Normative reference of standing long jump indicates gender difference in lower muscular strength of pubertal growth

Louisa Ming Yan Chung^{*}, Lina Pui Yu Chow, Joanne Wai Yee Chung

Department of Health and Physical Education, The Hong Kong Institute of Education, Hong Kong, China; *Corresponding Author: <u>chungmy@ied.edu.hk</u>

Received 18 April 2013; revised 18 May 2013; accepted 5 June 2013

Copyright © 2013 Louisa Ming Yan Chung *et al.* This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

ABSTRACT

Background: Although the standing long jump (SLJ) is a popular physical fitness assessment, it lacks an updated criterion-reference standard. This study generated the first normative SLJ test data for Chinese aged 8 - 18. Methods: From September 2005 to December 2011, comprehensive physical fitness assessments were conducted on 12,712 school students. The SLJ performances were significantly different between boys and girls (all age groups, p < 0.01). Results: The boys performed better than the girls in each age group. The mean difference increased as the age increased, depicting a prominent variance in muscular strength between boys and girls at age 12, and the variances became larger at age 18. Girls in the 70th percenttile performed similarly to boys performing in the 10th percentile. The onset of muscle strength for boys found in this study corresponded to the onset age of testosterone maturation of Chinese boys investigated in previous studies. Linear regression found age and BMI predicting SLJ significantly for boys and girls, explaining better SLJ results in older boy and the effect of their heavy body size. Conclusions: This study indicated a muscular strength difference between genders during growth and added supporting evidence to the hormonal influence of muscle growth during puberty.

Keywords: Normative Reference; Standing Long Jump; Children; Adolescent; Lower Muscular Strength

1. INTRODUCTION

Physical fitness tests are highly recommended as part

of a successful health and fitness screening and surveillance system for their moderate tracking of physical activity from childhood to adulthood [1]. Proper field tests offer reliable and valid results in mass testing [2,3], and many physical educators should adopt field tests instead of sophisticated laboratory equipment to assess physical fitness in schools and communities.

Among these field tests, the standing long jump (SLJ) is considered the most valid field-based muscular fitness test compared with isokinetic strength exercises [4]. A cross-sectional study of Brazilian students aged 7 - 15 showed that the single SLJ could possibly reflect the overall fitness level indicated by other muscular tests such as the 1-minute curl-up, pull-up, ball throw, 9-minute run, 20-meter run and 4-meter shuttle run [5]. Recent studies of healthy Caucasian girls aged 6 - 17 have also indicated a strong association between the SLJ and other lower-body muscular strength tests [6]. Indeed, SLJ tests can be practical, time-efficient and affordable methods for assessing muscular fitness in young children.

However, an updated SLJ reference standard is required for the physical educators, particularly for China. Sufficient normative data on schoolchildren are not available for physical educators to interpret and compare measured results. The few recent related studies published limited data [7-11]. In addition, the age ranges (9 -10, 6 - 12 and 7 - 15) and sample sizes (no more than 500) of these studies have been narrow [7,9,11]. The results from these studies are difficult to apply as normative data. A recent systematic review attempted to consolidate the data for this purpose, but the results were validated only for 9- to 15-year-olds and Australian children.

In fact, nationwide student fitness surveys were conducted in Taiwan in 1997 [12] and in mainland China in 2000 and 2005 [13,14]; and limited long jump data were published for comparison. Among the three Chinesepopulated locations surveyed, only Hong Kong has not established a systematic physical fitness assessment on a large scale. This study aimed to generate normative SLJ test data for schoolchildren aged 8 - 18 and to present the first large-scale SLJ norms for Chinese children in Hong Kong. The results could prove useful in the screening of lower muscular fitness for children in school settings.

2. SAMPLES AND METHODS

From September 2005 to December 2011, a university research team conducted comprehensive physical fitness assessments for primary and secondary schoolchildren. A snowball sample process was implemented to recruit and refer schools that were interested in carrying out the assessments. Each student completed a battery of field tests according to the China Education Bureau Protocol [15]. This study followed the Helsinki Deceleration of 1975 and was approved by the Ethics Committee of the University. All of the students and their parents were given information sheets describing the purpose and application of the physical fitness assessments. They were asked to sign consent forms before the assessments were administered. Its measurement and instrument details were described [16,17] previously. Body height in centimeters (cm) and body weight in kilograms (kg) were measured in the physical fitness assessment. Body weight was taken and rounded to the nearest 0.1 kg and body height was taken and rounded to the nearest 1 cm.

The SLJ measurement model was used and calibrated on each day of data collection. Children aged 8 or above were invited to take an SLJ test. Each student's longest jump distance from the starting point to the endpoint after two attempts was measured in centimeters. PE teachers and university student helpers were responsible for conducting the mass field measurements at the schools. All PE teachers and university student helpers were trained to use the equipment and ensure that the participating students gave their best performances during the tests.

In total, six primary schools and 17 secondary schools carried out physical fitness assessments. According to the data collected, a total of 14,988 students took part. Because students aged under 8 did not take the SLJ tests and some of the students were not of Chinese ethnicity, only 12,712 students aged 8 - 18 contributed to the establishment of normative standards in this study.

3. DATA ANALYSIS

All analysis was conducted with Statistical Package for the Social Sciences, version 20. Paired t-test was used to compare means of distances performed in SLJ between boys and girls at the same age. A significance level of 1% was used for statistical testing. The results are presented stratified by age and sex, stating the interquartile range of SLJ performed distance, as well as percentiles of P5, P10, P25, P50, P75, P90, and P95. Interpolated 10th, 30th, 50th, 70th and 90th percentile curves of the SLJ results for the total cases were presented by gender.

Body mass index (BMI) was calculated with body weight in kilograms over square of body height in meters. BMI was categorized into "underweight", "normal", "overweight" and "obese" with the BMI cut-off points in Chinese population [13]. Median (P50) of SLJ with ages was plotted in BMI categories by sex. Continuous data of age and BMI were used to predict results in SLJ with linear regression.

4. RESULTS

The SLJ performances were significantly different between boys and girls, with all age groups at a 0.01 significance level (**Table 1**). The boys performed better than the girls in each age group. The mean difference increased as the age increased, depicting a prominent variance in muscular strength between boys and girls at age 12, and the variance became larger at age 18.

The interquartile range (IQR) showed a gender-specific normal distribution for the SLJ performances. The IQR shown in **Table 2** indicated a narrow bell-shaped distribution for boys at lower ages that became wider as the age increased. The IQR also began with a narrow bell-shaped distribution for girls aged 8 and 9. The bell-shaped curve became wider as the age increased to 15 before decreasing at ages 16 - 18.

Figure 1 shows that the 30th, 50th, 70th and 90th percentile curves for male students all sloped up as the age increased. However, the 10th percentile curve differed from the other percentile curves, dropping at age 16. The 10th, 30th, 70th and 90th percentile curves of female students had similar shapes. However, unlike the male student percentile curves, they were flat and did not increase much with the age. The female students' 50th percentile curve lined in a similar way but peaked at age 15. In comparison, the boys showed better lower muscular strength performances than the girls. Girls in the 70th percentile performed similarly to boys performing in the 10th percentile.

Medians of SLJ in each BMI categories were depicted in **Figure 2**. The results of boys in the four body size were in upward trends with underweight group performed the best, followed by normal body size, overweight and obese. Patterns of medians SLJ were regular for boys, except results at age 18 which showed a significant increase in the test performance. However, the patterns of SLJ were in saw tooth shape for girls. Similar to the boys, underweight girls performed the best in SLJ, followed by normal size girls, overweight girls and obese girls.

With linear regression, age and BMI were the predict-

 Table 1. Gender differences in standing long jump results by paired t-test.

Age	Gender	Mean (s.d.)	Mean t-value Difference		p-value	
8	Male	130.3 (25.2)	12.2	t(400) = 6.106	p < 0.0001	
	Female	118.0 (19.4)	12.5	l(499) – 0.190		
9	Male	141.3 (26.8)	17.4	t(405) = 8.425	p < 0.0001	
	Female	123.9 (20.2)	17.4	l(493) – 8.433		
10	Male	141.2 (27.8)	11.8	t(553) = 5.197	p < 0.0001	
	Female	129.4 (25.2)	11.0	((555) - 5.197		
11	Male	149.0 (28.3)	17.0	t(471) = 6.92	p < 0.0001	
	Female	131.1 (27.7)	17.9	l(471) = 0.92		
12	Male	154.9 (32.3)	29.0	t(2121) = 23.127	p < 0.0001	
	Female	125.9 (25.5)	27.0	(2121) 25.127		
13	Male	161.2 (34.0)	36.7	t(1852) = 25.7	p < 0.0001	
	Female	124.5 (27.6)	50.7	(1052) - 25.7		
14	Male	169.7 (35.4)	43.4	t(2219) = 33.265	p < 0.0001	
	Female	126.3 (26.7)	т . .т	((2217)) 55.205		
15	Male	176.0 (36.7)	44.5	t(2166) = 32.265	p < 0.0001	
	Female	131.5 (28.0)	-1.5	((2100) 52.205		
16	Male	177.3 (39.4)	49.4	t(954) = 22.030	p < 0.0001	
	Female	127.9 (29.9)	77.7	())) 22.050		
17	Male	189.0 (36.8)	58.0	t(832) - 27883	p < 0.0001	
	Female	130.1 (26.9)	50.7	(0 <i>32)</i> - 27.003		
18	Male	191.3 (36.6)	56.0	T(397) = 15,170	p < 0.0001	
	Female	134.4 (27.3)	30.9	1(207) = 15.170		

tors for the dependent variable of SLJ. The statistic results of R, R square and SEE were 45.0%, 20.2% and 33.272 (p < 0.001) respectively in boys, while those corresponding results of girls were 17.3%, 3.0% and 26.459 (p < 0.001). The formulated regression equations were as follows:

Boys: SLJ = 112.97 + 7(Age) - 2.08(BMI)

Female Male 250 Standing Long Jump (cm) 200 150 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 10 18 Age (years)

Figure 1. Interpolated 10th, 30th, 50th, 70th and 90th percenttile curves of the standing long jump for the total cases by gender.



Figure 2. Relationships of SLJ performance on age, sex and different body size.

Girls: SLJ = 129.6 + 1.36(Age) - BMI

With all constants and independent variables significantly contributed in predicting SLJ (p < 0.001).

5. DISCUSSION

According to the Census and Statistics Department [18], there were 423,700 children aged 10 - 19 in Hong Kong in 2011. This study covered 3% of the children and adolescents in Hong Kong's population and we measured lower muscle strength with SLJ distance testing. While

Ν	P ₅	P ₁₀	P ₂₅	P ₅₀	P ₇₅	P ₉₀	P ₉₅	Mean	s.d.	IQR
289	94.0	101.0	115.0	126.0	144.0	160.0	174.0	130.3	25.2	29
268	100.0	108.9	121.0	142.0	157.0	176.1	186.6	141.3	26.8	36
302	100.0	106.0	121.0	142.0	159.3	179.0	192.6	141.2	27.8	38
259	100.0	110.0	131.0	150.0	167.0	185.0	197.0	149.0	28.3	36
1209	100.0	110.0	140.0	154.0	173.0	192.0	214.0	154.9	32.3	33
1015	102.0	112.0	143.0	160.0	182.0	210.0	218.0	161.2	34.0	39
1208	110.0	122.9	148.0	165.0	192.8	220.0	228.0	169.7	35.4	45
1174	111.0	135.0	152.0	174.0	200.3	225.0	240.0	176.0	36.7	48
556	104.0	113.7	151.3	181.0	206.0	224.0	240.0	177.3	39.4	55
457	119.7	140.0	165.5	188.0	217.0	234.2	246.4	189.0	36.8	52
160	125.1	142.1	171.3	189.0	215.0	249.8	255.0	191.3	36.6	44
213	90.1	96.0	104.0	117.0	128.0	144.2	150.3	118.0	19.4	24
254	93.0	100.0	110.8	120.0	138.0	155.5	160.0	123.9	20.2	27
253	90.7	100.0	110.5	127.0	147.0	160.0	169.0	129.4	25.2	37
214	93.8	100.5	110.8	125.0	147.5	163.5	182.0	131.1	27.7	37
917	86.0	93.0	106.0	123.0	145.0	156.0	166.1	125.9	25.5	39
840	83.0	90.0	102.0	122.0	147.0	158.9	168.0	124.5	27.6	45
1067	84.0	91.8	104.0	124.0	148.0	158.0	166.0	126.3	26.7	44
1038	86.0	95.0	106.0	140.0	152.0	163.0	172.1	131.5	28.0	46
402	84.0	90.3	105.0	124.0	148.3	171.0	184.0	127.9	29.9	43
485	89.3	95.0	108.5	127.0	150.0	165.0	173.0	130.1	26.9	42
132	97.3	102.0	112.3	134.0	154.0	170.0	183.0	134.4	27.3	42
	N 289 268 302 259 1209 1015 1208 1174 556 457 160 213 254 253 214 917 840 1067 1038 402 485 132	N P5 289 94.0 268 100.0 302 100.0 259 100.0 1209 100.0 1209 100.0 1209 100.0 1174 111.0 556 104.0 457 119.7 160 125.1 213 90.1 254 93.0 253 90.7 214 93.8 917 86.0 840 83.0 1067 84.0 402 84.0 485 89.3 132 97.3	N P_5 P_{10} 289 94.0 101.0 268 100.0 108.9 302 100.0 106.0 259 100.0 110.0 1209 100.0 110.0 1015 102.0 112.0 1208 110.0 122.9 1174 111.0 135.0 556 104.0 113.7 457 119.7 140.0 160 125.1 142.1 213 90.1 96.0 253 90.7 100.0 214 93.8 100.5 917 86.0 93.0 840 83.0 90.0 1067 84.0 91.8 1038 86.0 95.0 402 84.0 90.3 485 89.3 95.0 132 97.3 102.0 <td>N P_5 P_{10} P_{25} 289 94.0 101.0 115.0 268 100.0 108.9 121.0 302 100.0 106.0 121.0 259 100.0 110.0 131.0 1209 100.0 110.0 140.0 1015 102.0 112.0 143.0 1208 110.0 122.9 148.0 1174 111.0 135.0 152.0 556 104.0 113.7 151.3 457 119.7 140.0 165.5 160 125.1 142.1 171.3 213 90.1 96.0 104.0 254 93.0 100.0 110.8 253 90.7 100.0 110.5 214 93.8 100.5 110.8 917 86.0 93.0 106.0 840 83.0 90.0 102.0 1067 84.0 91.8</td> <td>N$P_5$$P_{10}$$P_{25}$$P_{50}$28994.0101.0115.0126.0268100.0108.9121.0142.0302100.0106.0121.0142.0259100.0110.0131.0150.01209100.0110.0144.0154.01015102.0112.0143.0160.01208110.0122.9148.0165.01174111.0135.0152.0174.0556104.0113.7151.3181.0457119.7140.0165.5188.0160125.1142.1171.3189.021390.196.0104.0117.025493.0100.0110.8120.025390.7100.0110.5127.021493.8100.5110.8125.091786.093.0106.0123.084083.090.0102.0122.0106784.091.8104.0124.0103886.095.0106.0140.040284.090.3105.0124.048589.395.0108.5127.013297.3102.0112.3134.0</td> <td>N$P_5$$P_{10}$$P_{25}$$P_{50}$$P_{75}$28994.0101.0115.0126.0144.0268100.0108.9121.0142.0157.0302100.0106.0121.0142.0159.3259100.0110.0131.0150.0167.01209100.0110.0140.0154.0173.01015102.0112.0143.0160.0182.01208110.0122.9148.0165.0192.81174111.0135.0152.0174.0200.3556104.0113.7151.3181.0206.0457119.7140.0165.5188.0217.0160125.1142.1171.3189.0215.021390.196.0104.0117.0128.025390.7100.0110.5127.0147.021493.8100.5110.8125.0147.591786.093.0106.0123.0145.084083.090.0102.0122.0147.0106784.091.8104.0124.0148.0103886.095.0106.0140.0152.040284.090.3105.0124.0148.348589.395.0108.5127.0150.013297.3102.0112.3134.0154.0</td> <td>N$P_5$$P_{10}$$P_{25}$$P_{50}$$P_{75}$$P_{90}$28994.0101.0115.0126.0144.0160.0268100.0108.9121.0142.0157.0176.1302100.0106.0121.0142.0159.3179.0259100.0110.0131.0150.0167.0185.01209100.0110.0143.0160.0182.0210.01015102.0112.0143.0166.0182.0210.01208110.0122.9148.0165.0192.8220.01174111.0135.0152.0174.0200.3225.0556104.0113.7151.3181.0206.0224.0457119.7140.0165.5188.0217.0234.2160125.1142.1171.3189.0215.0249.821390.196.0104.0117.0128.0144.225493.0100.0110.5127.0147.0160.021493.8100.5110.8125.0147.5163.591786.093.0106.0123.0145.0156.084083.090.0102.0122.0147.0158.9106784.091.8104.0124.0148.3171.048589.395.0106.0140.0152.0163.040284.090.3</td> <td>N P_5 P_{10} P_{25} P_{50} P_{75} P_{90} P_{95} 289 94.0 101.0 115.0 126.0 144.0 160.0 174.0 268 100.0 108.9 121.0 142.0 157.0 176.1 186.6 302 100.0 106.0 121.0 142.0 159.3 179.0 192.6 259 100.0 110.0 131.0 150.0 167.0 185.0 197.0 1209 100.0 110.0 140.0 154.0 173.0 192.0 214.0 1015 102.0 112.0 143.0 160.0 182.0 210.0 218.0 1208 110.0 122.9 148.0 165.0 192.8 220.0 228.0 1174 111.0 135.0 152.0 174.0 200.3 225.0 240.0 457 119.7 140.0 165.5 188.0 217.0 234.2 246.4</td> <td>$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$</td> <td>$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$</td>	N P_5 P_{10} P_{25} 289 94.0 101.0 115.0 268 100.0 108.9 121.0 302 100.0 106.0 121.0 259 100.0 110.0 131.0 1209 100.0 110.0 140.0 1015 102.0 112.0 143.0 1208 110.0 122.9 148.0 1174 111.0 135.0 152.0 556 104.0 113.7 151.3 457 119.7 140.0 165.5 160 125.1 142.1 171.3 213 90.1 96.0 104.0 254 93.0 100.0 110.8 253 90.7 100.0 110.5 214 93.8 100.5 110.8 917 86.0 93.0 106.0 840 83.0 90.0 102.0 1067 84.0 91.8	N P_5 P_{10} P_{25} P_{50} 28994.0101.0115.0126.0268100.0108.9121.0142.0302100.0106.0121.0142.0259100.0110.0131.0150.01209100.0110.0144.0154.01015102.0112.0143.0160.01208110.0122.9148.0165.01174111.0135.0152.0174.0556104.0113.7151.3181.0457119.7140.0165.5188.0160125.1142.1171.3189.021390.196.0104.0117.025493.0100.0110.8120.025390.7100.0110.5127.021493.8100.5110.8125.091786.093.0106.0123.084083.090.0102.0122.0106784.091.8104.0124.0103886.095.0106.0140.040284.090.3105.0124.048589.395.0108.5127.013297.3102.0112.3134.0	N P_5 P_{10} P_{25} P_{50} P_{75} 28994.0101.0115.0126.0144.0268100.0108.9121.0142.0157.0302100.0106.0121.0142.0159.3259100.0110.0131.0150.0167.01209100.0110.0140.0154.0173.01015102.0112.0143.0160.0182.01208110.0122.9148.0165.0192.81174111.0135.0152.0174.0200.3556104.0113.7151.3181.0206.0457119.7140.0165.5188.0217.0160125.1142.1171.3189.0215.021390.196.0104.0117.0128.025390.7100.0110.5127.0147.021493.8100.5110.8125.0147.591786.093.0106.0123.0145.084083.090.0102.0122.0147.0106784.091.8104.0124.0148.0103886.095.0106.0140.0152.040284.090.3105.0124.0148.348589.395.0108.5127.0150.013297.3102.0112.3134.0154.0	N P_5 P_{10} P_{25} P_{50} P_{75} P_{90} 28994.0101.0115.0126.0144.0160.0268100.0108.9121.0142.0157.0176.1302100.0106.0121.0142.0159.3179.0259100.0110.0131.0150.0167.0185.01209100.0110.0143.0160.0182.0210.01015102.0112.0143.0166.0182.0210.01208110.0122.9148.0165.0192.8220.01174111.0135.0152.0174.0200.3225.0556104.0113.7151.3181.0206.0224.0457119.7140.0165.5188.0217.0234.2160125.1142.1171.3189.0215.0249.821390.196.0104.0117.0128.0144.225493.0100.0110.5127.0147.0160.021493.8100.5110.8125.0147.5163.591786.093.0106.0123.0145.0156.084083.090.0102.0122.0147.0158.9106784.091.8104.0124.0148.3171.048589.395.0106.0140.0152.0163.040284.090.3	N P_5 P_{10} P_{25} P_{50} P_{75} P_{90} P_{95} 289 94.0 101.0 115.0 126.0 144.0 160.0 174.0 268 100.0 108.9 121.0 142.0 157.0 176.1 186.6 302 100.0 106.0 121.0 142.0 159.3 179.0 192.6 259 100.0 110.0 131.0 150.0 167.0 185.0 197.0 1209 100.0 110.0 140.0 154.0 173.0 192.0 214.0 1015 102.0 112.0 143.0 160.0 182.0 210.0 218.0 1208 110.0 122.9 148.0 165.0 192.8 220.0 228.0 1174 111.0 135.0 152.0 174.0 200.3 225.0 240.0 457 119.7 140.0 165.5 188.0 217.0 234.2 246.4	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

Table 2. Standing long jump (cm) percentile summary of Hong Kong schoolchildren by age and gender.

Percentile data were collected from 12,712 students from 2005-2011. P, percentile; s.d., standard deviation; IQR, interquartile range.

the normative reference should be ethnic specific, most of the few published works on SLJ tests have focused on Caucasian populations. Criterion-referenced standards for Chinese were published with the data collected in 2000, however, the validity of criterion-referenced standards in classifying test results could not be found. Therefore, it has long been a problem for physical educators or sports trainers to evaluate the ability of a child's lower muscular strength with standard reference. This study established the first normative data for the SLJ test for Hong Kong Chinese school students which proposed reference values and explored variations between boys and girls at the same age.

The data collected in this study showed that the gender differences in lower muscular strength were small when the children were aged 8 - 11. The differences in lower muscular strength enlarged by age 12 and further broadened until ages 17 - 18. This corresponds to the puberty stages of boys and girls. Under the influence of gonadal steroid hormones and the growth hormone, bone mineral content or muscle mass increases faster in boys. In addition, while testosterone affects boys with a significant increase in bone and muscle growth, it decreases the deposition of fat in the limbs. The increase in skeletal size and muscle mass leads to augmented strength in boys, and more than 90% of an adolescent's peak skeletal mass is present by age 18 [19-21].

The onset of muscle strength for boys found in this study also corresponded to the finding of Leung [22] that 50% of Chinese boys develop a testis size of 4 millilitres by ages 11 - 12, positioning the age of sexual maturation in Chinese boys between 11 and 12. The testosterone produced also influences the rapid increase in muscle tissue in boys at these ages, contributing to the muscle spurts found in this normative data.

It was found that body size affected the performance in SLJ test, regardless of gender. The findings of better performance in underweight and poor performance in obese supported the assumption that heavy body discounted lower muscular strength significantly. However, with the growth in muscle mass along with age increase, boys in different body size showed increasing lower muscle strength in puberty and this pattern could not be found for girls. This could be explained by the formulated model in linear regression that the constants in predicting SLJ were similar in boys and girls. But the coefficient of age in boys was about 5 times that of girls. It indicated that each advance in age for boys multiplied the age factor five times that of girls. Besides, BMI factor in boys was two times deduction than that in girls for predicting the SLJ, showing body size of boys affect SLJ results more than that of girls. The findings in this model addressed the proliferated SLJ performance with age and BMI in gender difference. Boys and girls in younger ages could possibly perform similarly if they were in similar BMI. But the performance difference could increase drastically studied in higher forms and this difference becomes broadened to overweight and obese boys and girls.

6. LIMITATIONS

This study has limitations associated with the wide recruitment range of the participating students at each age. It is a common situation for Hong Kong schools to have fewer classes at the upper level of study (Form 6 and Form 7) and more classes at the lower level of study (Form 1 to Form 5), as half of the students cannot be promoted after taking their Hong Kong Certificate Examination in Form 5. This means that significantly fewer students aged 16 - 18 were recruited if their entire schools signed on for our physical fitness assessments. In addition, the birth rate in Hong Kong has dropped dramatically in the last decade, making it difficult for the research team to recruit a commensurate sample of primary school students compared with secondary school students.

7. CONCLUSION

This study is the first to publish normative SLJ test data for a large sample size of Chinese students in Hong Kong. The normative data covered a wide range of ages (8 - 18) and indicated the lower muscular strengths of boys and girls across the childhood, puberty and maturity stages. The SLJ performances tends to increase with age and the findings identified muscular strength differences between genders during growth, adding supporting evidence to the hormonal influence of muscle growth during puberty. This study also explained the effect of age and body size on SLJ performance, and how the gender difference affect the SLJ results.

REFERENCES

- Catley, M.J. and Tomkinson, G.R. (2013) Normative health-related fitness values for children: Analysis of 85,347 test results on 9- 17-year-old Australians since 1985. *British Journal of Sports Medicine*, 47, 98-108.
- [2] Ruiz, J.R., et al. (2009) Predictive validity of health-related fitness in youth: A systematic review. British Journal of Sports Medicine, 43, 909-923. doi:10.1136/bjsm.2008.056499
- [3] Ruiz, J.R., *et al.* (2001) Field-based fitness assessment in young people: The ALPHA health-related fitness test battery for children and adolescents. *British Journal of Spor*-

ts Medicine, 45, 518-524. doi:10.1136/bjsm.2010.075341

- [4] Artero, E.G., et al. (2012) Criterion-related validity of fieldbased muscular fitness tests in youth. Journal of Sport Medicine and Physical Fitness, 52, 263-272.
- [5] Dumith, S.C., et al. (2012) Physical fitness measures among children and adolescents: Are they all necessary? *Journal of Sport Medicine and Physical Fitness*, **52**, 181-189.
- [6] Castro-Pinero, J., et al. (2010) Assessing muscular strength in youth: Usefulness of standing long jump as a general index of muscular fitness. Journal of Strength & Conditioning Research, 24, 1810-1817. doi:10.1519/JSC.0b013e3181ddb03d
- [7] Pyke, J.E. (1987) Australian health and fitness survey 1985: The fitness, health and physical performance of Australian school students aged 7-15 years. The Australian Council for Health, Physical Education and Recreation, Parkside.
- [8] Birchall, J. (1992) Health related fitness testing. In: Went, S., Ed., A Healthy Start: Holistic Approaches to Health Promotion in School Communities, Monash University, Melbourne, 251-265.
- [9] Hands, B. (2000) Fitness and motor skill levels of Western Australian primary school children. University of Western Australia, Perth.
- [10] Dollman, J., et al. (1999) The evolution of fitness and fatness in 10- 11-year-old Australian schoolchildren: Changes in distributional characteristics between 1985 and 1997. Pediatric Exercise Science, 11, 106-121.
- [11] Haga, M. (2008). The relationship between physical fitness and motor competence in children. *Child Care Health and Development*, **34**, 329-334. <u>doi:10.1111/j.1365-2214.2008.00814.x</u>
- [12] Chiang, J., et al. (1998) The 1997 nation-wide children and youth fitness study. Ministry of Education, Taipei.
- [13] The Research Group on Chinese School Students Physical Fitness and Health (2002) Report on the physical fitness and health surveillance of Chinese school students in 2000. Higher Education Press, Beijing.
- [14] The Research Group on Chinese School Students Physical Fitness and Health (2007) Report on the physical fitness and health surveillance of Chinese school students in 2005. Higher Education Press, Beijing.
- [15] Chief Secretary for Sport of General Administration of Sport of China (2002) Annual report of national constitution assessment in 2000. Beijing University of Physical Education Press, Beijing.
- [16] Chung, J.W.Y., et al. (2009) The impact of lifestyle on the physical fitness of primary school children. Journal of Clinical Nursing, 18, 1002-1009. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2702.2008.02420.x
- [17] Chung, L.M.Y., et al. (2010) Importance of a balanced diet on the physical fitness level of school children aged 6-12. Journal of Child Health Care, 14, 280-295. doi:10.1177/1367493510374065
- [18] Census and Statistics Department, Hong Kong Special Administration Region (2012) Hong Kong annual digest

Copyright © 2013 SciRes.

Openly accessible at http://www.scirp.org/journal/health/

of statistics, 18 December 2012. http://www.statistics.gov.hk/pub/B10100032012AN12B0 100.pdf

- [19] Bonjour, J., et al. (1991) Critical years and stages of puberty for spinal and femoral bone mass accumulation during adolescence. Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism, 73, 555-563. doi:10.1210/jcem-73-3-555
- [20] Slemenda, C.W., *et al.* (1994) Influence on skeletal mineralization in children and adolescents: Evidence for va-

rying effects of sexual maturation and physical activity. *Journal of Pediatric*, **125**, 201-207. doi:10.1016/S0022-3476(94)70193-8

- [21] Rogol, A.D., et al. (2002) Growth at puberty. Journal of Adolescent Health, **31**, 192-200. doi:10.1016/S1054-139X(02)00485-8
- [22] Leung, S.S.F. (1993) Growth standard of Southern Chinese. The Chinese University of Hong Kong, 18 December, 2012. http://www.cuhk.edu.hk/proj/growthstd/index.htm