

# GIS and Intra-Site Spatial Analyses: An Integrated Approach for Recording and Analyzing the Fossil Deposits at Casablanca Prehistoric Sites (Morocco)

Rosalia Gallotti<sup>1</sup>, Abderrahim Mohib<sup>2</sup>, Mosshine El Graoui<sup>2</sup>, Fatima Zohra Sbihi-Alaoui<sup>2</sup>, Jean-Paul Raynal<sup>1,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Université Bordeaux 1, Bordeaux, France <sup>2</sup>Direction du Patrimoine, Institut National des Sciences de l'Archéologie et du Patrimoine, Rabat, Morocco <sup>3</sup>Department of Human Evolution, Max Planck Institute for Evolutionary Anthropology, Leipzig, Germany E-mail: rosalia.gallotti@u-bordeaux1.fr Received June 3, 2011; revised July 23, 2011; accepted August 1, 2011

### Abstract

The Mio-Plio-Pleistocene sequence at Casablanca, covering the last six million years, is well known in scientific literature. The variability and the chronology of the Acheulian sequence is documented by systematic, modern and controlled investigations in various sites (Unit L and Hominid Cave at Thomas I Quarry, Rhinoceros Cave at Oulad Hamida 1 Quarry, Sidi Abderrahman Extension Quarry, Bear's Cave and Cap Chatelier at Sidi Abderrahman Quarry) which have taken place within the framework of the Franco-Moroccan co-operative project "Casablanca". In order to manage the excavation data and to explore the taphonomic nature of Unit L, Hominid Cave and Rhinoceros Cave, where research is still in progress, an approach combining a Geographic Information System (GIS) and spatial analysis techniques was developed, incorporating all existing information produced from previous excavations and recent surveys of the sites. The amalgamation of this data into a GIS has resulted in a digital database that allows the production of simultaneous or separate visualizations and analyses of the fossils, artifacts and geological materials within their original spatial contexts and also permits intra-site spatial analyses that allow a comprehensive investigation of the site formation processes.

Keywords: Morocco, Casablanca, Prehistoric Archaeology, GIS, Intra-Site Spatial Analyses, Site Formation Processes

# **1. Introduction**

During the last few decades archaeological research has been characterized by a remarkable increase in the use of computerised techniques; in particular, GIS (Geographical Information System) softwares have gained special favour firstly in providing systems for the management of archaeological data, and secondly as a fundamental tool for the interpretation of archaeological contexts. GIS has long been employed in archaeological landscape studies for inter-site analyses. In recent times, many applications aimed at the interpretation of prehistoric deposits have also been developed [1-8]. The close connection between the spatial location of widespread excavated evidences and the analytical study of each individual find makes the use of computer spatial analysis technologies especially useful in conjunction with GIS.

In this intra-site application field, GIS plays a decisive role in the identification of the spatial trends of archaeological data through the contextual or selective treatment of spatial variables. The spatial distribution of finds in an Early Pleistocene site is largely determined by postdepositional disturbance phenomena affecting the taphonomic environment, whether or not they are anthropogenic, which always need to be taken into account in any spatial interpretation [9]. One of the most complex problems to solve is overcoming the difficulty of recognizing the sequence of these alteration phenomena, which often occur simultaneously, and are hence hard to differentiate in an overall analysis.

Intra-site spatial analyses are important methodologies for unraveling the formation and sequencing of prehistoric deposits, whose reconstruction provides decisive evidence for the validation of subsequent deductive analyses [10]. Thus spatial analyses make an important contribution to the interpretation of prehistoric sites explored extensively using the stratigraphic method. In consideration of the multiplicity of the above-listed factors, multidimensional analyses presently appear to be the most advanced and appropriate techniques of spatial investigation [11-17].

These considerations prompted our adoption of a GIS-based investigation, integrated with spatial techniques for the management and processing of spatial and alpha-numerical data concerning the following sites of the Casablanca region: Unit L and Hominid Cave at Thomas I Quarry and Rhinoceros Cave at Oulad Hamida 1 Quarry. Investigations in these sites are still in progress and this allowed us to design data management schemes that followed each phase of the research rather than *a posteriori*. In this paper we present the structure of our information applications, the data recording system and the types of analysis that we perform and envisage performing.

#### 2. The Archaeological Context

The Casablanca region on the Atlantic coast of the Moroccan Meseta is rich in Palaeolithic sites preserved in an exceptionally well developed series of littoral deposits (Figure 1) [18]. The Lower-Middle Pleistocene transition is well illustrated in Thomas I Quarry (Figure 2) where the oldest lithic assemblages of the Casablanca sequence are found in late Lower Pleistocene deposits, circa 1 Myr in Unit L on an excavation surface of about 1000 m<sup>2</sup>, and consist of Acheulian artifacts made on quartzite and flint [19]. In the same quarry, the archaeological assemblage of Unit 4 of the Hominid Cave, where hominid fossils were first brought to light in 1994, documents the Middle Pleistocene record. While this cavity sheltered a population of hominids during the early Middle Pleistocene, it was also frequented by a variety of carnivores during the same period. Despite a surrounding environment only sparsely tree-covered, anabundant mammal fauna was present in the area and this favoured the subsistence of both hominids and carnivores. The semi-arid conditions of the time were responsible for



Figure 1. Location map and position of the main Lower Palaeolithic sites excavated at Casablanca (A). 1, Sidi Abderrahmane Grande Exploitation. 2, Sidi Abderrahmane-Cunette with Cap Chatelier and Bears Cave. 3, Sidi Abderrahmane-Extension. 4, STIC Quarry. 5, Thomas Quarry I. 6, Thomas III Cave. 7, Thomas III "fissures". 8, Oulad Hamida 1 (Rhinoceros Cave).

375



Figure 2. General view of the Thomas I Quarry.

the complex sedimentation and post-depositional processes present in the cave. Absolute dates place stratigraphic Unit 4 between 360 and 470 kya, but more recently, this has been pushed towards 500 kya by laser ablation ICP-MS. Nevertheless, bio-stratigraphy and litho-stratigraphy point towards an even greater antiquity [20].

Middle Pleistocene levels also occur in the Oulad Hamida 1 Quarry, where Rhinoceros Cave has yielded a rich collection of micro and macro mammals with remarkably abundant remains of white rhinoceros, associated with a rich Acheulian lithic assemblage (**Figure 3**) [19].

# 3. The Application

These sites, extensively excavated, yielded an enormous quantity of lithic objects and faunal remains. Due both to the extent of the investigated areas and the number of finds, technological tools for recording spatial data were found necessary during the excavation phases but more importantly for intra-site spatial analyses undertaken to elaborate on the locational information associated with the finds. To manage the huge quantity of data a GIS was chosen because of its almost limitless possibilities for recording alphanumerical and graphical data, of visualization, analysis and subsequent processing. We used two GIS software products to perform different types of spatial analyses. The logical structure of our methodology is summarized in **Figure 4**.

#### 3.1. Recording Archaeological Evidence

Documentation for the excavations at Thomas I and Oulad Hamida 1 Quarries exists in two different forms: paper archives recorded before the use of an electronic application (2006) and data collected using an electronic



Figure 3. Top: General view of the Rhinoceros cave. Bottom: Detail of the excavation.

information system. The amalgamation of these data was necessary to create an information resource which could be manipulated to manage all the classes of data simultaneously. The paper documentation consisted of a written catalogue of the finds from the excavations accompanied by the relative plans. The first step was to convert the written alpha-numerical data (the catalogue entry for each lithic artifact or bone) to digital format. Microsoft Access was used to create the alpha-numerical archives (and is also used to collate new information). It was chosen mainly for its simplicity of use in the creation of tables and templates and especially because it permits the use of personalized dictionaries, thus facilitating data entry and assuring, at the same time, the homogeneity of the archived data in its new form.

After the conversion of the paper alpha-numeric archives, we computerized the existing paper maps that had been made for every square meter of the excavated areas. The excavations were carried out within a grid of 1  $\times$  1 metre squares, within which every single find had been accurately positioned.

#### Recording of archaeological evidences

Processing of spatial variables



Figure 4. Conceptual scheme of the informatics application.

This grid was imported into MapInfo Professional, referenced on non-terrestrial coordinates using a metric scale and then translated into a vector format. We created two local networks: one for Thomas I Quarry, including Unit L and Hominid Cave (**Figure 5**), another for Rhinoceros Cave. Every paper map was scanned, imported into MapInfo as a raster image and located in its proper place in the appropriate local network using the coordinates of the vertices of the corresponding squares.

Any object contained within the image was drawn as a polygon and linked to the database through its inventory number which is a unique key. It is also possible to view any found object as a point thanks to the MapInfo Extract Coordinates utility, which can automatically extract the x and y coordinates of the midpoint of a polygon.

The second step was to create an appropriate system with which to record newly generated excavation data. We chose a flexible structure using Total Station integrated with orthophotos.

Usually, we use Total Station to record point data of archaeological objects on maps collating this type of information. If the excavated area is particularly representative in some way or portrays archaeological objects of large dimensions, we utilize the orthophotos to record such finds as polygons. We take a photo of each square metre of the excavation and use RDF software to transform it into an orthophoto. As with the documentation of earlier excavations, the orthophoto is imported into MapInfo Professional, referenced, translated into a vector format and then every graphical object depicted is linked to the database through its inventory number.

#### **3.2. Processing of Spatial Variables**

Both alphanumerical and graphic data are managed by GIS software. MapInfo Professional is the principal software used to elaborate thematic maps and sections and frequency matrixes. Another principal software used to elaborate thematic maps and sections and frequency matrixes. Another GIS product, ArcView, was added to our suite because of its capabilities in processing density analyses. Combining alpha-numeric and graphic information makes it possible to present diverse queries to the database and to interrogate simultaneously the alpha-numerical data with its corresponding graphic objects. The results thus created are then saved as new tables and maps. It is thus possible to generate numerous thematic 'maps' that present the object categories found in the excavation, as unique categories as well as showing their association with other selected categories or types of information, thus allowing spatial comparisons to be made between any number and variety of different objects and/or data (Figure 6).

The huge number of finds discovered in the three sites concerned makes it difficult to obtain accurate impressions of their spatial distribution in any normal conceptual manner; so the topological features of GIS are used to create maps derived from the data and present it as



Figure 5. Local network with excavated areas at Thomas I Quarry.

frequency analyses, or to obtain density values and to schematize the distribution trends (concentrations or dispersals) of artifacts.

For maps recording frequencies, finds were grouped and counted per square [13] using an SQL procedure based on the topological overlay of the 1 m by 1 m excavation grid for each thematic level. This procedure allows automatic calculation of the number of objects whose central point falls within each square. The maps thus obtained contain the total number of each category of finds falling within each grid square. Frequencies in these maps are expressed by ranges of standard values delineated by 'natural breaks', a method that identifies the break points by picking the position within each class that best groups together similar values and maximizes the differences between classes. The features are thus divided into classes whose boundaries are set at the position where a relatively big jump in the data value occurs (**Figure 7**). By combining two or more frequency matrixes, it is possible to compare the frequency of each category per square metre and present the result graphically (**Figure 8**). To provide a rendering of object concentrations not linked to the spatial units defined by the excavation grid, density maps were created. Points corresponding to the centroid position of each object were exported into ArcView, where density plans were generated using Spatial Analyst. The density function calculates the number of points in a level over a continuous surface. Thus, the occurrence and proximity of points is highlighted through the generation of a new map of den-



Figure 6. Thomas I Quarry—Hominid Cave, Locus 2. Thematic map of all remains.

sity values beginning from a given point. Values are expressed through density curves quantified on the basis of a k-nearest neighbour distance that is smoothed using Kernel Density Estimation (a fundamental data smoothing operation where inferences about the population are made, based on a finite data sample) (**Figure 9**).

The vertical distribution of finds is projected on longitudinal and transverse cross-sections which generally correspond to a two-dimensional representation: by ranging the values of x or y respectively on the abscissa, and those of z on the ordinate, it is possible to plot the projection of all finds and thus map these kinds of cross-sections. This expedient is necessary because the GIS packages lack 3D topology and consider z values as attributes rather than as true spatial coordinates (**Figure 10**).



Figure 7. Thomas I Quarry—Unit L. Frequency matrixes per square meter of all remains.



Figure 8. Thomas I Quarry—Unit L. Relationship among the frequencies of different categories of finds per square meter.

# 4. Conclusions

Systematic stratigraphic excavations, documented with three-dimensional recording, and the use of intra-site spatial analyses using GIS and associated systems proved invaluable tools for analyzing the Acheulian sites at Casablanca which are characterized by an extremely high number of finds and by complex post-depositional mechanisms. The integrated approach that we used permitted us to record, follow and analyze each phase of the research, from the first stage of recording information to the later step of data interpretation. Our use of the systems mentioned allowed a more immediate management of the data related to the spatial interrelations of fossils, artifacts and geology through continuous evaluation of all the attributes of distribution and analysis available in

# Thomas I Quarry Unit L1 Excavations 2007-2008



Figure 9. Thomas I Quarry – Unit L. Density map of all remains.



Figure 10. Oulad Hamida 1-Rhinoceros Cave. Excavation 2009. S-N cross-section with the projection of all remains.

the various programs.

This model for handling large amounts of information simultaneously has clear potential for storing and organizing the huge volumes of data generated by any excavation and for illuminating and unraveling the complex processes responsible for the formation of Palaeolithic sites. The model developed for the Casablanca sites can be broadly applied to other similar sites.

# 5. Acknowledgements

The authors thank anonymous readers whose comments allowed us to improve this paper and Peter Bindon for the revision of the English language. Excavations take place within the frame of the Programme Casablanca of the Institut National des Sciences de l'Archéologie et du Patrimoine and are funded by Ministère de la Culture of Morocco, Ministère des Affaires étrangères et européennes of France, Région Aquitaine and the Department of Human Evolution of Max Planck Institute for Evolutionary Anthropology at Leipzig.

#### 6. References

- A. D'Andrea, R. Gallotti and M. Piperno, "Applicazione di un GIS Intra-Site al Giacimento Paleolitico di Garba IV (Melka Kunture, Etiopia)," *Archeologia e Calcolatori*, Vol. 11, 2000, pp. 319-338.
- [2] R. Gallotti, M. Arzarello, G. Lembo, A. Minelli, U. Thun Hohenstein and C. Peretto, "Informatic Management of the Excavation Data of Isernia La Pineta (Molise, Italy)," *Proceedings XIV UISPP Congress*, Liegi, 2-8 September 2002, pp. 57-62.
- [3] R. Gallotti and M. Piperno, "Prehistoric Archaeology. The Site of Garba IV. Spatial Analysis of the Lithic Material from Level D," In: J. Chavaillon and M. Piperno, Eds., *Studies on the Early Paleolithic Site of Melka Kunture, Ethiopia*, Origines, Florence, 2004, pp. 599-635.
- [4] D. Nigro, D. J. De Ruiter, L. R. Berger and P. S. Ungar, "A Tridimensional Geographic Information System for Swartkrans," *Meeting of the Paleoanthropology Society*, Kansas City, March 27-28 2001.
- [5] D. Nigro, P. S. Ungar, D. J. De Ruiter and L. R. Berger, "Developing a Geographical Information System (GIS) for Mapping and Analysing Fossil Deposits at Swartkrans, Gauteng Province, South Africa," *Journal of Archaeological Science*, Vol. 30, No. 3, 2003, pp. 317-324. doi:10.1006/jasc.2002.0839
- [6] C. Santagata, J.-P. Raynal, J.-L. Guadelli, P. Fernandes and I. Fiore, "SIG Intra-Site et Analyse de la Distribution Spatiale des Vestiges," In: J.-P. Raynal, Ed., La Grotte de Sainte-Anne I. Le Paléolithique Moyen de l'unité J1, Les dossiers de l'Archéo-Logis 3, l'Archéo-Logis/CDERAD, Laussonne, 2007, pp. 199-258.
- [7] J. Thomas, R. Potts and D. Cole, "The Role of GIS in the Interdisciplinary Investigation at Olorgesailie, Kenya, a Pleistocene Archaeological Locality", In: M. Aldenderfer and H. D. G. Maschner, Eds., Anthropology, Space and Geographical Information System, Oxford University Press, New York, 1996, pp. 202-213.
- [8] N. Vullo, F. Fontana and A. Guerreschi, "The Application of GIS to Intra-Site Spatial Analysis: Preliminary Results from Alpe Veglia (VB) and Mondeval de Sora (BL), two Mesolithic sites, in Italian Alpes," In: J. Barcelò, I. Briz and A. Vila, Eds., New Techniques for Old Times, Proceedings of the 26th CAA Conference,

Barcelona, March 1998, pp. 111-115.

- [9] A. Malinsky-Buller, E. Hovers and O. Marder, "Making time: 'Living FLOORS', 'Palimpsests' and Site Formation Processes—A Perspective from the Open-Air Lower Paleolithic Site of Revadim Quarry," *Israel Journal of Anthropological Archaeology*, Vol. 30, No. 2, 2011, pp. 89-101. doi:10.1016/j.jaa.2010.11.002
- [10] J.-P. Texier, "A Propos des Processus de Formation des Sites Préhistoriques," *Paléo*, Vol. 12, No. 12, 2000, pp. 379-386.
- [11] F. Djindjian, "Improvements in Intrasite Spatial Analysis Techniques," In: S. P. Q. Rahtz, Ed., Computer and Quantitative Methods in Archaeology, British Archaeological Reports, Oxford, 1988, pp. 95-106.
- [12] F. Djindjian, "L'analyse Spatiale de L'habitat: État de l'art," Archeologia e Calcolatori, Vol. 10, 1999, pp. 17-32.
- [13] I. Hodder and C. Orton, "Spatial Analysis in Archaeology," CUP, Cambridge, 1976.
- [14] T. Johnson, "Contribution Méthodologique à L'étude de la Répartition des Vestiges dans les Niveaux Archéologiques," Diplôme d'Etudes Supérieures, University of Bordeaux, Bordeaux, 1976.
- [15] K. W. Kintigh and A. J. Ammermann, "Heuristic Approaches to Spatial Analysis in Archaeology," *American Antiquity*, Vol. 47, No. 1, 1982, pp. 31-63. doi:10.2307/280052
- [16] J. F. Simek, "A K-Means Approach to the Analysis of Spatial Structures in Upper Palaeolithic Habitation Sites: Le Flageolet I and Pincevent Section 36," British Archaeological Reports, Oxford, 1984.
- [17] R. Whallon, "Unconstrained Clustering for the Analysis of Spatial Distributions in Archaeology," In: H. J. Hietala, Ed., *Intrasite Spatial Analysis in Archaeology*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1984, pp. 242-277.
- [18] D. Lefèvre and J. P. Raynal, "Les Formations Plio-Pléistocènes de Casablanca et la Chronostratigraphie du Quaternaire marin du Maroc Revisitées," *Quaternaire*, Vol. 13, No. 1, 2002, pp. 9-21.
- [19] J. P. Raynal, F. Z. Sbihi-Alaoui, L. Magoga, A. Mohib and M. Zouak, "Casablanca and the Early Occupation of North-Atlantic Morocco," *Quaternaire*, Vol. 13, No. 1, 2002, pp. 65-77. <u>doi:10.3406/quate.2002.1704</u>
- [20] J. P. Raynal, F. Z. Sbihi-Alaoui, A. Mohib, M. El Graoui, D. Lefèvre, J.-P. Texier, D. Geraads, J.-J. Hublin, T. Smith, P. Tafforeau, M. Zouak, R. Grün, E. J. Rhodes, S. Eggins, C. Daujeard, P. Fernandes, R. Gallotti, S. Hossini and A. Queffelec, "Hominid Cave at Thomas Quarry I (Casablanca, Morocco): Recent Findings and Their Context", *Quaternary International*, Vols. 223-224, 2010, pp. 369-382. doi:10.1016/j.quaint.2010.03.011