

The C. Neumann System Related to the Second-Order Matrix Eigenvalue Problem

YUAN Shujuan¹, LIU Wei²

1. College of Light Industry, Hebei Polytechnic University, Tangshan, China

2. Department of Mathematics and Physics, Shijiazhuang, China

e-mail: Yuanshujuan1980@163.com, Lwei_1981@126.com

Abstract: This paper discusses the second-order matrix eigenvalue problem by means of the nonlinearization of the Lax pairs, then the relation between the potential and the eigenfunctions is set up based on the Neumann constraint which a new finite-dimensional Hamilton system and the involutive solutions of the evolution equations are obtained.

Keywords: eigenvalue problem; integrable system; involutive representation

1 Introduction

To seek a new completely integrable system which is associated with the development of non-linear equations is an interesting issue in the international mathematical physics Union. In this paper, we obtain a new finite-dimensional completely integrable system by using the nonlinear eigenvalue problem.

2 Lax Representation and the Evolution Equation Hierarchy Related to Eigenvalue Problem

We consider the second order matrix eigenvalue problem:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \varphi_1 \\ \varphi_2 \end{pmatrix}_x = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda - q & \lambda \\ \lambda + r & q - \lambda \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_1 \\ \varphi_2 \end{pmatrix} = M \varphi, u = \begin{pmatrix} r \\ q \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.1)$$

Let $W = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \begin{pmatrix} W_{11} & W_{12} \\ W_{21} & W_{22} \end{pmatrix} \lambda^{-j}$, from $W_x = [M, W]$, we can get the following result:

$$w_{11} = -qa_{j-1} - \frac{1}{2}a_{j-1x} + \lambda a_{j-1},$$

$$w_{12} = \lambda a_{j-1}$$

$$w_{21} = -qa_{j-1} - \frac{1}{2}b_{j-1x} + \lambda a_{j-1},$$

$$w_{22} = qa_{j-1} + \frac{1}{2}a_{j-1x} - \lambda a_{j-1}$$

Definition:

$$K = \begin{pmatrix} \partial r + r\partial & q\partial - \frac{1}{2}\partial^2 \\ \partial q + \frac{1}{2}\partial^2 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} -2\partial & \partial \\ \partial & \frac{1}{2}\partial \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.2)$$

We have: $KG_{j-1} = JG_j, j = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$

$$G_j = \begin{pmatrix} a_j \\ b_j \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.3)$$

Note: Operators K, J are double Hamilton operator^[1], it is that K, J have the Properties of antisymmetry, bilinear, non-degeneracy, and satisfy the Jacobi equation.

The definition of second-order matrix as follows:

$$W_m = \sum_{j=0}^m \begin{pmatrix} -qa_{j-1} - \frac{1}{2}a_{j-1x} + \lambda a_{j-1} & \lambda a_{j-1} \\ -qa_{j-1} - \frac{1}{2}b_{j-1x} + \lambda a_{j-1} & qa_{j-1} + \frac{1}{2}a_{j-1x} - \lambda a_{j-1} \end{pmatrix} \lambda^{-j}$$

We have the following proposition:

Proposition 2.1: The Evolution Equation Hierarchy related to eigenvalue problem is $(u = (r, q)^T)$:

$$u_{tm} = \begin{pmatrix} r_{tm} \\ q_{tm} \end{pmatrix} \quad m = 1, 2, \dots \quad (2.4)$$

It is equivalent to Lax Equation:

$$M_{tm} = (W_m)_x + W_m M - M W_m \quad (2.5)$$

In other words, Equation (2.4) is consistency condition

of spectrum-preserving for the following two linear equations:

$$\begin{aligned} (\varphi_{x_{t_m}} = \varphi_{t_m x}, \lambda_{t_m} = 0) \\ \begin{cases} \varphi_x = M\varphi \\ \varphi_{t_m} = w_m \varphi \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

Let $G_{-1} = 0$, then

$$G_{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 4 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

from

$$KG_{m-1} = JG_m, G_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 2q-r \\ 4q+2r \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.7)$$

Then we have the evolution equation:

$$u_{r0} = \begin{pmatrix} r_{r0} \\ q_{r0} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 4r_x \\ 4q_x \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.8)$$

$$u_{rl} = \begin{pmatrix} r_{rl} \\ q_{rl} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 4qr_x + 4rq_x - 3rr_x - r_{xx} - 2q_{xx} \\ 4qq_x + (qr)_x + q_{xx} - \frac{1}{2}r_{xx} \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.9)$$

Proposition 2.2: Let $\varphi = (\varphi_1, \varphi_2)^T$, if the trace of the second-order matrices M over the reals is 0, so

$$\int_{\Omega} (\varphi_1, \varphi_2) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \dot{M} \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_1 \\ \varphi_2 \end{pmatrix} dx = 0,$$

The Functional derivation is denoted by Symbol.

Proposition 2.3: If φ_1, φ_2 is the characteristic function that the eigenvalue problem (2.1) relates to λ , so the functional gradient as follows:

$$\text{grad } \lambda = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\delta \lambda}{\delta v} \\ \frac{\delta \lambda}{\delta u} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda \varphi_1^2 \\ -2\lambda \varphi_1 \varphi_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

and $K\text{grad } \lambda = \lambda J\text{grad } \lambda$.

3 The Hamilton Equation and Its Complete Integrability under the Neumann Constraint

We suppose that $\lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \dots < \lambda_N$ is N different eigenvalues of Equation (2.5). $\varphi_{1j}, \varphi_{2j}$ are characteristic function of λ_j ($j = 1, 2, \dots, N$), so

$$\begin{pmatrix} \varphi_{1j} \\ \varphi_{2j} \end{pmatrix}_x = M(u, \lambda_j) \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_{1j} \\ \varphi_{2j} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.1)$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \varphi_{1j} \\ \varphi_{2j} \end{pmatrix}_{t_m} = w_m(u, \lambda_j) \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_{1j} \\ \varphi_{2j} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.2)$$

Let $\Lambda = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_N)$,

$$\varphi_{1,j} = (\varphi_{11}, \dots, \varphi_{1N})^T, \varphi_{2,j} = (\varphi_{21}, \dots, \varphi_{2N})^T$$

Let $K\text{grad } \lambda = \lambda J\text{grad } \lambda$, we can get the result:

$$K \begin{pmatrix} \langle \Lambda^k \varphi_1, \varphi_1 \rangle \\ \langle \Lambda^k \varphi_1, \varphi_2 \rangle \end{pmatrix} = J \begin{pmatrix} \langle \Lambda^{k+1} \varphi_1, \varphi_1 \rangle \\ \langle \Lambda^{k+1} \varphi_1, \varphi_2 \rangle \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.3)$$

From (2.7) and (3.2), we have

$$G_j = \begin{pmatrix} \langle \Lambda^{j+1} \varphi_1, \varphi_1 \rangle \\ \langle \Lambda^{j+1} \varphi_1, \varphi_2 \rangle \end{pmatrix} \quad j = -1, 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

$$\Lambda = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N);$$

At the same time, we have

$$\begin{cases} a_{j-1} = \langle \Lambda^j \varphi_1, \varphi_1 \rangle \\ b_{j-1} = \langle \Lambda^j \varphi_1, \varphi_2 \rangle \end{cases}, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots \quad (3.4)$$

$$G_{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 4 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \langle \varphi_1, \varphi_1 \rangle \\ 2 \langle \varphi_1, \varphi_2 \rangle \end{pmatrix}$$

Neumann constraint condition is:

$$T : \begin{cases} \langle \varphi_1, \varphi_1 \rangle = 4 \\ \langle \varphi_1, \varphi_2 \rangle = 0 \end{cases} \quad (3.5)$$

then

$$\begin{cases} q = \frac{1}{4} \langle \Lambda \varphi_1, \varphi_1 \rangle + \frac{1}{4} \langle \Lambda \varphi_1, \varphi_2 \rangle \\ r = \frac{1}{4} \langle \Lambda \varphi_1, \varphi_1 \rangle + \frac{1}{4} \langle \Lambda \varphi_2, \varphi_2 \rangle \end{cases}$$

The Poisson bracket of Smooth Function H and F ^[4,6] in symplectic space $(R^{2N}, \sum_{k=1}^N d\varphi_{2k} \wedge d\varphi_{1k})$ is defined as followed:

$$\{H, F\} = \sum_{k=1}^N \left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial \varphi_{2k}} \frac{\partial F}{\partial \varphi_{1k}} - \frac{\partial H}{\partial \varphi_{1k}} \frac{\partial F}{\partial \varphi_{2k}} \right)$$

Proposition 3.1: (3.1) and (3.2) can be written as a finite-dimensional system:

$$\begin{cases} \varphi_{1x} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial \varphi_2} & \varphi_{2x} = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial \varphi_1} \\ \varphi_{1t_m} = \frac{\partial H_m}{\partial \varphi_2} & \varphi_{2t_m} = -\frac{\partial H_m}{\partial \varphi_1} \end{cases} \quad (3.6)$$

H and H_m are Hamilton functions here.

$$H = -\frac{1}{4} \langle \Lambda \varphi_1, \varphi_1 \rangle \langle \varphi_1, \varphi_2 \rangle - \frac{1}{4} \langle \Lambda \varphi_1, \varphi_2 \rangle \langle \varphi_1, \varphi_2 \rangle + \langle \Lambda \varphi_1, \varphi_2 \rangle + \frac{1}{8} \langle \Lambda \varphi_1, \varphi_1 \rangle \langle \varphi_1, \varphi_1 \rangle \frac{1}{8} \langle \Lambda \varphi_2, \varphi_2 \rangle \langle \varphi_1, \varphi_1 \rangle - \langle \Lambda \varphi_1, \varphi_1 \rangle \quad (3.7)$$

$$H_m = -\frac{1}{2} \langle \Lambda^{m+1} \varphi_1, \varphi_1 \rangle \langle \varphi_2, \varphi_2 \rangle - 4 \langle \Lambda^{m+1} \varphi_1, \varphi_1 \rangle + \langle \Lambda^{m+1} \varphi_1, \varphi_1 \rangle \langle \varphi_1, \varphi_2 \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle \Lambda^{m+1} \varphi_1, \varphi_1 \rangle \langle \varphi_1, \varphi_1 \rangle + 4 \langle \Lambda^{m+1} \varphi_1, \varphi_2 \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{m+1} \langle \Lambda^j \varphi_2, \varphi_2 \rangle \langle \Lambda^{m-j+1} \varphi_1, \varphi_1 \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{m+1} \langle \Lambda^j \varphi_2, \varphi_1 \rangle \langle \Lambda^{m-j+1} \varphi_2, \varphi_1 \rangle \quad (3.8)$$

The generator E_k is as followed:

$$E_k = \frac{1}{2} \lambda_k \Gamma_k - \varphi_{1k}^2 \langle \varphi_1, \varphi_2 \rangle + 4 \varphi_{1k} \varphi_{2k} + \frac{1}{2} \varphi_{1k}^2 \langle \varphi_1, \varphi_1 \rangle - 4 \varphi_{1k}^2 - \frac{1}{2} \varphi_{1k}^2 \langle \varphi_2, \varphi_2 \rangle$$

$$(\text{Let } \Gamma_k = \sum_{\substack{j=1, \\ j \neq k}}^N \frac{(\varphi_{1k} \varphi_{2j} - \varphi_{2k} \varphi_{1j})^2}{\lambda_k - \lambda_j}),$$

Proposition3.2:

(1) $\{E_k, k = 1, 2, \dots, N\}$ is involutive system, so that $\{E_k, E_j\} = 0, \forall k, j = 1, 2, \dots, N$, and $\{dE_k\}$ has nothing relation with the gradient;

$$H_\lambda = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{E_k}{\lambda - \lambda_k} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \lambda^{-m-1} G_m [2]$$

$$(2) \quad G_m = \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k^m \frac{E_k}{\lambda - \lambda_k} \quad H_m = G_{m+1}$$

Among them,

$$H_m = \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k^{m+1} E_k, m=1, 2, \dots, [3]$$

Proposition3.3:

Let $f_1 = \langle \varphi_1, \varphi_1 \rangle - 4, f_2 = \langle \varphi_1, \varphi_2 \rangle$, then we have follow

solutions on Γ :

- (1) $\{H, f_j\} = 0, j = 1, 2$
- (2) $\{f_j, E_k\} = 0, j = 1, 2 \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n$
- (3) $\det\{f_i, f_j\} \neq 0, i, j = 1, 2$
- (4) $\{H, E_k\} = 0, k = 1, 2, \dots, N$.
- (5) $\{H, H_m\} = 0, m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$
- (6) $\{H_n, H_m\} = 0, m, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$
- (7) $(R^{2N}, \sum_{k=1}^N d\varphi_{1k} \wedge d\varphi_{2k}, H), (R^{2N}, \sum_{k=1}^N d\varphi_{1k} \wedge d\varphi_{2k}, H_m)$

is the completely integrable system in the Liouville sense.

Proposition 3.4: If φ_1, φ_2 are involutive solution of the Hamilton regular system^[5,7], so

$$\begin{pmatrix} r \\ q \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} \langle \varphi_1, \varphi_2 \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \langle \varphi_1, \varphi_1 \rangle \\ \frac{1}{4} \langle \varphi_1, \varphi_2 \rangle + \frac{1}{4} \langle \varphi_1, \varphi_1 \rangle \end{pmatrix}$$

is the solution of evolution equation hierarchy (2.4).

References

- [1] Peter J.Olver. Applications of Lie Groups to Differential Equations[M] (Second Edition). Springer-Verlag New York Berlin Heidelberg. (1999) 13-53, 452-462.
- [2] Cewen Cao, Confocal involutive system and a class of AKNS eigenvalue problem [J], HENAN SCIENCE, 5 (1) 1987.1-10
- [3] Gu Zhuquan .Two finite-dimensional completely integrable Hamiltonian systems associated with the solutions of the MKDV hierarchy. [J] .Math.Phys.32(6).
- [4] Arnold,V.I.Mathematical Methods of Classical Mechanics,[M] Springer Verlag, (1978).
- [5] Cao CW,A classical integrable system and involutive representation of solutions of the KDV equation[J].Acta Mathematica Sinica, New Series, 1991,7(3):436-440.
- [6] Deng Yuan Chen, Soliton Theory [M]. Science Press, April 2006, the first edition, 194-199.
- [7] Gu Zhuquan.The Neumann system for 3rd-order eigenvalue problems related to the Boussinesq equation II Nuove Cimento, VOL.117B, No6.2002.615-632.