

An Approach to Energy Saving and Cost of Energy Reduction Using an Improved Efficient Technology

Abubakar Kabir Aliyu^{1*}, Abba Lawan Bukar², Jamilu Garba Ringim³, Abubakar Musa⁴

¹Faculty of Electrical Engineering, Centre of Electrical Energy System, Johor Bahru, Malaysia

²Department of Electrical Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, University of Maiduguri, Maiduguri, Nigeria

³Federal Airport Authority of Nigeria, Katsina, Nigeria

⁴Department of Electrical Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria, Nigeria

Email: *muhammadkabir87@gmail.com

Received 15 August 2015; accepted 23 November 2015; published 26 November 2015

Copyright © 2015 by authors and Scientific Research Publishing Inc.

This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution International License (CC BY).

<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>



Open Access

Abstract

The electricity consumption in commercial places like universities has tremendously increased recently. Modern and advanced energy efficient appliances are highly needed to substitute the conventional ones. Energy saving is of great important instead of its wastage, as utilizing the energy efficiently reduces the cost of energy. Energy consumption varies for commercial building due to several factors such as electrical appliance usage, electrical appliance type, management, etc. Due to the advancement in technology, there are new emergence appliances that are of high efficiency and have less energy consumption. A case study is conducted on selected five tutorial rooms, level 4 buildings in the Faculty of Electrical Engineering 19 A, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia. The paper proposes new emergence equipments with high efficiency and less power consumption to replace the existing ones. A survey is conducted on the number of electrical appliances used for each of the tutorial rooms, time table for each tutorial room and the Tenaga Nasional Berhad pricing and tariff are taken into consideration in the analysis of the energy consumption and the cost of energy. This paper aims at reducing the amount of energy consumption by replacing the existing electrical equipments with high efficient electrical equipments; it also tends to reduce the cost of energy paid to the utility. By observing the results, it shows that the proposed efficient electrical equipments are more efficient, less power consumption and less cost compared to the existing electrical equipments.

Keywords

Energy Efficiency, Payback Period, Energy Saving, Energy Auditing, Energy Efficiency Measures

*Corresponding author.

1. Introduction

The per capital electricity consumption of the developing countries has been considerably increased due to the increase in population and high demand for energy. Energy growth in Malaysia has been increasing in residential, commercial, industrial and transport [1]. An increase in energy consumption has a great implication on the environment and global warming, therefore the policy to use the energy efficiency should be taken into account [2].

However, an efficient Management of Electrical energy Regulatory was introduced on 15 December, 2008 for the purpose of promoting energy efficiency in Malaysia. Thus, they make it compulsory for large commercial and industrial electrical consumers to manage their equipments so as to develop and implement EEMs to reduce energy losses, cost of energy and enforce efficient utilization of electrical energy [3].

Due to the advancement of technology, researchers and engineers are always working to see that they produce an apparatus or equipments which are very efficient in terms of energy. Energy efficiency can be defined as using less energy to produce the same amount of services or useful output, for example, residential sector, commercial sector and industrial sector. Energy efficiency in terms of mathematical expression can be defined as the ratio of the useful output of a process and the energy input into a process, and it is expressed in percentage [4].

$$\text{Energy efficiency} = \frac{\text{Output}}{\text{Input}}$$

The factors that contribute to high energy usage can be grouped into three.

Firstly, electricity consumption of the equipments itself. That is the purchase of fairly used equipment and non-energy efficient equipments.

Secondly, the number of equipments used for a particular place. As it is known that the number of equipments is directly proportion to the energy consumption. The used of many numbers of equipments such as fans, lights, air conditioner etc. than the design requirements.

Thirdly, the duration usage of the equipments. Long duration use of electrical equipment is directly proportion to the energy consumption [5].

Buildings in the university are usually characterized by high amount of energy consumption. A large portion of energy is being channeled to lecture halls since learning and teaching are the main activities in the campus. As this building consumes a large portion of energy, the energy that being waste should be identified and also should find a way of achieving energy efficiency is very important, that is using less energy to provide the same amount [6]. Some of the advantages of efficient use of energy is shown in Figure 1 [7].




Figure 1. Advantage of energy efficiency.

This paper aims at reducing the amount of energy consumption by replacing the existing electrical with high efficient electrical appliances; these also tend to reduce the cost of energy paid to the utility. It also presents the results and analysis of energy audit of five tutorial rooms, level 4 buildings in the Faculty of Electrical Engineering 19 A, University Teknologi Malaysia (UTM).

2. Methodology

In this section, the method and the steps carried out is briefly described based on the case study. In order to understand fully the concept, energy consumption, price of equipments, cost of energy saving, bill of saving and the payback period are clearly explained and analyzed.

2.1. Energy Management and Energy Auditing

Due to an increase in energy consumption worldwide, energy management and energy auditing are considered to be a global challenge [8].

Energy management is termed as the strategy of adjusting and optimizing energy, using systems and procedures so as to reduce energy requirements per unit of output while holding constant or reducing total cost of producing the output of the systems while the users leave permanent access to the energy they need. The main objectives of energy managements are: resources conservation, climate protection and cost savings [9].

An energy audit is a fundamental of energy management services which employs methods of energy analysis to evaluate the energy usage and develop energy efficiency measures EEMs in the building. The energy audit for this case study disclosed electrical energy usage for lighting, air conditioner and projector. However an improved energy efficient equipments or energy efficiency measures EEMs are used aimed at reducing the cost of energy [3].

2.2. Electricity Consumption

The electricity consumption of the existing appliances and the proposed appliances was computed by multiplication of the number of equipments N , power rating W and the operating hours OH . The mathematical expression is given below:

$$\text{Electricity Consumption (KW)} = \frac{N \times W \times OH}{1000}$$

2.3. Cost of Electricity Consumption

Cost of electricity consumption is the multiplication of electricity consumption with the price of electricity per kW·h [dsm5].

$$\text{Cost of electricityconsumption} = \text{Electricity Consumption} \times \text{price of elctricity}$$

2.4. Energy Saving

Energy saving is the differences between the energy consumption of existing appliances and the proposed appliances.

$$\text{Energy Saving} = \text{Electricity Consumption}_{\text{Existing}} - \text{Electricity Consumption}_{\text{proposed}}$$

2.5. Bill Saving

Bill saving was computed by multiplying energy saving with the electricity tariff. The mathematical expression is given as:

$$\text{Bill Saving} = \text{Energy Saving} \times \text{Electricity Tariff}$$

2.6. Payback Period

The payback period is defined as the time (usually expressed in years) required for the cumulative operational

savings of an option (or equipment) to equal the investment cost of that option [2].

$$\text{Simple payback period (years)} = \frac{\text{incremental cost (RM)}}{\text{Annual energy saving (RM/year)}}$$

3. Study Area

Tutorial Room 1 to 5 located in block P19 at the Faculty of Electrical Engineering (FKE), UTM was selected as the case study area. The classrooms selected are used as a lecture theatre for graduate studies. Electrical wattage and quantity of each of the equipments were studied and recorded. We are able to count and record all the equipments from tutorial Room 1 to 5. Since the tutorial rooms have the same electrical equipments, therefore **Table 1** shows the quantity of electrical equipments of one of the tutorial rooms, which is TR1.

3.1. Energy Audit of the Existing Equipments.

All the tutorial rooms have three things in common; which are; the air conditioning system, the projector and the lightning. The air conditioning system has the highest power consumption of 91.82%, followed by the projector system 7.39% and then the lightening bulbs 0.79%. The power consumption for each load is summarized in **Table 2**.

It should be noted that the level of energy consumption by each of the tutorial rooms differs, as each tutorial room has its own lecture time table. In **Table 3**, analysis of energy consumption characteristics for each of the selected tutorial rooms is carried out.

Although beside the used of lightning, projector and air conditioning, which are mainly provided for the purpose of lectures, sometime student comes with their handset chargers, laptop chargers and variety of electrical loads. These miscellaneous electrical loads are not included in this case study. **Figure 2** shows the percentage of power consumption of the existing equipments. Air conditioner has the highest power consumption having 98.2% followed by projector with 7.39% and lighting with 0.79%.

Table 1. Quantity of electrical equipments in tutorial Room 1.

Room	No. of Lightning	No. of Projector	No. of Air Conditioning System
TR 1	40	1	6

*For the five tutorial rooms $\times 5$.

Table 2. Power consumption of existing equipments.

S/N	Equipment	Model	Power Consumption (W)
1	Air Conditioning System	DAIKIN FCU-4-13-52A	3730
2	Projector	Hitachi CP-EX250	300
3	Lightning Lamps	Philips T8	32

*For the five tutorial rooms $\times 5$.

Table 3. Analysis of energy consumption characteristics of existing equipments.

Room	Hour Class/Week	Energy Consumed by Lightning kW·hr/Week	Energy Consumed by Projector kW·hr/Week	Energy Consumed by ACON kW·hr/Week	Total Energy Consumption per Week kW·hr/Week
Tutorial Room 1	6	7.680	1.8	134.280	143.760
Tutorial Room 2	36	46.080	10.8	805.680	862.560
Tutorial Room 3	15	19.2	4.5	335.700	359.400
Tutorial Room 4	24	30.720	7.2	537.120	575.040
Tutorial Room 5	5	6.4	1.5	111.9	119.8
TOTAL					2060.56

*Energy consumption per year $\times 52$.




Figure 2. Piechart of power consumption of the proposed equipments.

3.2. Proposed Efficient Energy Equipments and the Analysis of Energy Consumption

In this section, the energy efficient equipments are proposed to replace the existing equipments. **Table 4** shows the less power consumption equipments that have high energy efficient than the existent ones.

In selecting the lightning bulb, A T5 lightning bulb with high lumens of about 3200 Lumens and with a power consumption of 21 W was selected to replace the existing one. The existing T8 lamps have lumens of about 2800 lumens. Therefore, using this T5 lamp reduces the number of lamps in each tutorial room from 40 lamps to 35 lamps. A projector with an 80 W energy consumption was also selected to replace the existing one of 300 W and air conditioner of 3 hp was selected to replace the existing one of 3730 W. **Table 5** shows the of energy consumption for the proposed equipments. **Figure 3** shows the percentage of power consumption of the proposed equipments, air conditioner has the highest wattage consumption with 95.7% followed by projector with 3.4% then the lighting lamp with 0.9%.

3.3. Analysis for Energy Saving, Cost of Energy and Payback Period

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Energy Savings kW} \cdot \text{hr/Week} \\ & = \text{Existing} - \text{Proposed} = (2060.56) - (1233.928) = 826.602 \text{ kW} \cdot \text{hr/week} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, energy saving per year = 42,983.304 kW·hr/year

Using the data tariff obtained from the TNB website and as shown in **Table 6**, the cost energy consumption per kW·hr is 36.5 sen/kW·hr.

1) Total energy consumption of the existing equipments per week and per year is 2060.56 kW·hr/week, and 107,149.12 kW·hr/year respectively.

Therefore;

$$\text{Total cost of energy} = 107149.12 \times 36.5 = 3910942.88 \text{ sen/year} = \text{RM } 39109.4288/\text{year}$$

2) Total energy consumption of the proposed equipments per week and per year is 1233.928 kW·hr/week, and 64,164.256 kWhr/year respectively.

$$\text{Total cost of energy} = 64164.256 \times 36.5 = 2341995.344 \text{ sen/year} = \text{RM } 23419.95344/\text{year}$$

Total cost of energy saving RM 15,688.91/year.

3) The Payback period for the Air conditioner can be calculated as follows:

$$\text{Payback period (years)} = \frac{\text{incremental cost (RM)}}{\text{Annual energy saving (RM/year)}}$$

$$\text{Payback period (years)} = \frac{1488}{14612.17056} = 0.1$$




Figure 3. Piechart of power consumption of the existing equipment

Table 4. Proposed equipments to be replaced.

S/N	Equipment	Model	Power Consumption (W)
1	Air Conditioning System	DAIKIN FCQ35C7VEB	2238
2	Projector	BEN Q BEMW853UST	80
3	Lightning Lamps	Philips T5	21

*For the five tutorial rooms × 5.

Table 5. Analysis of energy consumption characteristics for the proposed equipments.

Room	Hour Class/Week	Energy Consumed by Lightning kW·hr/Week	Energy Consumed by Projector kW·hr/Week	Energy Consumed by ACON kW·hr/Week	Total Energy Consumption per Week kW·hr/Week
Tutorial Room 1	6	5.0400	0.480	80.5680	86.0880
Tutorial Room 2	36	30.240	2.880	483.408	516.528
Tutorial Room 3	15	12.600	1.200	201.420	215.220
Tutorial Room 4	24	20.160	1.920	322.272	344.352
Tutorial Room 5	5	4.2000	0.400	67.1400	71.7400
TOTAL					1233.928

*Energy consumption per year × 52.

Therefore the payback period of air conditioner is one (1) year. The same procedure applies to lighting and projector.

Table 7 shows the quantity numbers, incremental price (RM) which is the price difference between the proposed and existing equipment, annual energy saving (kWh), Energy bill saving (RM/years) and the payback period (years) of each equipments.

4. Conclusion

The analysis demonstrates how a meaningful amount of energy can be saved and minimized cost of energy in Tutorial Room 1 to 5 of block 19 in FKE. Although in the previous years, the university has tried tirelessly to reduce its energy consumption and cost of energy paid. Based on this case study, in order to achieve an optimal energy performance, energy audit is a good method to reduce the energy wastes and to improve the energy effi-

Table 6. TNB pricing and tariff of electricity consumption [10].

S/N	Tariff Category	Current Rate (1st June 2011)	New Rates (1st Jan. 2014 to Date)
Tariff B—Low voltage commercial tariff for overall monthly consumption between 0 - 200 kWh/month			
For all kWh		39.3 sen/kWh	
The minimum monthly charge is RM 7.20 For the overall monthly consumption more than 200 kWh/month			
1.	For all kWh (from 1 kWh onwards)	43.0 sen/kWh	
The minimum monthly charge is RM7.20 New structure effective 1 st January 2014			
	For the first 200 kWh (1 - 200 kWh) per month		43.5 sen/kWh
	For the next kWh (201 kWh onwards) per month		50.9 sen/kWh
The minimum monthly charge is RM7.20			
Tariff C1—Medium voltage general commercial tariff			
2.	For each kilowatt of maximum demand per month	25.9 RM/kW	30.3 RM/kW
	For all kWh	31.2 sen/kWh	36.5 sen/kWh
The minimum monthly charge is RM600.00			
Tariff C2—Medium voltage peak/off-peak commercial tariff			
3.	For each kilowatt of maximum demand per month during the peak period	38.6 RM/kW	45.1 RM/kW
	For all kWh during the peak period	31.2 sen/kWh	36.5 sen/kWh
	For all kWh during the off-peak period	19.2 sen/kWh	22.4 sen/kWh
The minimum monthly charge is RM600.00			

Table 7. Energy efficiency measures for air conditioner, projector and lighting lamps.

Equipment's	Number of Quantity	Incremental Price (RM)	Annual Energy Saving in kWh	Energy Bill Saving (RM/years)	Payback Period (years)
Air conditioner	30	1488	40033.344	14612.17056	0.1
Projector	5	2757.1	983.84	359.1016	7.678
Lighting lamps	80	25	1967.68	718.2032	0.035

ciency of the equipments considered for the case study, which are: lighting lamps, air conditioner and projector. Energy management and energy audit help in identifying several energy saving measures so as to improve the energy efficiency and reduce the cost of energy. From the analysis, it is calculated that if the proposed equipments are replaced, then the energy saved per year is 42,983.304 kW/hr and the cost of energy saving will be RM 15,688.91/year. If the university management can implement the proposed equipments, the energy consumption and the cost of energy will definitely reduce as seen in the analysis. The analysis in this paper is based on five tutorial rooms if compared to the university energy consumption is not up to 1%. Although the proposed equipments have high efficiency and less power consumption, their price is very expensive compared to the existing one. A payback period is calculated to show the time taken to recover its initial outlay from the saving of the cost of energy paid to the TNB.

References

- [1] Al-Mofleh, A., Taib, S., Mujeebu, M.A. and Salah, W. (2009) Analysis of Sectoral Energy Conservation in Malaysia. *Energy*, **34**, 733-739. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2008.10.005>
- [2] Mahlia, T.M.I., Razak, H.A. and Nursahida, M.A. (2011) Life Cycle Cost Analysis and Payback Period of Lighting Retrofit at the University of Malaya. *Renewable & Sustainable Energy Reviews*, **15**, 1125-1132. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2010.10.014>
- [3] Singh, H., Seera, M. and Mohamad Idin, M.A. (2012) Electrical Energy Audit in a Malaysian University—A Case Study. 2012 *IEEE International Conference on Power and Energy*, Kota Kinabalu Sabah, Malaysia, 2-5 December

- 2012, 616-619. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/pecon.2012.6450288>
- [4] Patterson, M.G. (1996) What Is Energy Efficiency? *Energy Policy*, **24**, 377-390. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0301-4215\(96\)00017-1](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0301-4215(96)00017-1)
- [5] (2012) S. Production and E. Products. Energy Efficiency in Malaysia Sustainable Production and Consumption.
- [6] Saidur, R., Rahim, N.A., Masjuki, H.H., Mekhilef, S., Ping, H.W. and Jamaluddin, M.F. (2009) End-Use Energy Analysis in the Malaysian Industrial Sector. *Energy*, **34**, 153-158. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2008.11.004>
- [7] Schipper, L. and Meyer, S. (1992) Energy Efficiency and Human Activity: Past Trends, Future Prospects. Press Syndicate of the University of Cambridge, New York.
- [8] Study, A.C. and Zaria, A.B.U. (2015) Energy Auditing and Management. *Journal of Multidisciplinary Engineering Science and Technology*, **2**, 1807-1813.
- [9] Sameeullah, M., Kumar, J., Lal, K. and Chander, J. (2014) Energy Audit : A Case Study of Hostel Building. *International Journal of Research in Management, Science & Technology*, **2**, 36-42.
- [10] Nasional, T. (2015) Pricing and Tariff. <http://www.tnb.com.my/residential/pricing-and-tariff.html>