

On a Grouping Method for Constructing Mixed Orthogonal Arrays

Chung-Yi Suen

Department of Mathematics, Cleveland State University, Cleveland, USA Email: c.suen@csuohio.edu

Received January 20, 2012; revised February 19, 2012; accepted March 8, 2012

ABSTRACT

Mixed orthogonal arrays of strength two and size s^{mn} are constructed by grouping points in the finite projective geometry PG(mn-1,s). PG(mn-1,s) can be partitioned into $\left[\left(s^{mn}-1\right)/\left(s^{n}-1\right)\right]$ (n-1)-flats such that each (n-1)-flat is associated with a point in $PG(m-1,s^{n})$. An orthogonal array $L_{s^{mn}}\left(\left(s^{n}\right)^{\left(s^{m-1}\right)/\left(s^{n}-1\right)}\right)$ can be constructed by using $\left(s^{mn}-1\right)/\left(s^{n}-1\right)$ points in $PG(m-1,s^{n})$. A set of $\left(s^{t}-1\right)/\left(s-1\right)$ points in $PG(m-1,s^{n})$ is called a (t-1)-flat over GF(s) if it is isomorphic to PG(t-1,s). If there exists a (t-1)-flat over GF(s) in $PG(m-1,s^{n})$, then we can replace the corresponding $\left[\left(s^{t}-1\right)/\left(s-1\right)\right]$ s^{n} -level columns in $L_{s^{mn}}\left(\left(s^{n}\right)^{\left(s^{m-1}\right)/\left(s^{n}-1\right)}\right)$ by $\left[\left(s^{n}-1\right)/\left(s-1\right)\right]$ s^{t} -level columns and obtain a mixed orthogonal array. Many new mixed orthogonal arrays can be obtained by this procedure. In this paper, we study methods for finding disjoint (t-1)-flats over GF(s) in $PG(m-1,s^{n})$ in order to construct more mixed orthogonal arrays of strength two. In particular, if m and n are relatively prime then we can construct an $L_{s^{mn}}\left(\left(s^{m}\right)^{\frac{s^{m-1}-i}{s^{m-1}}}\left(s^{n}\right)^{\frac{is^{m-1}-i}{s-1}}\right)$ for any $0 \le i \le \frac{\left(s^{mn}-1\right)\left(s^{n}-1\right)}{\left(s^{m}-1\right)}$. New orthogonal arrays of sizes 256, 512, and 1024 are obtained by using PG(7, 2), PG(8, 2) and PG(9, 2) respectively.

Keywords: Finite Field; Finite Projective Geometry; (t-1)-Flat over GF(s) in $PG(m-1, s^n)$; Geometric Orthogonal Array; Matrix Representation; Minimal Polynomial; Orthogonal Main-Effect Plan; Primitive Element; Tight

1. Introduction

Orthogonal arrays of strength two are used as orthogonal main-effect plans in fractional factorial experiments. In an orthogonal main-effect plan, the main effects of each factor can be optimally estimated assuming the interactions of all factors are negligible.

Let $L_N(s_1 \cdots s_k)$ denote an orthogonal arrays of strength two with *N* rows, *k* columns, and s_i levels in the *i*th column for $i = 1, \dots, k$. In every $N \times 2$ subarray of

 $L_N(s_1 \cdots s_k)$, all possible combinations of levels occur equally often as rows. It is known that $N-1 \ge \sum_{i=1}^{n} (s_i - 1)$ in an $L_N(s_1 \cdots s_k)$ and the orthogonal array is called *tight* if the equality holds. Orthogonal array $L_N(s_1 \cdots s_k)$ is called *symmetric* if $s_1 = \cdots = s_k$, otherwise it is called *asymmetric* or *mixed*. Symmetric orthogonal arrays have been constructed in [1-3]. Mixed orthogonal arrays were introduced in [4], and they have drawn the attentions of many researchers in recent years. Methods for constructing mixed orthogonal arrays of strength two have been developed in [5-9], and many other authors. These methods use Hadamard matrices, difference schemes, generalized Kronecker sums, finite projective geometries, and orthogonal projection matrices. We refer to [10] for more constructions and applications of orthogonal arrays.

The method of grouping was used in [11] to replace three two-level columns in symmetric orthogonal arrays by one four-level column for constructing mixed orthogonal arrays having two-level and four-level columns. A systematic method [12] was developed for identifying

disjoint sets of three two-level columns for constructing $L_N(2^m 4^n)$. The method was generalized in [6] for constructing $L_{s^k}\left(s^m\left(s^{r_1}\right)^{n_1}\cdots\left(s^{r_t}\right)^{n_t}\right)$, where s is a prime power. Mixed orthogonal arrays of strength t were constructed by using mixed spreads of strength t in finite geometries in [13]. This method was also independently discovered in [14] for constructing mixed orthogonal arrays of strength three and four. Orthogonal arrays constructed by this method are called geometric. Geometric orthogonal arrays $L_{64}(8^{6}4^{7})$, $L_{64}(8^{3}4^{14})$, $L_{64}(8^{4}4^{10}2^{5})$ and $L_{64}(8^{1}4^{17}2^{5})$ were constructed in [13]. However, the method is restricted to constructing mixed orthogonal arrays with the number of levels in each column a power of 2. In this paper, we shall use finite projective geometries to develop a general procedure for constructing more mixed orthogonal arrays. Moreover, the procedure allows us to construct mixed orthogonal arrays with the number of levels in each column a power of any given prime number. We start with a symmetric orthogonal

array $L_{s^{mn}}\left(\left(s^{n}\right)^{\left(s^{mn}-1\right)/\left(s^{n}-1\right)}\right)$, and then construct mixed

orthogonal arrays by replacing a group of columns with another group of columns. Our grouping method uses properties of finite projective geometries, which is different from the grouping method in [6]. Hence we are able to obtain some new series of mixed orthogonal arrays.

2. Geometric Orthogonal Arrays

For $r \ge 1$ and s a prime power, let PG(r-1,s) denote the (r-1)-dimensional finite projective geometry over the Galois field GF(s). A point in PG(r-1,s) is denoted by an r-tuple (x_1, \dots, x_r) , where x_i 's are elements of GF(s) and at least one x_i is not 0. Two r-tuples represent the same point in PG(r-1,s) if one is a multiple of the other. Hence there are $(s^r - 1)/(s-1)$ points in PG(r-1,s). A (t-1)-flat in PG(r-1,s) is a set of $(s^t - 1)/(s-1)$ points which are linear combinations of t independent points. A spread \mathcal{F} of (t-1)-flats of

PG(r-1,s) is a set of (t-1)-flats which partition PG(r-1,s). It is known [15] that there exists a spread \mathcal{F} of (t-1)-flats of PG(r-1,s) if and only if t divides r.

We call a set of flats $\mathcal{F} = \{F_1, \dots, F_k\}$ a mixed spread of PG(r-1,s) if it partitions PG(r-1,s) and at least two flats in \mathcal{F} have different dimensions. Mixed spreads are useful for constructing mixed orthogonal arrays of strength two. Specifically, we give the following theorem for constructing an orthogonal array from a (mixed) spread. The theorem is the special case of strength two of Theorem 2.1 [14] in finite projective geometry's language. Theorem 1. Let $\mathcal{F} = \{F_1, \dots, F_k\}$ be a (mixed) spread of PG(r-1,s), where F_i is a (t_i-1) -flat for

 $i = 1, \dots, k$. Then we can construct an orthogonal array $L_{s'}((s^{t_1}) \cdots (s^{t_k}))$.

We now describe the procedure to construct the orthogonal array in Theorem 1. For $i = 1, \dots, k$, let G_i be an $r \times t_i$ matrix such that the t_i columns are any choice of t_i independent points of the $(t_i - 1)$ -flat F_i . Let G be the $r \times \sum t_i$ matrix $[G_1, \dots, G_k]$. The $L_{s^r}((s^{t_1}) \cdots (s^{t_k}))$ consists of s^r rows which are the elements of the row space of G, where the t_i s-level columns of G_i is replaced by an s^{t_i} -level column for each $i = 1, \dots, k$. We call orthogonal arrays geometric if they can be obtained by Theorem 1. Geometric orthogonal arrays have been constructed in [1, 9,13,16]. Examples of geometric orthogonal arrays are:

1)
$$L_{s^{r}}\left(s^{(s^{r}-1)/(s-1)}\right);$$

2) $L_{s^{r}}\left(\left(s^{t}\right)^{(s^{r}-1)/(s^{t}-1)}\right)$ if *t* divides *r*;
3) $L_{s^{r}}\left(\left(s^{r^{-t}}\right)^{1}\left(s^{t}\right)^{s^{r-t}}\right)$ if $r \ge 2t$; and
4) $L_{s^{r}}\left(\left(s^{t}\right)^{k}s^{l}\right)$, where,
 $k = s^{j}\left(s^{it}-1\right)/(s^{t}-1) - s^{j} + 1,$
 $l = s^{t}\left(s^{j}-1\right)/(s-1), r = it + j, 0 \le j < t.$

3. Main Results

It is proved in Lemma 12 [13] that if V_1 , V_2 , V_3 are three disjoint (n-1)-flats of PG(2n-1,2) then their union can be regrouped into $2^n - 1$ disjoint 1-flats. Hence three 2^n -level columns in an $L_{2^{2n}}\left(\left(2^n\right)^{2^n+1}\right)$ can be replaced by $(2^n - 1)$ 4-level columns. By applying this result to a spread of 2-flats of PG(5, 2), $L_{64}(8^{6}4^7)$ and $L_{64}(8^{3}4^{14})$ were constructed. Generalizing the idea, we would like to find a sufficient condition that a set of $\left[\left(s^t - 1\right)/(s-1)\right]$ (n-1)-flats in PG(mn-1,s) can be regrouped into a set of $\left[\left(s^n - 1\right)/(s-1)\right]$ (t-1)-flats.

Since there exists a spread of (n-1)-flats of PG(mn-1,s), we can, by Theorem 1, construct an

 $L_{s^{mn}}\left(\left(s^{n}\right)^{\left(s^{mn}-1\right)/\left(s^{n}-1\right)}\right)$. If there exist $\left[\left(s^{t}-1\right)/\left(s-1\right)\right]$

(n-1)-flats in the spread such that their union can be regrouped into $\left[\left(s^{n}-1\right)/(s-1)\right]$ (t-1)-flats, then we can replace the corresponding $\left[\left(s^{t}-1\right)/(s-1)\right]$ s^{n} -level

190

columns in the $L_{s^{mn}}\left(\left(s^n\right)^{\left(s^{mn}-1\right)/\left(s^n-1\right)}\right)$ by

$$\left[\left(s^{n} - 1 \right) / \left(s - 1 \right) \right] s^{t} \text{-level columns and obtain an}$$
$$L_{s^{mn}} \left(\left(s^{n} \right)^{\frac{s^{mn} - 1}{s^{n} - 1}} \left(s^{t} \right)^{\frac{s^{n} - 1}{s - 1}} \right). \text{ By repeating this process,}$$

many orthogonal arrays can be obtained.

First we would like to establish a one-to-one correspondence between the $(s^{mn}-1)/(s^n-1)$ disjoint (n-1)-flats in PG(mn-1,s) and the

 $(s^{mn}-1)/(s^n-1)$ points in $PG(mn-1,s^n)$. Let ω be a primitive element of $GF(s^n)$ and let the minimum polynomial of $GF(s^n)$ be $\omega^n + \alpha_{n-1}\omega^{n-1} + \dots + \alpha_1\omega + \alpha_0$, where $\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}$ are elements of GF(s). The companion matrix of the minimum polynomial is an $n \times n$ matrix

	0	1	0	•••	0]
	0	0	1		0
W =	÷	:	:	·.	: .
	0	0	0		1
	$-\alpha_0$	$-\alpha_1$	$-\alpha_2$	•••	$-\alpha_{n-1}$

If ω is a primitive element of $GF(s^n)$, then 0,1, $\omega, \dots, \omega^{s^{n-2}}$ are the s^n elements of $GF(s^n)$. The

elements of $GF(s^n)$ can be represented by $n \times n$ matrices with entries from GF(s). The element ω^i is represented by W^i , and the elements 0 and 1 are represented by the zero matrix and the identity matrix respectively. Denote the matrix representation of an element x in

 $GF(s^n)$ by W(x). Let each point (x_1, \dots, x_m) in

 $PG(m-1, s^n)$ correspond to the (n-1)-flat in

PG(mn-1,s) which consists of points that are linear combinations of row vectors of the $n \times mn$ matrix

 $[W(x_1), \dots, W(x_m)]$ over GF(s). It can be shown that the $[(s^{mn}-1)/(s^n-1)]$ (n-1)-flats corresponding to the $[(s^{mn}-1)/(s^n-1)]$ points of $PG(m-1,s^n)$ partition PG(mn-1,s). This establishes a one-to-one correspondence between the $(s^{mn}-1)/(s^n-1)$ disjoint

(n-1)-flats in PG(mn-1,s) and the $(s^{mn}-1)/(s^n-1)$ points in $PG(m-1,s^n)$.

Definition 1. A set of $(s^t - 1)/(s - 1)$ points in $PG(m-1,s^n)$ is said to be a (t-1)-flat over GF(s) if it is possible to find coordinates for this set of $(s^t - 1)/(s-1)$ points such that it is isomorphic to PG(t-1,s) over GF(s).

Note that whether a set of $(s^t - 1)/(s - 1)$ points in $PG(m-1,s^n)$ is isomorphic to PG(t-1,s) over GF(s) depends not only on the choice of the points but also on the choice of the coordinates for these points. For example, the set $S_1 = \{(1,\omega), (\omega, \omega^3), (\omega^3, 1)\}$ in Example 1 (given after Theorem 2) is an 1-flat over GF(2) in PG(1,8) since it is isomorphic to PG(1,2) over GF(2). But if we choose different coordinates for $S_1 = \{(1,\omega), (1,\omega^2), (1,\omega^4)\}$, then it is not isomorphic to PG(1,2) over GF(2). Hence it is important to specify the correct coordinates when a (t-1)-flat over GF(s) in

 $PG(m-1,s^n)$ is mentioned. Also we note that it is possible to have t > m for a (t-1)-flat over GF(s) in $PG(m-1,s^n)$. For example, S_1 and S_2 in Example 2 (given after Theorem 2) are 2-flats over GF(2) in

PG(1, 16).

We now give a sufficient condition that a set of $(s^t - 1)/(s-1)$ disjoint (n-1)-flats in PG(mn-1,s) can be regrouped into a set of $(s^n - 1)/(s-1)$ disjoint (t-1)-flats.

Theorem 2. A set of (s'-1)/(s-1) disjoint (n-1)flats in PG(mn-1,s) can be regrouped into a set of $(s^n-1)/(s-1)$ disjoints (t-1)-flats, if the set of $(s^t-1)/(s-1)$ corresponding points in $PG(m-1,s^n)$ is a (t-1)-flat over GF(s).

Proof. Let the coordinates of the $(s^t - 1)/(s-1)$ corresponding points of the (t-1)-flat over GF(s) in $PG(m-1,s^n)$ be (x_{1j},\dots,x_{mj}) for

 $j = 1, \cdots, (s^t - 1)/(s - 1)$. Also let *L* be an

 $\left[\left(s^{n}-1\right)/(s-1)\right] \times n$ matrix such that the rows are the points of PG(n-1,s). Then the (n-1)-flat in

PG(mn-1,s) corresponding to the point (x_{1j}, \dots, x_{mj}) consists of points which are the rows of the

$$\lfloor (s^n - 1) / (s - 1) \rfloor \times mn$$
 matrix

 $M_{j} = L\left[W\left(x_{1j}\right), \dots, W\left(x_{nj}\right)\right], \text{ where } W(x) \text{ is the } n \times n$ matrix representation of *x*. We can verify that for each $i = 1, \dots, (s^{n} - 1)/(s - 1)$, the set of $(s^{t} - 1)/(s - 1)$ points which consists of the *i*th rows of $M_{1}, \dots, M_{(s^{t} - 1)/(s - 1)}$ is a (t - 1)-flat in PG(mn - 1, s). \Box

Note that in general there are more ways of regrouping a set of $(s^t - 1)/(s - 1)$ disjoint (n-1)-flats in

PG(mn-1,s) into disjoint flats if the $(s^t-1)/(s-1)$ corresponding points in $PG(m-1,s^n)$ is a (t-1)-flat over GF(s). Let P_{ij} be the point in PG(mn-1,s) with the *i*th row of M_j as its coordinates. The

 $\left[\left(s^{n} - 1 \right) / (s - 1) \right] \times \left[\left(s^{t} - 1 \right) / (s - 1) \right] \text{ array of points}$ $\mathbf{P} = \left[P_{ij} \right] \text{ has the following properties:}$

1) Each row (column) of **P** is a (t-1)-flat ((n-1)-flat).

2) If $(s^u - 1)/(s - 1)$ points in a given row (column) form a (u - 1)-flat, then the $(s^u - 1)/(s - 1)$ points at the same positions in any other row (column) also form a (u - 1)-flat.

For example, if there exists a 2-flat over GF(2) in PG(1, 16), then each of the 7 points in the 2-flat over GF(2) corresponds to 15 points in PG(7, 2). The 105 points in PG(7, 2) corresponding to the 2-flat over GF(2)in PG(1, 16) can be arranged into a 15×7 array such that each row is a 2-flat and each column is a 3-flat. Since a 3-flat can be partitioned into five 1-flats, the 15×7 array of points can be partitioned into five 3×7 subarrays such that each column is a 1-flat and each row is a 2-flat. Also, consider a 15×3 subarray of the 15×7 array such that each row is a 1-flat. We can select a 7×3 subarray such that each column is a 2-flat. Each of the remaining eight rows is a 1-flat. Hence the 15×3 subarray can be partitioned into three 2-flat and eight 1-flats. Therefore, these 105 points can be grouped into: 1) (7-i) 3-flats and 5*i* 1-flats for $i = 0, \dots, 7$; 2) (15-3i) 2-flats and 7*i* 1-flats for $i = 0, \dots, 5$; or 3) four 3-flats, three 2-flats, and eight 1-flats.

Example 1. Let $0, 1, \omega, \dots, \omega^6$ be the 8 elements of GF(8) with $\omega^3 = \omega + 1$. Consider PG(1, 8) with nine points $(0, 1), (1, 0), (1, 1), (1, \omega), (1, \omega^2), (1, \omega^3), (1, \omega^4), (1, \omega^5)$ and $(1, \omega^6)$. Each point of PG(1, 8) corresponds to a 2-flat in PG(5, 2), and the nine 2-flats partition PG(5, 2). We can construct an $L_{64}(8^9)$ by Theorem 1. Let

$$S_{1} = \left\{ (1, \omega), (\omega, \omega^{3}), (\omega^{3}, 1) \right\},$$

$$S_{2} = \left\{ (1, \omega^{3}), (\omega^{3}, \omega), (\omega, 1) \right\}, \text{ and }$$

$$S_{3} = \left\{ (0, 1), (1, 0), (1, 1) \right\}.$$

We can verify that S_1 , S_2 , and S_3 are disjoint 1-flats over GF(2) in PG(1, 8). The 3 × 3 matrix representation W of ω and the 7 × 3 matrix L given in the proof of Theorem 2 are

$$\boldsymbol{W} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}; \quad \boldsymbol{L} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}^{\mathrm{T}}.$$

The three points of S_1 correspond to the three 2-flats in PG(5, 2) which are rows of the following three matrices M_1, M_2 , and M_3 respectively.

$$\boldsymbol{M}_{1} = \boldsymbol{L} \Big[W(1), W(\omega) \Big] = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$
$$\boldsymbol{M}_{2} = \boldsymbol{L} \Big[W(\omega), W(\omega^{3}) \Big] = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$
$$\boldsymbol{M}_{3} = \boldsymbol{L} \Big[W(\omega^{3}), W(1) \Big] = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

We observe that for each $i = 1, \dots, 7$, the *i*th rows of M_1, M_2 , and M_3 are three points of a 1-flat in PG(5, 2). Hence we can replace the three 8-level columns corresponding to S_1 in $L_{64}(8^9)$ by seven 4-level columns to obtain an $L(8^{6}4^7)$. Continuing this procedure, we can replace the three 8-level columns corresponding to S_2 in $L_{64}(8^{6}4^7)$ by seven 4-level columns to obtain an $L_{64}(8^{3}4^{14})$.

Note that $L_{64}(8^{6}4^{7})$ and $L_{64}(8^{3}4^{14})$ were also construct in [13] using a different method. However, Theorem 2 is more versatile as shown in following example.

Example 2. Let $0, 1, \omega, \dots, \omega^{14}$ be the 16 elements of GF(16) with $\omega^4 = \omega + 1$. Consider PG(1, 16) with 17 points (0, 1), (1, 0), (1, 1), (1, ω), \dots , (1, ω^{14}). Each point of PG(1, 16) corresponds to a 3-flat in PG(7, 2), and the seventeen 3-flats partition PG(7, 2). We can construct an $L_{256}(16^{17})$ by Theorem 1. Let

$$S_{1} = \left\{ \left(1, \omega^{7}\right), \left(\omega, \omega^{9}\right), \left(\omega^{2}, \omega^{12}\right), \left(\omega^{4}, 1\right), \left(\omega^{5}, \omega^{8}\right), \left(\omega^{8}, \omega^{2}\right), \left(\omega^{10}, \omega^{11}\right) \right\}, \\ S_{2} = \left\{ \left(1, \omega^{12}\right), \left(\omega, \omega^{3}\right), \left(\omega^{2}, \omega\right), \left(\omega^{4}, \omega^{10}\right), \left(\omega^{5}, \omega^{9}\right), \left(\omega^{8}, \omega^{13}\right), \left(\omega^{10}, \omega^{8}\right) \right\}, \right\}$$

$$T_{1} = \{(0,1), (1,0), (1,1)\}, T_{2} = \{(1,\omega), (\omega, \omega^{4}), (\omega^{4}, 1)\}, T_{3} = \{(1,\omega^{2}), (\omega^{2}, \omega^{8}), (\omega^{8}, 1)\}, T_{4} = \{(1,\omega^{4}), (\omega^{4}, \omega), (\omega, 1)\}, \text{ and } T_{5} = \{(1,\omega^{8}), (\omega^{8}, \omega^{2}), (\omega^{2}, 1)\}.$$

We can verify that S_1 and S_2 are disjoint 2-flats and T_1, \dots, T_5 are disjoint 1-flats over GF(2) in PG(1, 16). Moreover, S_1 , S_2 , and T_1 partition PG(1, 16). By the discussion following Theorem 2, we can replace the subarray $L_{256}(16^7)$ corresponding to S_1 or S_2 in $L_{256}(16^{17})$ by $L_{256}(16^{4}8^34^8)$ or $L_{256}(18^{15-3i}4^{7i}), 0 \le i \le 5$. Similarly, we can replace the subarray $L_{256}(16^{17})$ by $L_{256}(16^{17})$ by $L_{256}(16^{17})$ by $L_{256}(16^{10}8^{15}), L_{256}(16^{3}8^{30}), L_{256}(16^{10}8^{12}4^7), L_{256}(16^{10}8^{14}), L_{256}(16^{14}8^{34}), L_{256}(16^{7}8^{18}4^8),$

 $L_{256}(16^{11}8^{6}4^{16}), \dots,$ can be obtained by this procedure. \Box

4. Construction of More Orthogonal Arrays

In this section, methods for finding disjoint flats over GF(s) in $PG(m-1,s^n)$ are developed to construct more orthogonal arrays. Let α be a primitive element of $GF(s^m)$, and let the $m \times m$ matrix representation of α in GF(s) be W. Since $\alpha^{(s^m-1)/(s-1)}$ is an element of GF(s)and $W^{(s^m-1)/(s-1)}$ is the matrix representation of $\alpha^{(s^m-1)/(s-1)}$, we have $W^{(s^m-1)/(s-1)} = \alpha^{(s^m-1)/(s-1)} I_m$, where I_m is the $m \times m$ identity matrix. Then for any fixed point $x = (x_1, \dots, x_m)$ in $PG(m-1, s^n)$, the set $S_x = \{xW^i : i \ge 0\}$ contains at most $(s^m - 1)/(s-1)$ points in $PG(m-1, s^n)$ since $xW^{(s^m-1)/(s-1)}$

 $(=x\alpha^{(s^m-1)/(s-1)}I_m = \alpha^{(s^m-1)/(s-1)}x)$ and *x* represent the same point. Moreover, if β and γ are any elements of GF(s) and xW^i and xW^j are elements of S_x , then

 $\beta x W^i + \gamma x W^j = x \left(\beta W^i + \gamma W^j \right) = x W^l$ for some *l*, since $\beta W^i + \gamma W^j$ is the matrix representation of the element $\beta \alpha^i$ $+ \gamma \alpha^i$ of $GF(s^m)$. S_x has the structure of a flat over GF(s)in $PG(m-1,s^n)$ since linear combinations of points in S_x are also points in S_x . In fact, S_x is a (t-1)-flat over GF(s) in $PG(m-1,s^n)$ if and only if the number of points in S_x is $(s^t-1)/(s-1)$ for some integer *t*. Now if *x* and *y* are two points in $PG(m-1,s^n)$ and

 $S_x \cap S_y \neq \phi$, then there exist *i* and *j* such that $xW^i = yW^j$. We have $y = xW^{i-j} \in S_x$, hence $S_x = S_y$.

Theorem 3. Let x be a point in $PG(m-1,s^n)$, and let $S_x = \{xW^i : i \ge 0\}$. Then S_x is a (t-1)-flat over GF(s) in $PG(m-1,s^n)$ if and only if the number of points in S_x is $(s^t-1)/(s-1)$ for some integer t. Moreover, for any two points x and y in $PG(m-1,s^n)$ either $S_x = S_y$ or $S_x \cap S_y = \phi$. Hence $PG(m-1,s^n)$ can be partitioned into disjoint sets of S_x 's.

Example 3. We illustrate how we obtain the three disjoint 1-flats over GF(2) in PG(1, 8) in Example 1. Let ω be a primitive element of GF(8) with $\omega^3 = \omega + 1$, and let α be a primitive element of GF(4) with $\alpha^2 = \alpha + 1$ and matrix representation

$$W = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Then

$$S_{(0,1)} = \{(0,1), (0,1)W, (0,1)W^2\} = \{(0,1), (1,1), (1,0)\},$$

$$S_{(1,\omega)} = \{(1,\omega), (1,\omega)W, (1,\omega)W^2\} = \{(1,\omega), (\omega,\omega^3), (\omega^3,1)\}, \text{ and}$$

$$S_{(1,\omega^3)} = \{(1,\omega^3), (1,\omega^3)W, (1,\omega^3)W^2\} = \{(1,\omega^3), (\omega^3,\omega), (\omega,1)\}$$

are three disjoint 1-flats over GF(2) in PG(1, 8). \Box

Example 4. Let ω be a primitive element of *GF*(16) with $\omega^4 = \omega + 1$, and let α be a primitive element of *GF*(4) with $\alpha^2 = \alpha + 1$ and matrix representation *W* given in Example 3. The 17 points of *PG*(1, 16) can be partitioned into the following flats over *GF*(2):

$$\begin{split} S_{(0,1)} &= \{(0,1), (1,1), (1,0)\},\\ S_{(1,\omega)} &= \{(1,\omega), (\omega, \omega^4), (\omega^4, 1)\},\\ S_{(1,\omega^2)} &= \{(1,\omega^2), (\omega^2, \omega^8), (\omega^8, 1)\}, \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} S_{(1,\omega^4)} &= \left\{ \left(1,\omega^4\right), \left(\omega^4,\omega\right), \left(\omega,1\right) \right\}, \\ S_{(1,\omega^8)} &= \left\{ \left(1,\omega^8\right), \left(\omega^8,\omega^2\right), \left(\omega^2,1\right) \right\}, \\ S_{(1,\omega^5)} &= \left\{ \left(1,\omega^5\right) \right\}, \text{ and } S_{(1,\omega^{10})} &= \left\{ \left(1,\omega^{10}\right) \right\} \end{split}$$

The five disjoint 1-flats over GF(2) are T_1, \dots, T_5 in Example 2. \Box

Theorem 4. If s is a prime power and m and n are relatively prime, then we can construct mixed orthogonal arrays

Copyright © 2012 SciRes.

$$L_{s^{mn}}\left(\left(s^{n}\right)^{\left(s^{mn}-1\right)/\left(s^{n}-1\right)-i\left(s^{m}-1\right)/\left(s-1\right)}\left(s^{m}\right)^{i\left(s^{n}-1\right)/\left(s-1\right)}\right)$$

for $i = 0, \dots, \left[\left(s^{mn} - 1 \right) \left(s - 1 \right) \right] / \left[\left(s^m - 1 \right) \left(s^n - 1 \right) \right]$. **Proof.** We can construct an $L_{s^{mn}} \left(\left(s^n \right)^{\left(s^{mn} - 1 \right) / \left(s^n - 1 \right)} \right)$

from $PG(m-1,s^n)$. From the proof of Theorem 4.3.6 [15], if *m* and *n* are relatively prime then S_x is an (m-1) -flat over GF(s) in $PG(m-1,s^n)$ for every point *x* in $PG(m-1,s^n)$. Hence $PG(m-1,s^n)$ can be partitioned into $[(s^{mn}-1)(s-1)]/[(s^m-1)(s^n-1)]$ (m-1) -flats over GF(s). Each S_x represents $[(s^m-1)/(s-1)]$ s^n -level columns in $L_{s^{mn}}((s^n)^{(s^{mn}-1)/(s^n-1)})$, and by Theorem 2 it can be re-

placed by $(s^n - 1)/(s - 1)$ s^m-level columns. \Box

The following result which follows from Theorem 4 is a generalization of Theorem 4.

Corollary 1. If s is a prime power and d is the greatest common divisor of integers m and n, then we can construct mixed orthogonal arrays

$$\begin{split} L_{s^{mn/d}} \left(\left(s^{n}\right)^{\left(s^{nn}-1\right)/\left(s^{n}-1\right)-i\left(s^{m}-1\right)/\left(s^{d}-1\right)} \left(s^{m}\right)^{i\left(s^{n}-1\right)/\left(s^{d}-1\right)} \right) \\ \text{for} \quad i = 0, \cdots, \left[\left(s^{mn/d}-1\right) \left(s^{d}-1\right) \right] / \left[\left(s^{m}-1\right) \left(s^{n}-1\right) \right]. \end{split}$$

Proof. If *d* is the greatest common divisor of *m* and *n*, then m/d and n/d are relatively prime. By substituting *m*, *n*, and *s* with m/d, n/d, and s^d respectively in Theorem 4, we obtain the mixed orthogonal arrays. \Box

By using Theorem 4 and Corollary 1, we obtain the following *new* series of tight orthogonal arrays for any prime power *s*.

$$\begin{array}{ll} 1) \quad L_{s^{6}} \Biggl\{ \left(s^{3} \right)^{\frac{s^{6}-1}{s^{3}-1} - \frac{i\left(s^{2}-1\right)}{s-1}} \left(s^{2} \right)^{\frac{i\left(s^{3}-1\right)}{s-1}} \Biggr\}, \\ 0 \leq i \leq s^{2} - s + 1; \\ 2) \quad L_{s^{10}} \Biggl\{ \left(s^{5} \right)^{\frac{s^{10}-1}{s^{5}-1} - \frac{i\left(s^{2}-1\right)}{s-1}} \left(s^{2} \right)^{\frac{i\left(s^{5}-1\right)}{s-1}} \Biggr\}, \\ 0 \leq i \leq \left(s^{5}+1\right) / \left(s+1\right); \\ 3) \quad L_{s^{12}} \Biggl\{ \left(s^{4} \right)^{\frac{s^{12}-1}{s^{4}-1} - \frac{i\left(s^{3}-1\right)}{s-1}} \left(s^{3} \right)^{\frac{i\left(s^{4}-1\right)}{s-1}} \Biggr\}, \\ 0 \leq i \leq \left(s^{4} - s^{2} + 1\right) \left(s^{2} - s + 1\right); \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{array}{ll} 4) \quad L_{s^{12}} \Biggl(\left(s^{6}\right)^{\frac{s^{12}-1}{s^{6}-1}} \frac{i\left(s^{4}-1\right)}{s^{2}-1} \left(s^{4}\right)^{\frac{i\left(s^{6}-1\right)}{s^{2}-1}} \Biggr), \\ & 0 \leq i \leq s^{4}-s^{2}+1; \\ 5) \quad L_{s^{14}} \Biggl(\left(s^{7}\right)^{\frac{s^{14}-1}{s^{7}-1}} \frac{i\left(s^{2}-1\right)}{s^{-1}} \left(s^{2}\right)^{\frac{i\left(s^{7}-1\right)}{s-1}} \Biggr), \\ & 0 \leq i \leq \left(s^{7}+1\right) / \left(s+1\right); \text{ and} \\ 6) \quad L_{s^{15}} \Biggl(\left(s^{5}\right)^{\frac{s^{15}-1}{s^{5}-1}} \frac{i\left(s^{3}-1\right)}{s^{-1}} \left(s^{3}\right)^{\frac{i\left(s^{5}-1\right)}{s-1}} \Biggr), \\ & 0 \leq i \leq \left(s^{10}+s^{5}+1\right) / \left(s^{2}+s+1\right). \end{array}$$

The following theorem gives a set of s - 1 disjoint (n-1)-flats over GF(s) in $PG(1, s^n)$.

Theorem 5. For $i = 0, \dots, s-2$, let $T_i = \{(\gamma, \omega^i \gamma^s) : \gamma \in GF(s^n) \setminus \{0\}\}$, where ω is a primitive element of $GF(s^n)$. Then T_0, \dots, T_{s-2} , are s-1 disjoint (n-1)-flats over GF(s) in $PG(1, s^n)$.

Proof. T_i is a set of $(s^n - 1)/(s - 1)$ points in $PG(1, s^n)$, since $(\alpha \gamma, \omega^i (\alpha \gamma)^s) (= \alpha (\gamma, \omega^i \gamma^s))$ represents the same point for each nonzero element α of GF(s). To show that T_i is an (n-1)-flat over GF(s), we prove that any linear combination of elements in T_i is again in T_i . If $(\gamma_1, \omega^i \gamma_1^s), (\gamma_2, \omega^i \gamma_2^s) \in T_i$ and $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in GF(s^n)$, then

$$\alpha_{1}\left(\gamma_{1},\omega^{i}\gamma_{1}^{s}\right)+\alpha_{2}\left(\gamma_{2},\omega^{i}\gamma_{2}^{s}\right)$$
$$=\left(\alpha_{1}\gamma_{1}+\alpha_{2}\gamma_{2},\omega^{i}\left(\alpha_{1}\gamma_{1}+\alpha_{2}\gamma_{2}\right)^{s}\right)\in T_{i}$$

For $0 \le i \le j \le s - 2$, if $(\gamma_1, \omega^i \gamma_1^s) \in T_i$ and

 $(\gamma_2, \omega^j \gamma_2^s) \in T_j$ represent the same points in $PG(1, s^n)$, then $\omega^i \gamma_1^{s-1} = \omega^j \gamma_2^{s-1}$. Hence $\omega^{j-i} = (\gamma_1/\gamma_2)^{s-1}$. But $(\gamma_1/\gamma_2)^{s-1} = \omega^{k(s-1)}$ for some $0 \le k \le (s^n - 1)/(s - 1) - 1$, which contradicts $0 \le i < j \le s - 2$. Hence T_i and T_j are disjoint for all $0 \le i < j \le s - 2$. \Box

Corollary 2.
$$L_{s^{2n}}\left(\left(s^n\right)^{s^{n+1-i}\left(s^{n-1}-1\right)/(s-1)}\left(s^{n-1}\right)^{i\left(s^n-1\right)/(s-1)}\right)$$

can be constructed for any integer n, prime power s, and $i = 1, \dots, s-1$.

Proof. We can construct an $L_{s^{2n}}\left(\left(s^n\right)^{s^{n+1}}\right)$ from PG(1,

sⁿ). For each $i = 0, \dots, s - 2$, let $T'_i \subset T_i$ be an (n-2)-flat over GF(s) in $PG(1, s^n)$. $\{T'_i : i = 0, \dots, s - 2\}$ is a set of s - 1 disjoint (n-2)-flats over GF(s) in $PG(1, s^n)$. Then for each T'_i we replace the corresponding

 $\left[\left(s^{n-1}-1\right)/(s-1)\right] s^{n}$ -level columns in $L_{s^{2n}}\left(\left(s^{n}\right)^{s^{n}+1}\right)$ by $\left[\left(s^{n}-1\right)/(s-1)\right] s^{n-1}$ -level columns to obtain the orthogonal array. \Box

Example 5. Let ω be the primitive element of GF(16) with $\omega^4 = \omega + 1$.

$$T_{0} = \{(\gamma, \gamma^{2}) : \gamma \in GF(16) \setminus \{0\}\} = \{(1,1), (\omega, \omega^{2}), (\omega^{2}, \omega^{4}), (\omega^{3}, \omega^{6}), (\omega^{4}, \omega^{8}), (\omega^{5}, \omega^{10}), (\omega^{6}, \omega^{12}), (\omega^{7}, \omega^{14}), (\omega^{8}, \omega), (\omega^{9}, \omega^{3}), (\omega^{10}, \omega^{5}), (\omega^{11}, \omega^{7}), (\omega^{12}, \omega^{9}), (\omega^{13}, \omega^{11}), (\omega^{14}, \omega^{13})\}$$

is a 3-flat over GF(2) in PG(1, 16) and

$$T_0' = \left\{ (1,1), (\omega, \omega^2), (\omega^2, \omega^4), (\omega^4, \omega^8), (\omega^5, \omega^{10}), (\omega^8, \omega), (\omega^{10}, \omega^5) \right\} \subset T_0$$

is a 2-flat over GF(2) in PG(1, 16). \Box

Note that we are able to find two disjoint 2-flats over GF(2) in PG(1, 16) in Example 2 by trial and error. However, we do not have a method to find more than *s*-1 disjoint (*n*-2)-flats over GF(s) in $PG(1, s^n)$. With n = 4, 5, 6 and 7 in Corollary 2, we obtain the following new series of tight orthogonal arrays for any prime power *s* and $i = 1, \dots, s-1$.

1)
$$L_{s^8}\left(\left(s^4\right)^{s^4+1-i\left(s^3-1\right)/(s-1)}\left(s^3\right)^{i\left(s^4-1\right)}\right);$$

2) $L_{s^{10}}\left(\left(s^{5}\right)^{s^{5}+1-i\left(s^{4}-1\right)/(s-1)}\left(s^{4}\right)^{i\left(s^{5}-1\right)}\right);$ 3) $L_{s^{12}}\left(\left(s^{6}\right)^{s^{6}+1-i\left(s^{5}-1\right)/(s-1)}\left(s^{5}\right)^{i\left(s^{6}-1\right)}\right);$ and 4) $L_{s^{14}}\left(\left(s^{7}\right)^{s^{7}+1-i\left(s^{6}-1\right)/(s-1)}\left(s^{6}\right)^{i\left(s^{7}-1\right)}\right).$

The following theorem gives an *n*-flat over GF(s) in $PG(2, s^n)$. The proof is omitted since it is similar to that of Theorem 5.

Theorem 6. For any integer $n \ge 2$ and $\beta \in GF(s^n) \setminus \{0\}$,

$$T_{\beta} = \left\{ \left(\gamma, \gamma^{s}, \alpha\beta \right) \colon \gamma \in GF\left(s^{n}\right), \alpha \in GF\left(s\right), (\alpha, \gamma) \neq (0, 0) \right\}$$

is an *n*-flat over GF(s) in $PG(2, s^n)$.

However, for $\beta_1 \neq \beta_2$ the *n*-flats over GF(s) T_{β_1} and T_{β_2} are not disjoint in $PG(2, s^n)$. But if s = 2, we can

find more disjoint *n*-flats over GF(2) in $PG(2, 2^n)$.

Theorem 7. Let ω be a primitive element of $GF(2^n)$, and let

$$S = \left\{ (\gamma, \alpha \omega, \gamma^2) : \gamma \in GF(2^n), \alpha \in GF(2), (\alpha, \gamma) \neq (0, 0) \right\},$$

$$T = \left\{ (\gamma, \gamma^2, \alpha) : \gamma \in GF(2^n), \alpha \in GF(2), (\alpha, \gamma) \neq (0, 0) \right\},$$

$$U = \left\{ (\gamma^2, \alpha \omega, \gamma) : \gamma \in GF(2^n), \alpha \in GF(2), (\alpha, \gamma) \neq (0, 0) \right\}, and$$

$$V = \left\{ (\alpha \omega^2, \gamma^2, \gamma) : \gamma \in GF(2^n), \alpha \in GF(2), (\alpha, \gamma) \neq (0, 0) \right\}.$$

Then we have

1) *S* and *T* are disjoint *n*-flats over GF(2) in $PG(2, 2^n)$ for $n \ge 2$.

2) *T*, *U* and *V* are three disjoint *n*-flats over GF(2) in $PG(2, 2^n)$ if *n* is even.

Proof. By Theorem 6, *S*, *T*, *U* and *V* are *n*-flats over *GF*(2) in *PG*(2, 2^{*n*}). We now prove that *S* and *T* are disjoint. Assume that $(\gamma_1, \alpha_1 \omega, \gamma_1^2) \in S$ and

 $(\gamma_2, \gamma_2^{2}, \alpha_2) \in T$ represent the same point in $PG(2, 2^n)$, where $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in GF(2)$ and $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in GF(2^n)$. Clearly, $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \neq 0$, hence $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = 1$ and $(\gamma_1, \omega, \gamma_1^{2})$ and

(1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 2, 2, -0, 1) indice (1, 0, 2, 2, 2, 2)

 $(\gamma_2, \gamma_2^2, 1)$ represent the same point. We have

 $\omega/\gamma_1 = \gamma_2$ and $\gamma_1 = 1/\gamma_2$, which imply $\omega = 1$, a contradiction. Hence *S* and *T* are disjoint. Now we show that *T* and *U* are disjoint if *n* is even. If *n* is even then 3 divides

 $2^{n} - 1$. For any $\gamma \in GF(2^{n}) \setminus \{0\}$, $\gamma^{3} = \omega^{3k}$ for some $0 \le k \le (2^{n} - 1)/3 - 1$. Assume that $(\gamma_{2}, \gamma_{2}^{2}, \alpha_{2}) \in T$ and $(\gamma_{3}^{2}, \alpha_{3}\omega, \gamma_{3}) \in U$ represent the same point in $PG(2, 2^{n})$, where $\alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3} \in GF(2)$ and $\gamma_{2}, \gamma_{3} \in GF(2^{n})$. Clearly, $\alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3}, \gamma_{2}, \gamma_{3} \neq 0$, hence $\alpha_{2} = \alpha_{3} = 1$ and $(\gamma_{2}, \gamma_{2}^{2}, 1)$ and

 $(\gamma_3^2, \omega, \gamma_3)$ represent the same point. We have $\gamma_2 = \gamma_3$ and $\gamma_2^2 = \omega/\gamma_3$, which imply $\omega = \gamma_2^3 = \omega^{3k}$ for some $0 \le k \le (2^n - 1)/3 - 1$, a contradiction. Hence *T* and *U* are disjoint. We can similarly prove that *T* and *V* are disjoint and that *U* and *V* are disjoint if *n* is even. \Box

An $L_{s^{3n}}\left(\left(s^n\right)^{s^{2n}+s^n+1}\right)$ can be constructed from PG(2,

 s^n). By applying Theorems 2, 6, and 7, we obtain the fol-

lowing orthogonal arrays.

Corollary 3. For any prime power s, we can construct

1)
$$L_{s^{3n}}\left(\left(s^{n+1}\right)^{\left(s^{n}-1\right)/\left(s-1\right)}\left(s^{n}\right)^{s^{2n}-\left(s^{n}-s\right)/\left(s-1\right)}\right), n \ge 2;$$

2) $L_{2^{3n}}\left(\left(2^{n+1}\right)^{2^{n+1}-2}\left(2^{n}\right)^{2^{2n}-3\cdot2^{n}+3}\right), n \ge 2; and$ 3) $L_{2^{6n}}\left(\left(2^{2n+1}\right)^{3\cdot2^{2n}-3}\left(2^{2n}\right)^{2^{4n}-5\cdot2^{n}+4}\right), n \ge 1$

Example 6. Let ω be the primitive element of GF(8) with $\omega^3 = \omega + 1$. Let

$$S = \{(1,1,0), (\omega, \omega^{2}, 0), (\omega^{2}, \omega^{4}, 0), (\omega^{3}, \omega^{6}, 0), (\omega^{4}, \omega, 0), (\omega^{5}, \omega^{3}, 0), (\omega^{6}, \omega^{5}, 0), (0,0,1), \\ (1,1,1), (\omega, \omega^{2}, 1), (\omega^{2}, \omega^{4}, 1), (\omega^{3}, \omega^{6}, 1), (\omega^{4}, \omega, 1), (\omega^{5}, \omega^{3}, 1), (\omega^{6}, \omega^{5}, 1)\}$$
and
$$T = \{(1,0,1), (\omega, 0, \omega^{2}), (\omega^{2}, 0, \omega^{4}), (\omega^{3}, 0, \omega^{6}), (\omega^{4}, 0, \omega), (\omega^{5}, 0, \omega^{3}), (\omega^{6}, 0, \omega^{5}), (0, \omega, 0), \\ (1, \omega, 1), (\omega, \omega, \omega^{2}), (\omega^{2}, \omega, \omega^{4}), (\omega^{3}, \omega, \omega^{6}), (\omega^{4}, \omega, \omega), (\omega^{5}, \omega, \omega^{3}), (\omega^{6}, \omega, \omega^{5})\}$$

be two disjoint 3-flats over GF(2) in PG(2,8). An $L_{512}(8^{73})$ can be constructed from PG(2,8). We can replace the subarray $L_{512}(8^{15})$ corresponding to *S* or *T* by an $L_{512}(16^7)$ to obtain $L_{512}(16^78^{58})$ and $L_{512}(16^{14}8^{43})$. \Box

The following two examples are obtained by applying Theorems 3 and 5 and by trial and error.

Example 7. Let ω be the primitive element of *GF*(8) with $\omega^3 = \omega + 1$. Let

$$A_2 = \left\{ \left(1, \omega, \omega^2\right) W, \left(0, 1, \omega^2\right) W, \left(1, \omega^3, 0\right) W \right\} = \left\{ \left(\omega^2, \omega^6, \omega\right), \left(\omega^2, \omega^2, 1\right), \left(0, 1, \omega^3\right) \right\}$$

It can be verified that A_1, \dots, A_7 , B_1, \dots, B_7 , C_1, \dots, C_7 , and $\{(1,0,0), (0,1,0), (1,1,0)\}$ are 22 disjoint 1-flats over GF(2) in PG(2, 8). An $L_{512}(8^{73})$ can be constructed from PG(2, 8). We can replace the subarray $L_{512}(8^3)$ corresponding to each 1-flat over GF(2) in PG(2, 8) by an $L_{512}(4^7)$ to obtain $L_{512}(8^{73-3i}4^{7i})$ for $i = 1, \dots, 22$. \Box

Example 8. Let ω be the primitive element of GF(32) with $\omega^5 = \omega^2 + 1$. An $L_{1024}(32^{33})$ can be constructed from PG(1, 32).

$$\begin{split} &A_{1} = \left\{ (1,0), (0,1), (1,1) \right\}, \\ &A_{2} = \left\{ (1,\omega), (\omega, \omega^{18}), (\omega^{18}, 1) \right\}, \\ &A_{3} = \left\{ (1,\omega^{2}), (\omega^{2}, \omega^{5}), (\omega^{5}, 1) \right\}, \\ &A_{4} = \left\{ (1,\omega^{4}), (\omega^{4}, \omega^{10}), (\omega^{10}, 1) \right\}, \end{split}$$

 $C_1 = \{ (1, \omega^4, \omega), (0, 1, \omega), (1, \omega^5, 0) \},\$ and *W* be the 3 × 3 matrix defined in Example 1. For *i* = 2,...,7, let *A_i* (or *B_i*, *C_i*) be the set obtained by multiplying each element in *A_i* (or *B_i*, *C_i*) by *W*. For Example,

 $A_1 = \{(1, \omega, \omega^2), (0, 1, \omega^2), (1, \omega^3, 0)\},\$

 $B_{1} = \{(1, \omega^{2}, \omega^{4}), (0, 1, \omega^{4}), (1, \omega^{6}, 0)\}$

$$\begin{aligned} &A_{5} = \left\{ \left(1, \omega^{5}\right), \left(\omega^{5}, \omega^{2}\right), \left(\omega^{2}, 1\right) \right\}, \\ &A_{6} = \left\{ \left(1, \omega^{8}\right), \left(\omega^{8}, \omega^{20}\right), \left(\omega^{20}, 1\right) \right\}, \\ &A_{7} = \left\{ \left(1, \omega^{9}\right), \left(\omega^{9}, \omega^{16}\right), \left(\omega^{16}, 1\right) \right\}, \\ &A_{8} = \left\{ \left(1, \omega^{10}\right), \left(\omega^{10}, \omega^{4}\right), \left(\omega^{4}, 1\right) \right\}, \\ &A_{9} = \left\{ \left(1, \omega^{14}\right), \left(\omega^{14}, \omega^{13}\right), \left(\omega^{13}, 1\right) \right\}, \\ &A_{10} = \left\{ \left(1, \omega^{16}\right), \left(\omega^{16}, \omega^{9}\right), \left(\omega^{9}, 1\right) \right\}, \text{ and } \\ &A_{11} = \left\{ \left(1, \omega^{19}\right), \left(\omega^{19}, \omega^{11}\right), \left(\omega^{11}, 1\right) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

are eleven disjoint 1-flats over GF(2) in PG(1, 32). We can replace the subarray $L_{1024}(32^3)$ corresponding to each 1-flat over GF(2) in the $L_{1024}(32^{33})$ by an $L_{1024}(16^34^{16})$ to obtain $L_{1024}(32^{33-3i}16^{3i}4^{16i})$ for $i = 1, \dots, 11$.

2)

$$B_{1} = \left\{ (1, \omega^{21}), (\omega, \omega^{23}), (\omega^{18}, \omega^{26}), (\omega^{2}, \omega^{25}), (\omega^{5}, 1), (\omega^{19}, \omega^{28}), (\omega^{11}, \omega^{12}) \right\},$$

$$B_{2} = \left\{ (1, \omega^{25}), (\omega, \omega^{8}), (\omega^{18}, \omega^{7}), (\omega^{2}, \omega^{14}), (\omega^{5}, \omega^{2}), (\omega^{19}, \omega^{4}), (\omega^{11}, \omega^{29}) \right\},$$

$$B_{3} = \left\{ (1, \omega^{2}), (\omega, \omega^{5}), (\omega^{18}, 1), (\omega^{2}, \omega^{16}), (\omega^{5}, \omega^{15}), (\omega^{19}, \omega^{24}), (\omega^{11}, \omega^{9}) \right\}, \text{ and }$$

$$B_{4} = \left\{ (1, \omega^{6}), (\omega, \omega^{25}), (\omega^{18}, \omega^{17}), (\omega^{2}, \omega^{19}), (\omega^{5}, \omega^{20}), (\omega^{19}, \omega^{15}), (\omega^{11}, \omega^{22}) \right\}$$

are four disjoint 2-flats over GF(2) in PG(1,32). We can replace the subarray $L_{1024}(32^7)$ corresponding to each 2-

flat over *GF*(2) in the $L_{1024}(32^{33})$ by an $L_{1024}(16^78^{16})$ or an $L_{1024}(8^{31})$ to obtain $L_{1024}(32^{33-7i-7j}16^{7i}8^{16i+31j})$ for $1 \le i+j \le 4$.

3)

$$C_{1} = B_{1} \cup \left\{ \left(\omega^{3}, \omega^{27} \right), \left(\omega^{29}, \omega^{17} \right), \left(\omega^{6}, \omega^{2} \right), \left(\omega^{27}, \omega^{13} \right), \left(\omega^{20}, \omega^{30} \right), \left(\omega^{8}, \omega^{6} \right), \left(\omega^{12}, \omega^{14} \right), \left(\omega^{23}, \omega^{5} \right) \right\} \text{ and } C_{2} = B_{2} \cup \left\{ \left(\omega^{3}, \omega^{18} \right), \left(\omega^{29}, \omega^{9} \right), \left(\omega^{6}, \omega^{12} \right), \left(\omega^{27}, \omega^{26} \right), \left(\omega^{20}, \omega^{24} \right), \left(\omega^{8}, \omega^{11} \right), \left(\omega^{12}, \omega^{17} \right), \left(\omega^{23}, \omega^{6} \right) \right\}$$

are two disjoint 3-flats over GF(2) in PG(1,32), where B_1 and B_2 are 2-flats over GF(2) in 2). Moreover, C_1 , C_2 , and A_1 in 1) partition PG(1, 32). We can replace the subarray $L_{1024}(32^{15})$ corresponding to C_1 or C_2 in the $L_{1024}(32^{33})$ by an $L_{1024}(16^{24}8^{15})$ or an $L_{1024}(16^{31})$ to obtain $L_{1024}(32^{18}16^{24}8^{15})$, $L_{1024}(32^{3}16^{48}8^{30})$, $L_{1024}(32^{18}16^{31})$, $L_{1024}(32^{3}16^{62})$, and $L_{1024}(32^{3}16^{55}8^{15})$. \Box

5. Discussion

We use *t*-flats over GF(s) in $PG(m-1, s^n)$ to find different ways to regroup a set of (n-1)-flats in

 $PG(m-1,s^n)$ into disjoint flats. However, many prob-

lems remain unsolved. For example, we do not know how many disjoint (n-2)-flats over GF(s) exist in $PG(1, s^n)$. Since there are $s^n + 1$

 $(=(s^2-s)(s^{n-1}-1)/(s-1)+s+1)$ points in $PG(1, s^n)$, the upper bound for the number of disjoint (n-2)-flats over GF(s) equals s^2 -s if $n \ge 4$ and equals $s^2 - s + 1$ if n =3. An obvious conjecture is that $PG(1, s^n)$ can be partitioned into $(s^2 - s)$ (n-2)-flats and one 1-flat over GF(s). This conjecture is true for n = 3, since $PG(1, s^3)$ can be partitioned into $(s^2 - s + 1)$ 1-flats over GF(s) by Theorem 4. It is also true for s = 2 and n = 4, 5, which are shown in Example 2 for n = 4 and shown in Example 8(3) for n = 5. If the conjecture is true, we can construct an

$$L_{s^{2n}}\left(\left(s^{n}\right)^{s^{n}+1-i\left(s^{n-1}-1\right)/(s-1)}\left(s^{n-1}\right)^{i\left(s^{n}-1\right)/(s-1)}\right) \text{ for } n \geq 3 \text{ and}$$

 $i = 1, \dots, s^2 - s$, which would be a significant improvement of Corollary 2.

Also we do not know how many disjoint *n*-flats over GF(s) exist in $PG(2, s^n)$. The number of points in $PG(2, s^n)$ is

$$s^{2n} + s^n + 1 = (s^n - s^{n-1})(s^{n+1} - 1)/(s-1) + s^n + s^{n-1} + 1.$$

Hence an upper bound for the number of disjoint *n*-flats over GF(s) in $PG(2, s^n)$ is $s^n - s^{n-1}$ if $n \ge 3$ and is $s^2 - s + 1$ if n = 2. The upper bound is attained for n = 2, since $PG(2, s^n)$ can be partitioned into $(s^2 - s + 1)$ 2-flats over GF(s) by Theorem 4. In general, the difference between the upper bound and what can be obtained in Theorems 6 and 7 is considerably large for $n \ge 3$. There may be better ways to find disjoint *n*-flats over GF(s) in $PG(2, s^n)$ than the approach used in Theorems 6 and 7. So far, we do not know any example having $s^n - s^{n-1}$ disjoint *n*-flats over GF(s) in $PG(2, s^n)$ for $n \ge 3$.

Another problem which cannot be solved by the ap-

proach of this paper is the construction of orthogonal arrays having s^n rows, where *n* is a prime number. For example, it is known that $L_{128}(16^{1}8^{16})$ can be constructed by a mixed spread of *PG*(6, 2), which consists of a 3-flat and 16 2-flats. But it is not known that if it is possible to find, among those 16 2-flats, disjoint sets of three 2-flats such that each set can be regrouped into seven 1-flats. We could construct an $L_{128}(16^{1}8^{16-3i}4^{7i})$ if there exist *i* such disjoint sets of three 2-flats.

REFERENCES

- R. C. Bose and K. A. Bush, "Orthogonal Arrays of Strength Two and Three," *The Annals of Mathematical Statistics*, Vol. 23, No. 4, 1952, pp. 508-524. doi:10.1214/aoms/1177729331
- [2] R. L. Plackett and J. P. Burma, "The Design of Optimum Multifactorial Experiments," *Biometrika*, Vol. 33, No. 4, 1946, pp. 305-325.
- [3] C. R. Rao, "Factorial Experiments Derivable from Combinatorial Arrangements of Arrays," *Journal of Royal Statistical Society (Supplement)*, Vol. 9, No. 1, 1947, pp. 128-139. doi:10.2307/2983576
- [4] C. R. Rao, "Some Combinatorial Problems of Arrays and Applications to Design of Experiments," In: J. N. Srivastava, Ed., *A Survey of Combinatorial Theory*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1973, pp. 349-359.
- [5] J. C. Wang and C. F. J. Wu, "An Approach to the Construction of Asymmetrical Orthogonal Arrays," *Journal* of American Statistical Association, Vol. 86, No. 414, 1991, pp. 450-456. doi:10.2307/2290593
- [6] C. F. J. Wu, R. C. Zhang and R. Wang, "Construction of Asymmetrical Orthogonal Array of Type $OA(s^k, s^m(s^{r1})^{n1} \cdots (s^{rt})^{nt})$," *Statistica Sinica*, Vol. 2, No. 1, 1992, pp. 203-219.
- [7] A. Dey and C. K. Midha, "Construction of Some Asymmetrical Orthogonal Arrays," *Statistics & Probability Letters*, Vol. 28, No. 3, 1996, pp. 211-217. doi:10.1016/0167-7152(95)00126-3
- [8] Y. S. Zhang, Y. Q. Lu and S. Q. Pang, "Orthogonal Arrays Obtained by Orthogonal Decomposition of Projection Matrices," *Statistica Sinica*, Vol. 9, No. 2, 1999, pp. 595-604.
- [9] C. Suen and W. F. Kuhfeld, "On the Construction of Mixed Orthogonal Arrays of Strength Two," *Journal of Statistical Planning and Inference*, Vol. 133, No. 2, 2005, pp. 555-560. doi:10.1016/j.jspi.2004.03.018
- [10] A. S. Hedayat, N. J. A. Sloane and J. Stufken, "Orthogonal Arrays," Springer, New York, 1999. doi:10.1007/978-1-4612-1478-6
- [11] S. Addelman, "Orthogonal Main Effect Plans for Asym-

metrical Factorial Experiments," *Technometrics*, Vol. 4, No. 1, 1962, pp. 21-46. doi:10.2307/1266170

- [12] C. F. J. Wu, "Construction of 2^m4ⁿ Deigns via a Grouping Scheme," *Annals of Statistics*, Vol. 17, No. 4, 1989, pp. 1880-1885. <u>doi:10.1214/aos/1176347399</u>
- [13] E. M. Rains, N. J. A. Sloane and J. Stufken, "The Lattice of *N*-Run Orthogonal Arrays," *Journal of Statistical Planning and Inference*, Vol. 102, No. 2, 2002, pp. 477-500. doi:10.1016/S0378-3758(01)00119-7
- [14] C. Suen, A. Das and A. Dey, "On the Construction of Asymmetric Orthogonal Arrays," *Statistica Sinica*, Vol. 11, No. 1, 2001, pp. 241-260.
- [15] J. W. P. Hirschefld, "Projective Geometries over Finite Fields," Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1979.
- [16] C. Suen and A. Dey, "Construction of Asymmetric Orthogonal Arrays through Finite Geometries," *Journal of Statistical Planning and Inference*, Vol. 115, No. 2, 2003, pp. 623-635. doi:10.1016/S0378-3758(02)00165-9