# Variables associated with suicide ideation and plans in a Japanese population

Takuya Hasegawa<sup>1\*</sup>, Chiyoe Murata<sup>1</sup>, Tatsuya Noda<sup>1</sup>, Tomoko Takabayashi<sup>2</sup>, Takashi Ninomiya<sup>2</sup>, Shinya Hayasaka<sup>1</sup>, Toshiyuki Ojima<sup>1</sup>

Received 22 March 2011; revised 20 April 2011; accepted 26 April 2011.

#### **ABSTRACT**

The purpose of our study was to clarify variables associated with suicide ideation and plans in a Japanese population. We conducted a random-sampling survey on mental health and suicide using a self-administered questionnaire for Hamamatsu City residents aged 15 - 79 years between May and June, 2008. This included questions about gender, age, outpatient treatment, alcohol problems, depression, living arrangements, marital status, annual family income, industry types as well as suicide ideation and plans. The correlation between these variables and suicide ideation or plans was then analyzed with multiple logistic regression analysis by gender. A total of 1051 responded to this questionnaire (response rate, 53.9%). Variables statistically associated with suicide ideation in males included alcohol problems, depression, lower annual family income, and accommodations/eating/drinking services, while in females, the variables were younger age, outpatient treatment, depression, living alone, being single, being separated, lower annual family income, accommodations/eating/drinking services and unemployment. On the other hand, variables statistically associated with suicide plans in males were younger age, alcohol problems, depression, and lower annual family income, while in females they were younger age, alcohol problems, depression, being separated, lower annual family income, manufacturing, and accommodations/eating/drinking services. Except for industry types, variables associated with suicide ideation or plans were consistent with previous studies. The reason why workers engaging in manufacturing, or accommodations/

eating/drinking services were more likely to have suicide ideation or plans may be attributed to the structures and/or stresses unique to those industries.

**Keywords:** Suicide Ideation; Suicide Plans; Variables

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Suicide is a serious public health problem not only in Japan but also internationally. Every year, approximately one million people die of suicide; the suicide rate in the world is 16.0 per 100,000 as of 2000 [1]. In Japanese, it was less than 20.0 per year until 1997, but it increased drastically, exceeding 25.0 in 1998. Since then, it is reportedly around 25.0 [2]. In light of this situation, "the Basic Act on Suicide Prevention" was approved in June in Japan, 2006, and "the General Policies of Comprehensive Measures against Suicide" were formulated in June, 2007 to urge local governments to adopt measures for suicide prevention [2]. However, despite these measures, the Japanese suicide rate has not decreased. In 2008, suicide was the seventh leading cause of death in Japan [3]. According to data from the World Health Organization (WHO) in 2009, Japan has one of the world's highest suicide rates [4].

Suicide involves multiple and interacting causes among genetic, psychological, marital, environmental, sociocultural and economical factors. Risk factors for completed suicide have been reported to involve males, older age, serotonin dysfunction, mental disorders such as depression or schizophrenia, alcohol problems, drug abuse, low social class, poor employment status, low income, low education, separation as in those widowed or divorced, lack of social support or certain religious beliefs [5-13]. In addition, types of industries with a high suicide rate have been reported in agriculture/forestry, mining, con-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Department of Community Health and Preventive Medicine, Hamamatsu University School of Medicine, Hamamatsu, Japan;

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding Author: <u>hasetaku07172000@gmail.com</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Hamamatsu City Mental Health and Welfare Center, Hamamatsu, Japan.

struction, business/repair services, and transport/production [14-21]. Suicide-correlated behaviors include suicide ideation (thoughts of harming or killing oneself), suicide plans (specific plans to do so) and suicide attempts (non-fatal self-inflicted destructive acts with an explicit or implied intent to die). Though suicide-correlated behaviors do not necessarily end in completed suicide, it is regarded as an important precursor [22,23]. Because, as with schizophrenia, a stress-vulnerability model can be applied to the suicide process, the multiple factors mentioned above precede this process, whereas suicide plans are preceded by suicide ideation and the suicide acts in turn are preceded by suicide plans [24]. About 12% of those with suicide ideation attempted suicide [25]. Furthermore, they committed suicide attempts 6.09 times more frequently than those without suicide ideation [25]. Such attempts are one of the most important predictors of completed suicide, since 10% - 15% of those attempting suicide have reportedly gone through with it [26,27].

Several studies have mentioned risk factors for suicide-correlated behaviors, some of which reported that most of them were the same as the factors for completed suicide. For example, mental problems including depression or drug abuse, separation, poor employment status, low income or low education have been reported as risk factors for suicide-correlated behaviors [7,13, 28-35]. However, some factors such as gender or age have been reported to exert various effects on specific suicide-correlated behaviors. For example, such behaviors are more common worldwide among females or the young, while completed suicide is more common among males and the elderly, though these tendencies do not necessarily apply to all countries or regions [36].

Some local governments have developed various measures for suicide prevention, one of which is to make appropriate intervention for those with suicide-correlated behaviors. Using a self-administered questionnaire, we conducted a random-sampling survey of suicide-correlated behaviors, particularly suicide ideation or plans, among Hamamatsu City residents. The aim of our study was to clarify risk factors associated with suicide ideation and plans in a Japanese population.

#### 2. METHODS

# 2.1. Subjects

We had to establish measures for a City-wide suicide preventive strategy. That was followed by the General Policies of Comprehensive Measures against Suicide. To grasp the actual situation of suicidality in Hamamatsu City, a cross-sectional investigation was conducted by the City. We cooperated in sampling the subjects and preparing the survey questionnaire, the data from which were used in our study. Between May and June, 2008, self-administered questionnaires were mailed to a sample of 1950 Hamamatsu City residents aged 15 - 79 years, selected by stratified random sampling based on their gender, age and ward. Among them, a total of 1051 responded (response rate, 53.9%). After excluding 142 subjects for incomplete data, the remaining 909 (401 males and 508 females) were analyzed. The study objectives were explained to the subjects via a form distributed at the time of the survey; only subjects who agreed to participate in this survey responded. The survey data were anonymously collected by Hamamatsu City and kept confidential, making individual identification impossible.

#### 2.2. Measures

#### 2.2.1. Suicide Ideation or Plans

The relevant responses in this category were elicited by a questionnaire used in the Multisite Intervention Study on Suicidal Behavior (SUPRE-MISS) that WHO was conducted as part of a global suicide prevention program in eight countries [37]. The following question was used to assess suicide ideation: "Have you ever thought about committing suicide in the last twelve months?", followed by two choices: "yes" or "no". The following question was used to assess suicide plans: "Have you ever made plans for committing suicide?", followed by two choices: "yes" or "no".

## 2.2.2. Alcohol Problems

Alcohol problems were assessed using a Japanese-translated CAGE (C, Cut down; A, Annoyed; G, Guilty; E, Eye-opener) questionnaire, followed by 2 choices: "yes" or "no" [38,39]. Those who responded in the affirmative two or more times were considered positive (having alcohol problems).

## 2.2.3. Depression

Depression was assessed with a Japanese-translated CES-D (Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale), followed by 4 choices: "0", "1", "2" or "3" [40]. Those with a total of sixteen or more points were considered positive (depressed).

# 2.2.4. Other Variables

Furthermore, this questionnaire items included gender, age, outpatient treatment, living arrangements, marital status, annual family income and industry types. Age was categorized into 3 variables: "39 yrs or less," "40 - 59 years," or "60 years or more." Outpatient treatment was denoted by a single item question "Do you receive

**Table 1.** Prevalence of suicide ideation and plans (N = 909).

Variables	Males			Females		
	N	Suicide Ideation	Suicide Plans	N	Suicide Ideation	Suicide Plans
Total	401	7.5	11.0	508	9.1	19.1
Age						
≤ 39	133	9.0	17.3	188	12.2	25.0
40 - 59	141	8.5	9.2	193	9.8	21.2
60 ≤	127	4.7	6.3	127	3.1	7.1
Outpatient Treatment						
No	229	7.0	11.8	280	5.7	19.6
Yes	172	8.1	9.9	228	13.2	18.4
Alcohol Problems						
Negative	337	6.2	9.8	487	8.6	17.5
Positive	64	14.1	17.2	21	19.0	57.1
Depression						
Negative	296	2.4	7.4	347	3.2	13.5
Positive	105	21.9	21.0	161	21.7	31.1
Living Arrangements						
Living with Someone Else	371	8.1	11.6	474	7.8	18.4
Living Alone	30	0.0	3.3	34	26.5	29.4
Marital Status						
Married	291	7.2	10.3	362	5.2	16.0
Single	92	8.7	13.0	100	17.0	22.0
Separated	18	5.6	11.1	46	21.7	37.0
Annual Family Income (Yen/Year)						
≤ 1 999 999	29	17.2	13.8	39	25.6	25.6
2 000 000 - 6 999 999	231	7.4	12.1	282	5.3	17.0
7 000 000 ≤	112	4.5	6.3	117	11.1	16.2
Unknown	29	10.3	17.2	70	11.4	28.6
Industry Types						
Construction	25	12.0	8.0	16	12.5	18.8
Manufacturing	113	6.2	11.5	65	9.2	33.8
Wholesale/Retail Trade	20	10.0	20.0	37	2.7	10.8
Accommodations/Eating/Drinking Services	3	66.7	33.3	20	20.0	35.0
Medical/Health Care and Welfare	8	0.0	12.5	55	9.1	23.6
Government	23	4.3	13.0	11	18.2	27.3
Other Services	38	7.9	5.3	42	9.5	26.2
Others	78	3.8	7.7	51	9.8	15.7
Housewife/Househusband	1	0.0	0.0	132	3.8	10.6
Student	19	21.1	15.8	31	9.7	16.1
Unemployment	73	6.8	12.3	48	18.8	14.6

treatment at a hospital, clinic, or acupuncture/acupressure clinic due to disease?", followed by two choices: "yes" or "no". Living arrangements were categorized into 2 variables: "living with someone else" or "living alone". Marital status was categorized into 3 variables: "married", "single" or "separated (widow/widower or divorced)". Annual family income in ven was categorized into 4 variables: "1,999,999 or less", "2,000,000 to 6,999,999", "7,000,000 or more" or "unknown". Industry types were categorized into the following 20 variables: "agriculture/forestry," "fisheries," "mining and quarrying of stone and gravel", "construction", "manufacturing", "electricity/gas/heat supply/ water", "information/communications", "transport/postal activities", "wholesale/retail trade", "finance/insurance", "real estate/rental and leasing goods", "accommodations/ eating/drinking services", "medical/health care and welfare", "education/learning support", "government", "other services", "other", "housewife/househusband", "student" or "unemployment". At analysis, because the total number of male or female subjects were 15 or less, the following industries were included in "others"; "agriculture/forestry", "fisheries", "mining and quarrying of stone and gravel", "electricity/gas/heat supply/water", "information/communications", "transport/postal activities", "finance/insurance", "real estate/rental and leasing goods", "education/learning support" and "other".

#### 2.3. Data Analysis

Descriptive epidemiological statistics were used to compute the number and percentage of subjects with suicide ideation or plans by each variable. The correlation between each variable and suicide ideation or plans was analyzed with logistic regression models by gender after adjustments only for age in years (Model 1), and age in years with outpatient treatment, alcohol problems, depression, living arrangements, marital status, annual family income and industry types (Model 2). Odds ratio (OR), 95% confidence interval (95% CI) and P value were calculated. Used as reference groups were subjects aged 60 years or more with no outpatient treatment, no alcohol problems, no depression, lived with someone else, were married, engaged in wholesale/retail trade, or whose annual family income was 7,000,000 or more. P values of 0.05 or less were considered to be statistically significant. All statistical calculations were performed with SPSS for Windows, version 17.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago).

## 3. RESULTS

**Table 1** shows the descriptive epidemiological characteristics of our study subjects with suicide ideation or plans. Among them, 8.4% (7.5% of males and 9.1% of

females) or 15.5% (11.0% of males and 19.1% of females) had suicide ideation or plans, respectively. The vounger both males and females were, the more likely they were to have suicide ideation or plans. Females who had outpatient treatment were more likely to have suicide ideation than those who did not. Both males and females with alcohol problems were more likely to have suicide ideation or plans than those without such problems. Depression showed the same tendency. A lower percentage of males who were living alone had suicide ideation or plans than those living with someone else, though a higher percentage of females living alone had suicide ideation or plans than those living with someone else. Females who were separated were more likely to have suicide ideation or plans. The lower the income males had, the more they were likely to have suicide ideation or plans while the lower the income females had, the more they were likely to only have suicide plans. Among industry types, both males and females engaging in accommodations/eating/drinking services showed the highest percentage of suicide ideators or planners. Regarding gender difference, there was no statistical difference in suicide ideation (Model 1, OR: 1.18, 95% CI: 0.73 - 1.91, Model 2, OR: 0.99, 95% CI: 0.54 - 1.83), though statistically more females than males had suicide plans (Model 1, OR: 1.83, 95% CI: 1.24 - 2.69, Model 2, OR: 2.16, 95% CI: 1.36 - 3.46) (data not shown).

**Table 2** shows the results of logistic regression analysis for variables associated with suicide ideation in males. Statistically significant variables associated with suicide ideation in both Models were depression and an annual family income of 1,999,999 or less. Alcohol problems and accommodations/eating/drinking services showed statistical significance only in Model 1.

**Table 3** shows the results of logistic regression analysis for variables associated with suicide ideation in females. Statistically significant variables associated with suicide ideation in both Models were 39 yrs or less, 40 - 59 yrs, outpatient treatment, depression and being separated. Statistically significant variables associated with suicide ideation only in Model 1 were living alone, being single, an annual family income of 1,999,999 or less, accommodations/eating/drinking services and unemployment.

**Table 4** shows the results of logistic regression analysis for variables associated with suicide plans in males. Statistically significant variables associated with suicide plans in both Models were 39 years or less, alcohol problems and depression. A statistically significant variable associated with suicide plans only in Model 1 was an annual family income of 1,999,999 or less.

**Table 5** shows the results of logistic regression analysis for variables associated with suicide plans in females.

**Table 2.** Logistic regression analysis for variables associated with suicide ideation in males (N = 401).

Variables	Model 1	P value	OR(95%CI)*	Model 2	P value
Age					
≤ 39	2.00 (0.73 - 5.50)	0.179		3.16 (0.57 - 17.55)	0.187
40 - 59	1.88 (0.68 - 5.16)	0.223		2.77 (0.62 - 12.30)	0.180
60 ≤	1 (reference)			1 (reference)	
Outpatient Treatment					
No	1 (reference)			1 (reference)	
Yes	1.54 (0.69-3.40)	0.291		1.50 (0.57-3.96)	0.416
Alcohol Problems					
Negative	1 (reference)			1(reference)	
Positive	2.62 (1.13 - 6.08)	0.025		2.79 (0.93 - 8.39)	0.067
Depression					
Negative	1 (reference)			1 (reference)	
Positive	11.39 (4.70 - 27.62)	< 0.001		11.54 (4.31 - 30.92)	< 0.001
Living Arrangements					
Living with Someone else	1 (reference)			1 (reference)	
Living Alone	_**			_**	
Marital Status					
Married	1 (reference)			1 (reference)	
Single	0.97 (0.36 - 2.59)	0.948		0.69 (0.15 - 3.08)	0.624
Separated	0.76 (0.10 - 6.00)	0.790		2.44 (0.19 - 31.39)	0.495
Annual Family Income (Yen/year)					
≤ 1 999 999	8.22 (1.94 - 34.95)	0.004		6.56 (1.02 - 42.17)	0.047
2 000 000 - 6 999 999	1.92 (0.68 - 5.40)	0.216		1.08 (0.31 - 3.75)	0.908
7 000 000 ≤	1 (reference)			1 (reference)	
Unknown	2.21 (0.47 - 10.40)	0.317		1.37 (0.21 - 9.01)	0.741
Industry Types					
Construction	1.31 (0.19 - 8.86)	0.781		1.40 (0.14 - 13.90)	0.774
Manufacturing	0.60 (0.11 - 3.14)	0.544		0.84 (0.11 - 6.32)	0.865
Wholesale/Retail Trade	1 (reference)			1 (reference)	
Accommodations/Eating/Drinking Services	20.82 (1.15 - 375.73)	0.040		19.65 (0.84 - 461.06)	0.064
Medical/Health Care and Welfare	_**			_**	
Government	0.40 (0.03 - 4.89)	0.475		0.78 (0.05 - 13.24)	0.863
Other Services	0.78 (0.12 - 5.14)	0.797		0.92 (0.10 - 8.72)	0.945
Others	0.39 (0.06 - 2.55)	0.327		0.45 (0.05 - 4.22)	0.487
Housewife/Househusband	_**			_**	
Student	2.30 (0.35 - 15.14)	0.387		3.64 (0.28 - 47.49)	0.324
Unemployment	1.03 (0.16 - 6.53)	0.973		0.63 (0.06 - 6.93)	0.704

Model 1: Adjusted for age in years; Model 2: Adjusted for age in years, outpatient treatment, alcohol problems, depression, living arrangements, marital status, annual family income and industry types; \*OR: odds ratio; CI: confidence interval; \*\*There were no such subjects.

**Table 3.** Logistic regression analysis for variables associated with suicide ideation in females (N = 508).

Variables	Model 1	P value	OR(95%CI)*	Model 2	P value
Age					
≤ 39	4.29 (1.45 - 12.71)	0.009		12.31 (2.45 - 61.81)	0.002
40 - 59	3.36 (1.12 - 10.11)	0.031		10.01 (2.28 - 43.86)	0.002
60 ≤	1 (reference)			1 (reference)	
Outpatient Treatment					
No	1 (reference)			1 (reference)	
Yes	3.44 (1.78 - 6.65)	< 0.001		2.62 (1.21 - 5.67)	0.014
Alcohol problems					
Negative	1 (reference)			1 (reference)	
Positive	2.05 (0.66 - 6.44)	0.217		0.77 (0.18 - 3.33)	0.722
Depression					
Negative	1 (reference)			1 (reference)	
Positive	8.51 (4.13 - 17.55)	< 0.001		6.38 (2.85 - 14.28)	< 0.001
Living Arrangements					
Living with Someone else	1 (reference)			1 (reference)	
Living Alone	4.48 (1.91 - 10.52)	0.001		1.22 (0.36 - 4.19)	0.752
Marital Status					
Married	1 (reference)			1 (reference)	
Single	2.94 (1.30 - 6.64)	0.009		2.39 (0.79 - 7.26)	0.124
Separated	6.95 (2.83 - 17.03)	< 0.001		3.56 (1.03 - 12.25)	0.045
Annual family income (Yen/year)					
≤ 1 999 999	4.23 (1.59 - 11.28)	0.004		1.50 (0.40 - 5.59)	0.545
2 000 000 - 6 999 999	0.54 (0.24 - 1.18)	0.122		0.42 (0.17 - 1.05)	0.064
7 000 000 ≤	1 (reference)			1 (reference)	
Unknown	0.88 (0.33 - 2.38)	0.807		0.34 (0.10 - 1.15)	0.082
Industry Types					
Construction	4.58 (0.38 - 55.30)	0.231		3.07 (0.22 - 43.55)	0.408
Manufacturing	3.48 (0.40 - 30.72)	0.261		2.37 (0.24 - 23.43)	0.462
Wholesale/Retail Trade	1 (reference)			1 (reference)	
Accommodations/Eating/Drinking Services	10.26 (1.04 - 101.47)	0.046		4.74 (0.40 - 56.55)	0.218
Medical/Health Care and Welfare	3.10 (0.35 - 27.94)	0.312		1.60 (0.15 - 16.71)	0.696
Government	6.25 (0.50 - 77.50)	0.154		2.31 (0.16 - 33.46)	0.539
Other Services	3.50 (0.37 - 33.11)	0.274		2.42 (0.22 - 26.70)	0.470
Others	3.99 (0.44 - 36.12)	0.218		3.12 (0.30 - 31.96)	0.339
Housewife/Househusband	1.52 (0.17 - 13.58)	0.708		2.42 (0.24 - 24.66)	0.458
Student	2.65 (0.25 - 28.31)	0.420		0.96 (0.08 - 11.94)	0.973
Unemployment	15.76 (1.80 - 138.36)	0.013		7.58 (0.73 - 78.66)	0.090

Model 1: Adjusted for age in years; Model 2: Adjusted for age in years, outpatient treatment, alcohol problems, depression, living arrangements, marital status, annual family income and industry types; \*OR: odds ratio; CI: confidence interval.

Statistically significant variables associated with suicide plans in both Models were 39 years or less, 40 - 59 years, alcohol problems, depression, being separated, and manufacturing. Statistically significant variables associated with suicide plans only in Model 1 were an annual family income of 1,999,999 or less and accommodations/eating/drinking services.

## 4. DISCUSSION

To the best of knowledge, this study is the first to investigate the factors associated with suicide ideation and plans in a Japanese population after consideration of multiple factors.

The response rate in this study was 53.9%. This was almost the same rate as in the previous Japanese study (response rate, 58.4%) [41]. The present study showed that the prevalence of suicide ideation and plans was 8.4 and 15.5%, respectively. Bertolote et al. reported that with the SUPRE-MISS protocol the prevalence of suicide-correlated behaviors varied widely among different countries [37]. They reported that the prevalence of suicide ideation and plans in a general population was 2.6% - 25.4% and 1.1% - 15.6%, respectively [37]. In contrast, Scocco et al. reported that the prevalence of suicide ideation and plans in an Italian population was 3.0% and 0.7%, respectively [13]. In Japanese studies, Ono et al. reported that the prevalence of suicide ideation and plans in Japan was 10.9% and 2.1%, respectively [41]. The prevalence of suicide plans in our study was higher than in previous studies. In general, the prevalence of suicide ideation was higher than that of suicide plans, whereas our study showed that the prevalence of suicide ideation was lower.

The possible reason for that discrepancy may be that subjects were supposed to first answer a question about suicide ideation in the last twelve months, followed by a question about lifetime suicide plans in our study, suggesting that there might be some subjects who misunderstood the second question as one about lifetime suicide ideation. The questionnaire used in the SUPRE-MISS protocol included several questions about lifetime suicide ideation or plans, the first time he or she seriously entertained suicide ideation or plans, suicide ideation or plans in the last twelve months, and the last time when he or she seriously considered suicide ideation or plans; all of them were supposed to be asked in the order above. In addition, in the studies mentioned above, subjects were directly interviewed, but not asked to respond to a self-administered questionnaire, and responses in regard to suicide ideation or plans differed slightly from our questions. Thus, it may not be appropriate to compare our study to their protocol, though the prevalence of suicide ideation in our study was generally consistent

with that in previous studies.

Though there was no statistical gender difference with suicide ideation in our study, females were more likely to have made suicide plans than males. Most studies reported that the prevalence of suicide ideation in females was higher than in males, though that might vary by countries or regions [36]. Scocco *et al.* reported that in Italy the prevalence of suicide plans among females was higher than in males [13]. In Japanese studies, Ono *et al.* found no gender difference in the prevalence of suicide ideation or plans [41]. This discrepancy may be due to the difference of subjects and investigation year between our study and previous studies.

The factors associated with suicide ideation were alcohol problems, depression and lower annual family income in males, and younger age, outpatient treatment, depression, living alone, being single, being separated and lower annual family income in females. These results are consistent with those in previous studies showing risk factors associated with suicide ideation [13,29, 30,32-35,41-43]. Variables associated with suicide plans showed the same tendency. Although few studies mentioned risk factors associated with suicide plans, Scocco et al. reported that in an Italian population risk factors associated with suicide plans were female, alcohol problems and depression, and that the younger the subjects were, the more likely they were to have suicide plans, though not statistically significant [13]. Suicide and suicide-correlated behaviors are mainly brought out by depression. Depression results from multiple factors including biological, psychosocial and existential, economical, and marital factors. Alcohol problems, lower income, having diseases, loneliness from such as living alone, being single or being separated are main causes of depression, bringing out suicidal thoughts.

Since work is one of the most important human activities, it has a major impact on human suicidality. Numerous studies have mentioned the correlation between occupation or industry and completed suicide [14-21, 44-61]. Thus, suicide-correlated behaviors may be related to them. In our study, industry types statistically associated with suicide ideation were accommodations/ eating/drinking services in males, and accommodations/ eating/drinking services and unemployment in females. On the other hand, while there were no industry types statistically associated with suicide plans in males, manufacturing and accommodations/eating/drinking services were associated with suicide plans in females. Only a few studies have addressed the correlation between unemployment and suicide ideation [31,33]. However, to our knowledge, no previous studies have examined the correlation between industry types and suicide-correlated behaviors. The reasons why workers engaged in manu-

**Table 4.** Logistic regression analysis for variables associated with suicide plans in males (N = 401).

Variables	Model 1	P Value	OR (95%CI)*	Model 2	P Value
Age					
≤ 39	3.11 (1.34 - 7.24)	0.009		6.19 (1.77 - 21.63)	0.004
40 - 59	1.51 (0.61 - 3.77)	0.377		2.38 (0.75 - 7.49)	0.139
60 ≤	1 (reference)			1 (reference)	
Outpatient Treatment					
No	1 (reference)			1 (reference)	
Yes	1.12 (0.56 - 2.24)	0.745		1.01 (0.48 - 2.14)	0.976
Alcohol Problems					
Negative	1 (reference)			1 (reference)	
Positive	2.19 (1.02 - 4.68)	0.044		2.67 (1.11 - 6.46)	0.029
Depression					
Negative	1 (reference)			1 (reference)	
Positive	3.06 (1.60 - 5.85)	0.001		2.98 (1.47 - 6.00)	0.002
Living Arrangements					
Living with Someone Else	1 (reference)			1 (reference)	
Living Alone	0.23 (0.03 - 1.78)	0.160		0.20 (0.02 - 1.83)	0.156
Marital Status					
Married	1 (reference)			1 (reference)	
Single	0.70 (0.31 - 1.58)	0.387		0.78 (0.28 - 2.22)	0.648
Separated	1.21 (0.26 - 5.65)	0.806		2.47 (0.39 - 15.61)	0.338
Annual Family Income (Yen/Year)					
≤ 1 999 999	4.21 (1.04 - 17.06)	0.044		2.64 (0.55 - 12.73)	0.227
2 000 000 - 6 999 999	2.21 (0.92 - 5.30)	0.075		1.85 (0.73 - 4.72)	0.197
7 000 000 ≤	1 (reference)			1 (reference)	
Unknown	2.17 (0.61 - 7.71)	0.233		1.83 (0.44 - 7.59)	0.408
Industry Types					
Construction	0.44 (0.07 - 2.80)	0.386		0.41 (0.06 - 2.94)	0.372
Manufacturing	0.59 (0.17 - 2.08)	0.409		0.82 (0.19 - 3.45)	0.782
Wholesale/Retail Trade	1 (reference)			1 (reference)	
Accommodations/Eating/Drinking Services	3.82 (0.25 - 57.84)	0.334		3.43 (0.20 - 58.52)	0.395
Medical/Health Care and Welfare	0.75 (0.07 - 8.40)	0.816		1.53 (0.12 - 19.66)	0.746
Government	0.79 (0.15 - 4.22)	0.779		1.17 (0.18 - 7.65)	0.873
Other Services	0.23 (0.04 - 1.43)	0.116		0.26 (0.04 - 1.85)	0.178
Others	0.40 (0.10 - 1.62)	0.199		0.48 (0.10 - 2.27)	0.358
Housewife/Househusband	_**			_**	
Student	0.48 (0.09 - 2.60)	0.397		0.77 (0.10 - 5.80)	0.802
Unemployment	1.30 (0.31 - 5.51)	0.721		1.50 (0.27 - 8.23)	0.641

Model 1: Adjusted for age in years; Model 2: Adjusted for age in years, outpatient treatment, alcohol problems, depression, living arrangements, marital status, annual family income and industry types; \*OR: odds ratio; CI: confidence interval; \*\*There were no such subjects.

**Table 5.** Logistic regression analysis for variables associated with suicide plans in females (N = 508).

Variables	Model 1	P value	OR(95%CI)*	Model 2	P Value
Age					
≤ 39	4.37 (2.06 - 9.29)	< 0.001		5.89 (2.26 - 15.31)	< 0.001
40 - 59	3.54 (1.65 - 7.57)	0.001		4.60 (1.90 - 11.16)	0.001
60 ≤	1 (reference)			1 (reference)	
Outpatient Treatment					
No	1 (reference)			1 (reference)	
Yes	1.20 (0.75 - 1.91)	0.453		1.01 (0.60 - 1.72)	0.963
Alcohol Problems					
Negative	1 (reference)			1 (reference)	
Positive	5.24 (2.12 - 12.97)	< 0.001		5.07 (1.74 - 14.83)	0.003
Depression					
Negative	1 (reference)			1 (reference)	
Positive	2.79 (1.75 - 4.46)	< 0.001		2.35 (1.39 - 3.99)	0.002
Living Arrangements					
Living with Someone else	1 (reference)			1 (reference)	
Living Alone	1.93 (0.87 - 4.27)	0.105		0.79 (0.27 - 2.33)	0.673
Marital Status					
Married	1 (reference)			1 (reference)	
Single	0.91 (0.49 - 1.69)	0.767		0.64 (0.29 - 1.44)	0.282
Separated	4.96 (2.35 - 10.47)	< 0.001		3.74 (1.47 - 9.56)	0.006
Annual Family Income (Yen/Year)					
≤ 1 999 999	2.67 (1.07 - 6.64)	0.035		1.55 (0.51 - 4.74)	0.442
2 000 000 - 6 999 999	1.32 (0.73 - 2.40)	0.357		1.40 (0.72 - 2.73)	0.316
7 000 000 ≤	1 (reference)			1 (reference)	
Unknown	1.99 (0.93 - 4.24)	0.075		1.92 (0.80 - 4.63)	0.144
Industry types					
Construction	1.70 (0.33 - 8.81)	0.527		0.98 (0.15 - 6.38)	0.986
Manufacturing	4.17 (1.28 - 13.61)	0.018		4.92 (1.33 - 18.15)	0.017
Wholesale/Retail Trade	1 (reference)			1 (reference)	
Accommodations/Eating/Drinking Services	5.08 (1.23 - 20.98)	0.025		3.17 (0.65 - 15.50)	0.154
Medical/Health Care and Welfare	2.23 (0.66 - 7.57)	0.197		3.04 (0.79 - 11.61)	0.105
Government	2.45 (0.45 - 13.33)	0.300		1.89 (0.31 - 11.34)	0.488
Other Services	2.75 (0.78 - 9.67)	0.115		3.30 (0.82 - 13.20)	0.092
Others	1.55 (0.42 - 5.68)	0.509		1.90 (0.46 - 7.79)	0.375
Housewife/Househusband	1.04 (0.32 - 3.42)	0.952		1.32 (0.35 - 4.94)	0.678
Student	1.10 (0.26 - 4.75)	0.897		1.28 (0.25 - 6.48)	0.767
Unemployment	2.23 (0.57 - 8.69)	0.247		1.60 (0.35 - 7.38)	0.545

Model 1: Adjusted for age in years; Model 2: Adjusted for age in years, outpatient treatment, alcohol problems, depression, living arrangements, marital status, annual family income and industry types; \*OR: odds ratio; CI: confidence interval.

facturing or accommodations/eating/drinking services were that they were more likely to have suicidal ideation or plans due to the structures and/or physical and mental stresses specific to such industries. The major stressors on manufacturing workers are reported to be offensive physical, chemical and ergonomic factors in the working environment, low job control, high job demands, burdensome patterns such as shift work, unstable working hours and overtime work, income pay inadequate for hours worked, sudden changes in work schedules, few opportunities to develop their abilities and techniques, monotonous operations, role ambiguity, lack of social support from their boss or colleagues, unstable status such as irregular employment, restructuring, sudden transfer or relocation, and few opportunities to participate in their companies' administration and management [62]. On the other hand, stressors on workers in accommodations/eating/drinking services are also reported to be various work patterns, shift work, low income, hard and long working hours, long standing time, exposure to unpleasant food odors, and increased opportunities to reward for various demands from customers with different values [63]. These stresses may be related to depression, bringing out suicidal thoughts.

Several limitations should be considered when interpreting these results. First, a cross-sectional design used in our study does not necessarily demonstrate that the variables associated with suicide ideation or plans are causal factors. Second, a small sample size calls for cautious interpretation of the results, since a type 2 error cannot be excluded. Third, this questionnaire does not include the onset age of suicide ideation or plans and time since the onset of suicide ideation or plans. Fourth, our study did not assess several important mental conditions such as schizophrenia or borderline personality disorder because it proved very difficult to judge these diseases only from a self-administered questionnaire. Despite these limitations, our study shed light on multiple risk factors associated with suicide ideation/plans among a Japanese population.

In conclusion, alcohol problems, depression, inadequate annual family income and accommodations/eating/ drinking services in males, and younger age, outpatient treatment, depression, living alone, being single, being separated, insufficient annual family income, accommodations/eating/drinking services and unemployment in females may be factors statistically associated with suicide ideation. On the other hand, younger age, alcohol problems, depression and inadequate annual family income in males, and younger age, alcohol problems, depression, being separated, lower annual family income, manufacturing and accommodations/eating/drinking services in females may be factors associated with suicide plans. It is important to consider these factors in developing anti-suicidal measures to prevent suicide ideation or plans from escalating into suicidal attempts or completions.

#### 5. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to express their sincere appreciation to all the participants in our study.

## REFERENCES

- [1] World Health Organization (2009) Suicide prevention (SUPRE).
  - http://www.who.int/mental\_health/prevention/suicide/suicideprevent/en/
- [2] Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare Japan (2009) Section 4, promotion of preventive measures against suicide.
  - http://www.mhlw.go.jp/english/wp/wp-hw2/part2/p2c9s4 .pdf#search=Promotion of Preventive Measures against Suicide
- [3] Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare Japan (2008) Vital statistics FY2008.
- [4] World Health Organization (2009) Suicide rates per 100,000 by country, year and sex.
  <a href="http://www.who.int/mental\_health/prevention/suicide\_rates/en/">http://www.who.int/mental\_health/prevention/suicide\_rates/en/</a>
- [5] Cantor, C.H., Slater, P.J. and Najman, J.M. (1995) Socioeconomic indices and suicide rate in Queensland. Australian Journal of Public Health, 19, 417-420. doi:10.1111/j.1753-6405.1995.tb00397.x
- [6] Gravseth, H.M., Mehlum, L., Bjerkedal, T. and Kristensen, P. (2010) Suicide in young Norwegians in a life course perspective: Population based cohort study. *Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health*, 64, 407-412. doi:10.1136/jech.2008.083485
- [7] Harris, E.C. and Barraclough, B. (1997) Suicide as an outcome for mental disorders: A meta-analysis. *British Journal of Psychiatry*, 170, 205-228. doi:10.1192/bjp.170.3.205
- [8] Hawton, K. and Heeringen, van K. (2009) Suicide. Lancet, 373, 1372-1381. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(09)60372-X
- [9] Luoma, J.B. and Pearson, J.L. (2002) Suicide and marital status in the United States, 1991-1996: Is widowhood a risk factor? *American Journal of Public Health*, **92**, 1518-1522. doi:10.2105/AJPH.92.9.1518
- [10] Moscicki, E.K. (1989) Identification of suicide risk factor using epidemiological studies. *Psychiatric Clinics of North America*, 79, 490-497.
- [11] Rockville (2001) National strategy for suicide prevention: Goals and objectives for action. Center for Mental Health Services.
- [12] Smith, J.C., Mercy, J.A. and Conn, J.M. (1988) Marital status and the risk of suicide. *American Journal of Public Health*, 78, 78-80. doi:10.2105/AJPH.78.1.78
- [13] Scocco, P., Girolamo, de G., Vilagut, G. and Alonso, J. (2008) Prevalence of suicide ideation, plans, and attempts and related risk factors in Italy: Results from the

- European study on the epidemiology of mental disorders-world mental health study. *Comprehensive Psychiatry*, **49**, 13-21. doi:10.1016/j.comppsych.2007.08.004
- [14] Burnley, I.H. (1995) Socioeconomic and spatial differentials in mortality and means of committing suicide in New South Wales, Australia, 1985-1991. Social Science & Medicine, 41, 687-698. doi:10.1016/0277-9536(94)00378-7
- [15] Hawton, K., Fagg, J., Simkin, S., Harriss, L. and Malmberg, A. (1998) Methods used for suicide by farmers in England and Wales: The contribution of availability and its relevance to prevention. *British Journal of Psychiatry*, 173, 320-324. doi:10.1192/bjp.173.4.320
- [16] Hawton, K., Fagg, J., Simkin, S., Harriss, L., Malmberg, A. and Smith, D. (1999) The geographical distribution of suicides in farmers in England and Wales. *Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology*, 34, 122-127. doi:10.1007/s001270050122
- [17] Kposowa, A.J. (1999) Suicide mortality in the United States: Differentials by industrial and occupational groups. American Journal of Industrial Medicine, 36, 645-652. doi:10.1002/(SICI)1097-0274(199912)36:6<645::AID-A</p>
- JIM7>3.0.CO;2-T
   Liu, T. and Waterbor, J.W. (1994) Comparison of suicide rates among industrial groups. *American Journal of Industrial Medicine*, 25, 197-203.
- [19] Malmberg, A., Simkin, S. and Hawton, K. (1999) Suicide in farmers. *British Journal of Psychiatry*, 175, 103-105. doi:10.1192/bjp.175.2.103

doi:10.1002/ajim.4700250206

- [20] Meltzer, H., Griffiths, C., Brock, A., Rooney, C. and Jenkins, R. (2008) Patterns of suicide by occupation in England and Wales, 2001-2005. *British Journal of Psychiatry*, 193, 73-76. doi:10.1192/bjp.bp.107.040550
- [21] Notkola, V.J., Martikainen, P. and Leino, P.I. (1993) Time trends in mortality in forestry and construction workers in Finland 1970-1985 and impact of adjustment for socioeconomic variables. *Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health*, 47, 186-191. doi:10.1136/jech.47.3.186
- [22] Paykel, E.S., Myers, J.K., Lindenthal, J.J. and Tanner, J. (1974) Suicidal feelings in the general population: A prevalence study. *British Journal of Psychiatry*, **124**, 460-469. doi:10.1192/bjp.124.5.460
- [23] Zubin, J. (1974) Observations on nosological issues in the classification of suicidal behavior. In: A. Beck, et al., Eds, the Prediction of Suicide, Charles Press Publishers, Bowie, pp. 3-25.
- [24] Wasserman, D., Sokolowski, M., Wasserman, J. and Rujescu, D. (2009) Neurobiology and the genetics of suicide; Oxford textbook of suicidology and suicide prevention. Oxford University Press, New York.
- [25] Pirkis, J., Burgess, P. and Dunt, D. (2000) Suicidal ideation and suicide attempts among Australian adults. *Crisis*, 21, 16-25. doi:10.1027//0227-5910.21.1.16
- [26] Owens, D., Horrocks, J. and House, A. (2002) Fatal and non-fatal repetition of self-harm. Systematic review. *British Journal of Psychiatry*, **181**, 193-199. doi:10.1192/bjp.181.3.193
- [27] Suominen, K., Isometsa, E., Suokas, J., Haukka, J., Achte, K. and Lonnqvist, J. (2004) Completed suicide after a

- suicide attempt: A 37-year follow-up study. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, **161**, 562-563. doi:10.1176/appi.aip.161.3.562
- [28] Beautrais, A.L., Joyce, P.R., Mulder, R.T., Fergusson, D.M., Deavoll, B.J. and Nightingale, S.K. (1996) Prevalence and comorbidity of mental disorders in persons making serious suicide attempts: A case-control study. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 153, 1009-1014.
- [29] Bernal, M., Haro, J.M., Bernert, S., Brugha, T., Graaf, de R., Bruffaerts, R., et al. (2007) Risk factors for suicidality in Europe: Results from the ESEMED study. *Journal* of Affective Disorders, 101, 27-34. doi:10.1016/j.jad.2006.09.018
- [30] Dennis, M., Baillon, S., Brugha, T., Lindesay, J., Stewart, R. and Meltzer, H. (2007) The spectrum of suicidal ideation in Great Britain: Comparisons across a 16 - 74 years age range. *Psychological Medicine*, 37, 795-805. doi:10.1017/S0033291707000013
- [31] Fergusson, D.M., Boden, J.M. and Horwood, L.J. (2007) Unemployment and suicidal behavior in a New Zealand birth cohort: A fixed effects regression analysis. *Crisis*, 28, 95-101. doi:10.1027/0227-5910.28.2.95
- [32] Kalist, D.E., Molinari, N.A. and Siahaan, F. (2007) Income, employment and suicidal behavior. *Journal of Mental Health Policy and Economics*, 10, 177-187.
- [33] Kjoller, M. and Helweg-Larsen, M. (2000) Suicidal ideation and suicide attempts among adult Danes. Scandinavian Journal of Public Health, 28, 54-61.
- [34] Weissman, M.M., Bland, R.C., Canino, G.J., Greenwald, S., Hwu, H.G., Joyce, P.R., et al. (1999) Prevalence of suicide ideation and suicide attempts in nine countries. *Psychological Medicine*, 29, 9-17. doi:10.1017/S0033291798007867
- [35] Yen, Y.C., Yang, M.J., Yang, M.S., Lung, F.W., Shih, C.H., Hahn, C.Y., et al. (2005) Suicidal ideation and associated factors among community-dwelling elders in Taiwan. Psychiatry and Clinical Neuroscience, 59, 365-371. doi:10.1111/j.1440-1819.2005.01387.x
- [36] Kessler, R.C., Borges, G. and Walters, E.E. (1999) Prevalence of and risk factors for lifetime suicide attempts in the National Comorbidity Survey. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 56, 617-626. doi:10.1001/archpsyc.56.7.617
- [37] Bertolote, J.M., Fleischmann, A., Leo, de D., Bolhari, J., Botega, N., Silva, de D., et al. (2005) Suicide attempts, plans, and ideation in culturally diverse sites: The WHO SUPRE-MISS community survey. Psychological Medicine, 35, 1457-1465. doi:10.1017/S0033291705005404
- [38] Aertgeerts, B., Buntinx, F. and Kester, A. (2004) The value of the CAGE in screening for alcohol abuse and alcohol dependence in general clinical populations: A diagnostic meta-analysis. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology*, **57**, 30-39. doi:10.1016/S0895-4356(03)00254-3
- [39] Ewing, J.A. (1984) Detecting alcoholism. The CAGE questionnaire. *Journal of American Medical Association*, 252, 1905-1907. doi:10.1001/jama.252.14.1905
- [40] Andresen, E.M., Malmgren, J.A., Carter, W.B. and Patrick, D.L. (1994) Screening for depression in well older adults: Evaluation of a short form of the CES-D (Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale). American Journal of Preventive Medicine, 10, 77-84.
- [41] Ono, Y., Kawakami, N., Nakane, Y., Nakamura, Y.,

- Tachimori, H., Iwata, N., *et al.* (2008) Prevalence of and risk factors for suicide-related outcomes in the World Health Organization World Mental Health Surveys Japan. *Psychiatry and Clinical Neurosciences*, **62**, 442-449. doi:10.1111/j.1440-1819.2008.01823.x
- [42] Druss, B. and Pincus, H. (2000) Suicidal ideation and suicide attempts in general medical illnesses. *Archives of Internal Medicine*, 160, 1522-1526. doi:10.1001/archinte.160.10.1522
- [43] Stravynski, A. and Boyer, R. (2001) Loneliness in relation to suicide ideation and parasuicide: A population-wide study. Suicide and Life-Threatening Behavior, 31, 32-40. doi:10.1521/suli.31.1.32.21312
- [44] Agerbo, E., Gunnell, D., Bonde, J.P., Mortensen, P.B. and Nordentoft, M. (2007) Suicide and occupation: The impact of socio-economic, demographic and psychiatric differences. *Psychological Medicine*, 37, 1131-1140. doi:10.1017/S0033291707000487
- [45] Alexander, R.E. (2001) Stress-related suicide by dentists and other health care workers: Fact or folklore? *Journal of the American Dental Association*, **132**, 786-794.
- [46] Dubrow, R. (1988) Suicide among social workers in Rhode Island. *Journal of Occupational Medicine*, 30, 211-213.
- [47] Hamermesh, D.S. and Soss, N.M. (1974) An economic theory of suicide. *Journal of Political Economy*, 82, 83-98. doi:10.1086/260171
- [48] Hawton, K., Simkin, S., Rue, J., Haw, C., Barbour, F., Clements, A., et al. (2002) Suicide in female nurses in England and Wales. Psychological Medicine, 32, 239-250. doi:10.1017/S0033291701005165
- [49] Hawton, K., Malmberg, A. and Simkin, S. (2004) Suicide in doctors: A psychological autopsy study. *Journal of Psychosomatic Research*, 57, 1-4. doi:10.1016/S0022-3999(03)00372-6
- [50] Hawton, K. and Vislisel, L. (1999) Suicide in nurses. Suicide and Life-Threatening Behavior, 29, 86-95.
- [51] Hem, E., Berg, A.M. and Ekeberg, A.O. (2001) Suicide in police—A critical review. Suicide and Life-Threatening Behavior, 31, 224-233.

- doi:10.1521/suli.31.2.224.21513
- [52] Kelly, S. and Bunting, J. (1998) Trends in suicide in England and Wales, 1982-1996. Population Trends, 92, 29-41.
- [53] Mellanby, R.J. (2005) Incidence of suicide in the veterinary profession in England and Wales. *Veterinary Record*, 157, 415-417.
- [54] Preti, A., Biasi, de F. and Miotto, P. (2001) Musical creativity and suicide. *Psychological Reports*, 89, 719-727. doi:10.2466/PR0.89.7.719-727
- [55] Richings, J.C., Khara, G.S. and McDowell, M. (1986) Suicide in young doctors. *British Journal of Psychiatry*, 149, 475-478. doi:10.1192/bjp.149.4.475
- [56] Schernhammer, E.S. and Colditz, G.A. (2004) Suicide rates among physicians: A quantitative and gender assessment (meta-analysis). *American Journal of Psychia*try, 161, 2295-2302. doi:10.1176/appi.ajp.161.12.2295
- [57] Schwartz, E. (1987) Proportionate mortality ratio analysis of automobile mechanics and gasoline service station workers in New Hampshire. *American Journal of Industrial Medicine*, 12, 91-99. doi:10.1002/ajim.4700120110
- [58] Seagroatt, V. and Rooney, C. (1993) Suicide in doctors. BMJ, 307, 447. doi:10.1136/bmj.307.6901.447
- [59] Stack, S. (2001) Occupation and suicide. Social Science Quarterly, 82, 384-397. doi:10.1111/0038-4941.00030
- [60] Stack, S. (1996) Gender and suicide risk among artists: A multivariate analysis. Suicide and Life-Threatening Behavior, 26, 374-379.
- [61] Stark, C., Belbin, A., Hopkins, P., Gibbs, D., Hay, A. and Gunnell, D. (2006) Male suicide and occupation in Scotland. *Health Statistics Quarterly*, 29, 26-29.
- [62] Watanabe, M. (2003) Stress management in manufacturing industries. Sangyo Eiseigaku Zasshi, 45, 1-6. doi:10.1539/sangyoeisei.45.1
- [63] Suka, T. and Shoji, M. (2007) An attempt to construct an emotional labor behavior scale for restaurant workers. *Japanese Association of Industrial/Organizational Psychology*, 20, 41-52.