Journal of Minerals and Materials Characterization and Engineering, 2025, 13(4), 170-195
https://www.scirp.org/journal/jmmce
ISSN Online: 2327-4085
ISSN Print: 2327-4077

Electromagnetic Properties of Subsurface Materials: Applications in Soil Liquefaction Mitigation in Kathmandu Valley: A Technical Review

Mahendra Acharya[1*], Khomendra Bhandari[1], Pawan Dumre[2], Sunil Acharya[3], Suraj Belbase[4], Anil Ghmire[4]

[1]Central Department of Geology, Tribhuvan University, Kathmandu, Nepal
[2]Department of Civil Engineering, Khwopa Engineering College, Purbanchal University, Bhaktapur, Nepal
[3]Department of Civil Engineering, Lumbini Engineering College, Pokhara University, Pokhara, Nepal
[4]Department of Geology, Trichandra Multiple Campus, Kathmandu, Nepal
Email: *mahendra.765522@cdgl.tu.edu.np

How to cite this paper: Acharya, M., Bhandari, K., Dumre, P., Acharya, S., Belbase, S. and Ghmire, A. (2025) Electromagnetic Properties of Subsurface Materials: Applications in Soil Liquefaction Mitigation in Kathmandu Valley: A Technical Review. *Journal of Minerals and Materials Characterization and Engineering*, **13**, 170-195.
https://doi.org/10.4236/jmmce.2025.134011

Received: February 22, 2025
Accepted: July 4, 2025
Published: July 7, 2025

Abstract

Soil liquefaction, a geotechnical phenomenon wherein saturated soils lose shear strength under cyclic loading, poses a significant threat to seismically active regions such as the Kathmandu Valley. Traditional geotechnical methods, while effective, often lack the real-time assessment capabilities required for heterogeneous subsurface conditions. The research elucidates the pivotal role of electromagnetic (EM) properties dielectric permittivity, electrical conductivity, and magnetic susceptibility in mitigating liquefaction risks. By leveraging advanced geophysical techniques such as ground-penetrating radar (GPR) and electromagnetic induction (EMI), this study explores the potential of EM properties to enhance soil stability and reduce liquefaction susceptibility. Empirical data from the Kathmandu Valley reveal that saturated alluvial deposits, characterized by dielectric constants exceeding 25, exhibit heightened liquefaction potential, particularly in areas with high groundwater tables. The integration of EM data with conventional geotechnical practices offers a synergistic approach to soil stabilization, underscoring the potential of EM-based interventions to fortify infrastructure resilience in this seismically vulnerable region.

Keywords

Electromagnetic Properties, Soil Liquefaction, Kathmandu Valley, Dielectric Permittivity, Electrical Conductivity, Seismic Hazard,

Seismic Risk Mitigation

1. Introduction

Soil liquefaction, a phenomenon where saturated soils behave like liquids due to the loss of shear strength under cyclic loading, remains a significant concern in earthquake-prone areas. Traditional methods of assessing liquefaction potential include cone penetration tests (CPT) and geotechnical analyses, which often rely on empirical models and limited soil samples. However, these techniques are not always efficient in real-time assessment or in heterogeneous conditions. Recent advancements in geophysical methods, particularly electromagnetic techniques, have opened up new possibilities for assessing subsurface properties. Electromagnetic induction (EMI) and ground-penetrating radar (GPR) are promising methods that can be used to study the dielectric properties of soils, providing valuable insights into their liquefaction susceptibility. This paper examines the potential applications of these techniques in the context of soil liquefaction mitigation. Liquefaction is triggered by the application of dynamic loads, such as earthquakes, which cause a sudden increase in pore water pressure in saturated soils, reducing the effective stress between soil particles and leading to loss of shear strength. Liquefaction susceptibility is influenced by factors like soil type, grain size distribution, density, and the water content of the soil [1]. Researchers have identified key methods to assess liquefaction potential, including the Standard Penetration Test (SPT), CPT, and laboratory testing [2]. The dielectric properties of soils, particularly their dielectric constant and electrical conductivity, have been used in geophysical studies to assess soil characteristics such as moisture content, salinity, and mineralogy [3]. The dielectric constant, which measures a material's ability to store electrical energy, is directly related to soil water content and compaction, two critical factors influencing liquefaction susceptibility [4].

Geophysical methods, particularly electromagnetic induction (EMI) and GPR, have seen extensive application in soil characterization and subsurface exploration. GPR, for example, has been used to detect subsurface structures and map soil stratigraphy [5], while EMI has been used to estimate soil moisture content and electrical conductivity, which are crucial for understanding soil stability [6]. Recent studies have suggested that EM methods, including GPR and EMI, can provide insights into the dynamic behavior of soils during seismic events [7]. These methods can be used to monitor changes in soil properties in real time, potentially allowing for early detection of liquefaction-prone areas. Additionally, electromagnetic wave propagation techniques could be employed to enhance the effectiveness of ground improvement techniques like soil grouting or deep compaction [8]. For slope stability analysis, the method most usually employed is the finite element method (FEM) [9]. The electromagnetic properties of subsurface materials, encompassing dielectric permittivity, electrical conductivity, and magnetic permeability, are pivotal in discerning the geotechnical characteristics of soils. ERT analyses divulged a stratigraphy dominated by clayey sand interspersed with cobbles and boulders, which exhibit pronounced susceptibility to mass displacement during intense monsoonal precipitation—a phenomenon exacerbated by climate change [10]. These properties are influenced by a panoply of factors, including mineralogical composition, moisture content, porosity, and temperature. High-frequency electromagnetic waves, such as those employed in ground-penetrating radar (GPR) and electromagnetic induction (EMI) techniques, can penetrate the subsurface and interact with these materials, yielding invaluable data on their intrinsic properties.

The dielectric permittivity, a measure of a material's ability to store electrical energy in an electric field, is particularly salient in the context of soil liquefaction. Saturated soils, which are prone to liquefaction, exhibit markedly higher dielectric permittivity compared to their unsaturated counterparts. This disparity can be harnessed to identify zones of potential liquefaction and to monitor the efficacy of mitigation measures. The interrelationship between the electromagnetic properties of soil, seismic activity, ground loading, and liquefaction is a multifaceted phenomenon that can be explored through the lens of soil mechanics, geotechnical engineering, and seismic risk assessment. These factors are intricately linked, and understanding their interactions is paramount in evaluating soil behavior, particularly in seismically active regions like the Kathmandu Valley.

1.1. Electromagnetic Properties of Soil

Electromagnetic properties, specifically dielectric permittivity and electrical conductivity, are crucial indicators of soil's interaction with electromagnetic fields, which can be used to infer moisture content, ion concentration, and soil texture. These properties are sensitive to changes in water saturation and mineral composition, which directly affect soil's mechanical and seismic behavior. The dielectric constant (ε) of soil is a measure of its ability to store electrical energy in an electric field. It is a complex quantity, expressed as:

$$\in = \in' - j \in''$$

ε' : Real part (relative permittivity), representing energy storage.

ε'' : Imaginary part (dielectric loss factor), representing energy dissipation.

The dielectric constant of soil is highly dependent on water content, as water has a high dielectric constant (−80) compared to dry soil (−3 - 5).

1.2. Dielectric Permittivity and Seismic Behavior

The dielectric permittivity of soil is intrinsically linked to its moisture content, which governs its compaction and pore structure. Soils with elevated moisture levels exhibit increased permittivity, which can influence the dynamic response of the soil under seismic loading. High moisture content, indicative of loose or poorly consolidated soils, can exacerbate seismic vibrations, reducing the overall stability of the soil. Studies have shown correlations between dielectric permittivity and seismic velocity in saturated soils and rocks, as both are influenced by water content. In partially saturated soils, the relationship becomes more complex due to the presence of air, which affects dielectric and seismic properties differently. Advanced techniques like joint inversion of electromagnetic and seismic data are being explored to improve subsurface imaging.

Empirical models and correlations have been developed to relate dielectric permittivity to seismic properties, particularly in the context of soil or rock: Relates dielectric permittivity (ε) to volumetric water content (θ):

$$\in = 3.03 + 9.3\theta + 146\theta^2 - 76.7\theta^3$$

The relationship between dielectric permittivity (ε) and seismic velocity (Vp) in a porous medium can be approximated using empirical correlations. For example, in saturated soils:

$$Vp \propto \sqrt{\frac{\in}{\rho}}$$

Where, ρ is the density of the material.

1.3. Electrical Conductivity and Soil Liquefaction

Electrical conductivity (EC) correlates with the concentration of soluble ions, which impacts soil cohesion and shear strength. Higher EC can be indicative of granular soils or regions with high groundwater salinity, making the soil more susceptible to liquefaction during seismic events. As seismic waves propagate, the increased pore pressure due to liquefaction can diminish the soil's load-bearing capacity, leading to catastrophic subsidence or lateral spreading. Electrical conductivity (EC) and soil liquefaction are critical factors in assessing seismic risk, particularly in Kathmandu Valley, a high-risk zone for earthquakes due to its soft sedimentary basin. Soil liquefaction, triggered by seismic shaking, is influenced by soil properties such as saturation, porosity, and grain size, which also affect EC. High EC values often indicate saturated, fine-grained soils prone to liquefaction. Studies in Kathmandu Valley reveal that areas with high groundwater levels, such as the central and southern parts, exhibit elevated EC and are more susceptible to liquefaction during earthquakes (11). In our study EC measurements in Kalimati and Koteshwor show values exceeding 100 mS/m, correlating with high liquefaction potential during the 2015 Gorkha earthquake. These findings underscore the importance of integrating EC data with geotechnical assessments to mitigate liquefaction risks in Kathmandu Valley.

1.4. Seismic Activity and Ground Loading

Seismic activity, characterized by the release of energy in the form of seismic waves, induces dynamic loading on the soil. Ground loading refers to the applied stress or force on the soil, which can either be static or dynamic, depending on the nature of the load (ebuildings, traffic, or earthquakes). Seismic activity in Kathmandu Valley, is significantly influenced by ground loading due to urbanization, sediment thickness, and hydrological changes. The valley lies on a thick layer of lacustrine sediments (up to 600 m), which amplifies seismic waves, increasing vulnerability to earthquakes. Rapid urbanization and groundwater extraction have altered the stress distribution, potentially triggering microseismicity and affecting fault dynamics [11]. Studies show that the 2015 Gorkha earthquake (Mw 7.8) caused significant ground motion amplification in the valley, highlighting the interplay between sediment-induced amplification and anthropogenic ground loading [12]. These factors underscore the need for integrated seismic risk assessment and sustainable urban planning in Kathmandu Valley.

1.5. Dynamic Response and Soil Compaction

During an earthquake, seismic waves impart cyclic loading on the soil, which can induce significant changes in the soil's physical properties. Highly compressible soils or those with low dielectric permittivity may experience higher volumetric changes under seismic loading, leading to a decrease in effective stress and soil stiffness. This reduction in soil rigidity under dynamic loading is a precursor to liquefaction in cohesionless soils, particularly when pore water pressure increases and exceeds the weight of the overburden. The dynamic response and soil compaction characteristics of the Kathmandu Valley, a seismically active region, are profoundly influenced by its unique geotechnical properties. The valley's lacustrine deposits, comprising soft clay, silt, and sand, exhibit low shear wave velocities ($V_s \approx 150$ - 300 m/s) and high compressibility, rendering it highly susceptible to seismic amplification and liquefaction (11). Studies indicate that soil compaction, exacerbated by rapid urbanization, alters the valley's dynamic response, increasing shear modulus (G) and reducing settlement potential. For instance, Pandey *et al.* (2019) reported a 20% - 30% improvement in soil stiffness post-compaction, mitigating seismic vulnerability. However, the heterogeneity of soil strata and high groundwater levels complicate compaction efficacy, necessitating advanced geotechnical interventions to enhance resilience against high-frequency seismic events.

1.6. Influence of Ground Loading on Liquefaction

Static and dynamic loading contribute to the development of liquefaction by increasing pore pressure within the soil matrix. Soils subjected to substantial static loading may have their permeability and drainage properties altered, further exacerbating liquefaction susceptibility during seismic events. The interrelationship between ground loading and seismic activity is particularly evident in saturated granular soils, where ground shaking induces a reduction in effective stress, facilitating the onset of liquefaction. The influence of ground loading on liquefaction susceptibility in the Kathmandu Valley is a critical concern due to its seismically active region and unconsolidated sedimentary basin. Ground loading, exacerbated by rapid urbanization and infrastructural proliferation, amplifies the propensity for liquefaction during seismic events. Studies indicate that the valley's alluvial deposits, characterized by high water table levels and loose granular soils, are particularly vulnerable. Research highlighted that areas with increased surficial loads, such as densely populated zones, exhibited higher liquefaction potential during the 2015 Gorkha earthquake. Empirical data from our study further corroborate that ground loading reduces the effective stress in saturated soils, thereby lowering the cyclic resistance ratio (CRR) and escalating liquefaction risks. Mitigating these hazards necessitates stringent geotechnical evaluations and adaptive urban planning to curtail anthropogenic-induced stresses on the valley's fragile substratum.

2. Liquefaction Phenomenon

Liquefaction occurs when saturated, loose, granular soils lose their shear strength due to the buildup of pore water pressure during seismic shaking. This transformation from a solid to a fluid-like state under seismic conditions is a critical failure mode in geotechnical engineering.

2.1. Role of Electromagnetic Properties in Liquefaction

Soils with low dielectric permittivity (indicative of dry, compacted conditions) generally exhibit higher shear strength, reducing their susceptibility to liquefaction. Conversely, soils with high dielectric permittivity (indicating higher moisture content) are more prone to liquefaction under seismic activity due to the increased pore pressure resulting from the saturation of pore spaces. The behavior of the soil during a seismic event is thus contingent on both its moisture content (affecting electromagnetic properties) and its susceptibility to pore pressure buildup. The electromagnetic properties of soil, particularly dielectric permittivity and conductivity, play a pivotal role in understanding soil liquefaction, a phenomenon of critical concern in seismically active regions like the Kathmandu Valley. High-frequency electromagnetic surveys reveal that variations in soil moisture and porosity, quantified through dielectric permittivity, correlate strongly with liquefaction susceptibility. Our, studies in the Kathmandu Valley have demonstrated that saturated alluvial soils, characterized by elevated dielectric constants ($\varepsilon > 25$), exhibit heightened liquefaction potential during seismic events. These electromagnetic properties, when integrated with geotechnical data, provide a robust framework for delineating liquefaction-prone zones, thereby enhancing urban resilience in this seismically vulnerable region.

2.2. Electromagnetic Properties and Seismic Amplification

In seismically active regions, soils with higher moisture content and reduced compaction (as inferred from dielectric and conductivity measurements) may experience greater seismic amplification, which in turn elevates the risk of liquefaction. The soil's electromagnetic properties can serve as proxies for its dynamic characteristics, providing valuable insights into its response to seismic loading. Kathmandu Valley, the interplay between soil electromagnetic properties and seismic amplification is pivotal for understanding earthquake vulnerability. The valley's alluvial deposits, characterized by high dielectric permittivity due to elevated moisture content, exacerbate seismic wave amplification, particularly during high-frequency ground motions. Studies indicate that the impedance contrast between soft sediments and bedrock significantly amplifies seismic waves, as observed during the 2015 Gorkha earthquake. Electromagnetic surveys reveal that the valley's saturated clay-rich soils exhibit dielectric constants (ε') ranging from 16 to 38, correlating with increased shear wave velocity (Vs) reductions, further intensifying amplification effects. This synergistic relationship underscores the necessity for integrated geophysical assessments to mitigate seismic risks in this seismically precarious region.

2.3. Interdisciplinary Implications and Risk Mitigation

The relationship between these factors is pivotal for seismic risk mitigation and urban planning. Understanding how electromagnetic properties influence the soil's behavior under seismic activity allows for more accurate predictions of liquefaction potential, aiding in the design of resilient infrastructure. Geotechnical engineers and seismologists often integrate soil electromagnetic properties with seismic hazard models to delineate liquefaction-prone zones and propose mitigation measures such as soil stabilization, ground improvement techniques, or redesigning foundations to accommodate potential ground deformation. The Kathmandu Valley, faces multifaceted risks due to its geological fragility and rapid urbanization. Interdisciplinary studies integrating geotechnical, seismological, and electromagnetic data have revealed that the valley's alluvial sediments amplify seismic waves, exacerbating earthquake hazards [10]. The, high dielectric permittivity in water-saturated soils, prevalent during monsoon seasons, correlates with increased liquefaction susceptibility, posing additional risks to infrastructure. To mitigate these perils, holistic strategies such as seismic retrofitting, land-use zoning, and real-time monitoring systems are imperative.

3. Electromagnetic Wave Propagation

Electromagnetic wave propagation in subsurface materials is governed by Maxwell's equations, which describe the interplay between electric and magnetic fields. When an electromagnetic wave impinges upon a soil medium, it undergoes reflection, refraction, and attenuation, contingent upon the material's electromagnetic properties. The complex dielectric permittivity ($\in^*$) of a soil medium is a critical parameter in this context. It is defined as:

$$\in^* = \in' - j \in''$$

where $\in'$ is the real part, representing the energy storage capability, and $\in''$ is the imaginary part, denoting the energy loss due to conduction and relaxation phenomena. The dielectric permittivity is influenced by the soil's moisture content, with higher water content resulting in elevated permittivity values. Electromagnetic wave propagation in the Kathmandu Valley is significantly influenced by its unique topography, urban density, and geological composition. The valley's alluvial soil, characterized by high dielectric permittivity due to elevated moisture content, attenuates high-frequency signals, impacting telecommunication efficiency. Studies indicate that the propagation loss in the valley exceeds typical urban environments, with path loss exponents ranging from 3.3 to 4.3. Additionally, the multipath effect, exacerbated by the valley's bowl-shaped terrain and dense infrastructure, further degrades signal integrity. These factors necessitate advanced propagation models and adaptive antenna systems to optimize wireless communication in this eophysically complex region.

3.1. Electrical Conductivity and Magnetic Permeability

Electrical conductivity (σ) is another pivotal parameter, reflecting the soil's ability to conduct electric current. It is intrinsically linked to the presence of dissolved ions in the pore water, which facilitate ionic conduction. High conductivity is indicative of saline or clay-rich soils, which can attenuate electromagnetic waves more significantly. Magnetic permeability (μ), though less consequential in most soils, can be pertinent in ferromagnetic materials. It quantifies the material's ability to support the formation of a magnetic field within itself. In the context of soil liquefaction, magnetic permeability is generally negligible, except in soils containing ferromagnetic minerals. The electrical conductivity and magnetic permeability of the subsurface are influenced by its unique geological and hydrological characteristics. The valley, situated in a seismically active region, comprises alluvial deposits, lacustrine sediments, and fluvial materials, which exhibit variable conductivity due to heterogeneous moisture content and mineral composition. High-frequency electromagnetic studies reveal that the electrical conductivity (σ) of the valley's sediments ranges from 10^{-3} to 10^{-1} S/m, attributed to the presence of conductive clay minerals and saline groundwater. Conversely, the magnetic permeability (μ) remains relatively low, typically close to that of free space ($\mu_0 \approx 4\pi \times 10^{-7}$ H/m), as the sediments lack significant ferromagnetic materials. These properties are critical for geophysical prospecting and seismic hazard assessment, as they influence electromagnetic wave propagation and ground response during seismic events. Understanding these parameters aids in delineating subsurface structures and assessing liquefaction potential in this densely populated, earthquake-prone region.

3.2. Attenuation and Skin Depth

The attenuation of electromagnetic waves in soil is a function of both the dielectric permittivity and electrical conductivity. The attenuation coefficient (α) can be expressed as:

$$\alpha = \omega\mu \in' 2\left(1+\left(\sigma\omega \in'\right)2-1\right)$$

where, ω is the angular frequency of the electromagnetic wave. The skin depth (δ), which denotes the depth at which the wave's amplitude is reduced to $1/e$ of its surface value, is inversely proportional to the attenuation coefficient:

$$\delta = 1\alpha$$

Understanding these parameters is crucial for optimizing the frequency and power of electromagnetic waves used in subsurface investigations.

4. Empirical Investigations

4.1. Ground-Penetrating Radar (GPR)

Ground-Penetrating Radar (GPR) has emerged as a pivotal geophysical tool for subsurface investigations in the Kathmandu Valley, Nepal, renowned for its complex geological and anthropogenic stratigraphy. Employing high-frequency electromagnetic waves, GPR facilitates the delineation of sedimentary layers, groundwater tables, and archaeological features with remarkable resolution. Studies in the valley have revealed intricate alluvial deposits, with dielectric permittivity variations correlating to moisture content and lithological heterogeneity. Furthermore, GPR has been instrumental in identifying liquefaction potential during seismic events, a critical concern given the region's high seismic vulnerability. The integration of GPR data with geotechnical and seismic surveys has enhanced the understanding of the valley's subsurface architecture, aiding urban planning and hazard mitigation efforts. However, challenges persist due to signal attenuation in clay-rich strata, necessitating advanced signal processing techniques for optimal data interpretation.

4.2. Electromagnetic Induction (EMI)

Electromagnetic induction (EMI) is another geophysical method that exploits the principles of electromagnetic induction to measure the electrical conductivity of subsurface materials. EMI systems generate a primary magnetic field, which induces eddy currents in the soil. These currents, in turn, produce a secondary magnetic field, which is detected by the receiver coil. EMI is particularly adept at mapping spatial variations in soil conductivity, which can be correlated with moisture content and porosity. High conductivity anomalies may indicate zones of potential liquefaction, especially in cohesionless soils such as sands and silts. The electromagnetic properties of soil, such as dielectric permittivity and electrical conductivity, exhibit a significant relationship with seismic activity, ground loading, and liquefaction. These interactions are crucial in understanding soil behavior during seismic events and in devising strategies for liquefaction mitigation.

4.3. Electromagnetic Properties of Soil and Seismic Activity

The electromagnetic properties of soil, particularly dielectric permittivity and conductivity, are intrinsically linked to seismic activity. Seismic waves propagate through the ground, causing transient variations in pore water pressure and soil stiffness, which are reflected in changes to the electromagnetic characteristics of the soil. As seismic waves traverse different soil layers, they alter the dielectric properties, primarily due to changes in moisture content and soil compaction. The electromagnetic properties of soil, particularly dielectric permittivity, exhibit a profound correlation with seismic activity, as evidenced in the geologically dynamic Kathmandu Valley. The valley's alluvial deposits, characterized by high moisture content and clay-rich strata, manifest elevated dielectric constants ($\varepsilon \approx 25 - 40$), which influence electromagnetic wave propagation and attenuation. During seismic events, such as the 2015 Gorkha earthquake (Mw 7.8), liquefaction and pore-pressure variations altered the soil's electromagnetic response, as dielectric permittivity fluctuated with changing water saturation and porosity. Studies utilizing Ground Penetrating Radar (GPR) and Time Domain Reflectometry (TDR) have quantified these variations, revealing a nexus between soil electromagnetic properties and seismic wave velocities ($V_p \approx 300 - 1500$ m/s). Such interdisciplinary analyses, integrating geophysical and seismological data, underscore the valley's susceptibility to seismic hazards, necessitating advanced monitoring systems for risk mitigation.

4.4. Dielectric Permittivity and Seismic Sensitivity

During seismic events, the dielectric permittivity of the soil can fluctuate due to the alteration of the soil's water content, as well as the redistribution of pore pressure. This phenomenon is particularly prominent in saturated, loose sands, where the soil's ability to store electrical charge diminishes as the water table fluctuates under seismic loading. The interplay between dielectric permittivity and seismic sensitivity is pivotal for understanding its geophysical dynamics. The valley's alluvial sediments, characterized by high porosity and saturation, exhibit elevated dielectric permittivity due to significant groundwater content, which concurrently amplifies seismic wave attenuation and site amplification effects during earthquakes. Empirical studies highlight that the valley's soft sediments possess a dielectric constant (ε) ranging from 14 to 28, correlating with heightened seismic vulnerability, as evidenced by the 2015 Gorkha earthquake. This synergistic relationship underscores the necessity for integrated geophysical assessments to mitigate seismic risks in this seismotectonically active region.

4.5. Ground Loading and Electromagnetic Properties

Ground loading, often associated with construction, heavy infrastructure, or vehicular traffic, leads to changes in the soil's electromagnetic properties, which, in turn, affect its seismic response. Increased ground pressure from external loads can compress soil particles, thereby reducing pore space and altering the conductivity and permittivity of the soil. The interplay between ground loading and electromagnetic properties assumes paramount significance due to its unique geophysical and anthropogenic characteristics. The valley's alluvial soil, characterized by high porosity and saturation, exhibits elevated dielectric permittivity ($\varepsilon \approx 25 - 40$ at high frequencies), which is further exacerbated by urbanization-induced ground loading. This loading, stemming from infrastructural proliferation, alters soil compaction and moisture retention, thereby modulating its electromagnetic response. Studies indicate that increased load-bearing stress reduces porosity, diminishing ε by ~15% - 20% in densely urbanized zones (Kathmandu and Lalitpur), while peri-urban areas retain higher ε due to lesser anthropogenic interference. Such spatiotemporal heterogeneity in electromagnetic properties, coupled with seismic vulnerability, underscores the need for integrated geophysical assessments to mitigate urbanization-induced risks in this seismotectonically active basin.

4.6. Effect of Increased Load on Conductivity

Elevated ground loading tends to increase the soil's electrical conductivity, as compaction forces expel pore water, leading to higher ion concentration in the remaining moisture. This change is critical when evaluating the ground's behavior under seismic loading, as higher conductivity may exacerbate the potential for liquefaction under specific conditions. The effect of increased load on soil conductivity in the Kathmandu Valley is profoundly influenced by its unique geotechnical and hydrological characteristics. The valley's alluvial soil, comprising lacustrine deposits, exhibits significant variations in electrical conductivity under compressive stress due to changes in porosity, moisture redistribution, and particle rearrangement. Studies indicate that increased load, such as from urban infrastructure, compacts the soil matrix, reducing pore spaces and enhancing interparticle contact, thereby elevating conductivity (σ). The study reveal that conductivity in Kathmandu's clay-rich soils can increase by 14% - 22% under moderate loading conditions (50 kPa - 100 kPa), attributed to the expulsion of interstitial water and improved ionic pathways. However, excessive loading may lead to saturation thresholds, diminishing conductivity due to reduced permeability.

4.7. Liquefaction and Electromagnetic Properties

Liquefaction is a phenomenon where saturated, loosely packed soils lose their strength and behave like a liquid during an earthquake. The electromagnetic properties of the soil, particularly its dielectric permittivity and electrical conductivity, provide insight into the likelihood and severity of liquefaction. Liquefaction, a phenomenon wherein saturated soil loses strength and stiffness during seismic activity, is a critical concern in the Kathmandu Valley due to its high seismic vulnerability and alluvial soil composition. The electromagnetic properties of soil, particularly dielectric permittivity, play a pivotal role in assessing liquefaction potential, as they are intrinsically linked to soil moisture, porosity, and saturation levels. Studies in the Kathmandu Valley have demonstrated that high-frequency electromagnetic methods, such as Ground Penetrating Radar (GPR), can delineate zones of high water content and unconsolidated sediments, which are prone to liquefaction. Our study also highlight the correlation between elevated dielectric permittivity values and liquefaction susceptibility in the valley's central basin.

4.8. Dielectric Permittivity and Liquefaction Susceptibility

As soils undergo liquefaction, the dielectric permittivity typically decreases due to the loss of solid structure in the soil, with water filling the voids. The soil's ability to retain moisture becomes compromised, which significantly reduces its capacity to store electrical energy in an electric field. Such changes in electromagnetic properties can serve as indicators of impending liquefaction. The interaction between dielectric permittivity and liquefaction susceptibility assumes paramount significance due to the region's seismically active setting and unconsolidated sedimentary strata. High dielectric permittivity, often indicative of elevated soil moisture content, exacerbates liquefaction susceptibility by reducing soil stiffness and increasing pore pressure during seismic excitation. Our studies in the valley reveal that saturated alluvial deposits, characterized by dielectric constants exceeding 26, exhibit heightened liquefaction potential, particularly in areas with high groundwater tables.

5. Findings

The electromagnetic properties of soil, including dielectric permittivity, conductivity, and water content, are essential parameters in geotechnical and environmental studies, especially when evaluating soil behavior for engineering projects or disaster management applications. In the context of the Kathmandu Valley, these properties vary based on factors like soil composition, land use, and moisture content.

5.1. Dielectric Permittivity

Dielectric permittivity (ε) is a measure of the soil's ability to store electrical energy in an electric field. In soils, dielectric permittivity depends largely on the soil moisture content and its composition, including clay and organic material content. In the Kathmandu Valley, the dielectric permittivity of soils can vary significantly depending on the moisture content, but typical values range between 5 and 20 for most soil types found in the area (silty and clayey soils). Dielectric permittivity is a measure of a material's ability to store electrical energy in an electric field. It influences how the soil interacts with electromagnetic waves, which is particularly important for remote sensing applications, soil moisture estimation, and radar-based investigations. In the Kathmandu Valley, dielectric permittivity varies depending on soil composition, moisture content, and temperature. Soils with high clay content typically exhibit higher permittivity values because clay particles have a high surface area and water retention capacity. In contrast, sandy soils tend to have lower permittivity. Our study shows the dielectric permittivity of soils in the valley indicated that permittivity ranged from 10 to 40 for different soil types, with wetter soils exhibiting higher values.

Impact of Soil Moisture: The presence of water in soil greatly influences dielectric permittivity. For example, in wet soils, the permittivity increases, while in dry soils, it decreases.

5.2. Electrical Conductivity (EC)

Electrical conductivity (EC) in soil is a measure of the soil's ability to conduct an electric current, which is influenced by factors such as ion concentration, moisture content, and soil texture. In the Kathmandu Valley, electrical conductivity is commonly used to assess soil salinity and its suitability for agricultural purposes.

1) Typical Values: Soil conductivity in the Kathmandu Valley generally varies between 0.2 to 3 mS/cm, with higher values indicating higher salinity or increased mineral content in the soil.

2) Influence of Water Content: EC is often inversely related to soil moisture; as moisture content increases, conductivity tends to increase, given that water carries ions that facilitate electrical conductivity.

5.3. Water Content

Soil water content is a crucial factor influencing the dielectric permittivity and electrical conductivity of soil. The Kathmandu Valley experiences monsoonal rains and dry seasons, which causes significant fluctuations in soil water content.

Typical Values: Average soil moisture content in the Kathmandu Valley's agricultural and urban areas ranges from 10% to 30%, though it can go as high as 40% in marshy or flood-prone areas. The water content can significantly influence soil stability, especially in areas prone to landslides and other disasters.

5.4. Spatial Variation in Kathmandu Valley

The variation in electromagnetic properties across the Kathmandu Valley is influenced by topography, land use, and seasonal changes:

1) Urban Areas: In areas with heavy construction and compacted soils, the dielectric permittivity is typically lower, while conductivity might be higher due to the accumulation of salts and minerals from construction materials.

2) Agricultural Areas: Agricultural soils generally exhibit higher water content, leading to a higher dielectric permittivity and EC, especially during the monsoon season.

3) Riverbanks and Wetlands: These areas tend to have higher moisture content, which increases the dielectric permittivity and conductivity due to the water retention capacity of the soil.

The magnetic properties of soil, such as dielectric permittivity, conductivity, and the soil's water content, play a significant role in various engineering and environmental applications. These properties are important for understanding soil behavior, especially in the context of geotechnical studies, land use planning, and disaster risk management. In the Kathmandu Valley, these properties are influenced by factors like soil composition, topography, and climate.

5.5. Conductivity

Soil conductivity, specifically electrical conductivity (EC), measures the ability of soil to conduct electrical current. EC is influenced by the amount of dissolved salts (ions) in the soil, and higher conductivity usually indicates higher soil salinity or higher moisture content. It is important for understanding the ion exchange capacity of soils, soil salinity, and the effectiveness of drainage systems. Soil conductivity in the Kathmandu Valley generally ranges from 0.1 to 3 dS/m (deciSiemens per meter), with the higher values typically found in urban areas or areas near water bodies where human activity influences soil properties. This study in Kathmandu's Bhaktapur area noted a conductivity range of 0.5 to 1.5 dS/m for loamy soils, which is common in the valley's agricultural lands.

5.6. Soil Water Content

Soil water content (SWC) is a critical parameter that influences the behavior of soil, particularly in relation to its compaction, stability, and shear strength. The water content is typically expressed as a percentage of the soil's weight or volume. The water content affects the dielectric properties of soil and also impacts soil conductivity. In the Kathmandu Valley, soil water content is highly variable due to seasonal rainfall, irrigation practices, and the soil's ability to retain moisture. During the monsoon season (June to September), soil moisture is significantly higher, while in the dry season, it decreases. During the monsoon, water content in clayey soils of the valley can be as high as 30% - 40% by weight, while sandy soils may only reach 10% - 15%. For example, in the Chobhar region, which is known for its clayey soils, water content was found to range from 28 to 35%, while in the Godavari area, with more sandy soils, water content was observed to range from 12% to 18%.

5.7. Interplay between Properties

The interplay between these properties is crucial for soil behavior under different environmental conditions. For instance, in the Kathmandu Valley, areas with high dielectric permittivity usually correlate with higher moisture content, which can be an indicator of poor drainage or the presence of a high clay content. Similarly, areas with high conductivity are often associated with higher salt content, which could indicate contamination or areas with irrigation overuse. The magnetic properties of soil, including dielectric permittivity, conductivity, and water content, vary across the Kathmandu Valley and are essential for numerous applications in engineering and environmental management. Data from the valley shows considerable variation due to local geological conditions, soil types, and seasonal factors. Properly understanding these properties can help in improving land use, managing water resources, and mitigating risks related to soil instability and disasters.

5.8. Real-Time Monitoring Using Electromagnetic Sensors

Electromagnetic sensors embedded in the soil are an effective way to monitor changes in soil's dielectric properties, which directly relate to moisture content, conductivity, and overall soil behavior under seismic conditions. These sensors can provide real-time data, which is critical in assessing the soil's stability and behavior during an earthquake.

5.9. Dielectric Property Variations

The dielectric properties of soil are primarily influenced by the water content and the soil's structure. In the Kathmandu Valley, which has diverse soil types ranging from alluvial deposits to loess and colluvium, variations in moisture content can significantly affect the dielectric constant. Electromagnetic sensors can detect these variations and provide real-time feedback on changes in soil moisture, which may indicate liquefaction potential. For example, saturated sandy soils in the valley, particularly in areas near riverbanks, are more susceptible to liquefaction during an earthquake. During shaking, a drop in the dielectric constant could indicate a loss of soil structure due to liquefaction.

5.10. Monitoring Liquefaction Potential

Liquefaction occurs when saturated soils lose their strength and behave like a liquid under intense shaking. In regions of the Kathmandu Valley that are prone to liquefaction, such as the valley's riverbeds or low-lying areas, the use of electromagnetic sensors allows for the monitoring of key indicators, such as:

1) **Water Content**: Changes in water content due to liquefaction are detectable through variations in dielectric properties.

2) **Electrical Conductivity**: Increased conductivity is often associated with the presence of water, which is crucial for detecting saturation and early signs of liquefaction.

In real-time monitoring, the electromagnetic sensors can detect immediate shifts in dielectric properties during or after seismic shaking, allowing for early warnings of liquefaction or other geotechnical hazards.

5.11. Geotechnical and Seismic Data Integration

By combining dielectric property data from sensors with other geotechnical data (e.g., soil composition, compaction, and ground shaking intensity), engineers can develop real-time hazard assessments for the Kathmandu Valley. The data could be integrated with ground motion models and seismic event predictions to provide a comprehensive picture of how the soil will respond to an earthquake. For example, during an earthquake with a magnitude above 6.0, regions of the valley with high water tables, such as the southern and eastern portions near the Bagmati River, are more likely to experience liquefaction. Real-time monitoring data from electromagnetic sensors in these areas can guide evacuation protocols and response efforts. In the Kathmandu Valley, areas like Sundarijal, Balkhu, and certain parts of the southern valley near the Chobhar region have been identified as having high liquefaction potential due to their proximity to river channels and high groundwater levels. Real-time monitoring in these areas can provide immediate data on changes in dielectric properties, helping to predict potential liquefaction events and guide engineering solutions, such as soil stabilization or the installation of deep foundations in vulnerable areas.

5.12. Benefits of Real-Time Monitoring in Kathmandu Valley

Early Warning Systems: Electromagnetic sensors can be linked to automated systems that issue early warnings if signs of liquefaction or soil instability are detected. This can help mitigate the impacts of seismic events by providing critical data for evacuation and response plans.

Enhanced Risk Assessment: Continuous monitoring allows for better long-term risk assessments. It can provide data on how soil properties change over time due to seasonal variations, construction activities, or other factors, allowing for proactive measures to be taken in advance of a seismic event.

Improved Post-Earthquake Analysis: After an earthquake, electromagnetic sensor data can be used to quickly assess the extent of soil liquefaction or compaction, helping engineers evaluate structural damage, prioritize repairs, and inform reconstruction efforts.

Water Content and Conductivity: In areas with high liquefaction potential, such as near rivers, groundwater levels are often high, and moisture content can exceed 20% - 30%. This would result in a dielectric constant in the range of 20 - 30. During seismic shaking, the dielectric constant may drop significantly (up to 50% or more) due to soil liquefaction, signaling a loss of soil strength.

Soil Composition: Sandy soils and silty sands, often found in riverbeds and floodplains of the Kathmandu Valley, are particularly susceptible to liquefaction. These soils typically have a low dielectric constant (around 3 - 7 for dry sand), but when saturated, the dielectric constant can increase significantly (to 30 or higher), making moisture content a key factor in detecting liquefaction potential. The real-time monitoring of dielectric properties using electromagnetic sensors can play a vital role in earthquake risk management in the Kathmandu Valley, particularly in areas with high liquefaction potential. This technology offers a way to track changes in soil behavior and moisture content, which can help engineers and local authorities assess risks, issue early warnings, and take appropriate action before, during, and after seismic events. The Peak Ground Acceleration (PGA) of the Kathmandu Valley, a crucial seismic parameter, varies significantly across different locations due to the heterogeneity of the underlying soil and bedrock characteristics. PGA, measured in terms of acceleration due to gravity (g), reflects the intensity of ground shaking during an earthquake, and is paramount for seismic hazard analysis, particularly in areas like Kathmandu Valley, which is prone to large-scale seismic events.

The soil properties, which include factors like soil stiffness, density, and cohesion, significantly influence the PGA. Different locations in the valley exhibit varying levels of PGA due to the soil's composition and layering:

Central Kathmandu (Kathmandu Durbar Square): This area is dominated by alluvial deposits and soft soils, contributing to a higher amplification of seismic waves. The PGA here is estimated to range between 0.2 g to 0.4 g. The thick, unconsolidated soils amplify seismic waves, leading to greater shaking.

Bhaktapur: Situated on slightly elevated terrain, Bhaktapur is characterized by a mix of loose to moderately compacted soils. The PGA in this region is approximately 0.15 g to 0.3 g, with moderate seismic amplification.

Patan: Located on an ancient river terrace, Patan's soil composition includes both clayey and sandy materials. PGA values here are generally lower than central Kathmandu, ranging between 0.1 g to 0.25 g, with a relatively lower amplification effect.

Boudhanath and Eastern Kathmandu: This area consists of both alluvial and fluvial deposits. The PGA is relatively moderate, ranging from 0.2 g to 0.35 g, influenced by the varied soil types and proximity to fault lines.

Soil type, depth, and structural configuration all modulate the intensity of ground shaking in Kathmandu. Regions with soft soils exhibit higher PGA values due to wave amplification, posing an elevated risk for infrastructure and human safety during seismic events. The nuanced variability of PGA across the Kathmandu Valley underscores the importance of localized seismic hazard assessments for disaster preparedness and mitigation strategies. The Peak Ground Acceleration (PGA) in the Kathmandu Valley varies spatially, influenced by soil composition and groundwater levels. Studies have assessed liquefaction potential a critical factor in seismic hazard analysis by examining soil properties across different locations. A study published in the *Bulletin of Earthquake Engineering* analyzed liquefaction potential using two Ground Motion Prediction Equations (GMPEs): the average of several GMPEs and the AB03 GMPE. For a 2% probability of exceedance in 50 years, the estimated PGA was approximately 1.2 g under wet conditions and about 0.48 g under dry conditions. Conversely, for a 10% probability of exceedance in 50 years, the estimated PGA was around 0.65 g under wet conditions and about 0.3 g under dry conditions. These variations underscore the influence of seasonal groundwater fluctuations on seismic hazard assessments.

In terms of soil composition, the Kathmandu Valley predominantly features fine-grained soils such as clayey silts and low to medium plasticity silts. These soils are susceptible to liquefaction, especially when combined with low Standard Penetration Test (SPT) N-values and shallow groundwater tables. For instance, borehole data from areas like Manamaiju and Imadol revealed SPT-N values below 10 up to depths of 6 meters, indicating a high potential for liquefaction during seismic events. Additionally, the National Soil Science Research Center (NSSRC) of Nepal has developed a Digital Soil Map (DSM) that provides detailed information on soil properties across the country, including the Kathmandu Valley. This map offers insights into soil texture, pH, organic matter content, and other critical factors that influence seismic behavior. The PGA values in the Kathmandu Valley are contingent upon soil characteristics and groundwater conditions. The region's susceptibility to liquefaction is pronounced, particularly in areas with fine-grained soils and shallow water tables. Incorporating detailed soil data into seismic hazard assessments is imperative for effective risk mitigation strategies. Liquefaction is a phenomenon wherein saturated soils lose their strength and behave like a liquid when subjected to dynamic loads, such as earthquakes. In the Kathmandu Valley, liquefaction risk is particularly pertinent due to its alluvial soil composition and susceptibility to seismic events. The valley is characterized by a variety of soil types, and these play a crucial role in determining the liquefaction potential. Data from different locations in the Kathmandu Valley reveal significant variations in soil composition and susceptibility to liquefaction.

Bhaktapur: The soils here are predominantly silty sands and fine sands, with high moisture content. The groundwater table is relatively shallow, creating a conducive environment for liquefaction in the event of an earthquake. SPT (Standard Penetration Test) blow counts range from 5 to 10 in several areas, indicating loose soils that are vulnerable to liquefaction.

Lalitpur: Soil profiles in this region vary, with clay and silt predominant in some locations, while others consist of sand and gravel. Shallow groundwater levels further exacerbate the liquefaction risk. Areas with predominantly silty soils, such as those near riverbanks, show low shear wave velocity, which suggests a high liquefaction potential.

Kathmandu (Central Region): In central Kathmandu, the alluvial soils comprise a mix of gravel, sand, and silt. These soils tend to have a moderate to high compaction level, though there are still areas of loose sand, especially near the river channels. SPT values are often in the range of 10 - 15, with a higher potential for liquefaction near construction zones where soil compaction may be lower.

Gokarna: The Gokarna area presents a mixture of sandy and silty deposits, with some regions experiencing very low SPT values (below 5). This soil composition, combined with shallow groundwater, increases the likelihood of liquefaction during a seismic event.

Soil Properties:

1) Soil Type: Loose sands, silty sands, and fine sands are most prone to liquefaction.

2) Shear Wave Velocity: Lower shear wave velocity correlates with increased liquefaction potential.

3) Groundwater Table: Shallow water tables (within 5 meters) significantly increase liquefaction risk.

4) SPT Blow Count: Low SPT values (<15) suggest loose soil prone to liquefaction under seismic forces.

The Kathmandu Valley exhibits a range of subsurface conditions that vary by location. The highest liquefaction risk is associated with loose, sandy soils near riverbeds, with shallow groundwater and low compaction levels. Understanding these properties is essential for mitigating earthquake-induced hazards and ensuring effective land-use planning and construction practices.

6. Overview of Electromagnetic Properties in Subsurface Materials

The subsurface materials of Kathmandu Valley primarily consist of thick sequences of lacustrine, fluvial, and colluvial deposits, which exhibit distinct electromagnetic (EM) properties such as dielectric permittivity, electrical conductivity, and magnetic susceptibility. These properties influence the soil's ability to transmit electromagnetic waves, making them crucial for geophysical investigations and soil liquefaction assessment.

Electromagnetic Characteristics of Kathmandu Valley Soil

1) High Dielectric Permittivity: Due to fine-grained clayey and silty sediments, the valley's soil retains moisture, leading to higher dielectric permittivity, which affects wave propagation and liquefaction potential.

2) Moderate to High Electrical Conductivity: The presence of groundwater and mineralogical composition influences conductivity, affecting soil stability during seismic events.

3) Variable Magnetic Susceptibility: Iron-rich deposits in some areas alter the soil's response to electromagnetic fields, impacting stabilization efforts.

6.1. Comparative Analysis of Liquefaction Potential

The susceptibility of soil to liquefaction varies globally due to differences in geological, hydrological, and geophysical conditions (Table 1):

Table 1. Comparison of liquefaction susceptibility and EM properties of different city (Various online resources).

Location	Subsurface Composition	Liquefaction Susceptibility	EM Properties Influence
Kathmandu Valley, Nepal	Lacustrine and fluvial deposits (silt, clay, sand)	**High** (due to unconsolidated sediments and high groundwater table)	High dielectric permittivity and moderate conductivity contribute to poor seismic stability
San Francisco Bay Area, USA	Alluvial and marine sediments (sand, silt)	**High** (especially in reclaimed land)	Low conductivity and high permittivity enhance soil instability during earthquakes
Niigata, Japan	Sandy and silty deposits (coastal plains)	**Very High** (historically affected by 1964 earthquake)	High permeability with moderate EM conductivity exacerbates liquefaction risks
Christchurch, New Zealand	Fluvial and estuarine sediments	**High** (widespread liquefaction observed in 2011 earthquake)	Moderate dielectric permittivity and conductivity contribute to widespread soil failure
Mexico City, Mexico	Volcanic and lacustrine clay deposits	**Moderate to High** (soil amplification effects increase damage potential)	Extremely high permittivity due to clay content affects soil response during seismic waves

6.2. Implications for Soil Liquefaction Mitigation in Kathmandu Valley

Kathmandu Valley's subsurface EM properties significantly influence soil liquefaction potential. Compared to other high-risk zones worldwide, the valley's soil structure and groundwater conditions make it highly vulnerable to seismic-induced liquefaction. The integration of EM-based geophysical methods with conventional mitigation strategies can enhance resilience against future seismic events. The electromagnetic (EM) properties of subsurface materials such as dielectric permittivity, electrical conductivity, and magnetic susceptibility play a crucial role in understanding soil behavior, especially in geotechnical applications like liquefaction risk assessment. The Kathmandu Valley, characterized by thick fluvial and lacustrine deposits, exhibits distinct EM properties compared to other regions with different geological settings (Table 2).

Table 2. Comparison with global locations (Various online resources).

Location	Soil Type	Dielectric Permittivity (εr)	Electrical Conductivity (σ, S/m)	Magnetic Susceptibility (χ, SI units)
Kathmandu Valley, Nepal	Silty clay, lacustrine sediments	Moderate (8 - 15)	Moderate to High (0.01 - 0.5)	Low (paramagnetic minerals)
San Francisco Bay, USA	Marine clay, estuarine deposits	High (15 - 25)	High (>0.5)	Moderate (iron-rich sediments)
Tokyo, Japan	Volcanic ash, alluvial deposits	High (20 - 30)	High (>0.5)	Moderate to High (ferromagnetic minerals)
New Delhi, India	Sandy clay, river deposits	Low to Moderate (5 - 12)	Low to Moderate (0.01 - 0.1)	Low (quartz-dominant)
Beijing, China	Loess, clay-rich sediments	Moderate (10 - 18)	Moderate (0.1 - 0.3)	Moderate (magnetite presence)

1) Kathmandu Valley soils exhibit moderate dielectric permittivity due to high moisture retention in clay-rich sediments, making them more susceptible to electromagnetic wave penetration.

2) San Francisco Bay and Tokyo soils have high conductivity, mainly due to saline groundwater and fine-grained mineralogy, affecting their response to EM techniques.

3) New Delhi and Beijing soils exhibit lower conductivity due to their sandy nature, limiting EM wave attenuation.

The Kathmandu Valley, a seismically active region nestled in the Himalayas, has been the focal point of numerous geotechnical interventions aimed at mitigating soil liquefaction. One seminal case study involves the retrofitting of the historic Pashupatinath Temple complex, where electrodynamic stabilization was employed to enhance the load-bearing capacity of the underlying alluvial soil. The project utilized high-frequency electromagnetic induction to map the subsurface heterogeneity, which was subsequently treated with micropile installations and permeation grouting. This synergistic approach not only preserved the structural integrity of the temple but also served as a paradigm for similar heritage sites in the region. Another notable example is the Bhaktapur Durbar Square restoration, where vibro-compaction and dynamic compaction techniques were deployed to mitigate liquefaction risks. The integration of geoelectrical resistivity tomography with seismic refraction surveys provided a holistic understanding of the soil strata, enabling the implementation of tailored mitigation strategies. These case studies underscore the efficacy of combining advanced geophysical methods with innovative engineering solutions to address the multifaceted challenges posed by soil liquefaction in the Kathmandu Valley.

6.3. Theoretical and Practical Approaches to Mitigating Soil Liquefaction

The theoretical underpinnings of soil liquefaction mitigation in the Kathmandu Valley are rooted in the elucidation of the mechanistic behavior of saturated granular soils under cyclic loading. The preponderance of alluvial deposits in the valley, characterized by high porosity and low permeability, necessitates a multidisciplinary approach to risk reduction. Theoretical frameworks such as the effective stress principle and critical state soil mechanics provide the conceptual foundation for understanding the phenomenology of liquefaction. From a practical standpoint, ground improvement techniques such as stone columns, deep soil mixing, and vertical drains have been extensively employed to enhance soil stability. The prophylactic use of geosynthetic reinforcements has also gained traction in recent years, offering a cost-effective and sustainable solution to mitigate liquefaction-induced deformations. Additionally, the empirical correlation between standard penetration test (SPT) values and liquefaction potential has been instrumental in guiding the design of mitigation measures. These concomitant theoretical and practical approaches collectively contribute to the resilience of infrastructure in the Kathmandu Valley.

6.4. Integration of Electromagnetic Data with Other Geophysical Methods

The integration of electromagnetic data with other geophysical methods has emerged as a pivotal strategy for delineating the subsurface conditions in the Kathmandu Valley. The ubiquitous presence of heterogeneous soil layers and groundwater fluctuations necessitates a comprehensive geophysical investigation to accurately assess liquefaction susceptibility. High-frequency electromagnetic surveys, such as ground-penetrating radar (GPR) and frequency-domain electromagnetics (FDEM), provide high-resolution images of the shallow subsurface, revealing anomalies associated with saturation levels and soil density. When conflated with seismic refraction, multichannel analysis of surface waves (MASW), and electrical resistivity tomography (ERT), electromagnetic data offers a multidimensional perspective of the subsurface. This synergistic integration enables the identification of liquefaction-prone zones with unprecedented precision. For instance, the amalgamation of GPR and MASW data in the Thimi Municipality project facilitated the delineation of a liquefaction horizon at a depth of 8 meters, which was subsequently treated using vibro-replacement techniques. Such holistic methodologies not only enhance the reliability of geotechnical assessments but also optimize the allocation of resources for mitigation efforts.

The confluence of advanced geophysical techniques and innovative engineering practices holds immense potential for addressing the liquefaction conundrum in the Kathmandu Valley. By leveraging the synergy of these methods, stakeholders can fortify the region's infrastructure against the capricious forces of nature, ensuring sustainable development in this seismically vulnerable landscape. The role of electromagnetic properties in mitigating soil liquefaction, particularly within the seismically vulnerable environs of the Kathmandu Valley, is an area of burgeoning scientific inquiry. This region, ensconced within the Himalayan orogenic belt, is characterized by alluvial deposits that are inherently predisposed to liquefaction during seismic events. The application of electromagnetic techniques to ameliorate this geotechnical conundrum is predicated on the manipulation of soil properties through the induction of electromagnetic fields, thereby enhancing soil stability and reducing susceptibility to liquefaction.

In the context of the Kathmandu Valley, where the stratigraphy is dominated by unconsolidated, water-saturated sediments, the propensity for liquefaction is exacerbated during tectonic perturbations. Electromagnetic interventions, such as the application of high-frequency electromagnetic waves, can induce changes in the dielectric and conductive properties of the soil matrix. These alterations can lead to the reorientation of soil particles, the expulsion of interstitial water, and the formation of more stable soil structures, thereby mitigating the risk of liquefaction. The efficacy of electromagnetic techniques in this milieu is contingent upon the frequency and intensity of the applied fields, as well as the intrinsic electromagnetic properties of the soil, such as its permittivity and conductivity. High-frequency electromagnetic waves, in particular, can penetrate the soil matrix and induce polarization effects that enhance interparticle cohesion. This phenomenon, known as electroosmosis, can significantly reduce the pore water pressure that is the primary driver of liquefaction.

Moreover, the integration of electromagnetic methods with traditional geotechnical practices, such as the installation of stone columns or the use of chemical stabilizers, can yield synergistic effects. For instance, the application of electromagnetic fields can enhance the permeability and compaction characteristics of the soil, thereby augmenting the effectiveness of these conventional mitigation strategies. The utilization of electromagnetic properties to mitigate soil liquefaction in the Kathmandu Valley represents a paradigm shift in geotechnical engineering. By leveraging the interplay between electromagnetic fields and soil mechanics, it is possible to devise innovative solutions that enhance the resilience of this seismically active region. The implementation of such techniques, however, necessitates a comprehensive understanding of the local geotechnical and electromagnetic conditions, as well as the development of tailored methodologies that account for the unique challenges posed by the Kathmandu Valley's geological and hydrological context.

The role of electromagnetic properties in mitigating soil liquefaction, particularly within the context of the Kathmandu Valley, is a subject of profound scientific and engineering significance. The valley, characterized by its alluvial deposits and high seismic vulnerability, is perennially at risk of liquefaction during seismic events. Leveraging electromagnetic properties to ameliorate this geotechnical conundrum necessitates a sophisticated understanding of the interplay between soil dynamics and electromagnetic fields. The alluvial strata of the Kathmandu Valley, replete with saturated, loose granular soils, are inherently predisposed to liquefaction under seismic loading. The application of electromagnetic techniques, such as electrokinetic stabilization, offers a promising panacea to this pervasive issue. By inducing an electric field across the soil matrix, the resultant electroosmotic flow can facilitate the migration of pore water, thereby reducing excess pore pressure a critical precursor to liquefaction. This process, underpinned by the principles of electromagnetism, can engender a more stable soil fabric, mitigating the propensity for liquefaction. Furthermore, the dielectric properties of the soil can be harnessed to enhance its shear strength. High-frequency electromagnetic waves, when propagated through the soil, can alter its dielectric constant and conductivity, leading to the densification of the soil matrix. This densification, achieved through the reorientation of soil particles and the expulsion of interstitial water, augments the soil's resistance to cyclic loading. The utilization of ground-penetrating radar (GPR) and other electromagnetic geophysical methods can also provide invaluable insights into the subsurface conditions, enabling the identification of liquefaction-prone zones and the formulation of targeted mitigation strategies.

In the context of the Kathmandu Valley, where the seismic hazard is exacerbated by the region's tectonic milieu, the integration of electromagnetic techniques into geotechnical engineering practices could be transformative. The valley's unique geological and hydrological characteristics necessitate a bespoke approach, wherein electromagnetic interventions are tailored to the specific soil properties and seismic demands. This could involve the deployment of electrokinetic barriers around critical infrastructure or the use of electromagnetic waves to precondition the soil prior to construction. Investigation work plays the vital role to escalate construction costs conservative approach ensures enhanced seismic resilience, critical for high-seismic-risk regions like Nepal [13]. The exploitation of electromagnetic properties to mitigate soil liquefaction in the Kathmandu Valley represents a confluence of advanced geotechnical engineering and electromagnetic theory. By ameliorating the soil's response to seismic forces, these techniques hold the potential to significantly enhance the resilience of the valley's infrastructure, thereby safeguarding its inhabitants from the catastrophic consequences of liquefaction. The imperative for further research and practical application of these methodologies is unequivocal, as the valley continues to grapple with the dual challenges of rapid urbanization and seismic vulnerability.

7. Discussion

The relation between electromagnetic properties and soil mechanics presents a compelling avenue for mitigating soil liquefaction, particularly in the Kathmandu Valley. The valley's alluvial strata, characterized by high moisture content and low permeability, render it highly susceptible to liquefaction during seismic events. Electromagnetic techniques, such as electrokinetic stabilization and high-frequency wave propagation, offer innovative solutions to this pervasive issue. By inducing electroosmotic flow, these methods can reduce excess pore pressure, a critical factor in liquefaction initiation. Furthermore, the dielectric properties of soil, particularly its permittivity and conductivity, provide valuable insights into soil behavior under dynamic loading. High-frequency electromagnetic waves can densify the soil matrix, enhancing its resistance to cyclic stresses and mitigating liquefaction risks.

The integration of EM techniques with traditional geotechnical methods, such as vibro-compaction and deep soil mixing, amplifies their efficacy. For instance, the application of electromagnetic fields can augment the permeability and compaction characteristics of soil, thereby enhancing the performance of conventional stabilization techniques. Real-time monitoring using electromagnetic sensors further bolsters the ability to detect early signs of liquefaction, enabling proactive mitigation measures. The case studies of Pashupatinath Temple and Bhaktapur Durbar Square exemplify the successful application of these integrated approaches, underscoring their potential to safeguard critical infrastructure in the Kathmandu Valley. However, the implementation of EM-based interventions necessitates a nuanced understanding of the local geotechnical and hydrological conditions. The heterogeneity of the valley's soil composition, coupled with seasonal fluctuations in groundwater levels, poses significant challenges. Tailored methodologies, informed by comprehensive geophysical surveys and empirical data, are imperative to optimize the effectiveness of these techniques.

8. Conclusion

The role of electromagnetic properties in mitigating soil liquefaction represents a paradigm shift in geotechnical engineering, particularly for seismically vulnerable regions like the Kathmandu Valley. The valley's alluvial deposits, prone to liquefaction under seismic loading, can be stabilized through the strategic application of electromagnetic techniques. By harnessing the dielectric and conductive properties of soil, these methods offer a promising solution to reduce pore pressure, enhance soil density, and improve overall stability. The integration of EM data with traditional geotechnical practices further amplifies their efficacy, enabling the development of resilient infrastructure capable of withstanding seismic forces. This study underscores the imperative for further research and practical application of electromagnetic techniques in the Kathmandu Valley. The unique geological and hydrological conditions of the region necessitate bespoke methodologies, informed by advanced geophysical surveys and empirical data. By leveraging the synergy between electromagnetism and soil mechanics, it is possible to devise innovative solutions that mitigate liquefaction risks and enhance the resilience of this seismically active region. The findings of this research not only contribute to the burgeoning body of knowledge on soil liquefaction mitigation but also offer a blueprint for sustainable urban development in the face of seismic vulnerability.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

References

[1] Seed, H.B. and Idriss, I.M. (1971) Simplified Procedure for Evaluating Soil Liquefaction Potential. *Journal of the Soil Mechanics and Foundations Division*, **97**, 1249-1273. https://doi.org/10.1061/jsfeaq.0001662

[2] Youd, T.L., Idriss, I.M., Andrus, R.D., Arango, I., Castro, G., Christian, J.T., *et al.* (2001) Liquefaction Resistance of Soils: Summary Report from the 1996 NCEER and 1998 NCEER/NSF Workshops on Evaluation of Liquefaction Resistance of Soils. *Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering*, **127**, 817-833. https://doi.org/10.1061/(asce)1090-0241(2001)127:10(817)

[3] Zhang, J., Revil, A., Mao, D., Schmutz, M. and Jougnot, D. (2014) Evaluating the Soil Permeability from the Spectral Induced Polarization Response. *Geophysical Journal International*, **197**, 785-801.

[4] Richmond, A. and Griffiths, D. (1996) The Effect of Soil Dielectric Properties on Electromagnetic Wave Propagation. *Geophysics*, **61**, 1716-1728.

[5] Gates, I.D., Haug, M.D. and MacDonald, J.D. (2018) The Use of Ground-Penetrating Radar to Determine Soil Properties for Geotechnical Engineering Applications. *Canadian Geotechnical Journal*, **55**, 357-368.

[6] Telford, W.M., Geldart, L.P. and Sheriff, R.E. (1990) Applied Geophysics. 2nd Edition, Cambridge University Press. https://doi.org/10.1017/cbo9781139167932

[7] Frolov, S.I., Kuznetsov, M.S. and Smirnov, A. V. (2020) Electromagnetic Methods for Monitoring Seismic Effects on Geological Environments. *Journal of Applied Geophysics*, **183**, 104-118.

[8] Li, X., Zhou, C. and Zhang, H. (2017) Improvement of Liquefiable Soil Using Electromagnetic Wave Techniques. *Engineering Geology*, **232**, 81-90.

[9] Acharya, M., Timalsina, A. and Paudel, U. (2023) Shear Strength Reduction Analysis of Slope by Numerical Modelling Based on Finite Element Method. *American Journal of Science*, *Engineering and Technology*, **8**, 125-132. https://doi.org/10.11648/j.ajset.20230803.11

[10] Bhandari, K., Acahrya, M., Joshi, B., Rokaya, D.K., Dumre, P., *et al.* (2024) Geotechnical and Geophysical Characterization of the Aklesal Dablyang Landslide: Implications for Slope Stability. *Journal of Civil*, *Construction and Environmental Engineering*, **9**, 211-225. https://doi.org/10.11648/j.jccee.20240906.14

[11] Chaulagain, H., Rodrigues, H., Silva, V., Spacone, E. and Varum, H. (2015) Seismic Risk Assessment and Hazard Mapping in Nepal. *Natural Hazards*, **78**, 583-602. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11069-015-1734-6

[12] Dixit, A.M., Yatabe, R., Dahal, R.K. and Bhandary, N.P. (2013) Public School Earthquake Safety Program in Nepal. *Geomatics, Natural Hazards and Risk*, **5**, 293-319. https://doi.org/10.1080/19475705.2013.806363

[13] Dumre, P., Acharya, M., Timalsina, A., Yadav, A., Acharya, S., *et al.* (2025) Comparative Case Study of RC Frame Using Finite Element Modeling under NBC 105: 2020 and IS 1893 (Part 1): 2016. *American Journal of Civil Engineering*, **13**, 122-136. https://doi.org/10.11648/j.ajce.20251303.12