon=-\epsilon$. These supersymmetries close into gauge transformations on-shell,

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\delta_{1}, \delta_{2}\right] X^{I}=\Lambda_{c d}\left\{\varphi^{c}, \varphi^{d}, X^{I}\right\},\left[\delta_{1}, \delta_{2}\right] A_{\mu a b}\left\{\varphi^{a}, \varphi^{b},\right\}} \\
& =\Lambda_{a b}\left\{\varphi^{a}, \varphi^{b}, A_{\mu c d}\left\{\varphi^{c}, \varphi^{d},\right\}\right\}-A_{\mu a b}\left\{\varphi^{a}, \varphi^{b}, \Lambda_{c d}\left\{\varphi^{c}, \varphi^{d},\right\}\right\}+2 i \bar{\epsilon}_{2} \Gamma^{v} \epsilon_{1} O_{\mu \nu}^{A}  \tag{10}\\
& {\left[\delta_{1}, \delta_{2}\right] \Psi=\Lambda_{c d}\left\{\varphi^{c}, \varphi^{d}, \Psi\right\}+\left(i \bar{\epsilon}_{2} \Gamma^{\mu} \epsilon_{1} \Gamma_{\mu}-\frac{i}{4} \bar{\epsilon}_{2} \Gamma^{K L} \epsilon_{1} \Gamma_{K L}\right) O^{\Psi}}
\end{align*}
$$

where gauge parameters are given by $O_{\mu \nu}^{A}=0$ and $O^{\Psi}=0$ are equations of motions of

$$
\Lambda_{a b}=2 i \bar{\epsilon}_{2} \Gamma^{\mu} \epsilon_{1} A_{\mu a b}-i \bar{\epsilon}_{2} \Gamma_{J K} \epsilon_{1} X_{a}^{J} X_{b}^{K}
$$

$A_{\mu \nu}$ and $\Psi$, respectively, where

$$
\begin{align*}
O_{\mu \nu}^{A}= & A_{\mu a b}\left\{\varphi^{a}, \varphi^{b}, A_{v c d}\left\{\varphi^{c}, \varphi^{d},\right\}-A_{v a b}\left\{\varphi^{a}, \varphi^{b}, A_{\mu c d}\left\{\varphi^{c}, \varphi^{d},\right\}\right\}\right. \\
& +E_{\mu \nu \lambda}\left(-\left\{X^{I}, A_{a b}^{\lambda}\left\{\varphi^{a}, \varphi^{b}, X_{I}\right\},\right\}+\frac{i}{2}\left\{\bar{\Psi}, \Gamma^{\lambda} \Psi,\right\}\right),  \tag{11}\\
O^{\Psi} & =-\Gamma^{\mu} A_{\mu a b}\left\{\varphi^{a}, \varphi^{b}, \Psi\right\}+\frac{1}{2} \Gamma_{I J}\left\{X^{I}, X^{J}, \Psi\right\} .
\end{align*}
$$

(10) implies that a commutation relation between the dynamical supersymmetry transformations is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{2} \delta_{1}-\delta_{1} \delta_{2}=0 \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

up to the equations of motions and the gauge transforma-
tions.
This action is invariant under a translation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta X^{I}(\sigma)=\eta^{I}, \delta A^{\mu}\left(\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}\right)=\eta^{\mu}(\sigma)-\eta^{\mu}\left(\sigma^{\prime}\right) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\eta^{I}$ are constants.

The action is also invariant under 16 kinematical supersymmetry transformations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\delta} \Psi=\tilde{\epsilon} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the other fields are not transformed. $\tilde{\epsilon}$ is a constant and satisfy $\Gamma_{012} \tilde{\epsilon}=\tilde{\epsilon} . \tilde{\epsilon}$ and $\epsilon$ should come from sixteen components of thirty-two $\mathcal{N}=1$ supersymmetry parameters in eleven dimensions, corresponding to eigen values $\pm 1$ of $\Gamma_{012}$, respectively. This $\mathcal{N}=1$
supersymmetry consists of remaining 16 target-space supersymmetries and transmuted $16 \kappa$-symmetries in the semi-light-cone gauge [32,36,37].

A commutation relation between the kinematical supersymmetry transformations is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\delta}_{2} \tilde{\delta}_{1}-\tilde{\delta}_{1} \tilde{\delta}_{2}=0 \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

A commutator of dynamical supersymmetry transformations and kinematical ones acts as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\tilde{\delta}_{2} \delta_{1}-\delta_{1} \tilde{\delta}_{2}\right) X^{I}(\sigma)=i \bar{\epsilon}_{1} \Gamma^{I} \tilde{\epsilon}_{2} \equiv \eta_{0}^{I},\left(\tilde{\delta}_{2} \delta_{1}-\delta_{1} \tilde{\delta}_{2}\right) A^{\mu}\left(\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}\right)=\frac{i}{2} \bar{\epsilon}_{1} \Gamma^{\mu} \Gamma_{I}\left(X^{I}(\sigma)-X^{I}\left(\sigma^{\prime}\right)\right) \tilde{\epsilon}_{2} \equiv \eta_{0}^{\mu}(\sigma)-\eta_{0}^{\mu}\left(\sigma^{\prime}\right), \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the commutator that acts on the other fields vanishes. Thus, the commutation relation is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\delta}_{2} \delta_{1}-\delta_{1} \tilde{\delta}_{2}=\delta_{\eta}, \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\delta_{\eta}$ is a translation.
If we change a basis of the supersymmetry transformations as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta^{\prime}=\delta+\tilde{\delta}, \tilde{\delta}^{\prime}=i(\delta-\tilde{\delta}) \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

We obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \delta_{2}^{\prime} \delta_{1}^{\prime}-\delta_{1}^{\prime} \delta_{2}^{\prime}=\delta_{\eta}, \\
& \tilde{\delta}_{2}^{\prime} \tilde{\delta}_{1}^{\prime}-\tilde{\delta}_{1}^{\prime} \tilde{\delta}_{2}^{\prime}=\delta_{\eta},  \tag{19}\\
& \tilde{\delta}_{2}^{\prime} \delta_{1}^{\prime}-\delta_{1}^{\prime} \delta_{2}^{\prime}=0
\end{align*}
$$

These thirty-two supersymmetry transformations are summarised as $\Delta=\left(\delta^{\prime}, \tilde{\delta}^{\prime}\right)$ and (19) implies the $\mathcal{N}=1$ supersymmetry algebra in eleven dimensions,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{2} \Delta_{1}-\Delta_{1} \Delta_{2}=\delta_{\eta} . \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3. Zariski Quantization

In this section, we review the Zariski Quantization and apply it for the semi-light-cone supermembrane action (8). In [34], it is shown that the Zariski quantization is a second quantization and the Zariski quantized action reduces to the supermembrane action if the fields are restricted to one-body states.

First, we define elements of linear spaces $\mathcal{M}_{h}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{X}_{\hbar}=\sum_{r=0}^{\infty}(\sqrt{\hbar})^{r} \sum_{u_{r}} Y_{u_{r}}^{r}(\sigma) Z_{u_{r}} \in \mathcal{M}_{\hbar}, \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the basis $Z_{u}$ are labeled by polynomials $u=u\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ in the valuables $x_{1}, x_{2}$ with real or complex coefficients. The summation is taken over all the polynomials of two valuables $\left\{u\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right\} \cdot Z_{u}$ satisfies $Z_{a u}=a Z_{u}$ where $a$ is a real (complex) number. The coefficients $Y_{u}(\sigma)$ are functions over 3-dimensional spaces. Summation is defined naturally as linear spaces.

The quantum Zariski product $\bullet_{\hbar}$ is defined as

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{X}_{\hbar} \bullet \mathbf{X}_{\hbar}^{\prime} & =\left(\sum_{r=0}^{\infty}(\sqrt{\hbar})^{r} \sum_{u_{r}} Y_{u_{r}}^{r}(\sigma) Z_{u_{r}}\right) \bullet \bullet_{\hbar}\left(\sum_{s=0}^{\infty}(\sqrt{\hbar})^{s} \sum_{v_{s}} Y_{v_{s}}^{\prime s}(\sigma) Z_{v_{s}}\right) \\
& =\left(\sum_{u_{0}} Y_{u_{0}}^{0}(\sigma) Z_{u_{0}}\right) \bullet \bullet_{\hbar}\left(\sum_{v_{0}} Y_{v_{0}}^{\prime 0}(\sigma) Z_{v_{0}}\right)=\sum_{u_{0} \cdot v_{0}} Y_{u_{0}}^{0}(\sigma) Y_{v_{0}}^{\prime 0}(\sigma) Z_{u_{0}} \bullet_{\hbar} Z_{v_{0}} . \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

Any polynomial can be decomposed uniquely as $u=a u_{1} u_{2} \cdots u_{M}$, where $a$ is a real (complex) number

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{u} \bullet Z_{v}=a b \zeta\left(\left(u_{1} u_{2} \cdots u_{M}\right) \times_{h}\left(u_{M+1} u_{M+2} \cdots u_{N}\right)\right), \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$ and $u_{i}$ are irreducible normalized polynomials. $Z_{u} \bullet{ }_{\hbar} Z_{v}$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(u_{1} u_{2} \cdots u_{M}\right) \times_{\hbar}\left(u_{M+1} u_{M+2} \cdots u_{N}\right):=\frac{1}{N!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_{N}} u_{\sigma_{1}} * u_{\sigma_{2}} * \cdots * u_{\sigma_{N}}, \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S_{N}$ is the permutation group of $\{1,2, \cdots, N\} *$ is the Moyal product defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f * g=\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\sqrt{\hbar})^{r}}{r!} \epsilon^{i_{1} j_{1}} \epsilon^{i_{2} j_{2}} \cdots \epsilon^{i_{r} j_{r}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i_{1}}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i_{2}}} \cdots \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i_{r}}} f \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j_{1}}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j_{2}}} \cdots \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j_{r}}} g, \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $i_{r}$ and $j_{r}$ run from 1 to 2 . $\zeta$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta\left(\sum_{r=0}^{\infty}(\sqrt{\hbar})^{r} u_{r}\right)=\sum_{r=0}^{\infty}(\sqrt{\hbar})^{r} Z_{u_{r}} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

We define derivatives on $\mathcal{M}_{h}$ by derivatives with respect to $\sigma^{i}(i=1,2, \cdots, p)$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma^{i}} \mathbf{X}_{\hbar}=\sum_{r=0}^{\infty}(\sqrt{\hbar})^{r} \sum_{u_{r}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma^{i}} Y_{u_{r}}^{r}(\sigma) Z_{u_{r}} . \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

One can show that the quantum Zariski product is Abelian, associative and distributive, and the derivative is commutative and satisfies the Leibniz rule [34].

We define the Zariski quantized Nambu-Poisson bracket by

$$
\begin{align*}
{\left[\mathbf{X}_{\hbar}, \mathbf{X}_{\hbar}^{\prime}, \mathbf{X}_{\hbar}^{\prime \prime}\right]_{\bullet_{\hbar}} } & :=\epsilon^{i j k} \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma^{i}} \mathbf{X}_{\hbar} \bullet \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma^{j}} \mathbf{X}_{\hbar}^{\prime} \bullet \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma^{k}} \mathbf{X}_{\hbar}^{\prime \prime} \\
& =\sum_{u_{0}, v_{0}, w_{0}} \epsilon^{i j k} \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma^{i}} Y_{u_{0}}^{0}(\sigma) \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma^{j}} Y_{v_{0}}^{\prime 0}(\sigma) \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma^{k}} Y_{w_{0}}^{\prime \prime 0}(\sigma) Z_{u_{0}} \bullet \hbar Z_{v_{0}} \bullet_{\hbar} Z_{w_{0}}, \tag{28}
\end{align*}
$$

where $i, j, k=1,2,3$. By definition, the bracket is skew-symmetric. By using the above properties, one can
show that it satisfies the Leibniz rule and the fundamental identity;

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[A, B,[X, Y, Z]_{\bullet_{\hbar}}\right]_{\bullet_{h}}=\left[[A, B, X]_{\bullet_{h}}, Y, Z\right]_{\bullet_{h}}+\left[X,[A, B, Y]_{\bullet_{h}}, Z\right]_{\bullet_{h}}+\left[X, Y,[A, B, Z]_{\bullet_{\hbar}}\right]_{\bullet_{h}}, \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $A, B, X, Y, Z \in \mathcal{M}_{h}$. Thus, the Zariski quantized Nambu-Poisson bracket has the same Nambu-Poisson
structure as the original Nambu-Poison bracket.
We define a metric for $X_{\hbar}, X_{\hbar}^{\prime} \in \mathcal{M}_{\hbar}$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle\mathbf{X}_{\hbar}, \mathbf{X}_{\hbar}^{\prime}\right\rangle & =\left\langle\mathbf{X}_{\hbar} \bullet_{\hbar} \mathbf{X}_{\hbar}^{\prime}\right\rangle=\int \mathrm{d}^{p} \sigma\left\langle\left\langle\mathbf{X}_{\hbar} \bullet_{\hbar} \mathbf{X}_{\hbar}^{\prime}\right\rangle\right\rangle=\sum_{u_{0}, v_{0}} \int \mathrm{~d}^{p} \sigma Y_{u_{0}}^{0}(\sigma) Y_{v_{0}}^{\prime 0}(\sigma)\left\langle\left\langle Z_{u_{0}} \bullet_{\hbar} Z_{v_{0}}\right\rangle\right\rangle \\
& =\sum_{u_{0}, v_{0}} \int \mathrm{~d}^{p} \sigma Y_{u_{0}}^{0}(\sigma) Y_{v_{0}}^{\prime 0}(\sigma) \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \alpha^{r} \sum_{w_{r}}\left\langle\left\langle Z_{w_{r}}\right\rangle\right\rangle,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\left\langle\left\langle Z_{w}\right\rangle\right\rangle$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\left\langle Z_{w}\right\rangle\right\rangle=a \text { if } w=a z^{2}, \text { otherwise }\left\langle\left\langle Z_{w}\right\rangle\right\rangle=0, \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a$ is a real (complex) number and $z$ is a normalized polynomial, whose monomial of the highest total degree has coefficient 1.

This metric is invariant under a gauge transformation
generated by the Zariski quantized Nambu-Poisson bracket [34] as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\left[\mathbf{X}_{\hbar}^{3}, \mathbf{X}_{\hbar}^{4}, \mathbf{X}_{\hbar}^{1}\right]_{\bullet_{\hbar}}, \mathbf{X}_{\hbar}^{2}\right\rangle+\left\langle\mathbf{X}_{\hbar}^{1},\left[\mathbf{X}_{\hbar}^{3}, \mathbf{X}_{\hbar}^{4}, \mathbf{X}_{\hbar}^{2}\right]_{\boldsymbol{e}_{\hbar}}\right\rangle=0 . \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

By performing the Zariski quantization of the supermembrane action in a semi-light-cone gauge (8), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
S_{3 \mathrm{alg} M} & =\left\langle-\frac{1}{12}\left[\mathbf{X}^{I}, \mathbf{X}^{J}, \mathbf{X}^{K}\right]_{\bullet_{\hbar}}^{2}-\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathbf{A}_{\alpha a b}^{u}\left[\varphi_{u}^{a}, \varphi_{u}^{b}, \mathbf{X}^{I}\right]_{\bullet_{\hbar}}\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{3} E^{\alpha \beta \gamma} \mathbf{A}_{\alpha a b}^{u} \mathbf{A}_{\beta c d}^{v} \mathbf{A}_{\gamma e f}^{w}\left[\varphi_{u}^{a}, \varphi_{v}^{c}, \varphi_{v}^{d}\right]_{\bullet_{\hbar}}\left[\varphi_{u}^{b}, \varphi_{w}^{e}, \varphi_{w}^{f}\right]_{\bullet_{\hbar}}\right. \\
& \left.-\frac{i}{2} \bar{\Psi} \Gamma^{\alpha} \mathbf{A}_{\alpha a b}^{u}\left[\varphi_{u}^{a}, \varphi_{u}^{b}, \Psi\right]_{\bullet_{\hbar}}+\frac{i}{4} \Psi \Gamma_{I J}\left[\mathbf{X}^{I}, \mathbf{X}^{J}, \Psi\right]_{\bullet_{\hbar}}\right\rangle . \tag{32}
\end{align*}
$$

The Zariski quantization preserves the supersymmetries of the semi-light-cone supermembrane theory, because the quantum Zariski product is Abelian, associative and distributive, and admits a commutative derivative satisfying the Leibniz rule.

## 4. Conclusion

Zariski 3-algebra model of M-theory has manifest $\mathcal{N}=1$ supersymmetry in eleven dimensions because Zariski quantization preserves the supersymmetry of the
supermembrane action in the semi-light-cone gauge. The relation between the model and the supermembrane action is clear: If the fields are restricted to one-body states, the model reduces to the supermembrane action.
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